## City of Agoura Hills

## **ENVIRONMENTAL CHECKLIST FORM**

1. Project Title: 2005 Eminent Domain Amendment to the

Redevelopment Plan for the City of Agoura

Hills Redevelopment Project Area

2. Lead Agency Name and Address: City of Agoura Hills

30001 Ladyface Court Agoura Hills, CA 91301

3. Contact Person and Phone Number: Mike Kamino

Director of Planning and Community

Development (818) 597-7300

4. Project Location: Project Area as detailed in the City of Agoura

Hills Redevelopment Plan; most of the area is

within the Ventura Freeway corridor

5. Project Sponsor's Name and Address: City of Agoura Hills

30001 Ladyface Court Agoura Hills, CA 91301

6. General Plan Designations of Project Area:

Commercial-Shopping Center Commercial-Visitor Serving Commercial-Retail/Service Business Park-Manufacturing Business Park-Office/Retail Ladyface Mountain Specific Plan 7. Zoning and Surrounding Land Uses and Setting:

NORTH: RM (Medium Density Residential), RS (Single Family Residential), PR

(Regional Park/Recreation), RL (Low Density Residential), RH (High

Density Residential), P (Local Park)

SOUTH: Ladyface Mountain Specific Plan (portion), OS-R (Restricted Open

Space), RL (Low Density Residential), RV (Very Low Density

Residential), RM (Medium Density Residential)

WEST: City of Westlake Village, OS-R/DR (Restricted Opens Space/Deed

Restricted), CS (Commercial-Shopping Center), RS (Single Family

Residential)

EAST: RL (Low Density Residential), RS (Single Family Residential), Los

**Angeles County** 

8. Description of the Project:

The project proposes adoption of the 2005 Eminent Domain Amendment ("Amendment") to the City of Agoura Hills Redevelopment Plan. The Amendment will reinstate the Redevelopment Agency's power of eminent domain for a period of twelve (12) years from the effective date of the ordinance approving the Amendment. If the proposed Amendment is adopted, all property in the Redevelopment Plan Project Area will be subject to possible acquisition by the Redevelopment Agency, through purchase or condemnation upon payment of fair market value.

The proposed Amendment does not add any territory to the Redevelopment Plan Project Area, nor does it change the land use designations for properties in that Project Area.

9. Other public agencies whose approval is required (e.g. permits, financing approval, or participation agreement).

None

## **ENVIRONMENTAL FACTORS POTENTIALLY AFFECTED:**

The environmental factors checked below would be potentially affected by this project, involving at least one impact that is a "Potentially Significant Impact" as indicated by the checklist on the following pages.

Land use and Planning	Biological Resources	Aesthetics
Population and Housing	Energy and Mineral Resources	Cultural Resources
Geological Problems	Hazards	Recreation
Water	Noise	Mandatory Findings of Significance
Air Quality	Public Services	
Transportation and Circulation	Utilities and Service Systems	

DETERMINATION: (To be completed by the Lead Agency).

On the basis of this initial evaluation:

I find that the proposed project COULD NOT have a significant effect on the environment, and a NEGATIVE DECLARATION will be prepared.	Х
I find that although the proposed project could have a significant effect on the environment, there will not be a significant effect in this case because the mitigation measures described on an attached sheet have been added to the project. A NEGATIVE DECLARATION will be prepared.	
I find that the proposed project MAY have a significant effect(s) on the environment, but at least one effect (1) has been adequately analyzed in an earlier document pursuant to applicable legal standards, and (2) has been addressed by mitigation measures based on the earlier analysis as described on attached sheets, if the effect is a "Potentially Significant Impact" or "Potentially Significant Unless Mitigated." An ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT is required, but it must analyze only the effects that remain to be addressed.	
I find that although the proposed project could have a significant effect on the environment, there WILL NOT be a significant effect in this case because all potentially significant effects (1) have been analyzed in an earlier EIR pursuant to applicable standards and (2) have been avoided or mitigated pursuant to that earlier EIR, including revisions or mitigation measures that are imposed upon the proposed project.	

