

	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L
51	<b>Gamma Statistics on Detected Data Only</b>											
52					k hat (MLE)	1.23					k star (bias corrected MLE)	0.625
53					Theta hat (MLE)	9.023					Theta star (bias corrected MLE)	17.75
54					nu hat (MLE)	12.3					nu star (bias corrected)	6.254
55					MLE Mean (bias corrected)	11.1					MLE Sd (bias corrected)	14.04
56												
57	<b>Gamma Kaplan-Meier (KM) Statistics</b>											
58					k hat (KM)	0.701					nu hat (KM)	8.409
59					Approximate Chi Square Value (8.41, $\alpha$ )	2.974					Adjusted Chi Square Value (8.41, $\beta$ )	1.937
60					95% Gamma Approximate KM-UCL (use when $n \geq 50$ )	26.62					95% Gamma Adjusted KM-UCL (use when $n < 50$ )	40.88
61												
62	<b>Gamma ROS Statistics using Imputed Non-Detects</b>											
63	GROS may not be used when data set has > 50% NDs with many tied observations at multiple DLs											
64	GROS may not be used when kstar of detected data is small such as < 0.1											
65	For such situations, GROS method tends to yield inflated values of UCLs and BTVs											
66	For gamma distributed detected data, BTVs and UCLs may be computed using gamma distribution on KM estimates											
67					Minimum	0.01					Mean	9.252
68					Maximum	34					Median	6.05
69					SD	12.46					CV	1.347
70					k hat (MLE)	0.469					k star (bias corrected MLE)	0.345
71					Theta hat (MLE)	19.74					Theta star (bias corrected MLE)	26.78
72					nu hat (MLE)	5.625					nu star (bias corrected)	4.146
73					MLE Mean (bias corrected)	9.252					MLE Sd (bias corrected)	15.74
74											Adjusted Level of Significance ( $\beta$ )	0.0122
75					Approximate Chi Square Value (4.15, $\alpha$ )	0.78					Adjusted Chi Square Value (4.15, $\beta$ )	0.386
76					95% Gamma Approximate UCL (use when $n \geq 50$ )	49.16					95% Gamma Adjusted UCL (use when $n < 50$ )	99.3
77												
78	<b>Lognormal GOF Test on Detected Observations Only</b>											
79					Shapiro Wilk Test Statistic	0.923	<b>Shapiro Wilk GOF Test</b>					
80					5% Shapiro Wilk Critical Value	0.762	Detected Data appear Lognormal at 5% Significance Level					
81					Lilliefors Test Statistic	0.27	<b>Lilliefors GOF Test</b>					
82					5% Lilliefors Critical Value	0.396	Detected Data appear Lognormal at 5% Significance Level					
83	<b>Detected Data appear Lognormal at 5% Significance Level</b>											
84												
85	<b>Lognormal ROS Statistics Using Imputed Non-Detects</b>											
86					Mean in Original Scale	9.338					Mean in Log Scale	1.517
87					SD in Original Scale	12.39					SD in Log Scale	1.413
88					95% t UCL (assumes normality of ROS data)	19.53					95% Percentile Bootstrap UCL	18.37
89					95% BCA Bootstrap UCL	20.28					95% Bootstrap t UCL	36.62
90					95% H-UCL (Log ROS)	417.4						
91												
92	<b>UCLs using Lognormal Distribution and KM Estimates when Detected data are Lognormally Distributed</b>											
93					KM Mean (logged)	1.624					95% H-UCL (KM -Log)	93.62
94					KM SD (logged)	1.122					95% Critical H Value (KM-Log)	4.555
95					KM Standard Error of Mean (logged)	0.512						
96												
97	<b>DL/2 Statistics</b>											
98	<b>DL/2 Normal</b>						<b>DL/2 Log-Transformed</b>					
99					Mean in Original Scale	9.333					Mean in Log Scale	1.508
100					SD in Original Scale	12.39					SD in Log Scale	1.429

	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L
101	95% t UCL (Assumes normality)					19.53	95% H-Stat UCL					456
102	<b>DL/2 is not a recommended method, provided for comparisons and historical reasons</b>											
103												
104	<b>Nonparametric Distribution Free UCL Statistics</b>											
105	<b>Detected Data appear Gamma Distributed at 5% Significance Level</b>											
106												
107	<b>Suggested UCL to Use</b>											
108	95% KM (Chebyshev) UCL					31.8	95% GROS Adjusted Gamma UCL					99.3
109	95% Adjusted Gamma KM-UCL					40.88						
110	<b>Warning: Recommended UCL exceeds the maximum observation</b>											
111												
112	Note: Suggestions regarding the selection of a 95% UCL are provided to help the user to select the most appropriate 95% UCL.											
