### **REPORT TO CITY COUNCIL**

# DATE: OCTOBER 22, 2008 TO: HONORABLE MAYOR AND MEMBERS OF THE CITY COUNCIL FROM: GREG RAMIREZ, CITY MANAGER BY: MIKE KAMINO, DIRECTOR OF PLANNING AND COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT SUBJECT: PUBLIC HEARING TO CONSIDER ADOPTION OF THE AGOURA VILLAGE SPECIFIC PLAN AND FINAL ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT

As background, the Agoura Village Specific Plan (AVSP) and the associated Final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) were brought before the City Council on June 14, 2006. At that hearing, the AVSP, along with the associated General Plan Amendment, Zoning Ordinance Amendment, Zone Change, and Ladyface Mountain Specific Plan Amendment were adopted by the City Council. The Final EIR was also certified, along with adoption of the Statement of Overriding Considerations and the Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program.

A Writ of Mandate was issued in 2007 by the Superior Court of California, County of Los Angeles in the case of Mary Altmann vs. City of Agoura Hills. The Writ was entered on April 20, 2007, directing that the City set aside its approval of the AVSP, its associated EIR, and zoning and General Plan amendments. The Writ required that for the AVSP to go forward, the City must prepare a new EIR or conduct some other appropriate environmental review that must include timely biological data, support re-planting of sensitive species through appropriate expert evidence, and provide a more complete discussion of why a reduced density specific plan alternative does not meet the project objectives. Pursuant to the Writ, the City prepared a revised updated EIR, which is included as Attachment 1. Also included is a memorandum summarizing the EIR (Attachment 2).

No changes to the Agoura Village Specific Plan (June 2006) document are proposed. A memo summarizing the AVSP, for the City Council's reference, is included as Attachment 3.

On September 18, 2008, the Planning Commission adopted Resolution Nos. 946, 947, 948, 949, and 950 (Attachment 4). These resolutions recommend that the City Council adopt the AVSP; certify the Updated Final Revised and Recirculated EIR; approve a Statement of Overriding Considerations and a Mitigation Monitoring Program; and approve a General Plan Amendment, Zoning Ordinance Amendment, Zone Change, and an amendment to the Ladyface Mountain Specific Plan, all associated with adoption of the AVSP. At the hearing, three members of the public gave oral comments. Two of these individuals also submitted comment letters at the hearing. The comments were addressed by staff and the Planning Commission at the hearing. The minutes of the Planning Commission hearing are included as Attachment 5.

As noted earlier, consistent with the Writ of Mandate, on May 9, 2007, the City Council repealed Resolution Numbers 06-1419, 06-1420 and 06-1421, and Ordinance Numbers 06-334 and 06-335, thereby repealing its certification of the Final EIR dated March 2006 and its adoption of the Agoura Village Specific Plan dated June 2006, along with the various related amendments. Pursuant to the Writ, a revised and updated EIR has been prepared. Therefore, the City Council's role at this time is to reconsider these legislative items to adopt the AVSP.

The following is a summary of each of the resolutions and ordinances that the City Council is being asked to consider.

### 1. Certify the Updated Final Revised and Recirculated EIR, Make Environmental Findings per CEQA Adopt the Statement of Overriding Considerations, Adopt the Mitigation and Monitoring Reporting Program, and Adopt the Agoura Village Specific Plan

Attachment 6 is City Council Resolution No. 08-1503 certifying the Updated Final Revised and Recirculated EIR (Updated Final RR EIR) for the Agoura Village Specific Plan; making environmental findings pursuant to the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA); adopting a Statement of Overriding Considerations (SOC); adopting a Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program (MMRP); and adopting the Agoura Village Specific Plan (AVSP).

According to the CEQA Guidelines, certification of the Updated Final RR EIR consists of three steps. Prior to approving a project, the lead agency must certify that: (1) the Final EIR was completed in compliance with CEQA; (2) the Lead Agency (City) reviewed and considered the Final EIR before approving the project; and (3) the Final EIR reflects the agency's independent judgment and analysis (Section 15090(a)).

CEQA Guidelines Section 15091 requires written findings to support an agency's approval of the project. The findings must be supported by substantial evidence in the record. The following two findings are necessary.

- Changes or alterations have been required in, or incorporated into, the project which avoid or substantially lessen the significant environment effect as identified in the Final EIR.
- Specific economic, legal, social, technological or other considerations make infeasible the mitigation measures or project alternatives. (CEQA Guidelines, Section 15091).

The resolution addresses the first finding by summarizing the impacts identified in the Updated Final RR EIR and briefly describing the mitigation measures required to reduce the impacts to a level of less than significant.

The latter finding relates to the Statement of Overriding Considerations (SOC). Pursuant to CEQA Guidelines Section 15093, if there remain significant environmental effects even with the adoption of all feasible mitigation measures or alternatives, the agency (City) must adopt an SOC before it can proceed with the project. As with the 2006 EIR, an SOC is necessary for the

Updated RR Final EIR for air quality impacts and for traffic impacts along the segment of Agoura Road between Cornell and Kanan Roads. The actual SOC text is provided in the attached resolution.

CEQA Guidelines Section 15097 requires an approving agency to adopt a Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program (MMRP) for measures needed to avoid or lessen a project's significant effects. The purpose of such a program is to ensure that mitigation measures identified in the Updated Final RR EIR are implemented by listing the measures, the timeframe for their implementation, and the entity responsible for ensuring that they are carried out. These measures then become project conditions of approval for development projects proposed in the future in the Agoura Village area.

The last item in this resolution is to adopt the AVSP. Prior to adoption, the City must find that the Specific Plan is consistent with the City's General Plan. The attached resolution describes how the AVSP is consistent with various policies in the relevant elements of the General Plan. As part of adopting the AVSP, various ancillary actions are necessary as listed under Items 2-5 below.

With regard to the type of EIR prepared, as discussed on prior occasions, staff would like to reiterate that this is a Program EIR per CEQA. As such, upon adoption of the AVSP and certification of the Updated Final RR EIR, each future individual development project proposed in Agoura Village would be required to submit an application for an Agoura Village Development Permit (AVDP). Processing of the AVDP application would require separate CEQA review and documentation of that specific project prior to any discretion action being granted for that development project.

### 2. Zone Change

Attachment 7 is City Council Ordinance No. 08-356 approving a zoning map change in the Agoura Village area from the various existing six designations to one designation of "Specific Plan" (SP).

### 3. Zoning Ordinance Amendment

Attachment 8 is a City Council Ordinance No. 08-357 to approve a Zoning Ordinance Amendment to delete Chapter 5, Part 9 of the Zoning Ordinance, Agoura Village Overlay District Sections 9581-9584.6. As noted in Item 2 above, the "Agoura Village Overlay District" zone would be removed for this area and replaced with "Specific Plan," as the Agoura Village Overlay is no longer needed. Sections 9496-9499 of the Zoning Ordinance currently apply to the "Specific Plan" zone, and no changes to this section are necessary to accommodate the proposed "Specific Plan" designation for the Agoura Village area.

### 4. General Plan Amendment

Attachment 9 is City Council Resolution No. 08-1504 to approve a General Plan Amendment to change land use maps in the General Plan to reassign the four current various land use

designations in the Agoura Village area to "Agoura Village Specific Plan" (AVSP). The resolution states that any property currently designated Restricted Open Space (OS-R) is subject to Ordinance No. 99-300, which requires voter approval to change the parcel to non-open space uses. Redesignating these existing open space parcels to AVSP would not change the requirements of the ordinance. Therefore, these particular parcels would be protected as open space, as they currently are by Ordinance No. 99-300, and the AVSP designation would not change this provision. In several places in the General Plan, revisions would need to occur to fully reference the AVSP designation in the figures, tables and text as necessary during the General Plan update process, currently underway.

### 5. Ladyface Mountain Specific Plan

Two parcels at the southwestern corner of the Agoura Village Specific Plan area (specifically beginning at the southwestern corner of Kanan and Agoura Roads and proceeding southerly and westerly) are actually within the Ladyface Mountain Specific Plan area. The Ladyface Mountain Specific Plan, adopted in 1991, is the only other Specific Plan in the City.

Attachment 10 is City Council Resolution No. 08-1505 to approve a Specific Plan Amendment to remove the parcels at the southwestern corner of Kanan and Agoura Roads from the Ladyface Mountain Specific Plan and place them within the Agoura Village Specific Plan area boundaries. No other changes to the Ladyface Mountain Specific Plan are necessary. These parcels constitute one of the four corners of the Kanan and Agoura Roads intersection, which is a gateway into the Agoura Village area; all other corner parcels at this intersection are within the Agoura Village Specific Plan.

### RECOMMENDATION

Staff recommends the City Council conduct a public hearing and adopts the resolutions and ordinances listed below:

- 1. Resolution No. 08-1503 to certify the Updated Final RR EIR for the Agoura Village Specific Plan; make environmental findings pursuant to the California Environmental Quality Act; adopt a Statement of Overriding Considerations; adopt a Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program; and adopt the Agoura Village Specific Plan.
- 2. Ordinance No. 08-356 to approve a zoning map change in the Agoura Village area from the various existing six designations to one designation of "Specific Plan" (SP).
- 3. Ordinance No. 08-357 to approve a Zoning Ordinance Amendment regarding the zone change to "Specific Plan."
- 4. Resolution No. 08-1504 to approve a General Plan Amendment to fully incorporate the Agoura Village Specific Plan.

5. Resolution No. 08-1505 to approve a Specific Plan Amendment to the Ladyface Mountain Specific Plan to transfer a parcel from that Specific Plan into the Agoura Village Specific Plan.

### Attachments:

- 1. Updated Final Revised and Recirculated EIR (August 2008)
- 2. Memorandum regarding the EIR
- 3. Memorandum regarding the AVSP
- 4. Planning Commission Resolution Nos. 946, 947, 948, 949, and 950.
- 5. Minutes from Planning Commission hearing of September 18, 2008
- 6. Resolution No. 08-1503 Regarding Certifying the Program Environmental Impact Report for the Agoura Village Specific Plan; Making Environmental Findings Pursuant to the California Environmental Quality Act; Adopting a Statement of Overriding Considerations; Adopting a Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program; and Adopting the Agoura Village Specific Plan.
- 7. Ordinance No. 08-356 Regarding Zone Change
- 8. Ordinance No. 08-357 Regarding Zoning Ordinance Amendment
- 9. Resolution No. 08-1504 Regarding General Plan Amendment
- 10. Resolution No. 08-1505 Regarding Ladyface Mountain Specific Plan Amendment

# Appendix I

Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program

.

## MITIGATION MONITORING AND REPORTING PROGRAM

CEQA requires that a reporting or monitoring program be adopted for the conditions of project approval that are necessary to mitigate or avoid significant effects on the environment. The mitigation monitoring and reporting program is designed to ensure compliance with adopted mitigation measures during project implementation. For each mitigation measure recommended in this Environmental Impact Report, specifications are made herein that identify the action required and the monitoring that must occur. In addition, a responsible agency is identified for verifying compliance with individual conditions of approval contained in the Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program.

