

MINUTES
REGULAR MEETING
AGOURA HILLS CITY COUNCIL
Civic Center – Council Chambers
30001 Ladyface Court, Agoura Hills, California 91301
Wednesday, May 8, 2024
6:00 p.m.

The City Council meeting was called to order at 6:00 p.m. by Mayor Buckley Weber.

Mayor Buckley Weber announced the Land Acknowledgement.

The Pledge of Allegiance was led by Girl Scout Troop 176.

Present were: Mayor Illece Buckley Weber, Mayor Pro Tem Penny Sylvester, Councilmember Chris Anstead, Councilmember Deborah Klein Lopez, and Councilmember Jeremy Wolf.

Also Present were: City Manager Nathan Hamburger, City Attorney Candice K. Lee, City Prosecutor Eric Markus, Deputy City Manager Louis Celaya, Management Analyst Amber Victoria, Executive Assistant Michele Hubbs, Director of Community Development Denice Thomas, Principal Planner Robby Nesovic, Senior Code Compliance Officer Trinece Bandy, RRM Design Group Consultant Eric Justesen, Director of Public Works/City Engineer Jessica Forte, Senior Civil Engineer Charmaine Yambao, Kimley-Horn Consultant Rita Garcia, Traffic Engineering Consultant Sri Chakravarthy, Director of Finance Diego Ibañez, Director of Community Services Amy Brink, Management Analyst Stephanie Pozos, Recreation Manager Xochitl Ruwhiu, and City Clerk Kimberly M. Rodrigues.

REPORT OF CLOSED SESSION

No Closed Session was held.

APPROVAL OF AGENDA

ACTION: Councilmember Wolf moved to approve the Agenda, as presented. Councilmember Anstead seconded. The motion carried 5-0, by the following roll call vote:

AYES: Mayor Buckley Weber, Mayor Pro Tem Sylvester, and Councilmembers Anstead, Klein Lopez, and Wolf.

NOES: None.

ABSTAIN: None.

ABSENT: None.

PRESENTATIONS

Mayor Buckley Weber presented a Proclamation, honoring Randee Paller, as the Agoura Hills Older American for 2024.

INTERGOVERNMENTAL, SPECIAL PURPOSE COMMITTEE, & DEPARTMENTAL REPORTS

Mayor Buckley Weber announced, as part of May National Heritage Month, the celebration of both Asian American Pacific Islander (AAPI) Heritage Month and Jewish American Heritage Month and spoke about their contributions and influence to the history, culture, and achievements of the United States.

Director of Community Services Brink congratulated Randee Paller and announced that, as the Agoura Hills Older American of the year, she would be representing the City at a County event next week.

Councilmember Wolf reported attending a meeting of the Las Virgenes-Malibu Council of Governments with Los Angeles County Supervisor Horvath to discuss homelessness, and the North Santa Monica Bay Watershed event where Director of Public Works/City Engineer Forte provided a water quality presentation and Public Works Project Manager Fisher received the Second Annual North Santa Monica Bay Watershed Award.

PUBLIC COMMENTS

There were no public comments.

CONSENT CALENDAR

There were no public comments.

ACTION: Councilmember Klein Lopez moved to approve Consent Calendar Item Nos. 1-15, as presented. Mayor Pro Tem Sylvester seconded. The motion carried 5-0, by the following roll call vote:

AYES: Mayor Buckley Weber, Mayor Pro Tem Sylvester, and Councilmembers Anstead, Klein Lopez, and Wolf.

NOES: None.

ABSTAIN: None.

ABSENT: None.

