REPORT TO CITY COUNCIL

DATE: NOVEMBER 9, 2011 TO: HONORABLE MAYOR AND MEMBERS OF THE CITY COUNCIL FROM: GREG RAMIREZ, CITY MANAGER BY: MELINDA BRODSLY, ACCOUNTANT DIRECTOR OF FINANCE PINUELAS SUBJECT: OTHER POST EMPLOYMENT BENEFITS (OPEB) – ADOPT OPTION 1 OF CALPERS BOARD ALLOCATION STRATEGY

On May 11, 2011, the Finance Committee discussed the CalPers CERBT asset allocation strategies. After reviewing the three options, the Committee directed staff to have an actuarial valuation completed using both Option 1 and Option 2 as an assumption. After finalizing the report, the two assumptions show the following information:

	Discount	Std Deviation	City Cost
	<u>Rate</u>	of Exp Return	(approx)
Option 1	7.61%	11.73%	\$174,020
Option 2	7.06%	9.46%	\$187,821

The 2011/12 budget includes \$186,000 towards our OPEB obligation. This amount was derived from the prior report and assumed investment in Option 1. The amount to fund has decreased slightly due to increased fund performance and a reduction in the number of eligible participants.

The Finance Committee recommends that the City Council selects Option 1, which closely matches the city's current asset allocation strategy. The majority of CERBT plan participants have indicated they will choose Option1.

The City can change its investment strategy each year. However, a new valuation would be required when a change in investment strategy is made.

RECOMMENDATION:

Staff respectfully recommends the City Council adopt Option 1 for our CalPers Board Allocation Strategy

Attachments:

May 11, 2011, Finance Committee Report

Nicolay Consulting draft actuarial valuation, Page 4 and 5

Excerpt from August 15, 2011, recommendation by CalPERS Board for investment strategies

REPORT TO FINANCE COMMITTEE

DATE: MAY 11, 2011

TO: FINANCE COMMITTEE

FROM: GREG RAMIREZ, CITY MANAGER

BY: MELINDA BRODSLY, ACCOUNTANT

SUBJECT: OTHER POST EMPLOYMENT BENEFITS (OPEB) - RECOMMEND ACCEPTING OPTION 1 OF CALPERS BOARD ALLOCATION STRATEGY

On June 9, 2009 the Finance Committee agreed to the recommendation of Bartel Associates' to participate in a funding plan to fund the liability of retiree medical costs, also known as Other Post Employment Benefits (OPEB). In order to fund the Annual Required Contribution (ARC) the City agreed to contribute at least \$166,000 in retiree medical expenses in 2009/10 and 2010/11 to the CalPers OPEB Trust (CERBT).

GASB requires all employers participating in the plan to perform biennial valuations. The most recent actuarial valuation for 2010/11 was completed by Nicolay Consulting and shows that the City is on target with its funding. The city is also required to do an additional valuation in 2011/12 as GASB needs all valuations to be aligned. The City will again use Nicolay Consulting.

Additionally, the CalPers Board approved changes to the current asset allocation strategy in March, 2011 which will be implemented in August, 2011. When the City completes its 2011/12 valuation, we will need to choose one of the investment strategy outlined below. The cost of funding the OPEB will increase from the \$166,000 paid in the two prior fiscal years as the discount rate will change from 7.75% to 7.61%. Each employer will migrate from the current allocation strategy to one of the following:

	Discount <u>Rate</u>	Std Deviation of Exp Return	City Cost <u>(approx)</u>
Option 1	7.61%	11.73%	186,000
Option 2	7.06%	9.46%	197,540
Option 3	6.39%	7.27%	298,000

These strategies have been designed to give employers greater flexibility to invest in a portfolio that best fits their needs and level of risk.

Staff recommends that the committee approve the continued funding of the OPEB and participate in Option 1, which closely matches the city's current asset allocation strategy. The majority of CERBT plan participants have indicated they will choose Option1.

