


FEHR & PEERS
TRANSPORTATION CONSULTANTS

**YEAR 2035 WITH GENERAL PLAN LAND
USE AND PROPOSED IMPROVEMENTS
LEVEL OF SERVICE - AM PEAK HOUR
FIGURE 20**



- Lake Lindero Road north of Thousand Oaks Boulevard – This portion of Lake Lindero Road is located in a residential area with the Lindero Canyon Middle School nearby. The segment operates at LOS D during the AM peak hour under existing conditions, due to the traffic patterns currently generated by the middle school. Traffic volumes are not expected to increase significantly under future conditions. Due to the location in a residential neighborhood, physical improvements, such as the removal of on-street parking or narrowing of sidewalks, are not preferred due to potential adverse effects to the neighborhood characteristics.
- Kanan Road south of Fountainwood Street to Agoura Road – Kanan Road is the major north-south connection within and through Agoura Hills; this portion of the roadway is located in a primarily residential area south of Fountainwood Street and transitions into a mixed residential and commercial area between Thousand Oaks Boulevard and Agoura Road. Portions of Kanan Road operate at LOS D under existing conditions and operating conditions are projected to worsen to LOS E and F under future conditions. The current 1992 Circulation Element identifies a widening of Kanan Road to a six lane facility. Implementing the widening would likely require the narrowing and/or removal of bike lanes, sidewalks, medians, and/or median landscaping and the possible narrowing of existing travel lanes. City staff has indicated that such widening would adversely affect the character of the Kanan Road corridor and its ability to serve bicycle and pedestrian modes and, as a result, the widening is no longer under consideration.
- Driver Avenue between Argos Street and Chesebro Road – Driver Avenue is located in the residential Old Agoura neighborhood and is adjacent to Agoura Hills High School. The segment operates at LOS D during the AM peak hour under existing conditions, primarily due to the traffic patterns currently created by the high school. Traffic volumes are not expected to increase significantly under future conditions. The surrounding neighborhood is semi-rural and the introduction of additional traffic lanes would detract from the overall character of the neighborhood.
- Canwood Street west of Reyes Adobe Road – This segment of Canwood Street is located in a residential area adjacent to the Lake Lindero neighborhood. The segment operates at LOS D during the PM peak hour under existing conditions, and traffic volumes are not expected to increase significantly under future conditions. The opportunities for physical improvements are limited due to the potential adverse impacts to the neighborhood quality of life. These can include the reduction in sidewalk widths, removal of street parking, or removal of bike lanes to accommodate physical improvements.
- Canwood Street east of Kanan Road– This section is projected to operate below LOS C during the PM peak hour under future conditions with development anticipated under the proposed General Plan even with improvement to a three-lane cross section with a continuous left-turn lane as recommended herein. Further widening to provide four lanes is not possible within the available right-of-way.
- Agoura Road between Kanan Road and Chesebro Road – This section of Agoura Road is projected to operate at LOS D during the PM peak hour under future conditions with development anticipated under the proposed General Plan. The section is located within the Agoura Village Specific Plan (AVSP) east of Kanan Road and transitions to a mixed commercial and residential area between Cornell Road and Chesebro Road. The current 1992 Circulation Element identifies a widening of Agoura Road within these extents to a four lane facility. However, the City Council has since given direction that Agoura Road should remain two lanes from Kanan Road to the eastern City limits. Implementation of the widening would adversely impact the existing bike lane along Agoura Road and alter the rural character of the adjacent neighborhoods and would conflict with the Agoura Village Specific Plan. In certifying the proposed Agoura Village Specific Plan EIR, the Agoura Hills City Council determined that widening of the road in the Specific Plan area

was not acceptable and effectively agreed to accept the future operating conditions along this corridor worse than LOS C.

- Dorothy Drive between Lewis Road and US-101 SB ramps – Dorothy Drive is projected to operate at LOS D during the PM peak hour under future conditions with development anticipated under the proposed General Plan. Dorothy Drive is located in a primarily commercial/ industrial area. Any physical improvements such as the addition of travel lanes would be feasible but would likely require the removal of on-street parking.