1. Approve Minutes of the Regular City Council Meeting of April 10, 2024
2. Approve Demand Warrant Register No. 911
3. Approve Treasurer's Report for February 2024
4. Authorization to Seek Bids for Fiscal Year 2024-25 Pavement Rehabilitation Project; NIB 24-05

5. Approve Rejection of Bid for the Chumash Park Improvements Project; NIB 24-01 and Request Authorization to Seek Bids for a Revised Bid Package
6. Approve Memorandum of Understanding with the Los Angeles County Flood Control District for the Proposition 1 Round 1 Integrated Regional Water Management Implementation Grant and Authorize Staff to Seek Bids for the Low Flow Diversion Project; NIB 24-06
7. Approve First Amendment to Agreement for Consultant Services, with Converge Technology Solutions, for Half Cabinet Colocation and Fiber Connectivity Services and Company Name Change to Converge Technology Solutions
8. Approve Fifth Amendment to Agreement for Consultant Services, with Michael Baker International, for Additional Engineering Design Services for the Kanan Corridor Project Study Report / Project Development Support (PSR/PDS Project)
9. Approve: 1) Agreement for Payment of Costs, with Al Dickens for Agoura Medical Partners, LLC, in Connection with the Preparation of Environmental Documentation; and, 2) Agreement for Consultant Services, with Envicom Corporation, for the Preparation of Environmental Documentation Related to the Chesebro Senior Care Facility Project
10. Approve Agreement for Consultant Services, with Avenu Insights & Analytics, for Administration of the Short-Term Rental Program
11. Approve Agreement for Contractor Services, with Durham School Services, L.P., for the 2024 Summer Beach Bus Service
12. Approve Design Professional Services Agreement, with m6 Consulting, Inc., for Grading Inspection Services
13. Approve Appointment to Fill Planning Commission Vacancy
14. Adopt **Resolution No. 24-2061**; A RESOLUTION OF THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF AGOURA HILLS, CALIFORNIA, APPROVING THE FISCAL YEAR 2024-25 SB 1: ROAD REPAIR AND ACCOUNTABILITY ACT PROJECT LIST
15. Adopt **Resolution No. 24-2062**; A RESOLUTION OF THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF AGOURA HILLS, CALIFORNIA, RECOGNIZING JUNE 2024 AS LESBIAN, GAY, BISEXUAL, TRANSGENDER, QUESTIONING, PLUS (LGBTQ+) PRIDE MONTH IN THE CITY OF AGOURA HILLS AND DIRECTING STAFF TO FLY THE RAINBOW PRIDE FLAG AT THE AGOURA HILLS CIVIC CENTER THROUGHOUT THE MONTH

CONTINUED OPEN PUBLIC HEARING (REVOCATION)

16. Conduct a Continued Open Public Hearing to Consider the Revocation of Business License Number 00692 (New Era Performance and Tuning, LLC), Pursuant to § 6819 of the Agoura Hills Municipal Code and Making a Determination

of Exemption Under the California Environmental Quality Act (Continued from April 10, 2024)

Mayor Buckley Weber announced: *"I will now open the continued Public Hearing (6:22 p.m.) to consider the revocation of Business License No. 006982 for New Era Performance and Tuning, LLC for violations of the Agoura Hills Municipal Code and other applicable laws and regulations. Madam City Clerk, has the Business Owner been duly noticed of the hearing?"*

City Clerk Rodrigues responded: *"Yes, City staff notified the Business Owner of tonight's Public Hearing by postcard on March 27, 2024, and by United States Postal Service, Certified Mail, on March 29, 2024, and it was signed for on April 1, 2024, and continued at the last meeting."*

Mayor Buckley Weber announced: *"Thank you. The City staff and the City Prosecutor have the burden of proof by the preponderance of the evidence. This means the evidence demonstrates that the proposition is more likely true than not true. Please note that the formal rules of evidence and procedure do not apply to this hearing. However, the Mayor, in consultation with the City Attorney, will make rulings on objections and evidence. Irrelevant and/or unduly repetitious evidence shall be excluded. The City Council reserves the right to allow evidence and consider the weight of the evidence during deliberations. There are no official time limits on staff's or the Business Owner's presentation, but we ask that the testimony not be redundant. Could all legal counsel for City staff, the Business Owner, and the City Council state their appearances for the record?"*