The City can change its investment strategy each year. However, a new valuation would be required when a change in investment strategy is made.

DRAFT #2

Table 2-3a presents a five-year projection under the assumptions the City contributes the full Annual OPEB Cost to the CERBT, the Trust Fund earns 7.61% per year, the discount rate remains 7.61% and the Normal Cost component of the ARC increases 5,00% per year throughout the five year period. We assumed mid-year additions to and subtractions from the Trust.

Five-y ctuarial Accrued Liability (AAL)	year Projection of A Based or assuming f	Eity of Agoura Hills Annual OPEB Cost an In a 7.61% discount ra Annual OPEB Cos		on	
	Based or assuming f	n a 7.61% discount ra	ate and	on	
	Based or assuming f	n a 7.61% discount ra	ate and		
otuorial Acomucal Lipbility (AAL)	-	ull Annual OPEB Cos	بمعالم معرفك ف		
atuarial Accrued Liebility (AAL)	2014/42		strunding		
atuarial Approved Liphility (AAL)	2011/12	2012/13	2013/14	2014/15	2015/16
cuanal Accided Liability (AAL)	\$1,569,351	\$1,726,158	\$1,896,028	\$2,075,365	\$2,266,537
ctuarial Value of Assets at beginning of year	\$301,096	\$457,475	\$621,469	\$797,022	\$986,715
nfunded Actuarial Accrued Liability (UAAL)	\$1,268,255	\$1,268,683	\$1,274,559	\$1,278,343	\$1,279,822
emaining Amortization Period	27	26	25	24	23
lormal Cost	\$91,176	\$95,735	\$100,522	\$105,548	\$110,825
mortization of UAAL	<u>\$82,206</u>	<u>\$83,959</u>	<u>\$86,234</u>	<u>\$88,553</u>	<u>\$90,916</u>
nnual Required Contribution (ARC)	\$173,382	\$179,694	\$186,756	\$194,101	\$201,741
nnual Required Contribution (ARC)	\$173,382	\$179,694	\$186,756	\$194,101	\$201,741
terest on net OPEB Obligation	\$4,307	\$4,307	\$4,307	\$4,307	\$4,307
djustment to ARC	(\$3,669)	<u>(\$3,746)</u>	<u>(\$3,829)</u>	<u>(\$3,921)</u>	<u>(\$4,021)</u>
nnual OPEB Cost	\$174,020	\$180,255	\$187,234	\$194,487	\$202,027
ontributions	<u>(\$174,020)</u>	<u>(\$180,255)</u>	<u>(\$187,234)</u>	<u>(\$194,487)</u>	<u>(\$202,027)</u>
ncrease in net OPEB Obligation	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
et OPEB Obligation - Beginning of Year let OPEB Obligation - End of Year	\$56,601* \$56,601	\$56,601 \$56,601	\$56,601 \$56,601	\$56,601 \$56,601	\$56,601 \$56,601
rojected pay-as-you-go cost	\$51,860	\$55,165	\$63,115	\$69,708	\$75,378

x

DRAFT #2

Table 2-3b presents a five-year projection under the assumptions the City contributes the full Annual OPEB Cost to the CERBT, the Trust Fund earns 7.06% per year, the discount rate remains 7.61% and the Normal Cost component of the ARC increases 5,00% per year throughout the five year period. We assumed mid-year additions to and subtractions from the Trust.