Due to the limitations described at the locations above, the projected operating conditions would remain below LOS C. As an alternative to physical improvements at these locations, the City could consider revisions to minimum operating standards when physical improvements would otherwise create secondary impacts determined to be unacceptable to the community and/or contrary to other policies of the proposed General Plan. Alternative policies could also be pursued by the City to address some of the conditions along certain of these roadways, even though the measures may not fully improve the operating condition to LOS C. Such policies include:

- Utilizing advanced intelligent transportation systems (ITS) and signal control technologies to maximize traffic flow in the Kanan Road corridor
- Improving and promoting transit and non-motorized modes
- Working with the local schools to encourage more children to walk and bicycle to school
- Actively utilize TDM techniques to aid in the reduction of single-occupancy vehicle trips



5. FREEWAY ANALYSIS

In addition to the surface street analysis of the Agoura Hills General Plan update, an analysis of operating conditions along the US-101 (Ventura Freeway) was performed. The analysis scenarios performed for the freeway segment analysis include: existing conditions, future base conditions, and future conditions with the proposed General Plan. Five freeway segments in Agoura Hills were selected for this analysis:

1. US-101 north of Reyes Adobe Road (Los Angeles County CMP Freeway Monitoring Station)
2. US-101 north of Kanan Road
3. US-101 north of Chesebro Road
4. US-101 north of Liberty Canyon Road
5. US-101 south of Liberty Canyon Road

Within Agoura Hills, 10 total travel lanes are provided on the US-101: four mainline and one auxiliary lane per direction. Freeway volume data was obtained from *2007 Traffic Volumes on California State Highways* (Caltrans, 2007) and the specific peak hour data in *2007 Peak Hour Volume Data Report* (Caltrans, 2007) was applied. Figures 22 and 23 illustrate the traffic volumes at each freeway segment during the AM and PM peak hour, respectively.

Under the existing conditions, two segments operate at LOS C and LOS D during the AM and PM peak hours, respectively: north of Reyes Adobe Road and north of Kanan Road. The three remaining segments operate at LOS D during both peak hours.


The development of the future freeway traffic projections was performed in a manner identical to the development of the future street segment volumes. The annual growth rate was only applied to the portion of through traffic along the US-101 and the traffic from cumulative projects was assigned to the freeway.

The analysis of future base conditions indicates that two segments are projected to operate at LOS E during either peak period; these two freeway segments are: north of Liberty Canyon (during the PM peak hour) and south of Liberty Canyon (during the AM peak hour). The three remaining segments are projected to operate at LOS D during both peak hours.

With the addition of the proposed General Plan traffic to the freeway segments, three locations are projected to operate at LOS D and LOS E during the AM and PM peak hours, respectively. These locations are: north of Reyes Adobe, north of Kanan Road, and north of Chesebro Road. The two remaining segments are projected to operate at LOS E during both peak periods.

Table 9 summarizes the results of this analysis.

The Congestion Management Program for Los Angeles County (CMP) establishes LOS E as the minimum acceptable LOS for operations on the regional freeway system. Under the future base conditions, all segments are projected to operate at LOS D or E during all analyzed periods and meet the minimum operating standard. With the addition of traffic generated by development anticipated under the proposed General Plan, each segment is projected to operate at LOS E in at least one analyzed period. The anticipated traffic from the proposed General Plan would not cause the five locations to exceed the LOS E operating standard established by the CMP.




Legend

- Roads
- #(#)[#] Existing, (Future), [Future With Proposed General Plan]
- - - - City Boundary



NOT TO SCALE



Legend

- Roads
- #(#)[#] Existing, (Future), [Future With Proposed General Plan]
- - - City Boundary



FEHR & PEERS
TRANSPORTATION CONSULTANTS

FREeway VOLUMES - PM PEAK HOUR
FIGURE 23

**TABLE 9
FREEWAY PEAK HOUR LEVELS OF SERVICE**

Freeway Segment		Peak Hour	Existing Conditions			Year 2035 Base			Year 2035 with Proposed General Plan Land Use			
			Volume	# of Lanes	LOS	Volume	# of Lanes	LOS	Volume	Increase	# of Lanes	LOS
1	US-101 <i>n/o Reyes Adobe Rd</i>	AM	13,000	10	C	15,700	10	D	16,600	900	10	D
		PM	13,550	10	D	16,250	10	D	17,650	1,400	10	E
2	US-101 <i>n/o Kanan Rd</i>	AM	13,000	10	C	15,700	10	D	16,500	800	10	D
		PM	13,550	10	D	16,250	10	D	17,500	1,250	10	E
3	US-101 <i>n/o Chesebro Rd</i>	AM	13,200	10	D	16,000	10	D	16,700	700	10	D
		PM	13,800	10	D	16,550	10	D	17,550	1,000	10	E
4	US-101 <i>n/o Liberty Canyon Rd</i>	AM	13,600	10	D	16,500	10	D	17,500	1,000	10	E
		PM	14,200	10	D	17,050	10	E	18,550	1,500	10	E
5	US-101 <i>s/o Liberty Canyon Rd</i>	AM	14,150	10	D	17,100	10	E	18,150	1,050	10	E
		PM	14,150	10	D	16,900	10	D	18,500	1,600	10	E