113	Recommendations are based upon data size, data distribution, and skewness.											
114	These recommendations are based upon the results of the simulation studies summarized in Singh, Maichle, and Lee (2006).											
115	However, simulations results will not cover all Real World data sets; for additional insight the user may want to consult a statistician.											
116												

	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L
1	<b>UCL Statistics for Data Sets with Non-Detects</b>											
2												
3	User Selected Options											
4	Date/Time of Computation		12/22/2015 10:35:01 AM									
5	From File		Metals.xls									
6	Full Precision		OFF									
7	Confidence Coefficient		95%									
8	Number of Bootstrap Operations		2000									
9												
10												
11	<b>Cr</b>											
12												
13	<b>General Statistics</b>											
14	Total Number of Observations			6		Number of Distinct Observations			6			
15							Number of Missing Observations			0		
16	Minimum			2.1		Mean			28.52			
17	Maximum			47		Median			32.5			
18	SD			18.31		Std. Error of Mean			7.475			
19	Coefficient of Variation			0.642		Skewness			-0.552			
20												
21	<b>Note: Sample size is small (e.g., &lt;10), if data are collected using ISM approach, you should use</b>											
22	<b>guidance provided in ITRC Tech Reg Guide on ISM (ITRC, 2012) to compute statistics of interest.</b>											
23	<b>For example, you may want to use Chebyshev UCL to estimate EPC (ITRC, 2012).</b>											
24	<b>Chebyshev UCL can be computed using the Nonparametric and All UCL Options of ProUCL 5.0</b>											
25												
26	<b>Normal GOF Test</b>											
27	Shapiro Wilk Test Statistic			0.912		<b>Shapiro Wilk GOF Test</b>						
28	5% Shapiro Wilk Critical Value			0.788		Data appear Normal at 5% Significance Level						
29	Lilliefors Test Statistic			0.198		<b>Lilliefors GOF Test</b>						
30	5% Lilliefors Critical Value			0.362		Data appear Normal at 5% Significance Level						
31	<b>Data appear Normal at 5% Significance Level</b>											
32												
33	<b>Assuming Normal Distribution</b>											
34	<b>95% Normal UCL</b>					<b>95% UCLs (Adjusted for Skewness)</b>						
35	95% Student's-t UCL			43.58		95% Adjusted-CLT UCL (Chen-1995)			39.01			
36						95% Modified-t UCL (Johnson-1978)			43.3			
37												
38	<b>Gamma GOF Test</b>											
39	A-D Test Statistic			0.507		<b>Anderson-Darling Gamma GOF Test</b>						
40	5% A-D Critical Value			0.708		Detected data appear Gamma Distributed at 5% Significance Level						
41	K-S Test Statistic			0.252		<b>Kolmogrov-Smirnoff Gamma GOF Test</b>						
42	5% K-S Critical Value			0.338		Detected data appear Gamma Distributed at 5% Significance Level						
43	<b>Detected data appear Gamma Distributed at 5% Significance Level</b>											
44												
45	<b>Gamma Statistics</b>											
46	k hat (MLE)			1.457		k star (bias corrected MLE)			0.839			
47	Theta hat (MLE)			19.58		Theta star (bias corrected MLE)			33.97			
48	nu hat (MLE)			17.48		nu star (bias corrected)			10.07			
49	MLE Mean (bias corrected)			28.52		MLE Sd (bias corrected)			31.12			
50						Approximate Chi Square Value (0.05)			3.988			

	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L
51	Adjusted Level of Significance					0.0122	Adjusted Chi Square Value					2.732
52												
53	<b>Assuming Gamma Distribution</b>											
54	95% Approximate Gamma UCL (use when n>=50))					72.04	95% Adjusted Gamma UCL (use when n<50)					105.2
55												
56	<b>Lognormal GOF Test</b>											
57	Shapiro Wilk Test Statistic					0.797	<b>Shapiro Wilk Lognormal GOF Test</b>					