Mitigation Measure/Condition of Approval	Action Required	When	Monitoring	Responsible	Compliance Verifi		
g		Monitoring to Occur	Frequency	Agency or Party	Initial	Date	Comments
AESTHETICS	F		<b>.</b>	-			I
<b>AES-1 Retaining Wall Design.</b> In the event any proposed retaining walls are visible from designated scenic roadways, the City's Architectural Review Board shall determine whether they are consistent with the City's Architectural Design Standard and Guidelines (1992). If any wall is found to be inconsistent with the Guidelines, the Architectural Review Board shall recommend additional design features to bring the wall(s) into compliance. Possible design features may include the use of textured retaining walls with more natural features, such as those that simulate rocks or boulders. Additionally, design features may include the planting of landscape vegetation along the wall facing south toward the freeway. This landscape vegetation should include plants that provide vertical wall coverage, in order to enhance the visual character of the wall and break up the area of the wall that is visible from scenic corridors. Such retaining wall, landscaping and other related design features shall be shown on the project plans and verified by City Planning and Community Development Department Staff prior to issuance of a Grading or Building Permit.	PCD to require ARB review of projects with retaining walls visible from scenic roadways; ensure that design féatures are included consist with Architectural Design Standard and Guidelines as appropriate.	-Prior to approval of future projects -At site inspection.	-Once per project application. -At least once, as required.	PCD			

Mitigation Measure/Condition of Approval	Action Required	When	Monitoring	Responsible	Com	oliance	Verification
magator measure contaitor of Approva	Auton Required	Monitoring to Occur	Frequency	Agency or Party	Initial	Date	Comments
<b>AES-3</b> Avoidance of Knolls. The applicant shall avoid development, removal, or reduction (to include grading or blasting) of that knoll located south and east of the intersection of Agoura and Kanan Road. Although development of the site is unlikely, given it is zoned as Open Space and would require a vote of the people in order to be rezoned, the applicant shall avoid this area in order to avoid substantially modifying a scenic resource. Additionally, the applicant shall minimize grading (subject to approval of City Community Planning and Development Department) of the knoll located south and east of the intersection of Agoura and Cornell Road. Although development and minor modifications would be allowed on the knoll, the majority of the knoll should be preserved.	Ensure that any development or earthwork avoids or minimizes disturbance of the respective knolls as specified.	-Prior to approval of future projects -At site inspection.	-Once per project application. -At least once, as required.	PCD			
<ul> <li>AES-4 Glare Reduction. Project design and architectural treatments shall incorporate additional techniques to reduce glare, such as:</li> <li>Use of low reflectivity glass;</li> <li>Use of plant material along the perimeter of structures to soften views; and,</li> <li>Brush-polishing metal surfaces and/or use of metal surfaces that are not highly reflective.</li> <li>Plans for new development shall indicate the architectural treatments and/or landscaping to be used in order to reduce glare that could be generated by new development. Plans shall be reviewed by City staff, the Architectural Review Panel, and the City's Architectural consultant for compliance with this standard.</li> </ul>	Ensure that future projects incorporate glare reduction techniques as described; that such techniques are shown on plans and reviewed by the ARB and the City's Architectural consultant for compliance.	-Prior to approval of future projects -At site inspection.	-Once per project application. -At least once, as required.	PCD			
<b>AES-5</b> Each project applicant would be required to obtain a permit from the City and to comply with the provisions of the permit, prior to the approvals of removal	Require permits for oak tree removal.	When oak tree removal is proposed.	Once per project application.	PCD			

Mitigation Measure/Condition of Approval	Action Required	When	Monitoring	Responsible	Com	oliance	Verification
		Monitoring to Occur	Frequency	Agency or Party	Initial	Date	Comments
of oak trees.							<u>.</u>
AIR QUALITY	I	1	1		1	I	L
<ul> <li>AQ-1(a) Fugitive Dust Control Measures:</li> <li>Water trucks shall be used during construction to keep all areas of vehicle movements damp enough to prevent dust from leaving the site. At a minimum, this will require twice daily applications (once in late morning and once at the end of the workday). Increased watering is required whenever wind speed exceeds 15 mph. Grading shall be suspended if wind gusts exceed 25 mph.</li> <li>The amount of disturbed area shall be minimized and onsite vehicle speeds shall be limited to 15 mph or less.</li> <li>If importation, exportation and stockpiling of fill material is involved, earth with 5% or greater silt content that is stockpiled for more than two days shall be covered, kept moist, or treated with earth binders to prevent dust generation. Trucks transporting material shall be tarped from the point of origin or shall maintain at least two feet of freeboard.</li> <li>After clearing, grading, earth-moving or excavation is completed, the disturbed area shall be treated by watering, revegetation, or by spreading earth binders until the area is paved or otherwise developed.</li> <li>All material transported off-site shall be securely covered to prevent excessive amounts of dust.</li> </ul>	Require fugitive dust control measures for future development projects, as specified.	-Prior to approval of future projects -At site inspection.	-Once per project application. -At least once, as required.	PCD			
<ul> <li>AQ-1(b) NO<sub>x</sub> Control Measures:</li> <li>When feasible, electricity from temporary power poles on site shall be utilized rather than temporary diesel or gasoline generators;</li> <li>When feasible, on site mobile equipment shall</li> </ul>	Require NO <sub>x</sub> control measures for future development projects, as specified.	-Prior to approval of future projects -At site	-Once per project application. -At least once,	PCD			

Mitigation Measure/Condition of Approval	Action Required	When	Monitoring	Responsible	Com	oliance	Verification
mitgaton measureroonaliton of Approval	Action Required	Monitoring to Occur	Frequency	Agency or Party	Initial	Date	Comments
<ul> <li>be fueled by methanol or natural gas (to replace diesel-fueled equipment), or, propane or butane (to replace gasoline-fueled equipment)</li> <li>Aqueous Diesel Fuel or biodiesel (B20 with retarded fuel injection timing), if available, shall be used in diesel fueled vehicles when methanol or natural gas alternatives are not available.</li> </ul>		inspection.	as required.				
<ul> <li>AQ-1(c) VOC Control Measure:</li> <li>Low VOC architectural and asphalt coatings shall be used on site and shall comply with AQMD Rule 1113- Architectural Coatings.</li> </ul>	Require that low VOC coatings are used for future development projects, as specified.	-Prior to approval of future projects -At site inspection.	-Once per project application. -At least once, as required.	PCD			
<ul> <li>AQ-1(d) Ozone Precursor Control Measures:</li> <li>Equipment engines should be maintained in good condition and in proper tune as per manufacturer's specifications;</li> <li>Schedule construction periods to occur over a longer time period (ie lengthen from 60 days to 90 days) during the smog season so as to minimize the number of vehicles and equipment operating simultaneously; and</li> <li>Use new technologies to control ozone precursor emissions as they become readily available.</li> </ul>	Require Ozone Precursor Control Measures for future development projects, as specified.	-Prior to approval of future projects -At site inspection.	-Once per project application. -At least once, as required.	PCD			
<ul> <li>AQ-2 Decrease Emissions of diesel particulate matter during site grading by implementing one of the following four measures.</li> <li>Construction contractors shall not operate more than two pieces of heavy-duty diesel-powered equipment within 600 feet of any residence at any time.</li> <li>Construction contractors shall use biodiesel fuel in all on-site diesel-powered equipment. Biodiesel that is blended with low sulfur diesel fuel shall be used if</li> </ul>	Ensure that one of the specified measures is implemented during grading for future projects.	-Prior to approval of future projects -At site inspection.	-Once per project application. -At least once, as required.	PCD			

Mitigation Measure/Condition of Approval	Action Required	When	Monitoring	Responsible	Com	oliance '	Verification
mugaton measure/oonution of Approva	Adion Required	Monitoring to Occur	Frequency	Agency or Party	Initial	Date	Comments
<ul> <li>available.</li> <li>Construction contractors shall use only Tier 2 diesel-powered earth moving equipment.</li> <li>At least 80% of the diesel-fueled construction equipment in terms of brake-horsepower shall have DPFs installed, or all equipment shall be equipped with diesel oxidation catalysts.</li> <li>Construction contractors shall limit the movement of large trucks to off-peak commute hours.</li> </ul>							
AQ-3(a) Energy Consumption. Onsite structures shall reduce energy consumption by at least 20% below current Federal guidelines as specified in Title 24 of the Code of Federal Regulations. Potential energy consumption reduction measures include, but are not limited to, the use of photovoltaic roof tiles, installation of energy efficient windows, and the use of R-45 insulation in the roof/attic space of all onsite structures.	Ensure that future structures include measures to reduce energy consumption by at least 20% below current Federal guidelines.	-Prior to approval of future projects -At site inspection.	-Once per project application. -At least once, as required.	PCD			
<b>AQ-3(b)</b> Landscape Equipment. Multi-family residential developments shall be encouraged to utilize electrical powered landscape maintenance equipment, and exterior outlets shall be installed at the front and rear of residences.	Encourage use of electrical powered landscape maintenance equipment for future multi-unit residential projects, and require provision of exterior outlets to facilitate their use.	Prior to approval of future projects.	Once per project application.	PCD			
AQ-3(c) Shade Trees Shade trees shall be planted to shade onsite structures to the greatest extent possible in summer, reducing indoor temperatures, and reducing energy demand for air conditioning. The City's ARB shall review project landscaping plans for consistency with this mitigation measure.	Require shade trees in future projects to shade structures, and that the ARB review landscaping plans for consistency.	-Prior to approval of future projects -At site inspection.	-Once per project application. -At least once, as required.	PCD			
AQ-3(d) Bus Stops. Applicants shall provide bus stops	Require that bus stops	-Prior to	-Once per	PCD		:	

Mitigation Measure/Condition of Approval	Action Required	When	Monitoring	Responsible	Com	oliance	Verification
		Monitoring to Occur	Frequency	Agency or Party	Initial	Date	Comments
within the Specific Plan Area. The number to be constructed will be determined in consultation with the City Traffic Engineer and the local transit agencies. Bus stops shall meet the requirements of the transit agency providing service to the City and shall include street furniture that provides shelter for passengers.	meeting City and transit agency standards and including passenger shelters as specified be provided in future projects in the Specific Plan Area as appropriate.	approval of future projects -At site inspection.	project application. -At least once, as required.				
<ul> <li>AQ-4 Equestrian Center and Trail Maintenance Plan. As part of the City's feasibility study for an equestrian center within the Specific Plan area, the City shall include provisions for a maintenance plan of both the equestrian center and related trails. The maintenance plan shall include the following measures, at a minimum:</li> <li>Organic debris/waste shall be properly disposed of or sold offsite on a regular basis,</li> <li>BMP's shall be instituted to prevent dust from moving offsite,</li> <li>BMP's (to include necessary bioswales or erosion control measures) shall be instituted to prevent organic waste, from entering nearby water bodies.</li> </ul>	Ensure that the City's feasibility study for an equestrian center within the Specific Plan area includes provisions for center and trail maintenance plans as specified.	Prior to release of the feasibility study.	Once per study draft.	PCD			
BIOLOGY	<b></b>		•				
<b>BIO-1(a)</b> Sensitive Plant Survey and Protection Plan. Prior to approval of individual development applications within the residual natural areas of Zones A south, B, E, and F, surveys for sensitive plant species, including but not limited to Agoura Hills dudleya and Lyon's pentachaeta, should be performed by a qualified plant ecologist. These surveys shall be performed during the blooming period (April - June). If a sensitive species is found, avoidance shall be required unless the applicant provides substantial documentation that avoidance would	Require sensitive plant surveys be performed as specified in the measure for proposed development within the areas listed, and mitigation and monitoring as specified where appropriate, including avoidance of Lyon's pentachaeta and Agoura	-Prior to approval of future projects -During construction and at site inspection.	-Once per project application. -At least once, as required.	PCD			

Mitigation Measure/Condition of Approval	Action Required	When	Monitoring	Responsible	Com	oliance	Verification
		Monitoring to Occur	Frequency	Agency or Party	Initial	Date	Comments
not be feasible or would compromise the objectives of the Specific Plan. For Lyon's pentachaeta and Agoura Hills dudleya, avoidance is defined as a minimum 200 foot setback unless an active maintenance plan is implemented for the known occurrence. With implementation of an active maintenance and management program, the buffer width may be reduced further based on review and approval by the jurisdictional agencies (USFWS and/or CDFG). For other sensitive species avoidance shall be determined based on the specific plant pursuant with the recommendations of a qualified plant ecologist, and with the coordination of USFWS and/or CDFG for state or federally listed plants. The maintenance and management plan must be approved by the appropriate jurisdictional agencies prior to issuance of a grading permit. If avoidance is not feasible, on-site mitigation is preferred if suitable, unoccupied, habitat is present that can be isolated from human disturbance. Otherwise, an offsite location would be considered; the Ladyface Mountain Specific Plan area may contain appropriate habitat and may be a preferred location. A mitigation restoration plan shall be prepared by a qualified plant ecologist that identifies the number of plants to be replanted and the methods that will be used to preserve this species in the on- or off-site mitigation location. The plan shall also include a monitoring program so that the success of the effort can be measured. Restoration efforts shall be coordinated with applicable federal, state, and local agencies. The required level of success for Agoura Hills dudleya and Lyon's pentachaeta shall be defined at a minimum as a demonstration of five consecutive years, or a period as deemed appropriate by the permitting agencies ( USFWS and/or CDFG), of growth of a population equal to or greater than that which would be	Hills dudleya, unless a successful mitigation replacement population is established in accordance with the appropriate success period (as determined by the permitting agencies). Ensure that restoration efforts are coordinated with applicable federal, state, and local agencies.						