Notes:

The US-101 provides four mainline lanes and one auxiliary lane in each direction through Agoura Hills.

Volumes are rounded to nearest 50 vehicles.

Level of Service criteria derived and adapted from the Florida DOT Research 2002 and the Highway Capacity Manual (Transportation Research Board, 2000):

Lanes	Volume Thresholds for Each Level of Service					
	A	B	C	D	E	F
10	≤ 5,600	≤ 9,070	≤ 13,130	≤ 16,980	≤ 19,310	> 19,310

6. ALTERNATIVES ANALYSIS

Two project alternatives were evaluated in this study, including the proposed project, the 1992 General Plan Buildout Alternative and the Reduced Density Alternative. The two project alternatives are discussed in this chapter.

The first alternative is the 1992 General Plan Buildout Alternative. This alternative was evaluated to provide a general comparison of relative impacts under the current (1992) General Plan versus the proposed new General Plan.

The second alternative is the Reduced Density Alternative. This alternative was developed with the intent to reduce the potential traffic impacts of the proposed General Plan in the Canwood Street and Agoura Road corridors. The Reduced Density Alternative assumes a 25 percent reduction in land use growth otherwise anticipated in TAZs 6, 8, 10, and 12 (with the exception of development approved by the Agoura Village Specific Plan within these TAZs, which was held constant).

The table below summarizes the anticipated land use growth citywide for the proposed General Plan and the two alternatives.

Alternative	Single Residential (Units)	Multi-Family Residential (Units)	Retail/ Service (sf)	Office/ Business Park (sf)	Business Park/ Manufacturing (sf)
Proposed General Plan*	116	413	625,794	1,098,291	273,445
1992 General Plan Buildout**	116	293	1,458,799	2,947,606	1,414,292
Reduced Density Alternative	100	394	451,342	1,000,480	216,614

*Includes the AVSP, which was approved in 2008, and is now part of the 1992 General Plan
** Does not include the AVSP.

TRIP GENERATION OF ALTERNATIVES

Traffic generation estimates were prepared for the 1992 General Plan Buildout and Reduced Density alternatives using the same methodology and factors discussed in Chapter 3 for the proposed General Plan. Tables 10 and 11 provide the trip generation estimates for these alternatives.

The table below summarizes the estimated net incremental trips generated by the land use growth anticipated under each alternative for the City as a whole.

Alternative	Daily	AM Peak Hour	PM Peak Hour
Proposed General Plan	45,302	3,026	4,775
1992 General Plan Buildout	100,686	7,548	10,364
Reduced Density Alternative	41,591	2,739	4,388

As the table shows, land use development under the 1992 General Plan Buildout scenario is estimated to generate over twice as many net new trips citywide as the proposed General Plan.