		Table 2-3a				
	C	ity of Agoura Hills				
Five-year Projection of Annual OPEB Cost and Net OPEB Obligation Based on a 7.06% discount rate and assuming full Annual OPEB Cost funding						
	2011/12	2012/13	2013/14	2014/15	2015/16	
Actuarial Accrued Liability (AAL) Actuarial Value of Assets at beginning of year Unfunded Actuarial Accrued Liability (UAAL)	\$1,691,002 \$301,096 \$1,389,906	\$1,859,153 \$469,775 \$1,389,378	\$2,040,877 \$646,901 \$1,393,976	\$2,232,582 \$836,340 \$1,396,242	\$2,436,646 \$1,040,695 \$1,395,951	
Remaining Amortization Period	27	26	25	24	23	
Normal Cost	\$102,426	\$107,547	\$112,924	\$118,570	\$124,499	
Amortization of UAAL	<u>\$84,854</u>	\$86,750	\$89,138	<u>\$91,575</u>	\$94,060	
Annual Required Contribution (ARC)	\$187,280	\$194,297	\$202,062	\$210,145	\$218,559	
Annual Required Contribution (ARC)	\$187,280	\$194,297	\$202,062	\$210,145	\$218,559	
Interest on net OPEB Obligation	\$3,996	\$3,996	\$3,996	\$3,996	\$3,996	
Adjustment to ARC	(\$3,455)	<u>(\$3,534)</u>	<u>(\$3,619)</u>	(\$3,712)	<u>(\$3,814)</u>	
Annual OPEB Cost	\$187,821	\$194,759	\$202,439	\$210,429	\$218,741	
Contributions	<u>(\$187,821)</u>	<u>(\$194,759)</u>	(\$202,439)	<u>(\$210,429)</u>	(\$218,741)	
Increase in net OPEB Obligation	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	
Net OPEB Obligation - Beginning of Year Net OPEB Obligation - End of Year	\$56,601* \$56,601	\$56,601 \$56,601	\$56,601 \$56,601	\$56,601 \$56,601	\$56,601 \$56,601	
Projected pay-as-you-go cost	\$51,860	\$55,165	\$63,115	\$69,708	\$75,378	
* Estimated						

\$

The Committee shall approve Policy asset allocation targets and ranges expressed as a percentage of total assets. The Committee shall set Policy ranges sufficiently wide to permit efficient and flexible implementation, yet sufficiently narrow to maintain the basic risk and return relationship established by the allocation targets.

There are three separate CERBT Portfolios ("Strategy 1", "Strategy 2", and "Strategy 3"). Levels of expected return and risk vary among the Portfolios. The Policy asset allocation targets and permissible ranges are as follows:

	Strategy 1		Strategy 2		Strategy 3	
Asset Class	Policy Allocation	Policy Range	Policy Allocation	Policy Range	Policy Allocation	Policy Range
U.S Inflation Linked Bonds	5%	+/- 2%	15%	+/- 4%	15%	+/- 4%
U.S. Nominal Bonds	18%	+/- 5%	24%	+/- 5%	42%	+/- 5%
Global Equity	66%	+/- 5%	50%	+/- 5%	32%	+/- 5%
Global Public Real Estate	8%	+/- 2%	8%	+/- 2%	8%	+/- 2%
Commodities	3%	+/- 2%	3%	+/- 2%	3%	+/- 2%
Expected Return	7.10%		6.50%	%	5.75%	
Expected Risk	11.73%		9.46%	%	7.27%	
Return/Risk	0.61%		0.69%	6 0.79%		%

Approved by the Investment Committee August 15, 2011.

E. Rebalancing

Adherence to the Policy asset allocation targets and ranges shall be monitored and reported to the Investment Committee as part of the quarterly performance report. This report shall display a comparison between the Portfolios' asset class allocations and the Policy asset allocation targets and ranges. The report shall also compare the investment performance results of each asset class and the benchmark returns.

Asset class allocations shall be managed to be within Policy ranges. Cash in the portfolio will be held within a range of 0 - 1%. Allocations may temporarily deviate from Policy ranges due to employer contributions and/or extreme market volatility. If an asset class allocation exceeds the Policy range, staff shall return the asset allocation to within its Policy range in a timely manner, with the exact time period primarily dependent on transaction costs and liquidity.

Changes in Policy asset class allocations may be achieved by the movement of capital between asset classes through the trading of <u>pooled</u> funds or through the use of derivatives. The intent is for Policy asset class