Mitigation Measure/Condition of Approval	Action Required	When	Monitoring	Responsible	Com	liance	Verification
	Adion Required	Monitoring to Occur	Frequency	Agency or Party	Initial	Date	Comments
lost due to the project. This level of success shall be achieved prior to removal of the impacted population. Success criteria for other sensitive species will be determined on an individual basis pursuant with the recommendations of a qualified plant ecologist, and with the coordination of USFWS and/or CDFG for state or federally listed plants. When applicable the mitigation restoration plan shall be submitted to the appropriate regulatory agencies for review and approval, with the approved plan then submitted to the City of Agoura Hills prior to issuance of a grading permit for the area of concern.	* <b>.</b>						
<b>BIO-1(b)</b> Sensitive Wildlife Survey. Not more than two weeks prior to ground disturbing construction within the Specific Plan area, a preconstruction survey for the two- striped garter snake, burrowing owl, western pond turtle, sensitive bat species, and any other special-status species shall be conducted by a qualified biologist and submitted to the City Planning and Development Department prior to beginning construction and/or commencement of any disturbance. If a species is found, avoidance is the preferred mitigation option. If avoidance is not feasible these species shall be captured, when possible, and transferred to adjacent appropriate habitat within designated open space areas either onsite or directly adjacent to the project area. This shall be performed only by a CDFG approved biologist. The CDFG and City of Agoura Hills shall be formally notified and consulted regarding the presence of these species onsite. If a federally listed species is found prior to grading of the site, the USFWS shall also be notified. Only a USFWS approved biologist would be allowed to capture and relocate these animals.	Require sensitive wildlife surveys as specified in the measure for proposed development within the Specific Plan area, and mitigation and monitoring as specified where appropriate. Ensure that a CDFG-approved biologist perform surveys, and that if a federally listed species is found, the USFWS is notified and a USFWS- approved biologist carry out any capture and relocation of such animals.	-Prior to approval of future projects -During construction and at site inspection.	-Once per project application. -At least once, as required.	PCD			

Mitigation Measure/Condition of Approval	Action Required	When	Monitoring	Responsible	Com	oliance	Verification
		Monitoring to Occur	Frequency	Agency or Party	Initial	Date	Comments
BIO-1(c) Bird Nesting Surveys. If vegetation clearing (including tree pruning and removal) or other project construction is to be initiated during the bird breeding season (February 1 through August 31), pre- construction/grading surveys shall be conducted by a qualified ornithologist (a person with a biology degree and/or established skills in bird recognition). Surveys shall begin 30 days prior to initial disturbance activities and shall continue weekly, with the last survey being conducted no more than three days prior to the initiation of clearance/construction work. If bird species are observed nesting within 500 feet of construction/grading areas, all construction or grading activities will be postponed or halted at the discretion of the biologist until the nest is vacated and the juveniles have fledged.Limits of construction to avoid a nest should be established in the field with flagging and stakes or construction fencing. This distance shall be at least 300 feet for raptors and at least 100 feet for all other bird species. Construction personnel should be instructed on the sensitivity of the area. The applicant should record the results of the recommended protective measures described above to document compliance with applicable State and federal laws pertaining to the protection of native birds.	Require bird nesting surveys as specified in the measure for proposed development within the Specific Plan area, and mitigation and monitoring as specified where appropriate.	-Prior to approval of future projects -During construction and at site inspection.	-Once per project application. -At least once, as required.	PCD			
<b>BIO-2(a) Buffer Zones.</b> Except in cases of Lyon's pentachaeta and/or Agoura Hills Dudleya, which are addressed in MM BIO-1(a), a minimum buffer zone of 50-100 feet of native vegetation shall be maintained between urban development and adjacent sensitive native habitats. This includes those areas located along the unchannelized portions of Medea and Lindero Canyon Creeks within the Specific Plan boundaries.	Require incorporation of a appropriate habitat buffer areas for native vegetation for future projects.	-Prior to approval of future projects -At site inspection.	-Once per project application. -At least once, as required.	PCD			

# Key: PCD – City of Agoura Hills Planning and Community Development Department B&S – City of Agoura Hills Building and Safety

er an bland and i far than an an an the britter farm a strategy britter and a britter and a britter and a britter

Mitigation Measure/Condition of Approval	Action Required	When	Monitoring	Responsible	Comp	liance	Verification
mitigation measure/condition of Approva	Action Required	Monitoring to Occur	Frequency	Agency or Party	Initial	Date	Comments
Such vegetation should be sensitive to, and similar in nature to, the natural environment surrounding the sensitive native habitats. A minimum buffer of 50 feet (or greater if required by the CDFG) from the top of bank and/or edge of riparian cover (whichever is greater) shall be established for the protection of southwestern pond turtle where preferred nesting habitat (exposed, southerly-facing slopes vegetated with open scrub or sparse grassland vegetation, dense soils with a high silt and clay fraction, and less than 25% slope) is present. No heavy equipment or ground disturbance shall enter the buffer zone during the nesting period of SWPT (April- August). Further, equestrian trails shall be located no less than 10 to 20 (preferred) feet from the edge of the exterior riparian canopy.							
<b>BIO-2(b) Native Grassland Protection.</b> Prior to approval of individual development applications within the southern portion of the Specific Plan area, surveys for native grasslands shall be performed by a qualified biologist (with acceptance by the City Planning and Community Development Department Staff). If native grasslands are found, avoidance shall be required unless the applicant provides substantial documentation that avoidance would not be feasible or would compromise the objectives of the Specific Plan. Avoidance shall be planned and enforced with a <b>Native Grassland</b> <b>Protection Program</b> . If the applicant demonstrates that avoidance would not be feasible or would compromise the objectives of the Specific Plan, on-site mitigation would be required if suitable habitat is present and can be isolated from human disturbance. In this event, a <b>Native Grassland Restoration Plan</b> shall be prepared and implemented.	Require native grassland surveys for future development proposals and native grassland protection programs, including avoidance and mitigation as appropriate, where warranted. Protocols for surveys and protection/restoration are included in the mitigation measure.	-Prior to approval of future projects -During construction and at site inspection.	-Once per project application. -At least once, as required.	PCD			

Mitigation Measure/Condition of Approval	Action Required	When	Monitoring	Responsible	Com	oliance V	Verification
Miligation Measure/Condition of Approval	Action Required	Monitoring to Occur	Frequency	Agency or Party	Initial	Date	Comments
Native Grassland Protection Program. If native grasslands are found onsite and avoidance is feasible, a native grassland protection program shall be prepared by a qualified biologist. The protection program shall be submitted for review and approval as part of the application process with the City Planning and Development Department. In addition, final plans shall be subject to review and approval by the City Planning and Community Development Department prior to issuance of a grading permit. The protection program shall include, but not be limited to, the following components:							
<ul> <li>A qualified biologist shall map the current extent of habitat; and</li> <li>The location of native grassland habitat outside of the construction footprint shall be fenced in the field. Fencing shall be depicted on final grading and building plans. The location of the habitat and fencing shall be done under the direction of a qualified biologist (with acceptance by the City Planning and Community Development Department Staff); and</li> <li>All ground disturbances, including grading for buildings, accessways, easements, subsurface grading, and utilities shall be prohibited within the fenced native grassland area.</li> </ul>							
Native Grassland Restoration Plan. If avoidance is not feasible, on-site mitigation is preferred if suitable habitat is present that can be isolated from human disturbance. In this event, a restoration plan shall be prepared by a qualified plant ecologist that identifies the location and acreage to be replanted and the methods that will be used to preserve this community in that location. The plan shall also include a monitoring program so that the							

the restance of the second s

Mitigation Measure/Condition of Approval	Action Required	When	Monitoring	Responsible	Comp	oliance	Verification
	Acuon Required	Monitoring to Occur	Frequency	Agency or Party	Initial	Date	Comments
success of the effort can be measured. The required level of success, at a minimum, shall be defined as a demonstration of three consecutive years of at least 50% native grass dominance within the mitigation area. If off- site mitigation is proposed, the Ladyface Mountain Specific Plan area may contain appropriate habitat and may be a preferred location. Restoration efforts shall be coordinated with applicable federal, state, and local agencies (including LA County Fire Department). The restoration plan shall be submitted for review as part of the application process with the City Planning and Development Department. In addition, final plans shall be subject to review and approval by the City Planning and Development Department prior to issuance of a Grading Permit.							
of the native grassland lost. No species identified as invasive on the CNPS, Channel Islands Chapter Invasive Plants List (1997) shall be utilized in the landscape plans. The plan shall include, but not be limited to, the following components:							
<ul> <li>Performance criteria (i.e., what is an acceptable success level of revegetation to mitigate past impacts);</li> <li>Monitoring effort (i.e., who is to check on the success of the revegetation plan, and how frequently);</li> <li>Contingency planning (i.e., if the effort fails to reach the performance criteria, what remediation steps need to be taken);</li> <li>Irrigation method/schedule (i.e., how much water is</li> </ul>							

Milization Macaura/Condition of Approval	Action Required	When	Monitoring	Responsible	Com	oliance	Verification
Mitigation Measure/Condition of Approval	Action Required	Monitoring to Occur	Frequency	Agency or Party	Initial	Date	Comments
<ul><li>needed, where, and for how long);</li><li>Plant species, seed mixes, weed suppression and planting methodology</li></ul>							
From preliminary observations, it appears that potential target areas to perform mitigation for the loss of native grassland exist on the northern slopes of Ladyface Mountain, within the open space of Zone G (the area formerly identified in the 1996 Creekside EIR as valley needlegrass grassland and located south of Lindero Canyon Creek) in the southwest corner of the Specific Plan boundary. These areas need testing to confirm that they meet the soil and habitat requirements for native grassland species. If sufficient mitigation area does not exist onsite, off site mitigation or in lieu fees to an off site local or regional mitigation bank acceptable to the City of Agoura Hills shall be done.	ат <sub>т</sub>						
BIO 2(c) Southern Willow Scrub/ Southern Arroyo Willow Riparian Protection. Based on a review of pending development applications near Lindero Canyon Creek, it is anticipated that the existing southern willow scrub/ southern arroyo willow riparian may be encroached upon; however, avoidance of these areas is required. If avoidance is feasible, the following Riparian Habitat and Creek Protection Program shall be implemented in order to reduce impacts to this sensitive community. If the applicant demonstrates that avoidance would not be feasible or would compromise the objectives of the Specific Plan, on-site mitigation is preferred and shall be implemented through a <b>Riparian</b> <b>Habitat Restoration Plan</b> , as outlined below.	Require southern willow scrub/ Southern Arroyo Willow Riparian protection, including avoidance and mitigation as appropriate, where warranted. Protocols for protection/restoration are included in the mitigation measure.	-Prior to approval of future projects -During construction and at site inspection.	-Once per project application. -At least once, as required.	PCD			
Riparian Habitat and Creek Protection Program. A riparian habitat and creek protection program shall be							

. . . .