**TABLE 10
TRIP GENERATION ESTIMATES - 1992 GENERAL PLAN BUILDOUT ALTERNATIVE**

TAZ & Land Uses	Size	Units	ITE Code	Trip Credit [b,c,d]	Trip Generation						
					Daily	AM Peak Hour			PM Peak Hour		
						In	Out	Total	In	Out	Total
TAZ 1											
Retail/Service	11.131	ksf	814		493	5	3	8	13	17	30
<i>Pass-by Reduction</i>				10%	(49)	(1)	0	(1)	(1)	(2)	(3)
TAZ 1 Subtotal					444	4	3	7	12	15	27
TAZ 2											
Retail/Service	198.409	ksf	814		8,793	87	56	143	237	301	538
<i>Internal Capture within TAZ</i>				4%, 16%, 6%	(352)	(14)	(9)	(23)	(14)	(18)	(32)
<i>Pass-by Reduction</i>				10%	(844)	(7)	(5)	(12)	(22)	(28)	(51)
TAZ 2 Subtotal					7,597	66	42	108	201	255	455
TAZ 3											
Single-Family Residential	23	units	210		220	4	13	17	14	9	23
TAZ 3 Subtotal					220	4	13	17	14	9	23
TAZ 4											
Retail/Service	71.987	ksf	814		3,190	32	20	52	86	109	195
<i>Pass-by Reduction</i>				10%	(319)	(3)	(2)	(5)	(9)	(11)	(20)
Office/Business Park	47.812	ksf	750		907	104	13	117	23	141	164
<i>Internal Capture within TAZ</i>				4%, 2%, 1%	(36)	(2)	0	(2)	0	(1)	(2)
<i>TDM Reduction</i>				5%	(44)	(5)	(1)	(6)	(1)	(7)	(8)
TAZ 4 Subtotal					3,698	126	30	156	99	231	329
TAZ 5											
Retail/Service	125.613	ksf	814		5,567	55	35	90	150	190	340
<i>Internal Capture within TAZ</i>				6%, 25%, 6%	(334)	(14)	(9)	(23)	(9)	(11)	(20)
<i>Pass-by Reduction</i>				10%	(523)	(4)	(3)	(7)	(14)	(18)	(32)
Office/Business Park	712.791	ksf	750		7,836	1,004	124	1,128	136	833	969
<i>Internal Capture within TAZ</i>				4%, 2%, 1%	(313)	(20)	(2)	(23)	(1)	(8)	(10)
<i>TDM Reduction</i>				5%	(376)	(49)	(6)	(55)	(7)	(41)	(48)
TAZ 5 Subtotal					11,857	972	139	1,110	255	945	1,199
TAZ 6 [f]											
Single-Family Residential	14	units	210		134	3	8	11	9	5	14
<i>Internal Capture within TAZ</i>				37%, 45%, 40%	(50)	(1)	(4)	(5)	(4)	(2)	(6)
Retail/Service	338.745	ksf	820		15,009	198	127	325	672	729	1,401
<i>Internal Capture within TAZ</i>				4%, 15%, 3%	(600)	(30)	(19)	(49)	(20)	(22)	(42)
<i>Pass-by Reduction [a]</i>				30%	(4,323)	(50)	(32)	(83)	(196)	(212)	(408)
Office/Business Park	75.627	ksf	750		1,197	152	19	171	28	170	198
<i>Internal Capture within TAZ</i>				10%, 8%, 5%	(120)	(12)	(2)	(14)	(1)	(9)	(10)
<i>TDM Reduction</i>				5%	(54)	(7)	(1)	(8)	(1)	(8)	(9)
Business Park/Manufacturing	626.981	ksf	770		7,487	726	138	864	188	629	817
<i>Internal Capture within TAZ</i>				10%, 8%, 5%	(749)	(58)	(11)	(69)	(9)	(31)	(41)
<i>TDM Reduction</i>				5%	(337)	(33)	(6)	(40)	(9)	(30)	(39)
TAZ 6 Subtotal					17,594	888	217	1,103	657	1,219	1,875
TAZ 7											
Retail/Service	13.917	ksf	814		617	6	4	10	17	21	38
<i>Internal Capture within TAZ</i>				4%, 13%, 3%	(25)	(1)	(1)	(1)	(1)	(1)	(1)
<i>Pass-by Reduction</i>				10%	(59)	(1)	0	(1)	(2)	(2)	(4)
Office/Business Park	328.213	ksf	750		3,829	523	65	588	70	433	503
<i>Internal Capture within TAZ</i>				4%, 2%, 1%	(153)	(10)	(1)	(12)	(1)	(4)	(5)
<i>TDM Reduction</i>				5%	(184)	(26)	(3)	(29)	(3)	(21)	(25)
TAZ 7 Subtotal					4,025	491	64	555	80	426	506
TAZ 8 [f]											
Retail/Service	90.362	ksf	814 [c]		4,005	40	25	65	108	137	245
<i>Internal Capture within TAZ</i>				11%, 29%, 13%	(441)	(12)	(7)	(19)	(14)	(18)	(32)
<i>Pass-by Reduction</i>				10%	(356)	(3)	(2)	(5)	(9)	(12)	(21)
Office/Business Park	432.235	ksf	750		4,913	659	82	741	88	541	629
<i>Internal Capture within TAZ</i>				4%, 3%, 1%	(197)	(20)	(2)	(22)	(1)	(5)	(6)
<i>TDM Reduction</i>				5%	(236)	(32)	(4)	(36)	(4)	(27)	(31)
Business Park/Manufacturing	441.141	ksf	770		5,490	515	98	613	136	455	591
<i>Internal Capture within TAZ</i>				4%, 3%, 1%	(220)	(15)	(3)	(18)	(1)	(5)	(6)
<i>TDM Reduction</i>				5%	(264)	(25)	(5)	(30)	(7)	(23)	(29)
TAZ 8 Subtotal					12,694	1,107	182	1,289	296	1,043	1,340
TAZ 9											
Multi-Family Residential	19	units	230		110	1	7	8	7	3	10
<i>Internal Capture within TAZ</i>				36%, 31%, 39%	(40)	0	(2)	(2)	(3)	(1)	(4)
Retail/Service	472.310	ksf	820		18,629	242	155	397	837	907	1,744
<i>Internal Capture within TAZ</i>				6%, 21%, 5%	(1,118)	(51)	(33)	(83)	(42)	(45)	(87)
<i>Pass-by Reduction</i>				10%	(1,751)	(19)	(12)	(31)	(80)	(86)	(166)
Office/Business Park	356.941	ksf	750		4,128	562	69	631	75	463	538
<i>Internal Capture within TAZ</i>				3%, 3%, 2%	(124)	(17)	(2)	(19)	(2)	(9)	(11)
<i>TDM Reduction</i>				5%	(200)	(27)	(3)	(31)	(4)	(23)	(26)
Business Park/Manufacturing	346.170	ksf	770		4,469	406	77	483	109	364	473
<i>Internal Capture within TAZ</i>				3%, 3%, 2%	(134)	(12)	(2)	(14)	(2)	(7)	(9)
<i>TDM Reduction</i>				5%	(217)	(20)	(4)	(23)	(5)	(18)	(23)
TAZ 9 Subtotal					23,752	1,065	252	1,318	893	1,549	2,443

