

---

**From:** Robert Sexton <rlbosexton@icloud.com>  
**Sent:** Thursday, July 16, 2020 3:38 PM  
**To:** Comments  
**Subject:** House at 28623 Acacia Glen Street

Enclosed are my concerns regarding the plans for the proposed building at 28623 Acacia Glen Street.

Realtors like to claim that Acacia Glen Street is the nicest street in Fountainwood and I would tend to agree—and the comps seem to confirm this. Hence, we are all interested in this project.

I would like to see story poling. The front of this house is closer to the street than any of the neighbors—some garages are that close but not the actual houses. It also looks like it will be taller than any of the neighbors houses. In short, it looks like they tried to compress as much house into the front of the lot as possible. Aesthetically, I don't think this is the best use for this property. Furthermore, this architectural plan is not consistent with the unique example of 1970s suburban architectural tract homes that we see in Fountainwood—that is, it does not conform to current design schemes of the neighborhood. The new house at 28617 uses it space in a much more appealing way—further off the street and not as high and not as boxy—and a design that is consistent with Fountainwood architecture. I don't think there is anything wrong with 2400 feet but the plans in the blueprints do not look like a good fit for the neighborhood.

I think it is in the best interest of the owner to come up with new blueprints. Mr. Woo, one of the neighbors, who is also rebuilding, shared his plans for his rebuild with ten families. The plans were very nice and everyone was on board. While I agree that he/she should be able to build the structure they want if it meets the city specifications and it does not create negative externalities on the neighbor's property value—but I'm afraid with this design it might just do that.

Sincerely  
Bob

---

**From:** Ying Ying Wu <yywu888@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Thursday, July 16, 2020 3:58 PM  
**To:** Comments  
**Subject:** Re: I would like to speak at the meeting tonight. My phone is 818-736-1288.

Caution! This message was sent from outside your organization.

[Allow sender](#) | [Block sender](#)

1) The two story structure within only 5 feet distance from our fence is obstructed to our View to the east side, which is totally different from the original environment as we have enjoyed in the last two decades.

2) The set back on 28623 Acacia Glen is only 5 feet set back on the second floor. The two large windows and sliding door on the first floor and one large window on the second floor facing to the west side neighbor at 28629 Acacia Glen is only 5 feet away, that's not providing sufficient distance between two houses can't block the noise from the house and protect our privacy. The original one story house did not have any window or entry sliding door facing our side, and there were no second floor window that's only 5 feet away from our house. The entire construction should be further away beyond 5 feet distance. The urgency ordinance should not apply to the NEW second floor.

On Thu, Jul 16, 2020 at 3:14 PM Ying Ying Wu <[yywu888@gmail.com](mailto:yywu888@gmail.com)> wrote:

Hi, Planning committee,

Please call me at 818-736-1288, I'd like to speak and express my concern at the meeting tonight for 28623 Acacia Glen. Thank you.

Ying Ying Wu

--

Ying Ying

--

Ying Ying

---

**From:** Robert Sexton <rlbosexton@icloud.com>  
**Sent:** Thursday, July 16, 2020 4:00 PM  
**To:** Comments

I would be remiss if I did not add that not only does the structure not conform to the design of Fountainwood—it is very unattractive (in my opinion). Is this the contractor's design or is there an actual architect on the project? In short, an egregious example of incompatible design to the surrounding built environment.