Mitigation Measure/Condition of Approval	Action Required	When	Monitoring	Responsible	Compliance Verification			
		Monitoring to Occur	Frequency	Agency or Party	Initial	Date	Comments	
<ul> <li>prepared and implemented for any future developments</li> <li>proposed within the Specific Plan area adjacent to</li> <li>Lindero Canyon or Medea Creeks. These shall be</li> <li>prepared by a qualified biologist (with acceptance by the</li> <li>City Planning and Community Development Department</li> <li>Staff) and shall include specific measures as dictated by</li> <li>CDFG. The program shall, to the extent feasible, avoid</li> <li>encroachment into any riparian areas. The program shall</li> <li>include, but not be limited to, the following components:</li> <li>Riparian areas shall be indicated and fenced off on all</li> </ul>	~ <b>.</b>							
<ul> <li>All ground disturbances included and related and related</li></ul>								
The protection program shall be submitted for review as part of the application process with the City Planning and Community Development Department. In addition, the final plans shall be subject to review and approval by the City Planning and Community Development Department prior to the issuance of a Grading Permit.								
<b>Riparian Habitat Restoration Plan.</b> However, if avoidance is not feasible, on-site mitigation is preferred over off-site mitigation but both mitigation measures could be effective at reducing the impacts to less than significant. If avoidance is not feasible, a restoration plan								

Mitigation Measure/Condition of Approval	Action Required	When	Monitoring	Responsible	Com	liance	Verification
	Action Required	Monitoring to Occur	Frequency	Agency or Party	Initial	Date	Comments
shall be prepared by a qualified plant ecologist. The preferred area to perform mitigation for the loss of riparian forest exists within the southern reach of the channelized and concrete lined portion of Medea Creek, located directly south of Agoura Road and also in the vicinity of Lindero Canyon Creek. If development were to encroach upon this sensitive community, the appropriate permits would be necessary from the Army Corps of Engineers, the California Department of Fish and Game, and the Los Angeles Regional Water Quality Control Board. Individual applicants for projects located south of Agoura Road and that contain riparian habitat areas, shall submit a Riparian Habitat Restoration Plan for review by the City Planning and Community Development Department and, as necessary, a City approved biologist or qualified landscape specialist, as part of the initial project application. Riparian habitat shall be replaced at a minimum ratio of 2.0 acres for every 1.0 acre of riparian habitat lost. However, additional mitigation may be required by the CDFG. The restoration plans shall include, but not be limited to, the following components:							
<ul> <li>Performance criteria (i.e., what is an acceptable success level of revegetation to mitigate past impacts);</li> <li>Monitoring effort (i.e., who is to check on the success of the revegetation plan, and how frequently);</li> <li>Contingency planning (i.e., if the effort fails to reach the performance criteria, what remediation steps need to be taken); and</li> <li>Irrigation method/schedule (i.e., how much water is needed, where, and for how long).</li> <li>The required level of success, at a minimum, shall be defined as a demonstration of three consecutive years of</li> </ul>							

Mitigation Measure/Condition of Approval	Action Required	When	Monitoring	Responsible	Com	pliance	Verification
magaton measure/contaiton of Approval	Action Required	Monitoring to Occur	Frequency	Agency or Party	Initial	Date	Comments
growth of a population double the size of that which would be lost due to the project. The final restoration plan shall be subject to review and approval by the City Planning and Community Development Department prior to Grading Permit issuance.	-		<u></u>				
<ul> <li>BIO-3(a) Oak Tree Protection and Preservation.</li> <li>Individual project applicants shall submit the results of an oak tree survey and an Oak Tree Report, including an Oak Tree Preservation Program, for review and approval by the City's oak tree consultant as part of the project application. Individual projects shall be developed and operated in compliance with the approved Oak Tree Preservation Program and any other conditions determined to be necessary by the City oak tree consultant. The program shall include, but not be limited to, the following components:</li> <li>No grading or development shall occur within 5 feet from the driplines of oak trees that occur in the construction area.</li> <li>All specimen oak trees within 25 feet of proposed ground disturbances shall be temporarily fenced with chain-link or other material satisfactory to the City throughout all grading and construction activities. The fencing shall be installed six feet outside the dripline of each specimen oak tree, and shall be staked every six feet.</li> <li>No construction equipment shall be parked, stored or operated within six feet of any specimen oak tree dripline.</li> <li>No fill soil, rocks, or construction materials shall be stored or placed within six feet of the dripline of a specimen oak tree (pervious paving and other materials are allowed, as approved by the City).</li> </ul>	Require oak tree surveys, reports and preservation programs for future development projects. Ensure review of these documents by the department's oak tree consultant.	-Prior to approval of future projects -At site inspection.	-Once per project application. -At least once, as required.	PCD			

Mitigation Measure/Condition of Approval	Action Required	When	Monitoring	Responsible	Com	oliance	Verification
		Monitoring to Occur	Frequency	Agency or Party	Initial	Date	Comments
<ul> <li>No artificial surface, pervious or impervious, shall be placed within six feet of the dripline of any specimen oak tree, except for project access roads.</li> <li>Any roots encountered that are one inch in diameter or greater shall be cleanly cut. This shall be done under the direction of a City approved arborist/oak tree consultant.</li> <li>Any trenching required within the dripline or sensitive root zone of any specimen tree shall be done by hand. In addition, trenching n the protected zone needs to preserve roots over 1 inch by tunneling.</li> <li>No permanent irrigation shall occur within the dripline of any existing oak tree.</li> <li>Any construction activity required within three feet of a specimen oak tree's dripline shall be done with hand tools.</li> </ul>							
<b>BIO-3(b) Grading Plan.</b> The number of oak trees requiring removal and the number of trees that will be encroached upon by grading and project development shall be confirmed by the City's oak tree consultant with the final grading plan. The plan shall also indicate requirements for retaining walls, tree wells, tree drainage requirements, and pruning as part of the plan.	Require that oak tree information be shown on final grading plans for future projects.	Prior to approval of future projects.	Once per project application.	PCD			
<b>BIO-3(c) Oak Tree Replacement.</b> For impacts involving 10 percent or less of oak tree removal resulting from grading and project development, each oak tree shall be replaced with specimen oak trees of the same species as the tree that was removed at a ratio and dimension specified in the City's Zoning Ordinance. This mitigation is to occur onsite. For impacts involving greater than 10	Ensure that the specified oak replacement criteria and ratios are applied to future projects involving oak tree removal.	-Prior to approval of future projects -At site inspection.	-Once per project application. -At least once, as required.	PCD			

Mitigation Measure/Condition of Approval	Action Required	When	Monitoring	Responsible	Comp	liance	Verification
		Monitoring to Occur	Frequency	Agency or Party	Initial	Date	Comments
percent of oak tree removal resulting from grading and project development, mitigation shall either be onsite with the requirements as listed above, or an in-lieu fee may be paid to the City to be used to acquire land and/or install oak trees on another site, preferably in as close proximity to the area of removal as possible. The sum of the calipers of all oak trees planted must be at least equal to that removed. The locations of the replanted trees shall be indicated on the project plans submitted to the City for review by the City's oak tree consultant. Trees shall be planted so that mature trees will have a continuous canopy. Every attempt shall be made to plant oak trees according to species-specific habitat requirements: valley oaks at lower elevations in alluvial soils; and coast live oaks on mesic north facing slope locations. Each oak tree removed by grading and project development shall be replaced with two 36 inch box and two 24 inch box specimen oak trees of the same species as the tree that was removed. Additionally, all naturally occurring native vegetation in the areas proposed for oak tree mitigation shall be identified. This includes surveys for ephemeral plants and bulbs. Oak tree planting shall not cause the removal or destruction of existing native vegetation without replacement in the same locations.							
<b>BIO-3(d) Oak Planting Arrangements.</b> Where appropriate pursuant to the recommendations of the City's oak tree consultant, replacement oaks for the removal of individual oak trees shall be clustered in an attempt to replace oak woodland habitat removed. Trees shall be planted so that mature trees will have a continuous canopy. Every attempt shall be made to plant oak trees according to species-specific habitat requirements: valley oaks at lower elevations in alluvial soils and coast live oaks on mesic north facing slope	Ensure that the specified oak replacement standards are applied to future projects involving oak tree removal.	-Prior to approval of future projects -At site inspection.	-Once per project application. -At least once, as required.	PCD			

Mitigation Measure/Condition of Approval	Action Required	When	Monitoring	Responsible	Com	oliance	Verification
		Monitoring to Occur	Frequency	Agency or Party	Initial	Date	Comments
locations.							
<b>BIO-4(a) Replacement Ratio</b> . Federal and State protected wetland habitat shall be replaced at a minimum ratio of 2.0 acres of habitat, at the same or greater quality, for every 1.0 wetland acre removed. Replacement shall be at an Agoura Hills Planning and Community Development Department approved location or by providing adequate funding for the replacement of wetland habitat to an organization currently conducting restoration of wetland habitat. The organization and its activities are to be approved by an Agoura Hills Planning and Community Development Department approved biologist. Two areas located within the Specific Plan boundaries shall be considered for mitigation credit. That portion of Lindero Canyon Creek located between Agoura Road and Kanan Road is the preferred mitigation location for impacts to other wetland areas within the project area. This restoration effort would include restoring the channel to a more natural state. Improvement of the unchannelized portion of Medea Creek, located south of Agoura Road, shall be considered as an alternate location for mitigation and wetland restoration.	Ensure that the specified wetland replacement ratios are applied to future projects where appropriate, and that the identified mitigation credit and restoration areas are used when warranted.	-Prior to approval of future projects -At site inspection.	-Once per project application. -At least once, as required.	PCD			
<b>BIO-4(b) Wetland Restoration Plan.</b> For projects that may adversely impact wetland areas, individual project applicants shall submit a wetland creation or restoration plan for review and approval by an Agoura Hills Planning and Community Development Department staff and, as necessary, a City approved biologist or qualified landscape specialist, as part of the initial application. The final restoration plan shall be submitted for City review and approval prior to Grading Permit issuance. The plan shall include, but not be limited to the following	Require wetland creation or restoration plans as specified in the measure where projects would result in wetland impacts.	-Prior to approval of future projects -At site inspection.	-Once per project application. -At least once, as required.	PCD			

Mitigation Measure/Condition of Approval	Action Required	When	Monitoring	Responsible	Comp	liance	/erification
		Monitoring to Occur	Frequency	Agency or Party	Initial	Date	Comments
<ul> <li>components:</li> <li>Performance criteria (i.e., what is an acceptable success level of revegetation to mitigate past impacts);</li> <li>Monitoring effort (i.e., who is to check on the success of the revegetation plan, and how frequently);</li> <li>Contingency planning (i.e., if the effort fails to reach the performance criteria, what remediation steps need to be taken); and</li> <li>Irrigation method/schedule (i.e., how much water is needed, where and for how long).</li> </ul>							
<b>BIO-4(c) City Approval.</b> For projects where wetland areas are affected, the individual project applicants shall demonstrate to the City of Agoura Hills that the requirements of agencies with jurisdiction over wetlands onsite can be met prior to obtaining grading permits. This will include, but not be limited to, consultation with those agencies, securing the appropriate permits, waivers or agreements, and arrangements with a local or regional mitigation bank including in lieu fees, as needed.	Require applicants for projects that would affect wetlands to demonstrate to the City compliance with regulations of other agencies having jurisdiction over wetlands.	Prior to approval of grading permits for future projects.	Once per project application.	PCD			
BIO-4(d) Riparian Habitat Preservation and Restoration. Refer to BIO-2(c) above.	Refer to	BIO-2(c) above.	I				
<b>BIO-4(e) Fencing.</b> Solid barrier fencing onsite shall be prohibited around areas that border open spaces or routes of animal movement, specifically riparian areas. Fencing in these areas shall consist of "ranch style" post fencing. Fencing shall allow at least one-foot of clearance above ground to permit wildlife movement. Fencing between creekside trails and the creeks shall be designed to limit human entry into significant habitat. Such fencing or vegetative barrier shall be at least four feet in height and shall be planted with spinescent plants	Require fencing proposed around areas that border open spaces or routes of animal movement to allow for wildlife movement as specified.	-Prior to approval of future projects -At site inspection.	-Once per project application. -At least once, as required.	PCD			