TABLE 10 (continued)
TRIP GENERATION ESTIMATES - 1992 GENERAL PLAN BUILDOUT ALTERNATIVE

TAZ 10 [f]											
Office/Business Park	407.996	ksf	750		4,660	628	78	706	84	516	600
TDM Reduction					(233)	(31)	(4)	(35)	(4)	(26)	(30)
TAZ 10 Subtotal					4,427	597	74	671	80	490	570
TAZ 11											
Multi-Family Residential	112	units	230		651	8	41	49	39	19	58
Internal Capture within TAZ				36%, 31%, 39%	(234)	(2)	(13)	(15)	(15)	(7)	(23)
Retail/Service	61.250	ksf	820		4,938	71	46	117	217	236	453
Internal Capture within TAZ				8%, 28%, 8%	(395)	(20)	(13)	(33)	(17)	(19)	(36)
Pass-by Reduction				10%	(454)	(5)	(3)	(8)	(20)	(22)	(42)
Office/Business Park	226.712	ksf	750		2,771	384	47	431	53	328	381
Internal Capture within TAZ				4%, 3%, 2%	(111)	(12)	(1)	(13)	(1)	(7)	(8)
TDM Reduction				5%	(133)	(19)	(2)	(21)	(3)	(16)	(19)
TAZ 11 Subtotal					7,033	405	102	507	253	512	764
TAZ 12 [f]											
Single-Family Residential	53	units	210		507	10	30	40	34	20	54
Internal Capture within TAZ				33%, 25%, 31%	(167)	(3)	(8)	(10)	(11)	(6)	(17)
Multi-Family Residential	162	units	230		941	12	59	71	56	28	84
Internal Capture within TAZ				36%, 31%, 39%	(339)	(4)	(18)	(22)	(22)	(11)	(33)
Retail/Service	75.075	ksf	814		3,224	46	29	75	137	143	280
Internal Capture within TAZ				13%, 29%, 13%	(419)	(13)	(8)	(22)	(18)	(19)	(36)
Pass-by Reduction				10%	(281)	(3)	(2)	(5)	(12)	(12)	(24)
Office/Business Park	359.279	ksf	750		4,153	564	70	634	76	465	541
Internal Capture within TAZ				8%, 7%, 3%	(332)	(39)	(5)	(44)	(2)	(14)	(16)
TDM Reduction				5%	(191)	(26)	(3)	(30)	(4)	(23)	(26)
TAZ 12 Subtotal					7,096	544	144	687	234	571	807
TAZ 13											
Single-Family Residential	26	units	210		249	5	15	20	16	10	26
TAZ 13 Subtotal					249	5	15	20	16	10	26
TAZ 14											
No Change in Land Use	n/a	n/a	n/a		n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
TAZ 14 Subtotal					0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total					100,686	6,274	1,277	7,548	3,090	7,275	10,364

Notes:

Land use source: City of Agoura Hills, table entitled "Agoura Hills, Existing Land Uses and Proposed General Plan Buildout by TAZ, 5-15-09".