58	5% Shapiro Wilk Critical Value					0.788	Data appear Lognormal at 5% Significance Level					
59	Lilliefors Test Statistic					0.274	<b>Lilliefors Lognormal GOF Test</b>					
60	5% Lilliefors Critical Value					0.362	Data appear Lognormal at 5% Significance Level					
61	<b>Data appear Lognormal at 5% Significance Level</b>											
62												
63	<b>Lognormal Statistics</b>											
64	Minimum of Logged Data					0.742	Mean of logged Data					2.97
65	Maximum of Logged Data					3.85	SD of logged Data					1.202
66												
67	<b>Assuming Lognormal Distribution</b>											
68	95% H-UCL					538.1	90% Chebyshev (MVUE) UCL					82.64
69	95% Chebyshev (MVUE) UCL					104.7	97.5% Chebyshev (MVUE) UCL					135.2
70	99% Chebyshev (MVUE) UCL					195.2						
71												
72	<b>Nonparametric Distribution Free UCL Statistics</b>											
73	<b>Data appear to follow a Discernible Distribution at 5% Significance Level</b>											
74												
75	<b>Nonparametric Distribution Free UCLs</b>											
76	95% CLT UCL					40.81	95% Jackknife UCL					43.58
77	95% Standard Bootstrap UCL					39.75	95% Bootstrap-t UCL					41.44
78	95% Hall's Bootstrap UCL					37.04	95% Percentile Bootstrap UCL					39.33
79	95% BCA Bootstrap UCL					38.17						
80	90% Chebyshev(Mean, Sd) UCL					50.94	95% Chebyshev(Mean, Sd) UCL					61.1
81	97.5% Chebyshev(Mean, Sd) UCL					75.2	99% Chebyshev(Mean, Sd) UCL					102.9
82												
83	<b>Suggested UCL to Use</b>											
84	95% Student's-t UCL					43.58						
85												
86	Note: Suggestions regarding the selection of a 95% UCL are provided to help the user to select the most appropriate 95% UCL.											
87	These recommendations are based upon the results of the simulation studies summarized in Singh, Singh, and Iaci (2002)											
88	and Singh and Singh (2003). However, simulation results will not cover all Real World data sets.											
89	For additional insight the user may want to consult a statistician.											
90												
91	<b>Note: For highly negatively-skewed data, confidence limits (e.g., Chen, Johnson, Lognormal, and Gamma) may not be</b>											
92	<b>reliable. Chen's and Johnson's methods provide adjustments for positively skewed data sets.</b>											
93												

	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L	
1	<b>UCL Statistics for Data Sets with Non-Detects</b>												
2													
3	User Selected Options												
4	Date/Time of Computation		12/22/2015 10:35:42 AM										
5	From File		Metals.xls										
6	Full Precision		OFF										
7	Confidence Coefficient		95%										
8	Number of Bootstrap Operations		2000										
9													
10													
11	<b>Cu</b>												
12													
13	<b>General Statistics</b>												
14	Total Number of Observations				6		Number of Distinct Observations				6		
15									Number of Missing Observations				0
16	Minimum				5.5		Mean				20.42		
17	Maximum				30		Median				23.5		
18	SD				9.861		Std. Error of Mean				4.026		
19	Coefficient of Variation				0.483		Skewness				-0.724		
20													
21	<b>Note: Sample size is small (e.g., &lt;10), if data are collected using ISM approach, you should use</b>												
22	<b>guidance provided in ITRC Tech Reg Guide on ISM (ITRC, 2012) to compute statistics of interest.</b>												
23	<b>For example, you may want to use Chebyshev UCL to estimate EPC (ITRC, 2012).</b>												
24	<b>Chebyshev UCL can be computed using the Nonparametric and All UCL Options of ProUCL 5.0</b>												
25													
26	<b>Normal GOF Test</b>												