City Prosecutor Eric Markus stated he would be *"representing (City of Agoura Hills) staff this evening"* and City Attorney Candice Lee stated she *"serves as City Attorney and legal counsel for the City Council in their capacity as decision maker this evening."* Mr. Francis Stewart stated he was *"not acting as an attorney"* and was *"a customer of Mr. (Alexander) Esparza and he asked me to assist him in bringing his own evidence to the Council and board in rebuttal to what we received the night of the last Council meeting."*

Mayor Buckley Weber announced: *"Could the parties' legal counsel advise the City Council if they have reached any stipulations as to facts and/or evidence? If so, we ask that the stipulations be made part of the record and that copies of any stipulated exhibits be provided to the Mayor and City Attorney."* Neither party reported any stipulations.

City Attorney Lee announced: *"Any Exhibits submitted by staff's Counsel will be identified by sequential letters (A, B, C, etc.) and any Exhibits submitted by Business Owner will be identified by sequential numbers (1, 2, 3, etc.). All identified and relevant exhibits will be deemed admitted into evidence absent a sustained objection."*

Mr. Stewart submitted two (identical) binders of evidence to City Clerk Rodrigues; marked as Exhibit 1.

City Attorney Lee announced: *"I made this note at the last hearing, but I wanted to note again that the Council should disregard the resolution that was included in the previous April 10 agenda packet. The resolution was, inadvertently, included as a matter of habit. The Council should just listen to and consider the evidence presented during the public*

hearing and make its decision and base its findings solely on the evidence presented in the record this evening. I'd also like to remind the Council to ensure that they ask all their questions prior to deliberation to ensure that any information and evidence you rely upon is part of the official record. If you have any questions during deliberation, we will have to reopen the public hearing, which may further prolong the process. Thank you."

Mayor Buckley Weber asked: "Does any Councilmember have any ex parte communications to disclose?"

- Councilmember Klein Lopez disclosed *"I don't think it's an ex parte communication, but in an abundance of caution, I just want to let everyone know that after the last meeting, as we were leaving, there were some people gathered outside. They were very frustrated that the item was going to be continued so I chatted with them and thanked them for their patience, we did not discuss anything, in particular, about the item, but just about their frustration and the delay and I explained why we were delaying it."*
- Mayor Buckley Weber disclosed *"I, too, met with a couple of people outside on the way out the door, and, again, it was pretty much they were expressing their frustration for us continuing the matter, they had come down here and we just asked them to be patient and to come back tonight and I believe that they have. And, then, at the last meeting I disclosed, and again, I don't think this is an ex parte communication, but in an abundance of caution, I drove by the site and looked around, from my car, before the last meeting."*

Mayor Buckley Weber deferred to City staff and the City Prosecutor for their presentation.

City Prosecutor Markus provided the staff presentation and presented a video of the dyno machine at New Era Performance being operated. Mr. Stewart objected. Mayor Buckley Weber responded: *"Your objection is noted, let's watch the video and we'll make our own decisions at that point."* Following the video, Mayor Buckley Weber inquired when the video was taken and who it was taken by. City Prosecutor Markus responded: *"the video was taken from New Era Performance's official YouTube channel. My understanding is that video was prepared slightly less than a year ago. That is not reflective of the incidences giving rise to these proceedings, however, I do believe it provides some context so that the City Council is aware of the issue that we are dealing with and talking about here tonight."* City Prosecutor Markus continued with his presentation and submitted the following exhibits to be admitted into evidence:

- Exhibit A, YouTube video clip
- Exhibit B, Letter from City Prosecutor dated April 9, 2021
- Exhibit C, Letter from the California Division of Occupational Safety and Health dated January 22, 2022
- Exhibit D, Veneklasen Associates Noise Measurement Report dated March 19, 2024
- Exhibit E, Resume of Stephen A. Martin, PH.D, with Veneklasen Associates

City Prosecutor Markus concluded his presentation.