\_\_\_\_\_

Signature
Mike Kamino
Director of Planning and
Community Development,
City of Agoura Hills

A0132-0001\802009v3.doc

Date

## **EVALUATION OF ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACTS:**

1) A brief explanation is required for all answers except "No Impact" answers that are adequately supported by the information sources a lead agency cites in the parentheses following each question. A "No Impact" answer is adequately supported if the referenced information sources show that the impact simply does not

- apply to projects like the one involved (e. g. the project falls outside a fault rupture zone). A "No Impact" answer should be explained where it is based on project-specific factors as well as general standards (e. g. the project will not expose sensitive receptors to pollutants, based on a project-specific screening analysis).
- 2) All answers must take account of the whole action involved, including off-site as well as on-site, cumulative as well as project-level, indirect as well as direct, and construction as well as operational impacts.
- "Potentially Significant Impact' is appropriate if there is substantial evidence that an effect is significant. If there are one or more "Potentially Significant Impact" entries when the determination is made, an EIR is required.
- "Potentially Significant Unless Mitigation Incorporated" applies where the incorporation of mitigation measures has reduced an effect from "Potentially Significant Impact" to a "Less than Significant Impact." The lead agency must describe the mitigation measures, and briefly explain how they reduce the effect to a less than significant level (mitigation measures from Section 17, "Earlier Analysis," may be cross-referenced).
- 5) Earlier analysis may be used where, pursuant to the tiering, program EIR, or other CEQA process, an effect has been adequately analyzed in an earlier EIR or negative declaration. Section 15063 (c) (3) (D). Earlier analyses are discussed in Section 17 at the end of the checklist.
- 6) Lead agencies are encouraged to incorporate into the checklist references to information sources for potential impacts (e.g. general plans, zoning ordinances). Reference to a previously prepared or outside document should, where appropriate, include a reference to the page or pages where the statement is substantiated. A source list should be attached, and other sources used or individuals contacted should be cited in the discussion.
- 7) This is only a suggested form, and lead agencies are free to use different ones.

Sources	Potentially Significant Issues	Potentially Significant Unless Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact		
ı		T	1			
				Х		
				Х		
				Х		
				Х		
			nt Plan for the	City of		
2. AGRICULTURE RESOURCES. In determining whether impacts to agricultural resources are significant environmental effects, lead agencies may refer to the California Agricultural Land Evaluation and Site Assessment Model (1997) prepared by the California Dept. of Conservation as an optional model to use in assessing Impacts on agriculture and farmland. Would the project:						
1				Х		
1				Х		
1				Х		
The project will have no impact because it would only be a tool for implementing the Redevelopment Plan for the City of Agoura Hills, and it does not propose any development or alteration in current zoning designations. Further, there are no agricultural resources in the Project Area.						
				Х		
				Х		
	for impleration in the mpacts to lifornia Apt. of Conshe project  1  1  1  for implementation in currents to the project of th	for implementing the Fition in the current lands  mpacts to agricultural Lifornia Agricultural Lot. of Conservation as he project:  1  1  for implementing the Fition in current zoning of conservation as he project:	Significant Unless Mitigation Incorporated  for implementing the Redevelopmention in the current landscape.  mpacts to agricultural resources and iffornia Agricultural Land Evaluation at of Conservation as an optional reproject:  1  1  for implementing the Redevelopmention in current zoning designations.	Significant Unless Mitigation Incorporated  for implementing the Redevelopment Plan for the tion in the current landscape.  Impacts to agricultural resources are significant lifornia Agricultural Land Evaluation and Site at. of Conservation as an optional model to use the project:  1  1  for implementing the Redevelopment Plan for the tion in the current landscape.		

Issues and Supporting Information Sources	Sources	Potentially Significant Issues	Potentially Significant Unless Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
c) Result in a cumulatively considerable net increase of any criteria pollutant for which the project region is non-attainment under an applicable federal or state ambient air quality standard (including releasing emissions which exceed quantitative thresholds for ozone precursors)?					Х
d) Expose sensitive receptors to substantial pollutant concentrations?					Х
e) Create objectionable odors affecting a substantial number of people?					Х
The project will have no impact because it would only be a too Agoura Hills, and it does not propose any specific development		menting the F	Redevelopmer	nt Plan for the	City of
4. BIOLOGICAL RESOURCES. Would the project:					
a) Have a substantial adverse effect, either directly or through habitat modifications, on any species identified as a candidate, sensitive, or special status species in local or regional plans, policies, or regulations, or by the California Department of Fish and Game or U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service?					X
b) Have substantial adverse effect on any riparian habitat or other sensitive natural community identified in local or regional plans, policies, regulations or by the California Department of Fish and Game or US Fish and Wildlife Service?					х
c) Have a substantial adverse effect on federally protected wetlands as defined by Section 404 of the Clean Water Act (including, but not limited to, marsh, vernal pool, coastal, etc.) through direct removal, filling, hydrological interruption, or other means?					Х
d) Interfere substantially with the movement of any native resident or migratory fish or wildlife species or with established native resident or migratory wildlife corridors, or impede the use of native wildlife nursery sites?					X
e) Conflict with any local policies or ordinances protecting biological resources, such as a tree preservation policy or ordinance?					Х
f) Conflict with the provisions of an adopted Habitat Conservation Plan, Natural Community Conservation Plan, or other approved local, regional, or state habitat conservation plan?					X