27	Shapiro Wilk Test Statistic				0.895		<b>Shapiro Wilk GOF Test</b>						
28	5% Shapiro Wilk Critical Value				0.788		Data appear Normal at 5% Significance Level						
29	Lilliefors Test Statistic				0.248		<b>Lilliefors GOF Test</b>						
30	5% Lilliefors Critical Value				0.362		Data appear Normal at 5% Significance Level						
31	<b>Data appear Normal at 5% Significance Level</b>												
32													
33	<b>Assuming Normal Distribution</b>												
34	<b>95% Normal UCL</b>						<b>95% UCLs (Adjusted for Skewness)</b>						
35	95% Student's-t UCL				28.53		95% Adjusted-CLT UCL (Chen-1995)				25.77		
36									95% Modified-t UCL (Johnson-1978)				28.33
37													
38	<b>Gamma GOF Test</b>												
39	A-D Test Statistic				0.487		<b>Anderson-Darling Gamma GOF Test</b>						
40	5% A-D Critical Value				0.701		Detected data appear Gamma Distributed at 5% Significance Level						
41	K-S Test Statistic				0.266		<b>Kolmogrov-Smirnoff Gamma GOF Test</b>						
42	5% K-S Critical Value				0.334		Detected data appear Gamma Distributed at 5% Significance Level						
43	<b>Detected data appear Gamma Distributed at 5% Significance Level</b>												
44													
45	<b>Gamma Statistics</b>												
46	k hat (MLE)				3.554		k star (bias corrected MLE)				1.888		
47	Theta hat (MLE)				5.745		Theta star (bias corrected MLE)				10.81		
48	nu hat (MLE)				42.64		nu star (bias corrected)				22.66		
49	MLE Mean (bias corrected)				20.42		MLE Sd (bias corrected)				14.86		
50									Approximate Chi Square Value (0.05)				12.83

	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L
51	Adjusted Level of Significance					0.0122	Adjusted Chi Square Value					10.27
52												
53	<b>Assuming Gamma Distribution</b>											
54	95% Approximate Gamma UCL (use when n>=50))					36.05	95% Adjusted Gamma UCL (use when n<50)					45.06
55												
56	<b>Lognormal GOF Test</b>											
57	Shapiro Wilk Test Statistic					0.835	<b>Shapiro Wilk Lognormal GOF Test</b>					
58	5% Shapiro Wilk Critical Value					0.788	Data appear Lognormal at 5% Significance Level					
59	Lilliefors Test Statistic					0.242	<b>Lilliefors Lognormal GOF Test</b>					
60	5% Lilliefors Critical Value					0.362	Data appear Lognormal at 5% Significance Level					
61	<b>Data appear Lognormal at 5% Significance Level</b>											
62												
63	<b>Lognormal Statistics</b>											
64	Minimum of Logged Data					1.705	Mean of logged Data					2.869
65	Maximum of Logged Data					3.401	SD of logged Data					0.663
66												
67	<b>Assuming Lognormal Distribution</b>											
68	95% H-UCL					54.51	90% Chebyshev (MVUE) UCL					38.12
69	95% Chebyshev (MVUE) UCL					45.84	97.5% Chebyshev (MVUE) UCL					56.55
70	99% Chebyshev (MVUE) UCL					77.6						
71												
72	<b>Nonparametric Distribution Free UCL Statistics</b>											
73	<b>Data appear to follow a Discernible Distribution at 5% Significance Level</b>											
74												
75	<b>Nonparametric Distribution Free UCLs</b>											
76	95% CLT UCL					27.04	95% Jackknife UCL					28.53
77	95% Standard Bootstrap UCL					26.44	95% Bootstrap-t UCL					27.29
78	95% Hall's Bootstrap UCL					25.11	95% Percentile Bootstrap UCL					26.5
79	95% BCA Bootstrap UCL					25.83						
80	90% Chebyshev(Mean, Sd) UCL					32.49	95% Chebyshev(Mean, Sd) UCL					37.96
81	97.5% Chebyshev(Mean, Sd) UCL					45.56	99% Chebyshev(Mean, Sd) UCL					60.47
82												
83	<b>Suggested UCL to Use</b>											
84	95% Student's-t UCL					28.53						
85												
86	Note: Suggestions regarding the selection of a 95% UCL are provided to help the user to select the most appropriate 95% UCL.											