Mayor Buckley Weber inquired if there were any witnesses and City Prosecutor Markus responded there was no testimony.

Mayor Buckley Weber invited Mr. Stewart to address the exhibits from the City Prosecutor and state any objections. Mr. Stewart responded that he objected to Exhibit D *“as he did not have an opportunity to cross examine this person, was just given the CD right now.”* Mayor Buckley Weber inquired *“did you make any efforts to contact the prosecution.”* Mr. Stewart responded *“we didn’t.”* Mayor Buckley Weber responded *“I’m going to admit Exhibit D”* and inquired if Exhibit D, C, and B were admissible and Mr. Stewart agreed. Mayor Buckley Weber requested *“give me the basis of why you are objecting to Exhibit A (YouTube clip).”* Mr. Stewart responded: *“the person who presented it had no foundation to present it, all they did was gather that from the internet. They don’t know the situation of whether there was enclosed area, anything, it just shows a vehicle on the dyno. If they are only going for that purpose of educating everyone on how a dyno works, then we’ll submit on that.”* Mayor Buckley Weber responded: *“I think that is what the prosecution said, is they were showing the video to educate the Council on exactly on how that dyno meter, what it does and what it sounds like...so, all of their Exhibits, A-E, the Prosecution’s Exhibits, are going to be admitted.”*

Mayor Buckley Weber invited Mr. Stewart to provide his presentation on behalf of the Business Owner, noting that the City Council would be sharing the two (identical) binders he had provided as exhibits.

Mr. Stewart began his presentation, reviewing the Exhibits marked 1-6.

City Manager Hamburger suggested the City Council take a break to allow for staff to make copies so that all Councilmembers could review the exhibits together.

The City Council recessed at 6:58 p.m. and reconvened at 7:17 p.m.

Mr. Stewart continued with his presentation on behalf of the Business Owner, including why the noise decibels from the report were incorrect as their exhibits reflected that the owner was either absent or the shop was closed on the dates recorded in the survey, requested the license not be revoked as their evidence reflected the shop was closed, concluding: *“and, therefore, there is no prima facie, we have rebutted this evidence showing that the shop was closed and that the noise that was recorded by an unmanned, uncontrolled, leveling device was not from New Era.”* Mr. Stewart submitted the following exhibits to be admitted into evidence:

- Exhibit 0, Aerial Photograph of New Era Performance, LLC
- Exhibit 1, Bureau of Automotive Repair Re: ARD Registration
- Exhibit 2, Los Angeles County Fire Department Inspection Report
- Exhibit 3, State of California Department of Industrial Relations Notice of No Violation After Inspection
- Exhibit 4, Veneklasen Associates, Exhibit A – Acoustical Noise Measurements Report and Business Owner’s Photographs
- Exhibit 5, Photographs of Shop (New Era Performance, LLC)
- Exhibit 6, Photographs of construction areas near shop (New Era)

Mayor Buckley Weber asked the City Prosecutor if there were any objections to the exhibits and he reviewed and responded as follows: *“thank you, yes, we do have objections to certain exhibits. With respect to the Exhibit identified as 0, the aerial*

photograph, we have no objections; with respect to the compilation of documents identified collectively as Exhibit 1, we have no objections; Exhibit 3, which appears to be a California environmental reporting system printout, we would object to the Council's consideration of that on the grounds that it is completely irrelevant, it appears to relate to violations and inspections regarding hazardous materials and hazardous waste which have no bearing on these proceedings, so I would object to Exhibit 3; we would object to Exhibit 4, which is a notice of no violation on the grounds that it appears..."

Mayor Buckley Weber interrupted City Prosecutor Markus for a response to Exhibit 2.