Issues and Supporting Information Sources	Sources	Potentially Significant Issues	Potentially Significant Unless Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact	
-------------------------------------------	---------	--------------------------------------	--------------------------------------------------------------------	------------------------------------	--------------	--

The project will have no impact because it would only be a tool for implementing the Redevelopment Plan for the City of Agoura Hills, and it does not propose any specific development project. Any future project (such as an eminent domain action) that is based upon the language of the Redevelopment Plan will require its own CEQA evaluation and determination of possible environmental effects.

ро	ssible environmental effects.				
5.	CULTURAL RESOURCES. Would the project:				
a)	Cause a substantial adverse change in the significance of a historical resource as defined in §15064.5 of the State CEQA Guidelines?				Х
b)	Cause a substantial adverse change in the significance of an archaeological resource pursuant to §15064.5 of the State CEQA Guidelines?				Х
c)	Directly or indirectly destroy a unique paleontological resource or site or unique geologic feature?				Х
d)	Disturb any human remains, including those interred outside of formal cemeteries?				Х
	e project will have no impact because it would only be a tool oura Hills, and it does not propose any specific development	menting the	Redevelopmen	t Plan for the	e City of
6.	GEOLOGY AND SOILS. Would the project:				
a)	Expose people or structures to potential substantial adverse effects, including the risk of loss, injury, or death involving:				Х
	i) Rupture of a known earthquake fault, as delineated on the most recent Alquist-Priolo State Geologist for the area or based on other substantial evidence of a known fault? Refer to Division of Mines and Geology Special Publication 42.				Х
	ii) Strong seismic ground shaking?				Х
	iii) Seismic-related ground failure, including liquefaction?				Х
	iv) Landslides?				Х
b)	Result in substantial soil erosion or the loss of topsoil?				Х
c)	Be located on a geologic unit or soil that is unstable, or that would become unstable as a result of the project, and potentially result in on- or off-site landslide, lateral spreading subsidence liquefaction or collapse?				Х

