

# **CAG MEETING #1 MINUTES**

Date: February 23, 2021	
<b>To:</b> Denice Thomas, AICP (Community Development Director)	Organization: Agoura Hills
From: Rachel Raynor	Title: Associate Planner
<b>Project Name:</b> Agoura Village Specific Plan Update	Project Number: 1800-01-UR19
Topic: CAG Meeting I Minutes	

## **Citizen's Advisory Group Members:**

- I. Mayor Pro Tem Deborah Klein Lopez
- 2. Councilmember Chris Anstead
- 3. Planning Commission Vice Chair Jeremy Wolf
- 4. Member Ed Corridori
- 5. Member Marianne Escaron
- 6. Member Deanna Glassberg
- 7. Member Irma Haldane
- 8. Member Gordon Larimer
- 9. Member Cyrena Nouzille
- 10. Member April Powers absent
- II. Member Gregory Sprague
- 12. Member Benjamin Suber
- 13. Member Rik Zelman

## Five members of the public

#### Staff

- I. Denice Thomas, AICP (Community Development Director)
- 2. Nathan Hamburger (City Manager)
- 3. Ramiro Adeva (Assistant City Manager)

#### Consultants

- I. Erik Justesen, RRM Design Group
- 2. Lance Wierschem, RRM Design Group
- 3. Rachel Raynor, RRM Design Group

### Minutes:

- I. Welcome by Mayor Pro Tem Lopez
  - Welcoming comments and background information on the project and reasoning for AVSP update
  - Recognizing range and variety of CAG members and representation across the city; professional experience real estate agents/environmentalists/designers
  - General thank you
- 2. Introductions and Background (Community Development Director Thomas)
  - Overview of CAG Orientation Package
  - Community Development Director Thomas provided overview of purpose of meeting and CAG, roundtable discussion ultimately to provide a recommendation to Council/PC and virtual meeting etiquette
- 3. CAG Member Introductions and Issues
  - Member Rik Zelman on a similar committee in 1997/98; looking forward to direction and being able to help out
  - Member Ed Corridori involved in original vision of the Agoura Village; make sure City keeps the spirit of that concept/vision alive; thought the plan in 2008 was distant from the vision that was originally formed; ensure we capture that
  - Member Jeremy Wolf Vice Chair for Planning Commission; District Director for Senator Stern's office
  - Member Marianne Escaron lives in Liberty Canyon since 1988; wants to see that we do this right; excited to work together as a team in this endeavor
  - Member Ben Suber resident of Agoura Hills since May 10, 2019; polymath land use planning consultant and GIS specialist; involved in Census 2020 outreach; walking distance of Agoura Village amenities; excited to be included
  - Member Irma Haldane long time resident, but also interested in real estate; how we can accommodate needed housing; time does change and we just have to do it right
  - Member Cyrena Nouzille representing old Agoura; was on planning commission; outdoor/environmental issues; familiar to zoning/land use issues
  - Member Gordon Larimer 20+ years in community, kids grew up; currently living in Malibou Lake; have to drive through the Village to get to the grocery store
  - Member Deanna Glassberg 28-year resident; involved in schools; excited to see the Village come to fruition
  - Member Greg Sprague; 20+ year resident, excited to be on the team, anxious and happy to see that the AVSP will be built (eventually) here; mini urban village
- 4. Confirmation of Rules of Engagement and Planning Principles
  - Welcome and introductions by RRM / Erik
  - Overview of planning / project principles laid out by Council; like that it's an urban village, pedestrian amenities the other reason for change is the economy; renewed market study changing commercial / office environment –

wildfire – emergency access; technical analysis, how height is measured; density bonus