- [a] Pass-by trips in TAZ 6 were assigned to the local street network to simulate diversion from their usual path of travel.
- [b] Pass-by reductions for retail land uses were applied on a varying scale: <100 ksf - 10%; 100ksf to 300ksf - 30%; and > 300ksf - 20%.
- [c] Internal capture credits represent trips between land uses within the TAZ and remaining internal to the TAZ. The credits were calculated based on the ITE internalization methodology and vary by time period. Credits were calculated by time period and the percentages are presented in the following order: Daily, AM peak hour, PM peak hour.
- [d] TDM reduction credit of 5% applied to estimate the effects of the current TDM requirements in the Municipal Code.

**TABLE 11
TRIP GENERATION ESTIMATES - REDUCED DENSITY ALTERNATIVE**

TAZ & Land Uses	Size	Units	ITE Code	Trip Credit [d,e,f]	Trip Generation						
					Daily	AM Peak Hour			PM Peak Hour		
						In	Out	Total	In	Out	Total
TAZ 1											
Retail/Service	0.141	ksf	814		6	0	0	0	0	0	0
<i>Pass-by Reduction</i>				10%	(1)	0	0	0	0	0	0
TAZ 1 Subtotal					5	0	0	0	0	0	0
TAZ 2											
Multi-Family Residential	22	units	230		128	2	8	10	7	4	11
<i>Internal Capture within TAZ</i>				36%, 31%, 39%	(46)	(1)	(2)	(3)	(3)	(2)	(4)
Retail/Service	28.575	ksf	814		1,266	13	8	21	34	43	77
<i>Internal Capture within TAZ</i>				4%, 16%, 6%	(51)	(2)	(1)	(3)	(2)	(3)	(5)
<i>Pass-by Reduction</i>				10%	(122)	(1)	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(7)
TAZ 2 Subtotal					1,175	11	12	23	33	38	72
TAZ 3											
Single-Family Residential	23	units	210		220	4	13	17	14	9	23
TAZ 3 Subtotal					220	4	13	17	14	9	23
TAZ 4											
Retail/Service	9.467	ksf	814		420	4	3	7	11	15	26
<i>Pass-by Reduction</i>				10%	(42)	(1)	0	(1)	(1)	(2)	(3)
TAZ 4 Subtotal					378	3	3	6	10	13	23
TAZ 5											
Multi-Family Residential	22	units	230		128	2	8	10	7	4	11
<i>Internal Capture within TAZ</i>				37%, 49%, 40%	(47)	(1)	(4)	(5)	(3)	(2)	(4)
Retail/Service	53.919	ksf	814		2,390	24	15	39	64	82	146
<i>Internal Capture within TAZ</i>				6%, 25%, 6%	(143)	(6)	(4)	(10)	(4)	(5)	(9)
<i>Pass-by Reduction</i>				10%	(225)	(2)	(1)	(3)	(6)	(8)	(14)
Office/Business Park	159.584	ksf	750		2,072	286	35	321	42	257	299
<i>Internal Capture within TAZ</i>				4%, 2%, 1%	(83)	(6)	(1)	(6)	0	(3)	(3)
<i>TDM Reduction</i>				5%	(99)	(14)	(2)	(16)	(2)	(13)	(15)
TAZ 5 Subtotal					3,993	283	46	330	98	312	411
TAZ 6 [g]											
Single-Family Residential	11	units	210		100	2	6	8	7	4	11
<i>Internal Capture within TAZ</i>				37%, 45%, 40%	(37)	(1)	(3)	(4)	(3)	(2)	(4)
Retail/Service	201.010	ksf	820		10,691	145	93	238	476	516	992
<i>Internal Capture within TAZ</i>				4%, 15%, 3%	(428)	(22)	(14)	(36)	(14)	(15)	(30)