d) Be located on expansive soil, as defined in Table 18-1B of the Uniform Building Code (1994), creating substantial risks to life or property?  e) Have soils incapable of adequately supporting the use of septic tanks or alternative waste water disposal systems where sewers are not available for the disposal of waste water?  The project will have no impact because it would only be a tool for implementing the Redevelopment Plan for the City of Agoura Hills, and it does not propose any specific development project. Further, there are no identified active or potentially active major faults in the City of Agoura Hills; there are six minor faults in the City, but they are considered inactive. Consequently, the fault rupture risk is considered to be negligible.  7. HAZARDS AND HAZARDOUS MATERIALS. Would the project:  a) Create a significant hazard to the public or the environment through the routine transport, use, or disposal of hazardous materials?  b) Create a significant hazard to the public or the environment through reasonably foreseeable upset and accident conditions involving the release of hazardous materials into the environment?  c) Emit hazardous emissions or handle hazardous or acutely hazardous materials, substances, or waste within one quarter mile of an existing or proposed school?
the Uniform Building Code (1994), creating substantial risks to life or property?  e) Have soils incapable of adequately supporting the use of septic tanks or alternative waste water disposal systems where sewers are not available for the disposal of waste water?  The project will have no impact because it would only be a tool for implementing the Redevelopment Plan for the City of Agoura Hills, and it does not propose any specific development project. Further, there are no identified active or potentially active major faults in the City of Agoura Hills; there are six minor faults in the City, but they are considered inactive. Consequently, the fault rupture risk is considered to be negligible.  7. HAZARDS AND HAZARDOUS MATERIALS. Would the project:  a) Create a significant hazard to the public or the environment through the routine transport, use, or disposal of hazardous materials?  b) Create a significant hazard to the public or the environment through reasonably foreseeable upset and accident conditions involving the release of hazardous materials into the environment?  c) Emit hazardous emissions or handle hazardous or acutely hazardous materials, substances, or waste within one  X
septic tanks or alternative waste water disposal systems where sewers are not available for the disposal of waste water?  The project will have no impact because it would only be a tool for implementing the Redevelopment Plan for the City of Agoura Hills, and it does not propose any specific development project. Further, there are no identified active or potentially active major faults in the City of Agoura Hills; there are six minor faults in the City, but they are considered inactive. Consequently, the fault rupture risk is considered to be negligible.  7. HAZARDS AND HAZARDOUS MATERIALS. Would the project:  a) Create a significant hazard to the public or the environment through the routine transport, use, or disposal of hazardous materials?  b) Create a significant hazard to the public or the environment through reasonably foreseeable upset and accident conditions involving the release of hazardous materials into the environment?  c) Emit hazardous emissions or handle hazardous or acutely hazardous materials, substances, or waste within one
Agoura Hills, and it does not propose any specific development project. Further, there are no identified active or potentially active major faults in the City of Agoura Hills; there are six minor faults in the City, but they are considered inactive. Consequently, the fault rupture risk is considered to be negligible.  7. HAZARDS AND HAZARDOUS MATERIALS. Would the project:  a) Create a significant hazard to the public or the environment through the routine transport, use, or disposal of hazardous materials?  b) Create a significant hazard to the public or the environment through reasonably foreseeable upset and accident conditions involving the release of hazardous materials into the environment?  c) Emit hazardous emissions or handle hazardous or acutely hazardous materials, substances, or waste within one
a) Create a significant hazard to the public or the environment through the routine transport, use, or disposal of hazardous materials?  b) Create a significant hazard to the public or the environment through reasonably foreseeable upset and accident conditions involving the release of hazardous materials into the environment?  c) Emit hazardous emissions or handle hazardous or acutely hazardous materials, substances, or waste within one
through the routine transport, use, or disposal of hazardous materials?  b) Create a significant hazard to the public or the environment through reasonably foreseeable upset and accident conditions involving the release of hazardous materials into the environment?  c) Emit hazardous emissions or handle hazardous or acutely hazardous materials, substances, or waste within one
through reasonably foreseeable upset and accident conditions involving the release of hazardous materials into the environment?  c) Emit hazardous emissions or handle hazardous or acutely hazardous materials, substances, or waste within one
hazardous materials, substances, or waste within one
d) Be located on a site which is included on a list of hazardous materials sites compiled pursuant to Government Code Section 65962.5 and, as a result, would it create a significant hazard to the public or the environment?
e) For a project located within an airport land use plan or, where such a plan has not been adopted, within two miles of a public airport or public use airport, would the project result in a safety hazard for people residing or working in the project area?
f) For a project within the vicinity of a private airstrip, would the project result in a safety hazard for people residing or working in the project area?
g) Impair implementation of or physically interfere with an adopted emergency response plan or emergency evacuation plan?

Issues and Supporting Information Sources	Sources	Potentially Significant Issues	Potentially Significant Unless Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
h) Expose people or structures to a significant risk of loss, injury or death involving wildland fires, including where wildlands are adjacent to urbanized areas or where residences are intermixed with wildlands?					х
The project will have no impact because it would only be a to Agoura Hills, and it does not propose any specific development public airport or a public use airport, and there are no private a	project. Fu	irther, the Pro	oject Area is no		
8. HYDROLOGY AND WATER QUALITY. Would the project	et:				
a) Violate any water quality standards or waste discharge requirements?					Х
b) Substantially deplete groundwater supplies or interfere substantially with groundwater recharge such that there would be a net deficit in aquifer volume or a lowering of the local groundwater able level (e.g., the production rate of preexisting nearby wells would drop to a level which would not support existing land uses or planned uses for which permits have been granted?					х
c) Substantially alter the existing drainage pattern of the site or areas including through the alteration of the course of a stream or river, in a manner which would result in substantial erosion or siltation on- or off-site?					X
d) Substantially alter the existing drainage pattern of the site or area, including through the alteration of the course of a stream or river, or substantially increase the rate or amount of surface runoff in a manner which would result in flooding on- or off-site?					х
e) Create or contribute runoff water which would exceed the capacity of existing or planned stormwater drainage systems or provide substantial additional sources of polluted runoff?					х
f) Otherwise substantially degrade water quality?					Х
g) Place housing within a 100-year flood hazard area as mapped on a federal Flood Hazard Boundary or Flood Insurance Rate map or other flood hazard delineation map?				X	
h) Place within a 100-year flood hazard area structures which would impede or redirect flood flows?				Х	
i) Expose people or structures to a significant risk of loss, injury or death involving flooding, including flooding as a result of the failure of a levee or dam?					Х
j) Inundation by seiche, tsumani, or mudflow?					Х