City Prosecutor Markus restated as follows: "no objection to 0 or 1; object to 2 on the grounds that it is irrelevant because it concerns hazardous materials and waste; with respect to number 3, we would object that it is irrelevant as well to these proceedings insofar as it is a document that appears to reflect that there was no violations of the California Code of Regulations and Division 5 of the California Labor Code found on the premises, which have no bearing on these proceedings; with respect to Exhibit 4, and the entirety thereof, we would object to the introduction of that on the grounds there is no foundation laid for these documents and they also have not been authenticated. These appear to be photographs with a timestamp superimposed, there's been no foundation laid with regard to the manner of how these were obtained or created; and with respect to Exhibit 5, we have no objection; Exhibit 6, which are photographs of neighboring businesses, I believe that these were brought to Council's attention because they purport to reflect that there are other noisy businesses in the vicinity. These photographs do not bear at all on the noise generated by the construction site. The unidentified business in the second photo and the concrete cutting facility reflected in the third, they are simply photographs of businesses that have no bearing on these proceedings. Again, no objection to 0, no objection to 1, objections to 2 and 3 on the grounds that they are irrelevant, 4 on the grounds that there is no foundation laid and that the materials have not been authenticated, no objection to 5, and we're objecting to 6 on the grounds of relevance as well."

Mayor Buckley Weber announced: "Exhibits 0, 1, 5 are admitted because there is no objection. Going back to Exhibit 4, Mr. Marcus, are you objecting to the entirety of Exhibit 4? There's a plane ticket, there are some other documents in there besides the pictures from the Ring camera." City Prosecutor Markus responded: "Yes, I'm objecting, 1, on the grounds that they have no foundation, and they haven't been authenticated, but also, for example, the plane ticket, that is not evidence of anything. That's a picture of a plane ticket, it doesn't mean anyone wasn't here, it doesn't mean anyone wasn't there. There's been no testimony of the purported traveler that he was actually gone. So, I don't think they have any bearing on these proceedings in terms of the plane ticket and the computer printout and, obviously, the photos. We contend they are inauthentic and no foundation has been laid for their introduction."

Mr. Stewart requested an opportunity to cross-examine Mr. Esparza.

Mayor Buckley Weber responded: "Absolutely, I'm trying to narrow it down. I agree with Mr. Markus of Exhibit 3 and Exhibit 4 unless you, I'm not sure of the reasons for those exhibits, but let me do this before I make a decision on the final exhibits, why don't we ask you if you have any witnesses that you want to call."

Mr. Stewart called on Mr. Esparza. The City Clerk administered the oath to Mr. Esparza for his testimony. Mr. Stewart then cross-examined Mr. Esparza on Exhibits 4 and 5. Upon cross-examination, Mr. Stewart requested all exhibits be taken into consideration.

City Prosecutor Marcus cross-examined Mr. Esparza on the operation of the dyno machine, OSHA, how the screenshots were created, and the installation of the sound blankets. Mr. Stewart objected and had an opportunity to a re-direct examination of Mr. Esparza.

Mayor Buckley Weber called for any members of the public who wished to speak before the City Council. The City Clerk administered the oath and the following witnesses spoke:

Diane Vanelli, Agoura Hills, neighboring business owner
Joe Vanelli, Agoura Hills, neighboring business owner

Following public testimony, Mayor Buckley Weber invited the Business Owner to present his rebuttal.

Alexander Esparza, Business Owner

Following a question and answer period of Mr. Esparza and staff, Mayor Buckley Weber noted Exhibits 0, 1, 4, and 5 would be admitted into evidence with no objection.

Mayor Buckley Weber closed the Public Hearing at 8:42 p.m.

During deliberations, the City Council agreed to a continuance and to allow the business owner to perform mitigation measures prior to scheduling a test of the dyno machine.

City Attorney Lee recommended the Public Hearing be reopened *“for the limited purpose to consult with the staff and the business owner on the terms whether that is the date of the continued public hearing, whether that they agree to the neighbors also being at the date and time of the new measurement, and working with staff to make sure those mitigation measures are in place before the new measurement is taken. I think we would want them to get on the record to state they are okay with that.”*

Mayor Buckley Weber reopened the Public Hearing at 8:53 p.m. and invited the Business Owner, Mr. Esparza, to the podium and inquired if he was open to a short continuance of the hearing so that he could prepare the bay for testing by an official expert with staff and the neighboring business owners in attendance. Mr. Esparza stated he was in agreement. Upon further discussion, there was unanimous consensus by the City Council (*Buckley Weber, Sylvester, Anstead, Klein Lopez, Wolf*) to continue the Public Hearing to July 10, 2024.