- i. Whether to keep the AVSP Density Bonus allowance in the plan, or remove it and solely revert to State Density Bonus Law?
- How does COVID play a part in the changing economy/public space and need for increased flexibility?
- 5. Confirm schedule of CAG Meetings (3rd Tuesday)
  - CAG Meetings will be held on the third Tuesday of every other month
    - i. March 16, 6pm Housing Element and HCD
    - ii. April 20, 6pm
    - iii. June 15, 6pm
    - iv. August 17, 6pm
    - v. October 19, 6pm
  - Special meeting in March for housing with HCD rep and Housing Element consultant
  - Community Development Director Thomas explained RHNA numbers and housing cycle process; explained City appeal was not approved
- 6. CAG Questions
  - Member Nouzille: Question of when and what categories/topics will be reviewed at the CAG meetings tackle issue areas identified in project planning principles
  - Erik Justesen (RRM) identified land use and its orientation and circulation first; then details – design standards, signage, start to flush out instructions and pull together a legislative, tracked change document (update, not re-write); a good way to track what is changing and what is remaining;
  - Climate action plan how this effort relates to City's Climate Action and Adaptation Plan (CAAP)
    - i. Assistant City Manager Adeva ensure updated AVSP is in line with City's standards; ensure elements integrated into plan; intersection of Kanan Rd. and Agoura Road in terms of evacuation
    - ii. Mayor Lopez shared that the discussion will be continued at Council meeting on Wednesday, February 24<sup>th</sup>.
  - Consensus move forward going through project principles; Community Development Director Thomas read through all principles and included discussion on specific principles below:
    - i. Councilmember Chris Anstead general comment: planning principles overlap; original vision was more emphasis on the commercial; change vision to include other elements
    - ii. Planning Principle # 3
      - 1. Member Corridori saw the village as an experience driven, commercial area, not residential. Even at the time we did the original vision, residential demand was greater. Wanted a place where people on both sides of the freeway could gather

- 2. Member Sprague where do people gather? Besides the community center above City Hall, lack of demand for this
- 3. Member Nouzille provide services, the less trips generated or vehicle miles traveled; balance /cross-roads of it also becoming a draw for others outside the city coming in
- iii. Planning Principle # 4
  - 1. Member Corridori originally, we had said no residential north of Agoura Rd, this may have been shortsighted; AVSP boundary stops with Whizins Mall; Cornerstone
  - 2. Erik Justesen (RRM) idea here to reconsider / shift things around
  - 3. Vice Chair Wolf where are the boundary limits of the AVSP, is there potential to expand the AVSP boundary?
  - 4. Member Zelman City Council originally wanted no residential south of the freeway between Agoura Rd.
  - 5. Mayor Pro Tem Lopez no conversation or idea is off the table
  - 6. Member Haldane what types of uses should we be encouraging that would generate less traffic?
  - 7. Member Nouzille commercial development in Agoura is driven by amount of traffic generating uses; not nightlife generating uses
  - 8. Member Corridori it is important to provide services that are active 24 hours for an active neighborhood with appropriate uses.
  - 9. Member Haldane agrees that a mixed-use village provides nice activity throughout the day; case study in Thousand Oaks (former Lupe's location) is really nice.
  - 10. Mayor Pro Tem Lopez need to consider balance/ratio of the residential to non-residential uses
  - II. Member Zelman how does Measure H affect this?
  - 12. Member Corridori Measure H limits commercial to 60,000 sf. for a single retail use
  - 13. City Manager Hamburger single use, intended to prevent Home Depot, Target, etc.
  - 14. Erik Justesen (RRM) intent to promote commercial environment; shopping; retail; gathering area
  - 15. Member Wolf we are not Calabasas, other surrounding cities; special vision for Agoura Hills
- iv. Planning Principle # 5
  - I. No comments
- v. Planning Principle # 6
  - 1. Vice Chair Wolf beneficial to engage Malibu, especially after Woolsey Fire since that is one of their evacuation routes; especially excited to enhance our emergency preparedness
  - 2. Member Suber it is important to also engage with LA County officials unincorporated areas of the Canyon Dune Rd.