<i>Pass-by Reduction [a]</i>				30%	(3,079)	(37)	(24)	(61)	(139)	(150)	(289)
Office/Business Park	9.027	ksf	750		503	26	3	29	16	101	117
<i>Internal Capture within TAZ</i>				10%, 8%, 5%	(50)	(2)	0	(2)	(1)	(5)	(6)
<i>TDM Reduction</i>				5%	(23)	(1)	0	(1)	(1)	(5)	(6)
Business Park/Manufacturing	154.099	ksf	770		2,404	184	35	219	52	173	225
<i>Internal Capture within TAZ</i>				10%, 8%, 5%	(240)	(15)	(3)	(18)	(3)	(9)	(11)
<i>TDM Reduction</i>				5%	(108)	(8)	(2)	(10)	(2)	(8)	(11)
TAZ 6 Subtotal					9,733	271	91	362	388	600	988
TAZ 7											
Retail/Service	20.440	ksf	814		906	9	6	15	24	31	55
<i>Internal Capture within TAZ</i>				4%, 13%, 3%	(36)	(1)	(1)	(2)	(1)	(1)	(2)
<i>Pass-by Reduction</i>				10%	(87)	(1)	(1)	(1)	(2)	(3)	(5)
Office/Business Park	32.992	ksf	750		753	76	9	85	20	126	146
<i>Internal Capture within TAZ</i>				4%, 2%, 1%	(30)	(2)	0	(2)	0	(1)	(1)
<i>TDM Reduction</i>				5%	(36)	(4)	0	(4)	(1)	(6)	(7)
TAZ 7 Subtotal					1,470	77	13	91	40	146	186
TAZ 8 [g]											
Multi-Family Residential	57	units	230		331	4	21	25	20	10	30
<i>Internal Capture within TAZ</i>				37%, 30%, 37%	(122)	(1)	(6)	(8)	(7)	(4)	(11)
Specialty Retail (AVSP) [h]	36.600	ksf	[b]		1,443	26	17	43	48	50	98
<i>Internal Capture</i>				11%, 29%, 13%	(159)	(8)	(5)	(12)	(6)	(7)	(13)
Retail/Service	11.473	ksf	814		508	5	3	8	14	17	31
<i>Internal Capture within TAZ</i>				11%, 29%, 13%	(56)	(1)	(1)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(4)
<i>Pass-by Reduction</i>				10%	(45)	0	0	(1)	(1)	(2)	(3)
Office/Business Park	114.771	ksf	750		1,605	216	27	243	34	211	245
<i>Internal Capture within TAZ</i>				4%, 3%, 1%	(64)	(6)	(1)	(7)	0	(2)	(2)
<i>TDM Reduction</i>				5%	(77)	(11)	(1)	(12)	(2)	(10)	(12)
Business Park/Manufacturing	16.397	ksf	770		924	20	4	24	7	22	29
<i>Internal Capture within TAZ</i>				4%, 3%, 1%	(37)	(1)	0	(1)	0	0	0
<i>TDM Reduction</i>				5%	(44)	(1)	0	(1)	0	(1)	(1)
TAZ 8 Subtotal					4,207	242	58	299	105	282	387
TAZ 9											
Multi-Family Residential	19	units	[b]		115	2	7	9	7	4	11
<i>Internal Capture within TAZ</i>				37%, 48%, 40%	(43)	(1)	(3)	(4)	(3)	(2)	(4)
Retail/Service	16.592	ksf	820		2,113	32	21	53	92	99	191
<i>Internal Capture within TAZ</i>				6%, 21%, 5%	(127)	(7)	(4)	(11)	(5)	(5)	(10)
<i>Pass-by Reduction</i>				10%	(199)	(3)	(2)	(4)	(9)	(9)	(18)
Office/Business Park	71.539	ksf	750		1,154	146	18	164	27	166	193
<i>Internal Capture within TAZ</i>				3%, 3%, 2%	(35)	(4)	(1)	(5)	(1)	(3)	(4)
<i>TDM Reduction</i>				5%	(56)	(7)	(1)	(8)	(1)	(8)	(9)
Business Park/Manufacturing	46.118	ksf	770		1,243	56	11	67	17	57	74
<i>Internal Capture within TAZ</i>				3%, 3%, 2%	(37)	(2)	0	(2)	0	(1)	(1)
<i>TDM Reduction</i>				5%	(60)	(3)	(1)	(3)	(1)	(3)	(4)
TAZ 9 Subtotal					4,068	209	45	256	123	295	419