Mitigation Measure/Condition of Approval	Action Required	When	Monitoring	Responsible	Com	oliance	Verification
	Action Required	Monitoring to Occur	Frequency	Agency or Party	Initial	Date	Comments
such as wild rose, blackberry, or other suitable native species in a dense bramble.		1					
<ul> <li>BIO-4(f) Corridor Lighting. The following low-light design features shall be implemented throughout the Specific Plan area, and shown on the individual project plans submitted as part of the application.</li> <li>Streetlight poles shall be of an appropriate height to reduce the glare and pooling of light into open space and corridor areas, and</li> <li>Street light elements shall be recessed or hoods shall be used to reduce glare impacts on open space and corridor areas, and</li> <li>All exterior lighting shall be low sodium lights, low intensity, shielded, and directed away from the drainage/wildlife corridors corridor.</li> </ul>	Require the specified low- light design features for projects in the plan area, and that these be shown on project plans.	-Prior to approval of future projects -At site inspection.	-Once per project application. -At least once, as required.	PCD			
BIO 6(a) Coastal Sage Scrub Habitat Survey. As part of the sensitive plant surveys required under Mitigation Measure BIO-1(a), prior to approval of individual development applications within the residual natural areas of Zones A south, B, E, and F, surveys for sensitive plant species shall also include surveys and consideration of adjacent areas of Coastal Sage Scrub habitat. A qualified biologist shall determine the condition of such habitat and whether it would be considered of "high value." Any areas identified as "high value" Coastal Sage Scrub habitat shall mitigate for disturbed (including disturbance for fuel modification) or removed CSS habitat at a minimum 1:1 ratio. Coastal Sage Scrub habitat with known occurrences of sensitive (endangered or threatened) species shall be mitigated at a minimum 2:1 ratio.	Require sensitive plant surveys in the areas identified to include surveys and consideration of adjacent areas of Coastal Sage Scrub habitat, and projects to include mitigation and monitoring as specified where appropriate.	-Prior to approval of future projects -At site inspection.	-Once per project application. -At least once, as required.	PCD			

Mitigation Measure/Condition of Approval	Action Required	When	Monitoring	Responsible	Comp	liance	Verification
		Monitoring to Occur	Frequency	Agency or Party	Initial	Date	Comments
<b>BIO 6(b) Fuel Modification Areas.</b> Landscaping within fire clearance zones shall include native species indigenous to the area of disturbance. Modification of fire hazard fuels shall be limited to hand thinning of individual shrubs, clearing dead fuel, replanting with fire-resistant plants indigenous to the area, or other methods to attain fire safety while producing a viable natural and native vegetation community. No species identified as invasive on the CNPS, Channel Islands Chapter Invasive Plants List (1997) shall be utilized in the landscape plans and all landscaping plans shall be approved by the City and the County Fire Department.	Require that the specified standards be applied to landscaping within identified fire clearance zones. Ensure landscape plan review and approval by PCD and the County Fire Department.	-Prior to approval of future projects -At site inspection.	-Once per project application. -At least once, as required.	PCD			
GEOLOGY	I	A.,,,,,,	1		•		
<b>GEO-1(a) Building Design.</b> All buildings shall be engineered to withstand the expected design basis ground acceleration that may occur at the project site. All critical facilities shall be designed to withstand the upper bound earthquake ground motion. The design shall take into consideration the most current and applicable seismic attenuation methods that are	Require that structures are built to the standards listed and comply with the CBC and Municipal Code.	-Prior to approval of building or grading permits for future projects.	-Once per project application.	PCD B&S			
available. All onsite structures shall comply with applicable provisions of the California Building Code and Chapter 1 of Article 8 of the Agoura Hills Municipal Code. Compliance with these requirements shall be verified by the City Building Official prior to issuance of a Building Permit or Grading Permit.		-At site inspection.	-At least once, as required.				
<b>GEO-1(b) Geotechnical Recommendations.</b> Future development shall require, and comply with, all recommendations contained in site-specific geologic, geotechnical, and structural design studies prepared for subsequent development activities. Subsequent subsurface investigations shall determine the possible presence of seismically induced hazards and appropriate	Ensure that, where required, geologic, geotechnical, and structural design studies determine the presence of seismically induced hazards, as well as other factors, and	-Prior to approval of building or grading permits for future projects.	-Once per project application.	PCD B&S			

Mitigation Measure/Condition of Approval	Action Required	When	Monitoring	Responsible	Comp	liance	Verification
	Abion Requireu	Monitoring to Occur	Frequency	Agency or Party	Initial	Date	Comments
means of mitigating such hazards. Recommendations contained in these site-specific studies shall be reviewed and approved by the City Building Official and incorporated in to final grading and structural design plans, as deemed appropriate by the City Building Official. At a minimum, any buildings considered essential facilities, as defined in the Uniform or California building codes, shall be designed to withstand upper bound earthquake ground motion. All on-site structures shall comply with applicable provisions of the 1997 Uniform Building Code and the 1998 California Building Code. The calculated design base ground motion for the site shall take into consideration the soil type, potential for liquefaction, and the most current and applicable seismic attenuation methods that are available.	appropriate means of mitigating hazards. Ensure that development adheres to recommendations of such studies as deemed appropriate by B&S. Ensure that final plans are consistent with the measure.	-At site inspection.	-At least once, as required.				
<b>GEO-2 Liquefaction Studies</b> . Prior to construction of new development within the Specific Plan area, site- specific geologic and soils studies shall be performed. The studies shall include site-specific depth to groundwater and soil composition identification, with minimum boring depths as set forth in CDMG 1997 (Callfornia Department of Conservation, Division of Mines and Geology, 1997, Guidelines for Evaluating and Mitigating Seismic Hazards in California, Special Publication 117). Areas having liquefiable sediments shall be identified, and structures shall be properly designed to Uniform Building Code and California Building Code standards to withstand the conditions. Such studies shall be conducted and submitted for review and approval by the City prior to issuance of a Grading Permit.	Ensure that, where required, geologic and soils studies are consistent with the mitigation measure, and that development adheres to study recommendations as well as to the CBC as deemed appropriate by B&S. Ensure that studies are adequate and that final plans are consistent with the measure.	-Prior to approval of grading permits for future projects. -At site inspection.	-Once per project application. -At least once, as required.	PCD B&S			
Suitable measures to reduce liquefaction include, but are not limited to:							

Mitigation Measure/Condition of Approval	Action Required	When	Monitoring	Responsible	Com	oliance	Verification
		Monitoring to Occur	Frequency	Agency or Party	Initial	Date	Comments
<ul> <li>Specialized design of foundations by a structural engineer;</li> <li>Removal or treatment of liquefiable soils to reduce the potential for liquefaction;</li> <li>In-situ densification of soils;</li> <li>Other alterations to ground characteristics.</li> </ul>	Ensure that, where	-Prior to	-Once per	PCD			
GEO-3(a) Geotechnical Evaluation. Individual developments shall provide site-specific geotechnical evaluations and geological reports that address onsite soils and slope stability hazards as part of the initial application process. Prior to approval of a specific development plan, these studies shall be submitted to the City Planning and Community Development Department and/or consultants hired by the City for review and approval as part of the initial application process. These evaluations shall determine the potential for adverse soil stability impacts and shall identify appropriate mitigation techniques. All mitigation recommendations identified in site-specific studies shall be implemented as a condition of future development. Such measures may include avoidance of development in areas found to have unmitigable soil or geologic hazards, soil or grading modifications to ensure acceptable slope stability on manufactured slopes, structural measures to ensure slope stability, drainage control facilities to collect and direct water off of slopes, removal of loose cobbles and boulders from adjacent slopes, and/or other measures deemed appropriate to ensure proper slope stability. If site-specific geologic mitigation measures are found to cause secondary environmental effects not addressed herein (excessive import or export of soil material, retaining walls, blasting, etc.), subsequent environmental analysis, may be required.	required, site-specific geotechnical evaluations and geological reports address onsite soils and slope stability hazards, as well as other factors, and appropriate means of mitigating hazards. Ensure that development adheres to recommendations of such studies as deemed appropriate by B&S. Ensure that studies as well as final plans are reviewed and found consistent with the measure. Ensure that secondary effects of mitigation are also addressed, including subsequent environmental review if warranted.	-Phorito approval of permits for future projects -At site inspection.	-Once per project application. -At least once, as required.	B&S			

Mitigation Measure/Condition of Approval	Action Required	When Monitoring to Occur	Monitoring Frequency	Responsible Agency or Party	Compliance Verification			
					Initial	Date	Comments	
<b>GEO-3(b) Erosion Control Plan</b> . A site-specific erosion control plan that incorporates best management practices shall be prepared by individual applicants and approved by the City prior to the granting of any grading permits for an individual development within the project area. Measures identified in such plans shall be implemented. Such measures may include slope protection measures, netting and sandbagging, landscaping and possibly hydroseeding, temporary drainage control facilities such as retention areas, etc. Landscaping shall be designed by a licensed landscape architect with final landscaping plans to be reviewed and approved by the City Building Official prior to project approval.	Require submittal and implementation of site- specific erosion control plans for future projects. Ensure that landscape plans are prepared by a licensed landscape architect and that final landscaping plans are reviewed and approved by the City Building Official.	-Prior to approval of permits for future projects -At site inspection.	-Once per project application. -At least once, as required.	PCD B&S				
<b>GEO-3(c) City Oversight and Approval.</b> The City Engineer or equivalent shall inspect a project after the final grading report has been filed. The project shall not be approved for construction by the City Engineer or equivalent until all hazards either caused by project grading or associated with adjoining geologic and soils conditions, such as erosion and slope instability, are mitigated to the City's specifications.	Inspect development sites after filing of final grading report. Ensure that building permits are not issued until all hazards as specified in the measure are mitigated.	After the final grading report has been filed and prior to issuance of building permits for future projects.	Once per project application.	PCD B&S				
GEO-4(a) Test Blast/Vibration Study & Blasting Plan. If a site-specific geologic, geotechnical, or structural design study deems blasting necessary for grading and excavation onsite, the applicant must perform a test blast/vibration study to evaluate the variation in vibratory ground motion intensity with respect to distance from the blast site. It must be shown that the blasting can be done safely with respect to existing improvements. A blasting plan shall be provided as part of the vibration	Require test blast/vibration studies as part of the initial application submittal to PCD for applicable future projects, consistent with the standards in the mitigation measure. The City Council and Fire Marshall, in addition to PCD, shall review and approve	With initial application / prior to approval of permits for future projects.	Once per project application.	PCD B&S				

.

Mitigation Measure/Condition of Approval	Action Required	When Monitoring to Occur	Monitoring Frequency	Responsible Agency or Party	Compliance Verification			
					Initial	Date	Comments	
study, and submitted as part of the initial application submittal to the City Planning and Community Development Department, City Council and Fire Marshall for approval. Blasting permit approval would be subject to the City's discretion and may be denied. If the City were to approve the blasting plan, at a minimum it should be designed to minimize ground shaking away from the blast area. Any areas having unstable slopes or rockfall hazards shall be secured to prevent injury or property damage. If approved, the permittee shall provide sufficient supervisory control as determined by the building official during the grading operation to ensure compliance with approved plans and with the municipal code. When found necessary by the City Building Official, the permittee shall employ a qualified geologist and foundation engineer to assist in supervising the grading operation. If a blasting permit is denied by the City, the applicant shall prepare an alternative application for development which excludes the need for blasting.	blasting plans.		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
GEO-5(a) Foundations and Project Infrastructure Design. As provided in mitigation measure GEO-3(a), a site specific geotechnical evaluation shall be conducted for individual projects and submitted to the City Planning and Community Development Department for review and approval as part of the initial application. If the project site is identified to be in a high expansive soil zone based on the site specific Geotechnical Investigation, the foundations and project infrastructure shall be designed by a structural engineer to withstand the existing conditions or the site shall be graded in such a manner as to address the condition.	Require that, for projects in a high expansive soil zone, the foundations and project infrastructure are designed by a structural engineer to withstand the existing conditions, or that the site is graded in such a manner as to address the condition.	-Prior to approval of future projects -At site inspection.	-Once per project application. -At least once, as required.	PCD B&S				