	<b>Saurana</b>	Detenti-II	Dotonti-III-	Lana Than	No.
Issues and Supporting Information Sources	Sources	Potentially Significant Issues	Potentially Significant Unless Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
Small portions of the Project Area are within the 100-year flood by proposed or undertaken in an area subject to the 100-year flood. This project will have no impact because it would only be a tool of Agoura Hills, and it does not propose any specific development.	zone, apı for implen	oropriate rev	iew and mitiga	tion will be re	equired.
9. LAND USE AND PLANNING. Would the project:					
a) Physically divide an established community?	1				Х
b) Conflict with any applicable land use plan, policy, or regulation of an agency with jurisdiction over the project (including, but not limited to the general plan, specific plan, local coastal program, or zoning ordinance) adopted for the purpose of avoiding or mitigating an environmental effect?	1				х
c) Conflict with any applicable habitat conservation plan or natural community conservation plan?	1				Х
The project will have no impact because it would only be a tool Agoura Hills, and it does not propose any specific development project Area as defined within the Redevelopment Plan, nor will	oroject. T	he project wi	Il not add any t	erritory to the	existing
10. MINERAL RESOURCES. Would the project:		1		<u> </u>	
a) Result in the loss of availability of a known mineral resource that would be of value to the region and the residents of the state?					Х
b) Result in the loss of availability of a locally-important mineral resource recovery site delineated on a local general plan, specific plan or other land use plan?					Х
The project will have no impact because it would only be a tool Agoura Hills, and it does not propose any specific development	•	menting the	Redevelopme	nt Plan for th	ne City of
11. NOISE. Would the project result in:					
Exposure of persons to or generation of noise levels in excess of standards established in the local general plan or noise ordinance, or applicable standards of other agencies?					Х
b) Exposure of persons to or generation of excessive groundborne vibration or groundborne noise levels?					Х
c) A substantial permanent increase in ambient noise levels in the project vicinity above levels existing without the project?					х
project:					

Χ

without the project?

d) A substantial temporary or periodic increase in ambient noise levels in the project vicinity above levels existing

Issues and Supporting Information Sources	Sources	Potentially Significant Issues	Potentially Significant Unless Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact	
e) For a project located within an airport land use plan or, where such a plan has not been adopted, within two miles of a public airport or public use airport, would the project expose people residing or working in the project area to excessive noise levels?					Х	
f) For a project within the vicinity of a private airstrip, would the project expose people residing or working in the project area to excessive noise levels?					Х	
The project will have no impact because it would only be a tool for implementing the Redevelopment Plan for the City of Agoura Hills, and it does not propose any specific development project. Any future project (such as an eminent domain action) that is based upon the language of the Redevelopment Plan will require its own CEQA evaluation and determination of possible environmental effects.						
12. POPULATION AND HOUSING. Would the project:						
a) Induce substantial population growth in an area, either directly (for example, by proposing new homes and businesses) or indirectly (for example, through extension of roads or other infrastructure)?	1				х	
b) Displace substantial numbers of existing housing, necessitating the construction of the replacement housing elsewhere?	1				Х	
c) Displace substantial numbers of people, necessitating the construction of replacement housing elsewhere?	1				Х	
The project will have no impact because it would only be a tool for implementing the Redevelopment Plan for the City of Agoura Hills, and it does not propose any specific development project. Any future project that is based upon the language of the Redevelopment Plan will require its own CEQA evaluation and determination of possible environmental effects. Any future project under the Redevelopment Plan would further the goals of the Redevelopment Plan, including among other things those issues related to affordable housing.						
13. PUBLIC SERVICES	_					
a) Would the project result in substantial adverse physical impacts associated with the provision of new or physically altered governmental facilities, need for new or physically altered governmental facilities, the construction of which could cause significant environmental impacts, in order to maintain acceptable service ratios, response times or other performance objectives for any of the public services:?					х	
i) Fire protection?					Х	
ii) Police protection?					Х	
iii) Schols?					Х	