ACTION: Councilmember Klein Lopez moved to continue the Public Hearing to July 10, 2024. Councilmember Anstead seconded. The motion carried 5-0, by the following roll call vote:

AYES: Mayor Buckley Weber, Mayor Pro Tem Sylvester, and Councilmembers Anstead, Klein Lopez, and Wolf.

NOES: None.

ABSTAIN: None.

ABSENT: None.

The City Council recessed at 9:02 p.m. and reconvened at 9:11 p.m.

CONTINUED PUBLIC HEARING

17. Conduct a Continued Public Hearing, Waive Full Reading, and Introduce **Ordinance No. 23-472**; AN ORDINANCE OF THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF AGOURA HILLS, CALIFORNIA, AMENDING THE FOLLOWING PROVISIONS OF ARTICLE IX (ZONING) OF THE AGOURA HILLS MUNICIPAL CODE: 1) DIVISION 5 (SIGN REGULATIONS) IN CHAPTER 6 (REGULATORY PROVISIONS); 2) SECTION 9676.2 (APPLICATION PROCEDURES) AND SECTION 9676.7 (VARIANCE OR MODIFICATION RELATING TO USES AMORTIZED PURSUANT TO THIS CODE) FOR VARIANCES AND MODIFICATIONS IN CHAPTER 6 (REGULATORY PROVISIONS); AND 3) SECTION 9804.2 (PLANNING COMMISSION PUBLIC HEARING) AND SECTION 9804.3 (ZONING ADMINISTRATOR PUBLIC HEARINGS) IN CHAPTER 8 (ADMINISTRATION) AND MAKING A DETERMINATION OF EXEMPTION UNDER THE CALIFORNIA ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY ACT
(Continued from August 23, 2023)

Mayor Buckley Weber opened the Public Hearing at 9:12 p.m.

Following presentation of the staff report, and a question and answer period of staff, Mayor Buckley Weber opened the floor for public comments.

There being no public comments or further questions of staff, Mayor Buckley Weber closed the Public Hearing at 9:25 p.m.

Following deliberations, Mayor Buckley Weber called for a motion and second to approve the staff recommendation to waive full reading and introduce **Ordinance No. 23-472**.

ACTION: Mayor Pro Tem Sylvester moved to approve the staff recommendation. Councilmember Klein Lopez seconded. The motion carried 4-1, with Mayor Buckley Weber opposed, by the following roll call vote:

AYES: Mayor Pro Tem Sylvester and Councilmembers Anstead, Klein Lopez, and Wolf.

NOES: Mayor Buckley Weber.

ABSTAIN: None.

ABSENT: None.

PUBLIC HEARING

18. Conduct a Public Hearing to Consider the Adoption of **Resolution No. 24-2063**; CERTIFYING THE FINAL INITIAL STUDY/MITIGATED NEGATIVE DECLARATION FOR THE GENERAL PLAN AMENDMENT (CASE NO. GPA 2024-0006) AND SPECIFIC PLAN AMENDMENT (CASE NO. SPA 2024-0004)(STATE CLEARINGHOUSE NUMBER 202212041), ADOPTING FINDINGS PURSUANT TO THE CALIFORNIA ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY ACT, AND ADOPTING A MITIGATION MONITORING AND REPORTING PROGRAM; **Resolution No. 24-2064**; APPROVING A GENERAL PLAN AMENDMENT (CASE NO. GPA 2024-0006), INCLUDING AMENDMENTS TO CHAPTER 3: INFRASTRUCTURE AND COMMUNITY SERVICES AND AMENDMENTS TO THE GENERAL PLAN TYPICAL ROADWAY CLASSIFICATION CROSS-SECTION EXHIBIT (FIGURE M-2), and **Resolution No. 24-2065**; APPROVING AN AMENDMENT TO THE AGOURA VILLAGE SPECIFIC PLAN (CASE NO. SPA 2024-0004)

Mayor Buckley Weber opened the Public Hearing at 9:36 p.m.