Mitigation Measure/Condition of Approval	Action Required	When Monitoring to Occur	Monitoring Frequency	Responsible Agency or Party	Compliance Verification			
					Initial	Date	Comments	
techniques, as determined by a qualified geotechnical engineer:								
<ul> <li>excavation of existing soils and importation of non-expansive soils; and</li> <li>foundation design to accommodate certain amounts of differential expansion such as posttensional slab and/or ribbed foundations designed in accordance with Chapter 18, Division III of the UBC; imported fill shall be tested to ensure it is suitable to be used as fill.</li> </ul>	<i>*</i> .							
<b>GEO-5(b) Soils and Foundation Report.</b> To avoid soil- related hazards, the individual project applicants shall provide a soils/foundation report as part of the initial project application to the City Planning and Community Development Department (standard requirement).	Require soils/foundation reports as part of the initial application submittal to PCD for applicable future projects.	With initial application.	Once per project application.	PCD				
<b>GEO-6(a) Settlement Related Mitigation</b> . Future development shall comply with all recommendations contained in site-specific geologic, geotechnical, and structural design studies as required to be prepared for subsequent development activities. Subsequent subsurface investigations shall determine the required degree of compaction and the proper moisture content and appropriate means of mitigating settlement related hazards. Recommendations contained in these site- specific studies shall be reviewed and approved by the City Planning and Community Development Department and City Building Official and incorporated into final grading and structural design plans, as deemed appropriate by the City Building Official prior to issuance of a Grading Permit and/or Building Permit. At a minimum, suitable measures to reduce settlement impacts shall include, but not be limited to:	Ensure that future development complies with all recommendations contained in site-specific geologic, geotechnical, and structural design studies. Ensure that studies as well as final plans are reviewed and approved by PCD and B&S and found consistent with the measure.	-Prior to approval of future projects -At site inspection.	-Once per project application. -At least once, as required.	PCD B&S				

Mitigation Measure/Condition of Approval	Action Required	When	Monitoring Frequency	Responsible Agency or Party	Compliance Verification			
		Monitoring to Occur			Initial	Date	Comments	
<ul> <li>Removal of organic material in the area of the proposed grading</li> <li>Removal of non-engineered artificial fill in areas to receive engineered fill or in areas where structural support is required</li> <li>Placement of a keyway at the bottom of all fill slopes a minimum depth of 3 feet and down to the bedrock with the keyway a minimum of 10 feet wide (unless otherwise determined by the site-specific geological study)</li> <li>Fill soils shall be benched into the hillside</li> <li>Removal of upper soils to the bedrock</li> </ul> After excavation: <ul> <li>All bottoms of the excavations and areas to receive slabs shall be scarified and compacted to 90%</li> <li>All fills and backfills should be placed in horizontal layers less than 8 inches in loose thickness</li> <li>Soils shall be compacted to a minimum of 90% of the maximum density rendered by the latest ASTM version</li> <li>Moisture content should not vary more than 2% from the optimum moisture content, although the grading process will be more easily accomplished with the soils being 1 – 2 % wetter than optimum moisture content <ul> <li>Any utility trenches will need to be properly backfilled as detailed above</li> <li>Any import soils should be approved by a qualified geologist</li> <li>Slope faces shall be compacted to at least 90% of maximum compaction</li> </ul></li></ul>								

Mitigation Measure/Condition of Approval	Action Required	When Monitoring to Occur	Monitoring Frequency	Responsible Agency or Party	Compliance Verification			
					Initial	Date	Comments	
<b>GEO-6(b)</b> Additional Environmental Review. If individual developers are unable to find a disposal site for construction cut within 12.5 miles of the Specific Plan area, or if processed soil is not suitable for fill, then individual projects may require additional environmental analysis. Individual developers must demonstrate a means for disposal of excess cut materials, within 12.5 miles of the project site, prior to approval by the City.	Require developers to demonstrate a means for disposal of excess cut materials, within 12.5 miles of the project site, prior to approval by the City. Ensure that additional environmental analysis is performed in circumstances specified in the measure.	-Prior to approval of future projects -At site inspection.	-Once per project application. -At least once, as required.	PCD B&S				
HAZARDOUS MATERIALS		I	L			L		
HAZ-3 Phase I ESA. As part of the initial project application submittal for a new project or for revitalization of an existing development, a project applicant shall be required to prepare a Phase I Environmental Site Assessment (ESA) to examine the potential for onsite contamination issues. For redevelopment of existing structures, the Phase I ESA shall include examination of the possible presence of asbestos containing materials and lead based paint. In the event that recognized adverse environmental conditions are identified, additional Phase II environmental testing shall be performed and recommended mitigation requirements implemented. If necessary, remediation activities (i.e. excavation and removal of contaminated soils, vapor extraction, removal of contamination source) shall be performed under the supervision of a lead oversight agency to be determined based on the nature of the issue identified. If remediation activities are required, the lead oversight agency shall provide confirmation to the City that onsite environmental issues have been mitigated to a level that is suitable for the anticipated site	Require Phase I ESAs as part of the initial application submittal to PCD for applicable future projects, with scopes as defined in the measure. Require Phase II ESAs where recommended by the results of the Phase I. Ensure that appropriate remediation is carried when required to the satisfaction of the specific oversight agency by obtaining confirmation from the agency.	-With initial application -Prior to approval of permits for future projects.	-Once per project application. -Once per project application.	PCD				

Mitigation Measure/Condition of Approval	Action Required	When	Monitoring	Responsible	Com	pliance	Verification
	Action Required	Monitoring to Occur	Frequency	Agency or Party	Initial	Date	Comments
use or reuse.		1					···
HISTORIC AND ARCHAEOLOGICAL RESOURCES	L	1				. <b>.</b>	
<ul> <li>HA-1(a) Protection of Known Cultural Resources.</li> <li>Prior to development, as part of the initial project application, a qualified archaeologist and Native American Monitor shall make a reasonable effort to identify archaeological resources from known archaeological sites (as listed in EIR Section 4.6.1.b) within the project area. If it can be demonstrated that a project will cause damage to a unique archaeological resource, a reasonable effort shall be made to permit any or all of these resources to be preserved in place or left in an undisturbed state. As part of the applicant's initial project application, the preferred method of protection/treatment shall be submitted to the City's Community Development Department for review and approval. Examples of that treatment, in no order of preference, may include, but are not limited to, the following:</li> <li>Planning construction to avoid archaeological sites where feasible.</li> <li>Deeding archaeological sites into permanent conservation easements.</li> <li>Planning parks, greenspace, or other open space to incorporate archaeological sites.</li> <li>Dedication of informational booth which explains Native American cultural heritage and displays recovered artifacts from the project site.</li> <li>Salvage and recordation of resources by a qualified archaeologist. These resources shall be preserved onsite in an interpretive center, designed under the review of both the Native American Heritage</li> </ul>	Require a reasonable effort to identify known archaeological resources as part of the initial application submittal to PCD for applicable future projects. Ensure that applications include protection/ treatment measures when warranted as described in the mitigation measure. Ensure compliance with the requirements of California Public Resources Code 21083.2.c.	With initial application / prior to approval of permits for future projects.	Twice per project application.	PCD			

Mitigation Measure/Condition of Approval	Action Required	When	Monitoring	Responsible	Com	oliance	Verification
	Action Required	Monitoring to Occur	Frequency	Agency or Party	Initial	Date	Comments
Commission and the City of Agoura Hills. Pursuant to Public Resources Code 21083.2.c., the project applicant shall provide a guarantee to the lead agency to pay one-half the estimated cost of mitigating the significant effects of the project on unique archaeological resources. In determining payment, the lead agency shall give due consideration to the in-kind value of project design or expenditures that are intended to permit any or all archaeological resources or California Native American culturally significant sites to be preserved in place or left in an undisturbed state. When a final decision is made to carry out or approve the project, the lead agency shall, if necessary, reduce the specified mitigation measures to those which can be funded with the money guaranteed by the project applicant plus the money voluntarily guaranteed by any other person or persons for those mitigation purposes. In order to allow time for interested persons to provide the funding guarantee referred to in this subdivision, a final decision to carry out or approve a project shall not occur sooner than 60 days after completion of this environmental impact report. For time and cost limitations refer to 21083.2(e).							
HA-1(b) Construction Monitoring. Initial grading activities near archaeological sites CA-LAN-1436, CA- LAN-1352, and CA-LAN-41 shall be monitored by a qualified archaeologist and Native American Monitor. If cultural resource remains are encountered during construction or land modification activities, the applicable procedures established under CEQA (CEQA Guidelines §15064.5). In this event the City 's Department of Planning and Community Development shall be notified at once and work shall stop within a 100 ft radius until a qualified archaeologist satisfactory to the City has	Require construction monitoring as specified in the measure for grading near the identified known sites. Ensure CEQA and City guidelines and the standards in the measure are followed if cultural resource remains are	-During grading for future projects -At site inspection.	-Once. -At least once, as required.	PCD			

Mitigation Measure/Condition of Approval	Action Required	When	Monitoring	Responsible	Com	oliance	Verification
	Abion Required	Monitoring to Occur	Frequency	Agency or Party	Initial	Date	Comments
assessed the nature, extent, and potential significance of any cultural remains. If such remains are determined to be significant, appropriate actions to mitigate impacts to the remains shall be implemented per Section 21083.2 of the Public Resources Code. Depending upon the nature of the find, mitigation could involve avoidance, documentation, or other appropriate actions, to be determined by a qualified archaeologist.	encountered during grading. Ensure compliance with the requirements of California Public Resources Code 21083.2,c.		<u>}</u>				
HA -1(c) Archaeological Discovery. If human remains are unearthed, State Health and Safety Code Section 7050.5 requires that no further disturbance shall occur until the County Coroner has made the necessary findings as to origin and disposition pursuant to Public Resources Code Section 5097.98. If the remains are determined to be of Native American descent, the coroner has 24 hours to notify the Native American Heritage Commission (NAHC). The NAHC will then identify the person(s) thought to be the Most Likely Descendent (MLD) of the deceased Native American, who will then help determine what course of action should be taken in dealing with the remains.	Ensure compliance with State Health and Safety Code Section 7050.5 and California Public Resources Code Section 5097.98.	During grading for future projects.	Once per project.	PCD			
HYDROLOGY AND WATER QUALITY							
<b>HYD 2 Final Drainage Plans.</b> Individual project applicants shall be required to prepare and submit a final drainage plan, prior to issuance of a grading permit, to the City's Planning and Community Development Department and Los Angeles County Flood Control for approval. Plans shall include detailed design and hydraulic analysis of the drainage facilities that capture	Require submittal of a final drainage plan, consistent with the measure, to PCD and Los Angeles County Flood Control prior to issuance of a grading permit.	-Prior to issuance of a grading permit for future projects -At site	-Once per project application. -At least once,	PCD			
and convey on- and off-site runoff. Each developer shall be required to evaluate the extent of potential flood hazards present utilizing the Modified Rational Method	Ensure that any mitigation meets all interim peak flow	inspection.	as required.				