- 3. City Manager Hamburger the City is currently working with surrounding jurisdictions on emergency plan
- 4. Mayor Pro Tem Member Larimer lost structures in Woolsey fire
- 5. Member Larimer most of our neighbors are still rebuilding their homes after they were destroyed in the fires
- 6. Member Corridori any participation from people proposing projects in the AVSP with the evacuation plan? Are they required to participate in the planning for fire evacuation?
- 7. City Manager Hamburger at this point, it is FEMA, Sheriff Departments, and Public Safety professionals looking at lessons learned; but will be shared publicly with residents and property owners
- 8. Member Corridori believes that Fire Dept. has not said anything negative about proposed developments with regards to fire evacuation
- 9. City Manager Hamburger going through LA County, it is quite restrictive, add more restrictions to the buildings, than being less so; different division than City Planning Division; more intensive in their review in the most recent years
- vi. Planning Principle # 7
  - 1. Member Corridori height has always been an issue; remain a low-profile; that issue should be addressed in grading requirements
  - 2. Assistant City Manager Adeva intent was to match existing grades so you are not over-grading the land; difficult on the development side; can try to make grades gradual, but it is often a case-by-case basis
  - 3. Member Sprague shouldn't this be a building issue, why is this something we are even considering? Should not even be addressing Principles 6/7
  - 4. Councilmember Anstead the point is more so taking into account the analysis provided for the evacuation plan and whether/how it impacts the AVSP
  - 5. Community Development Director Thomas AVSP is zoning and building height is dictated by zoning and reviewed by City Planning Division; AVSP is our zoning document which dictates the development potential
  - 6. Councilmember Anstead does height change public viewshed and is it compatible with the height of the surrounding buildings?
  - 7. Mayor Pro Tem this document (Planning Principles doc) was created by the Council and chosen to share with the CAG
  - 8. Member Haldane we should not be providing recommendation on grading
- vii. Planning Principle # 8
  - I. See discussion for planning principle #7

- viii. Planning Principle # 9
  - 1. Assistant City Manager Adeva Density Bonus allowed through AVSP and Density Bonus allowed through State (related to affordable housing development) developers need to choose one; decide if both options are to remain and what threshold or whether the current Density Bonus in AVSP is still desired
  - 2. Member Corridori what is a public amenity and who does it serve?
  - 3. City Manager Hamburger if AVSP Density Bonus is to remain, further define what public amenities are required and how that is quantified
  - ix. Planning Principle # 10
    - I. Member Suber Can density be distributed by parcel area instead of by zone?
    - 2. Community Development Director Thomas come up with objective standard; perhaps not all parcels would be suitable for residential
  - x. Planning Principle # 11
    - 1. Member Sprague Can you provide update on the plans for the traffic circle / roundabout at Kanan and Agoura Rd, if you could eliminate the people crossing, the path for fire escape you could speed up traffic lights; pedestrian bridges?
    - 2. Assistant City Manager Adeva Roundabout off the table; City can provide discussion and materials leading up to meeting discussion about transportation
    - 3. Member Suber Can you provide update on feasibility of underpass of Medea Creek under the 101?
    - 4. Vice Chair Wolfe and Members Haldane / Suber good idea; good for businesses in the immediate area; good opportunity to look at for better option for crossing 101
  - xi. Planning Principle # 12
    - I. No comment/discussion
- xii. Planning Principle # 13
  - I. No comment/discussion
- xiii. Planning Principle # 14
  - I. No comment/discussion
- xiv. Planning Principle # 15
  - 1. Vice Chair Wolfe this project is going to be within the 2.5 / 3 miles of the world's largest wildlife crossing at Liberty Canyon
  - 2. Member Sprague are there some projects in the pipeline that will adhere to old AVSP or with the new updated one?
  - 3. City Manager Hamburger City Council would like to see it apply as much as possible/feasible
- 7. Closing remarks and meeting adjourned