87	These recommendations are based upon the results of the simulation studies summarized in Singh, Singh, and Iaci (2002)											
88	and Singh and Singh (2003). However, simulation results will not cover all Real World data sets.											
89	For additional insight the user may want to consult a statistician.											
90												
91	<b>Note: For highly negatively-skewed data, confidence limits (e.g., Chen, Johnson, Lognormal, and Gamma) may not be</b>											
92	<b>reliable. Chen's and Johnson's methods provide adjustments for positively skewed data sets.</b>											
93												

	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L
1	<b>UCL Statistics for Data Sets with Non-Detects</b>											
2												
3	User Selected Options											
4	Date/Time of Computation		12/22/2015 10:36:23 AM									
5	From File		Metals.xls									
6	Full Precision		OFF									
7	Confidence Coefficient		95%									
8	Number of Bootstrap Operations		2000									
9												
10												
11	<b>Ni</b>											
12												
13	<b>General Statistics</b>											
14	Total Number of Observations			6			Number of Distinct Observations			6		
15							Number of Missing Observations			0		
16	Minimum			8.5			Mean			36.92		
17	Maximum			56			Median			46		
18	SD			20.03			Std. Error of Mean			8.178		
19	Coefficient of Variation			0.543			Skewness			-0.832		
20												
21	<b>Note: Sample size is small (e.g., &lt;10), if data are collected using ISM approach, you should use</b>											
22	<b>guidance provided in ITRC Tech Reg Guide on ISM (ITRC, 2012) to compute statistics of interest.</b>											
23	<b>For example, you may want to use Chebyshev UCL to estimate EPC (ITRC, 2012).</b>											
24	<b>Chebyshev UCL can be computed using the Nonparametric and All UCL Options of ProUCL 5.0</b>											
25												
26	<b>Normal GOF Test</b>											
27	Shapiro Wilk Test Statistic			0.834			<b>Shapiro Wilk GOF Test</b>					
28	5% Shapiro Wilk Critical Value			0.788			Data appear Normal at 5% Significance Level					
29	Lilliefors Test Statistic			0.286			<b>Lilliefors GOF Test</b>					
30	5% Lilliefors Critical Value			0.362			Data appear Normal at 5% Significance Level					
31	<b>Data appear Normal at 5% Significance Level</b>											
32												
33	<b>Assuming Normal Distribution</b>											
34	<b>95% Normal UCL</b>						<b>95% UCLs (Adjusted for Skewness)</b>					
35	95% Student's-t UCL			53.39			95% Adjusted-CLT UCL (Chen-1995)			47.4		
36							95% Modified-t UCL (Johnson-1978)			52.93		
37												
38	<b>Gamma GOF Test</b>											
39	A-D Test Statistic			0.709			<b>Anderson-Darling Gamma GOF Test</b>					
40	5% A-D Critical Value			0.702			Data Not Gamma Distributed at 5% Significance Level					
41	K-S Test Statistic			0.343			<b>Kolmogrov-Smirnoff Gamma GOF Test</b>					
42	5% K-S Critical Value			0.335			Data Not Gamma Distributed at 5% Significance Level					
43	<b>Data Not Gamma Distributed at 5% Significance Level</b>											
44												
45	<b>Gamma Statistics</b>											
46	k hat (MLE)			2.627			k star (bias corrected MLE)			1.425		
47	Theta hat (MLE)			14.05			Theta star (bias corrected MLE)			25.91		
48	nu hat (MLE)			31.52			nu star (bias corrected)			17.1		
49	MLE Mean (bias corrected)			36.92			MLE Sd (bias corrected)			30.93		
50							Approximate Chi Square Value (0.05)			8.74		