Mitigation Measure/Condition of Approval	Action Required	When	Monitoring	Responsible	Comp	liance	Verification
		Monitoring to Occur	Frequency	Agency or Party	Initial	Date	Comments
(or the latest model approved by Los Angeles County Flood Control) and to implement mitigation measures required to reduce such impacts to a level of insignificance. The drainage plan for each project shall include post development designs for runoff detention and on site infiltration to reduce 50-year frequency storm peak discharge to the pre development level. These drainage facilities shall meet the design requirements and capacities of the Master Plan of Drainage for the City of Agoura Hills, The Los Angeles County Department of Public Works Hydrology Manual and the Hydrology and Sedimentation Appendix, or other revised hydraulic analyses as determined by the City Engineer, and shall not increase the base flood elevation above or below the project site. Additionally, mitigation shall meet all interim peak flow standards, or the most up to date standards, as established by the LACDPW. The plans shall be subject to review and approval by the City Engineer.	standards, or the most up to date standards as established by the LACDPW and that the plans are reviewed and approved by the City Engineer.						
HYD-3(a) Hydrology Study. If any onsite open channels are altered, a channel bed erosion study shall be conducted as part of a hydrology report submitted to the City as part of the initial application submittal. The erosion study shall determine if additional grade stabilization structures are necessary for any restored areas within Medea Creek or within Lindero Canyon Creek. Recommendations of this study shall be fully implemented subject to review and approval by the City of Agoura Hills and Los Angeles County Public Works Department. Design of modifications to Medea Creek shall meet the standards of the City of Agoura Hills and Los Angeles County Public Works Department, and shall be approved by the City prior to the issuance of grading permits.	Require that a channel bed erosion study, designed as specified in the measure, is part of hydrology reports in initial application submittals of any onsite open channels are altered. Ensure that recommendations of the study are fully implemented subject to review and approval by the City and the Los Angeles County Public Works Department	With initial application / prior to issuance of grading permits for future projects.	Once per project application.	PCD			

Mitigation Measure/Condition of Approval	Action Required	When	Monitoring	Responsible	Com	oliance	Verification
	/ tonon required	Monitoring to Occur	Frequency	Agency or Party	Initial	Date	Comments
<b>HYD-3(b) Public Facilities Flood Protection.</b> Any trunk sewer manholes located adjacent to Lindero Canyon Creek and Medea Creek shall be protected from peak flows laden with debris by further armoring via cement casing, piering, or other appropriate method. A plan to protect the sewerline and exposed manholes from erosion and flooding and from construction activity shall be submitted to the Las Virgenes Municipal Water District for review, comment, and approval prior to the issuance of grading or building permits.	Require a plan to protect the sewerline and exposed manholes as specified in the measure for projects adjacent to the identified creeks. Ensure review and approval by the Las Virgenes Municipal Water District, as well as the City, prior to the issuance of grading or building permits.	Prior to issuance of grading permits for future projects.	Once per project application.	PCD			
NOISE							
N-1 Construction Hours. On-site construction activity, including blasting, or involving the use of equipment or machinery that generates noise levels in excess of the 55 dBA standard shall be limited to between the hours of 7 AM and 8 PM, Monday through Saturday pursuant to City Ordinance 9656 and City Municipal Code Section 9666.4. No construction activity shall occur between 8 PM and 7AM that generates noise in excess of the 50 dBA standard. No construction activity shall take place on Sundays or legal holidays.	Require that project construction schedules adhere to the days, hours and limitations expressed in the condition.	-Prior to approval of future projects -At site inspection.	-Once per project application. -At least once, as required.	PCD			
N-2(a) Rubberized Asphalt. In potentially noise impacted areas within the Specific Plan, the City shall consider and, if feasible, use rubberized asphalt paving material for street re-paving projects. Studies have demonstrated that this type of paving materials can substantially reduce roadway noise. A 1992 noise study in the City of Thousand Oaks by Acoustical Analysis	Ensure that, where applicable, rubberized asphalt paving material is used for street re-paving projects.	-Prior to approval of future projects -At site inspection.	-Once per project application. -At least once, as required.	PCD			

Mitigation Measure/Condition of Approval	Action Required	When	Monitoring	Responsible	Com	liance	Verification
	Abion Required	Monitoring to Occur	Frequency	Agency or Party	Initial	Date	Comments
Associates, Inc. indicated that the use of an asphalt rubber overlay can achieve a noise reduction of from 2 to 5 dBA as compared to standard asphalt.							
<b>N-2(b) Sound Wall.</b> If traffic-related noise problems from U.S. 101 arise within the Specific Plan area, the City shall investigate and, if feasible, implement appropriate measures to reduce noise impacts at affected receptor locations. Such measures may include, but are not limited to, the use of a sound wall along the northern boundary of the Specific Plan area, between Roadside Drive and U.S. 101. It is estimated that a 10-foot high sound wall located adjacent to the southern edge of U.S. 101 would decrease noise levels at the property boundaries on the southern side of Roadside Drive from 78.8 dBA to 69.3 dBA (refer to Appendix E for Sound Barrier Loss Estimation Spread Sheet).	Investigate and, if feasible, implement appropriate measures, which could include a sound wall along Roadside Drive, to reduce noise impacts from Highway 101 at affected receptor locations.	If/when traffic- related noise problems from U.S. 101 arise within the Specific Plan area.	At least once depending on results of initial action.	PCD			
N-3(a) Acoustical Study. A site-specific acoustical study shall be submitted to the City Planning and Community Development Department as part of the initial application for any residential project located within the project area that is exposed to freeway or arterial traffic noise. This study shall contain specific structural and site design recommendations to be incorporated into the project design to mitigate any noise levels that exceed the City's residential exterior standard of 65 CNEL.	Require site-specific acoustical study as part of the initial application for any residential project located within the project area that is exposed to freeway or arterial traffic noise, pursuant to the standards listed.	With initial application / prior to approval of permits for future projects -At site inspection	Twice. -At least once, as required.	PCD			
<b>N-3(b) Operating Hours.</b> Loading dock and delivery truck (i.e. refrigerator trucks, trash and recycling pick-ups) and parking lot sweeping hours shall be restricted to daytime operating hours (7:00 AM to 7:00 PM). Delivery trucks entering and leaving the site shall not block driveways and shall be allowed to idle no more than 15 minutes in any half hour period.	Require that proposed loading, delivery and parking lot sweeping activities for future projects adhere to the hours and standards specified.	-Prior to approval of future projects -At site inspection.	-Once per project application. -At least once, as required.	PCD			

the second course the sheat the second second

Mitigation Measure/Condition of Approval	Action Required	When	Monitoring	Responsible	Com	pliance	Verification
		Monitoring to Occur	Frequency	Agency or Party	Initial	Date	Comments
N-3(c) Loading Dock Location. To the degree feasible, loading docks and delivery areas shall be located out of line of sight and/or oriented away from nearby residences.	Require that proposed loading docks and delivery areas for future projects adhere to the standards specified.	-Prior to approval of future projects -At site inspection.	-Once per project application. -At least once, as required.	PCD			
<b>N-3(d) Ventilation Noise.</b> Parapets that reduce noise from rooftop ventilation systems shall be installed on all project structures.	Require that projects with rooftop ventilation systems include noise-reducing parapets.	-Prior to approval of future projects -At site inspection.	-Once per project application. -At least once, as required.	PCD			
<b>N-3(e) Parking Lot Noise</b> . Surface-texturing materials and landscaping shrubs and trees shall be used in the parking areas to reduce parking lot related noise.	Require that proposed parking lots include the specified features.	-Prior to approval of future projects -At site inspection.	-Once per project application. -At least once, as required.	PCD			
<b>N-3(f) Mechanical Equipment.</b> All exterior mechanical equipment shall be oriented away from adjacent residential uses and shall be fitted with sound-rated parapets.	Require exterior mechanical equipment to be oriented away from adjacent residential uses and fitted with sound-rated parapets.	-Prior to approval of future projects -At site inspection.	-Once per project application. -At least once, as required.	PCD			
<ul> <li>N-3(g) Interior Noise. At a minimum, all on-site structures shall include the following or equivalent to achieve an acceptable interior noise level of 45 CNEL:</li> <li>Air conditioning or a mechanical ventilation system so that windows and doors may remain closed</li> <li>Double-paned windows and sliding glass doors mounted in low air infiltration rate frames (0.5 cubic feet per minute, per ANSI specifications)</li> <li>Solid core exterior doors with perimeter weather</li> </ul>	Ensure that proposed structures include the listed items to reduce interior noise below 45 CNEL.	-Prior to approval of future projects -At site inspection.	-Once per project application. -At least once, as required.	PCD			

Key: PCD – City of Agoura Hills Planning and Community Development Department B&S – City of Agoura Hills Building and Safety .

Mitigation Measure/Condition of Approval	Action Required	When	Monitoring	Responsible	Com	oliance	Verification
		Monitoring to Occur	Frequency	Agency or Party	Initial	Date	Comments
<ul> <li>stripping and threshold seals</li> <li>Roof and attic vents facing away from Highway 101</li> <li>Incorporation of these design requirements would be expected to achieve an interior noise level reduction of 25 dB or greater.</li> </ul>							
PUBLIC SERVICES							
<ul> <li>PS-3(a) Fuel Modification Plan (FMP). Individual project applicants shall develop a Fuel Modification Plan for all development areas within or adjacent to wildland fire hazard areas. These plans shall be subject to review and approval by the Los Angeles County Fire Department Fuel Modification Unit. The FMP shall be submitted to the City Planning and Community Development Department for approval prior to issuance of a grading or building permit.</li> <li>Funding and execution of all measures required in the FMP shall be the responsibility of individual developers or land owners. Prior to approval of the FMP the City shall confirm that appropriate easements have been secured and that long-term funding mechanisms area in place to ensure successful implementation of the FMP.</li> </ul>	Require Fuel Modification Plans for proposed development within or adjacent to wildland fire hazard areas. Ensure review and approval by the Los Angeles County Fire Department Fuel Modification Unit.	-Prior to issuance of a grading or building permit. -At site inspection.	-Once per project application. -At least once, as required.	PCD			
<b>PS-3(b)</b> Landscape Palette. The landscape palette for the project shall prohibit the use of highly flammable species near areas of open space.	Ensure that landscape plans prohibit the use of highly flammable vegetation near open space areas.	-Prior to approval of permits for future projects -At site inspection.	-Once per project application. -At least once, as required.	PCD			
PS-3(c) Roundabout Engineering. Further detailed	City to ensure that detailed	-Prior to	-Once for the	PCD	1		

Mitigation Measure/Condition of Approval	Action Required	When	Monitoring	Responsible	Com	oliance	Verification
	, one interaction	Monitoring to Occur	Frequency	Agency or Party	Initial	Date	Comments
engineering design shall be performed for the proposed roundabout at the intersection of Agoura Road and Kanan Road. The engineering design shall incorporate the applicable geometric features required to accommodate the forecast vehicular, bicycle and pedestrian movements, and safety personnel/emergency	engineering design is completed for the identified public improvement, consistent with the measure.	finalization of roundabout design.	design studies.				
access. The engineering design shall incorporate the appropriate capacity and safety elements at the roundabout for both pedestrians and motorists.	Six months after completion of the roundabout, the City shall commission post – construction monitoring of operational and safety characteristics of the roundabout. This monitoring shall include but not be limited to: Monitoring of vehicle flows, delays and queuing to determine LOS; and the monitoring and assessment of pedestrian and bicycle movements during peak periods. The results of the monitoring shall be reported to the City Council as soon as practical after completion of the program. Upon review of the monitoring report, the City Council shall determine if additional monitoring is necessary and, if so, the scope and the frequency of such monitoring.	-Monitoring to occur once following completion of the roundabout, or as otherwise directed by City Council following review of the initial monitoring results.	-To be determined based on the results of initial monitoring.				
PS-3(d) Emergency Access. The proposed	City to provide public	Prior to	Once.	PCD			
roundabout at the intersection of Kanan and Agoura	education materials, such	finalization of					

Mitigation Measure/Condition of Approval	Action Required	When	Monitoring	Responsible	Comp	liance	Verification
		Monitoring to Occur	Frequency	Agency or Party	Initial	Date	Comments
Road has the potential to restrict access to safety personnel and emergency vehicles. Public education should include information on driver behavior in the event of an emergency vehicle, which is similar to the driver behavior required at conventional intersections. All approaches to the roundabout shall contain two lanes. Vehicles in queue in front of an emergency vehicle would either move to another lane or move through the roundabout to facilitate passage of the emergency vehicle. The design of the roundabout shall include a mountable apron on the island and mountable splitter islands. In the event of blockage of the circulatory roadway, these elements would provide for sufficient width within the roundabout for passage of emergency vehicles.	as signs near approach of roundabout, (other education materials may include an online tutorial). Additionally, the City shall review design and installation of mountable apron and splinter islands.	roundabout design.					
<b>PS-4(a) Design Approval.</b> Project plans shall be submitted to the Los Angeles County Sheriff's Department Lost Hills Substation for review and comment. All recommendations made by the Department, including, but not limited to, those pertaining to site access, site security, lighting, and requirements for onsite security, shall be incorporated into the design of the project, prior to approval of final building permits.	City to ensure LA County Sheriff's Department review of project plans consistent with the measure, and that the Sheriff's comments be incorporated into the project.	Prior to project approvals.	Once.	PCD			
<b>PS-4(b) Roundabout Engineering.</b> Refer to Mitigation Measure PS-3(c). This measure shall also be subject to review and approval by the Los Angeles County Sheriff's Department.	City to ensure that detailed engineering design for the Agoura/Kanan roads roundabout is reviewed and approved by the LA County Sheriff's Department.	Prior to finalization of roundabout design.	Once.	PCD			
<b>PS-5(a) In Lieu Fees.</b> Individual project applicants shall pay the statutory school fees in effect at the time of issuance of building permits to the appropriate school districts. If permissible, at the time the application is	Ensure statutory school fees are collected by the School District as required.	Prior to issuance of building permits.	Once per project approval.	PCD			