Issues and Supporting Information Sources	Sources	Potentially Significant Issues	Potentially Significant Unless Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact			
iv) Parks?					X			
v) Other public facilities?					X			
	The project will have no impact because it would only be a tool for implementing the Redevelopment Plan for the City of Agoura Hills, and it does not propose any specific development project.							
14. RECREATION.								
a) Would the project increase the use of existing neighborhood and regional parks or other recreational facilities such that substantial physical deterioration of the facility would occur or be accelerated?					X			
b) Does the project include recreational facilities or require the construction or expansion of recreational facilities which might have an adverse physical effect on the environment?					Х			
The project will have no impact because it would only be a tool for implementing the Redevelopment Plan for the City of Agoura Hills, and it does not propose any specific development project.								
15. TRANSPORTATION/TRAFFIC. Would the project:								
a) Cause an increase in traffic which is substantial in relation to the existing traffic load and capacity of the street system (i.e., result in a substantial increase in either the number of vehicle trips, the volume to capacity ratio on roads, or congestion at intersections)?					Х			
b) Exceed either individually or cumulatively, a level of service standard established by the county congestion management agency for designated roads or highways?					Х			
c) Result in a change in air traffic patterns, including either an increase in traffic levels or a change in location that results in substantial safety risks?					Х			
d) Substantially increase hazards due to a design feature (e.g., sharp curves or dangerous intersections) or incompatible uses (e.g., farm equipment)?					Х			
e) Result in inadequate emergency access?					Х			
f) Result in inadequate parking capacity?					Х			
g) Conflict with adopted policies, plans, or programs supporting alternative transportation (e.g., bus turnouts,					Х			

Issues and Supporting Information Sources	Sources	Potentially Significant Issues	Potentially Significant Unless Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact		
bicycle racks)?							
The project will have no impact because it would only be a tool for implementing the Redevelopment Plan for the City of Agoura Hills, and it does not propose any specific development project. Any future project (such as an eminent domain action) that is based upon the language of the Redevelopment Plan will require its own CEQA evaluation and determination of possible environmental effects.							
16. UTILITIES AND SERVICE SYSTEMS. Would the project:							
a) Exceed wastewater treatment requirements of the applicable Regional Water Quality Control Board?					Х		
b) Require or result in the construction of new water or wastewater treatment facilities or expansion of existing facilities, the construction of which could cause significant environmental effects?					х		
c) Require or result in the construction of new storm water drainage facilities or expansion of existing facilities, the construction of which could cause significant environmental effects?					X		
d) Have sufficient water supplies available to serve the project from existing entitlements and resources, or are new or expanded entitlements needed?					Χ		
e) Result in a determination by the wastewater treatment provider which serves or may serve the project that it has adequate capacity to serve the project's projected demand in addition to the provider's existing commitments?					Х		
f) Be served by a landfill with sufficient permitted capacity to accommodate the project's solid waste disposal needs?					Х		
g) Comply with federal, state, and local statutes and regulations related to solid waste?					Χ		
The project will have no impact because it would only be a tool for implementing the Redevelopment Plan for the City of Agoura Hills, and it does not propose any specific development project.							
17. MANDATORY FINDINGS OF SIGNIFICANCE:							
a) Does the project have the potential to degrade the quality of the environment, substantially reduce the habitat of a fish or wildlife species, cause a fish or wildlife population to drop below self-sustaining levels, threaten to eliminate a plant or animal community, reduce the number or restrict the range of a rare or endangered plant or animal or eliminate important examples of the major periods of California history or prehistory?					Х		

Issues a	and Supporting Information Sources	Sources	Potentially Significant Issues	Potentially Significant Unless Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
This project entails a policy change to a Redevelopment Plan, and as such will not have any impact on fish or wildlife habitat, nor will it threaten any plant or animal community. The Project Area is primarily built out, and has little to no wildlife habitat that could be impacted, therefore there will be no significant impact in this regard.						
but cu mean consi past	the project have impacts that are individually limited, unulatively considerable? ("Cumulatively considerable" as that the incremental effects of a project are derable when viewed in connection with the effects of projects, the effects of other current projects, and the is of probable future projects)?					х
The project would only be a tool for implementing the Redevelopment Plan for the City of Agoura Hills, and it does not propose any specific development project. Any future project (such as an eminent domain action) that is based upon the language of the Redevelopment Plan will require its own CEQA evaluation and determination of possible environmental effects, including cumulative impacts.						
c) Does the project have environmental effects which will cause substantial adverse effects on human beings, either directly or indirectly?						х
The project would only be a tool for implementing the Redevelopment Plan for the City of Agoura Hills, and it does not propose any specific development project. Any future project (such as an eminent domain action) that is based upon the language of the Redevelopment Plan will require its own CEQA evaluation and determination of possible environmental effects.						
18. SOURCE REFERENCES						
1	City of Agoura Hills General Plan					
2						
3						
4						
5						
6						
7						
8						
9						