Staff noted several text edits on Page 18, Chapter 2, Table 2.2: Permitted Uses, that were required for accuracy and consistency of the document. The strikeout/underlined text included in the table below reflects the corrections.

Table 2.2: Permitted Uses

| Use Categories | | Village Area | | | | |
|----------------|---|--------------|-----|----------------|-----|----------------|
| | | A | B | C | D | E |
| Residential | Apartments, condominiums, retirement homes, or living quarters (above the ground floors only) | CUP | CUP | CUP | CUP | CUP |
| | Standalone residential (such as apartments, townhouses, and condominiums, retirement homes, or living quarters) | CUP | CUP | CUP | CUP | CUP |
| | Affordable housing | X | X | X | X | X |

In addition, on Page 90, Chapter 5, Infrastructure & Public Facilities, Schools, Buttercup Preschool would be added to the list of schools, immediately following Agoura High School, as follows:

“Buttercup Preschool

6098 Reyes Adobe Road
 Agoura Hills, CA 91301”

Following presentation of the staff report, including presentations from RRM Design Group Consultant Eric Justesen and Kimley-Horn Consultant Rita Garcia, and a question and answer period of staff, Mayor Buckley Weber opened the floor for public comments.

The following person spoke:

Bill Tucker, Agoura Hills (*requested a continuance of the item*)

There being no further public comments or questions of staff, Mayor Buckley Weber closed the Public Hearing at 11:01 p.m.

Following deliberations, as a courtesy to Mr. Tucker's request, there was City Council consensus (*Buckley Weber, Sylvester, Anstead, Klein Lopez, Wolf*) to continue the Public Hearing to the next City Council meeting.

Mayor Buckley Weber reopened the Public Hearing at 11:16 p.m. and called for a motion and second to continue the Public Hearing to May 22, 2024.

ACTION: Mayor Pro Tem Sylvester moved to continue the Public Hearing to May 22, 2024. Councilmember Anstead seconded. The motion carried 5-0, by the following roll call vote:

AYES: Mayor Buckley Weber, Mayor Pro Tem Sylvester, and Councilmembers Anstead, Klein Lopez, and Wolf.

NOES: None.

ABSTAIN: None.

ABSENT: None.

DISCUSSION / ACTION

19. Discussion to Consider the Approval of the Agoura Hills/Calabasas Community Center Transfer Agreement

Following presentation of the staff report, and a question and answer period of staff, Mayor Buckley Weber opened the floor for public comments.

There were no public comments.

Following deliberations, Mayor Buckley Weber called for a motion and second to approve the staff recommendation to: 1) approve the Agoura Hills / Calabasas Community Center Transfer Agreement, and 2) authorize the City Manager to complete the related tasks as outline in the Transfer Agreement.

ACTION: Councilmember Klein Lopez moved to approve the staff recommendation. Mayor Pro Tem Sylvester seconded. The motion carried 5-0, by the following roll call vote:

AYES: Mayor Buckley Weber, Mayor Pro Tem Sylvester, and Councilmembers Anstead, Klein Lopez, and Wolf.

NOES: None.

ABSTAIN: None.

ABSENT: None.

CITY COUNCIL, STAFF COMMENTS

There were no comments.

ADJOURNMENT

At 11:28 p.m., Mayor Buckley Weber announced the next Regular City Council Meeting would be held at 6:00 p.m. on Wednesday, May 22, 2024, in the Council Chambers of the Civic Center and adjourned the meeting.

Kimberly M. Rodrigues, MPPA, MMC
City Clerk