Mitigation Measure/Condition of Approval	Action Required	When	Monitoring	Responsible	Comp	liance	Verification
		Monitoring to Occur	Frequency	Agency or Party	Initial	Date	Comments
processed, these fees shall include additional District costs associated with impacts to student transportation or other measures to alleviate student transportation overcrowding (e.g. pro-rata contribution to new school transportation systems, student carpooling bulletin boards, etc.)				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
<b>PS-5(b) School District Noticing</b> . The applicant shall notify the Las Virgenes Unified School District of the expected buildout date of the project as soon as possible to allow the District to plan in advance for new students.	Ensure that applicants notify the Las Virgenes Unified School District of the expected buildout date of their projects.	Prior to issuance of building permits.	Once per project approval.	PCD			
TRANSPORTATION AND CIRCULATION							
T-2(a) Kanan Road/Canwood Street - U.S. 101 Northbound Ramps intersection (A.M. and P.M. peak hour): Additional capacity will need to be provided at this intersection to obtain acceptable operations. As part of the Kanan Interchange Projects, the future geometry for the southbound approach of the intersection includes three southbound through lanes and a separate right-turn lane. One southbound through lane is a trap lane onto the Northbound On-Ramp, and two through lanes would continue onto the overpass.	Ensure that funding is secured and the specified improvements are implemented.	After plan adoption as individual projects are proposed.	Ongoing.	PCD			
Future cumulative peak hour volumes on the southbound through approach would exceed 2,000 vehicles per hour (vhp) during the A.M. peak hour and would exceed 1,700 vph during the P.M. peak hour. These volumes indicate the need for additional southbound capacity.							
Additional measures that would be necessary include restriping of the southbound approach to three through lanes and a shared through/right -turn lane would improve the intersection operations to LOS D during the A.M. peak hour and LOS C during the P.M. peak hour.							

Mitigation Measure/Condition of Approval	Action Required	When	Monitoring	Responsible	Com	liance	Verification
	, with required	Monitoring to Occur	Frequency	Agency or Party	Initial	Date	Comments
This mitigation would require that the Northbound on- ramp approach be moved 16 feet (4.9 m) to the west and the overpass be restriped from two southbound lanes to three southbound lanes. The southbound direction on the overpass contains 43.5 feet (13.3 m), which is sufficient to accommodate three 11.8 feet (3.6 m) wide lanes and a 4 feet (1.2 m) wide bike lane. Additional widening on the eastbound approach (Canwood Street) is required to provide LOS C during the A.M. peak hour. The eastbound approach would need to be widened from one left-turn lane and one right- turn lane to one left-turn lane, a shared left/right-turn lane, and a right-turn lane. The mitigated geometry is shown below and the mitigated levels of service are shown below in Tables 4.11-9 and 4.11-10.	ж.,						
T-2(b) Palo Camado Canyon Road/U.S. 101 Northbound Ramps intersection (A.M. and P.M. peak hour): City staff have indicated that several improvement options for the intersection are being evaluated as part of the EIR underway for the Heschel West school site proposed east of Palo Camado Canyon Road within County limits. Improvement options that are evaluated include installation of a signal, widening of the overpass and/or intersection approaches, and construction of a roundabout. It is noted that the cumulative traffic forecasts derived from the Agoura Hills Traffic Model did not include any traffic volumes associated with the proposed Heschel West school site. The future evaluation process for the intersection and/or the U.S. 101/Palo Camado Canyon-Dorothy Drive	Ensure that funding is secured and the specified improvements are implemented.	After plan adoption as individual projects are proposed.	Ongoing.	PCD			
the U.S. 101/Palo Camado Canyon-Dorothy Drive interchange would likely be through the Caltrans process, which would evaluate all future traffic volumes (including							

Mitigation Measure/Condition of Approval	Action Required	When Monitoring to Occur	Monitoring Frequency	Responsible Agency or Party	Compliance Verification			
					Initial	Date	Comments	
the Heschel West school traffic) and mitigation options. It is anticipated that the ultimate intersection and/or interchange improvements would provide for acceptable levels of service at this location during the peak hours. The project would contribute its proportionate share to any improvement that will be elected for this intersection.					1			
T-2(c) Reyes Adobe Road/Canwood Street intersection (P.M. peak hour): The City has programmed the widening of the northbound approach as part of the U.S. 101/Reyes Adobe interchange improvement project. After implementation of the proposed improvements, the intersection would operate at LOS A during the P.M. peak hour, thereby reducing the project's impact to a level of insignificance. It is noted that no implementation schedule has been developed for this project at this time. (The mitigated level of service is shown in the EIR in Table 4.11-10.)	None required.	n/a	n/a	n/a				
T-2(d) Reyes Adobe Road/U.S. 101 Southbound Ramps intersection (P.M. peak hour): The City has programmed the widening of this intersection as part of the U.S. 101/Reyes Adobe interchange improvement project. After construction, the intersection would operate at LOS C during the P.M. peak hour, thereby reducing the project's effect to less than significant. It is noted that no implementation schedule has been developed for this project at this time. The mitigated level of service is shown above in Table 4.11-10.	None required.	n/a	n/a	n/a				
T-2(e) Reyes Adobe Road/Agoura Road intersection (P.M. peak hour): Restriping the southbound approach to provide dual left-turn lanes and a right-turn lane, and providing additional capacity on the westbound approach would result in LOS C during the P.M. peak hour, thereby	Ensure that funding is secured and the specified improvements are implemented.	After plan adoption as individual projects are proposed.	Ongoing.	PCD				

Mitigation Measure/Condition of Approval	Action Required	When Monitoring to Occur	Monitoring Frequency	Responsible Agency or Party	Compliance Verification			
					Initial	Date	Comments	
reducing the project's impact to less than significant. There are two receiving lanes on all three legs of this intersection. The southbound approach contains one left-turn lane and the right-turn lane which are separated by a wide striped channelization island. There is sufficient pavement width between the raised median and the western curb (43 ft) to restripe the approach to two left-turn lanes and a right-turn lane. In addition, the westbound approach should be restriped to a shared through/right-turn lane and a dedicated right-turn lane, or be widened to include an additional lane (through, through-right, and right-turn lane) to provide LOS C during the P.M. peak hour. The mitigated level of service is shown in the EIR in Table 4.11-10.								
<b>T-2(f) Kanan Road/Canwood Street (E) intersection</b> (P.M. peak hour): This intersection was recently reconstructed as part of the Kanan Road/U.S. 101 interchange improvement project. Kanan Road contains two northbound through lanes and a right-turn lane; the southbound approach contains a left-turn lane and three through lanes. A third northbound through lane (two through lanes and a through-right-turn lane) is required to provide LOS C during the P.M. peak hour. This mitigation measure would require some widening of the north side of the intersection for 200 ft or more to provide three receiving lanes. The mitigated level of service is shown in the EIR in Table 4.11-10.	Ensure that funding is secured and the specified improvements are implemented.	After plan adoption as individual projects are proposed.	Ongoing.	PCD				
T-2(g) Kanan Road/Roadside Drive - U.S. 101 Southbound Ramps intersection (P.M. peak hour): Additional capacity on the northbound and southbound approaches will need to be provided at this intersection to provide LOS C operations. The required improvements are outlined below:	Ensure that funding is secured and the specified improvements are implemented.	After plan adoption as individual projects are proposed.	Ongoing.	PCD				

Mitigation Measure/Condition of Approval	Action Required	When Monitoring to Occur	Monitoring Frequency	Responsible Agency or Party	Compliance Verification			
					Initial	Date	Comments	
There are three northbound receiving lanes provided on the north side of the intersection. Under the proposed intersection design, two lanes continue onto the overpass and one lane traps into the U.S. 101 Southbound On- Ramp. The northbound approach would contain one through lane and one shared through/right-turn lane. This approach should be widened to provide two through lanes and one shared through/right-turn lane. Under the proposed intersection design, the southbound approach would contain one left-turn lane, two through lanes and one right-turn lane. To provide LOS C during the P.M. peak hour, a second southbound left-turn lane is needed. There is sufficient roadway width provided on the north leg of the intersection and the overpass to provide dual left-turn lanes, two through lanes and a right-turn lane on the southbound approach, and retain the three northbound receiving lanes provided on the north side of the intersection. The bike lane on the southbound approach shown on the proposed intersection design may need to be eliminated. It is noted that the lane widths on the north leg (11-foot left-turn lanes, 11-foot through lanes and 12 to 13-foot right-turn lanes) would be less than the lane widths specified by Caltrans (12-foot left-turn lanes), and would require approval of a design exception.								
Additionally, the east leg of the intersection (Roadside Drive) would need to be widened to the south to provide two receiving lanes.								
Implementation of the above improvements would result in LOS C (V/C 0.78). The mitigated geometry is shown below followed by the mitigated level of service as shown								

Mitigation Measure/Condition of Approval	Action Required	When Monitoring to Occur	Monitoring Frequency	Responsible Agency or Party	Compliance Verification			
					Initial	Date	Comments	
in Table 4.11-10.		1		-				
<b>T-2(h) Dorothy Drive/U.S. 101 Southbound Ramps</b> <b>intersection (P.M. peak hour):</b> This intersection is currently controlled by stop signs on all approaches. Signalizing this intersection would result in LOS C during the P.M. peak hour, therefore mitigating the project's impact to a level of insignificance. The mitigated levels of service are shown in the EIR in Table 4.11-10.	Ensure that funding is secured and the specified improvements are implemented.	After plan adoption as individual projects are proposed.	Ongoing.	PCD				
<b>T-3(a) Roundabout Engineering.</b> Refer to Mitigation Measure PS-3(c) in Section 4.10, Public Services.	Refer to Mitigation Measure PS-3(c).							
<b>T-3(b) Agoura Road/Zone A Pedestrian Crossing.</b> It is recommended that the final design of any intersection at the mid-block of Agoura Road (between Kanan and Cornell Road), if proposed, be configured as a roundabout or a conventional intersection. It should be designed to accommodate pedestrians, bicyclists, and should contain a traversable island allowing larger vehicles such as trucks, buses and emergency vehicles to pass through the intersection.	Include design features as described in the measure for the specified intersection.	Upon plan adoption.	Ongoing.	PCD				
<b>T-3(c) Pedestrian Friendly Median</b> . As the use of mid- block crosswalks may create safety issues for pedestrians, the median proposed along Agoura Road should also be designed to provide a refuge area for pedestrians using the proposed crossings on Agoura Road. Consideration should be given to making the area more pedestrian friendly.	Include design features as described in the measure for the median proposed along Agoura Road. Ensure that future improvements give consideration to making the area more pedestrian friendly.	Upon plan adoption.	Ongoing.	PCD				
T-3(d) Pedestrian Cross Walks. Pedestrian cross- walks should utilize textured and colored surface	Include design features as described in the measure	Upon plan adoption.	Ongoing.	PCD				

Mitigation Measure/Condition of Approval	Action Required	When Monitoring to Occur	Monitoring Frequency	Responsible Agency or Party	Compliance Verification		
					Initial	Date	Comments
treatments to clearly distinguish these areas for pedestrian movement. Final design must be approved by the City Engineer.	for public improvements.						
<b>T-3(e) Individual Access.</b> The design and control of individual access driveways will need to be determined as individual projects are analyzed. Analysis of these individual access driveways should give consideration to traffic volumes to and from each individual site within the Specific Plan and opposing traffic volumes on the adjacent roadway system.	Ensure that design of individual driveways gives consideration to traffic volumes and patterns consistent with the measure.	Prior to approval of future projects.	Once.	PCD			
<b>T-3(f) Construction Impacts.</b> Prior to individual project approval, short-term construction impacts shall be examined. Where necessary, a construction vehicle management plan shall be developed and implemented. This plan shall include measures to avoid conflicts with nearby businesses and other land uses (such as construction activity notification and timing so as to minimize conflicts) and to minimize the effects on the local street network.	City shall require construction vehicle management plans for projects with potential short-term traffic related construction impacts.	As part of individual project application, prior to approval.	Once.	PCD			