TABLE 11 (continued)
TRIP GENERATION ESTIMATES - REDUCED DENSITY ALTERNATIVE

TAZ 10 [g]											
Office/Business Park	128.132	ksf	750		1,744	238	29	267	37	224	261
<i>TDM Reduction</i>					(87)	(12)	(1)	(13)	(2)	(11)	(13)
TAZ 10 Subtotal					1,657	226	28	254	35	213	248
TAZ 11											
Multi-Family Residential	112	units	[b]		606	8	38	46	36	18	54
<i>Internal Capture within TAZ</i>				37%, 40%, 40%	(225)	(3)	(15)	(19)	(15)	(8)	(21)
Office (AVSP)	75.250	ksf	[b]		965	119	15	134	21	126	147
<i>Internal Capture within TAZ</i>				4%, 3%, 2%	(39)	(4)	0	(4)	0	(3)	(3)
Retail/Service	61.250	ksf	820		4,938	71	46	117	217	236	453
<i>Internal Capture within TAZ</i>				8%, 28%, 8%	(395)	(20)	(13)	(33)	(17)	(19)	(36)
<i>Pass-by Reduction</i>				10%	(454)	(5)	(3)	(8)	(20)	(22)	(42)
Office/Business Park [c]	267.681	ksf	750		3,198	441	54	495	60	370	430
<i>Internal Capture within TAZ</i>				4%, 3%, 2%	(128)	(13)	(2)	(15)	(1)	(7)	(9)
<i>TDM Reduction</i>				5%	(154)	(21)	(3)	(24)	(3)	(18)	(21)
TAZ 11 Subtotal					8,312	573	117	689	278	673	952
TAZ 12 [g]											
Single-Family Residential	40	units	210		380	8	22	30	25	15	40
<i>Internal Capture within TAZ</i>				33%, 25%, 31%	(125)	(2)	(6)	(8)	(8)	(5)	(12)
Multi-Family Residential	131	units	[b]		725	10	46	56	45	22	67
<i>Internal Capture within TAZ</i>				33%, 25%, 31%	(239)	(3)	(11)	(14)	(14)	(6)	(21)
Senior Housing (AVSP) [h]	31	units	[b]		97	0	2	2	2	1	3
<i>Internal Capture within TAZ</i>				33%, 25%, 31%	(32)	0	(1)	(1)	(1)	0	(1)
Specialty Retail (AVSP) [h]	61.000	ksf	[b]		2,417	45	28	73	83	87	170
<i>Internal Capture within TAZ</i>				13%, 29%, 13%	(314)	(13)	(8)	(21)	(11)	(11)	(22)
Retail/Service [c]	40.875	ksf	814		1,755	25	16	41	74	78	152
<i>Internal Capture within TAZ</i>				13%, 29%, 13%	(228)	(7)	(5)	(12)	(10)	(10)	(20)
<i>Pass-by Reduction</i>				10%	(153)	(2)	(1)	(3)	(6)	(7)	(13)
Office (AVSP) [h]	100.000	ksf	[b]		1,201	150	19	169	24	148	172
<i>Internal Capture within TAZ</i>				8%, 7%, 3%	(96)	(11)	(1)	(12)	(1)	(4)	(5)
Office/Business Park [c]	41.504	ksf	750		842	93	11	104	22	134	156
<i>Internal Capture within TAZ</i>				8%, 7%, 3%	(67)	(7)	(1)	(7)	(1)	(4)	(5)
<i>TDM Reduction</i>				5%	(39)	(4)	(1)	(5)	(1)	(7)	(8)
TAZ 12 Subtotal					6,124	282	109	392	222	431	653
TAZ 13											
Single-Family Residential	26	units	210		249	5	15	20	16	10	26
TAZ 13 Subtotal					249	5	15	20	16	10	26
TAZ 14											
<i>No Change in Land Use</i>	<i>n/a</i>	<i>n/a</i>	<i>n/a</i>		<i>n/a</i>	<i>n/a</i>	<i>n/a</i>	<i>n/a</i>	<i>n/a</i>	<i>n/a</i>	<i>n/a</i>
TAZ 14 Subtotal					0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total					41,591	2,186	550	2,739	1,362	3,022	4,388

Notes:

Source: City of Agoura Hills, table entitled "Agoura Hills, Existing Land Uses and Proposed General Plan Buildout by TAZ, 3-13-09", modified as described in footnote [g].

- [a] Pass-by trips in TAZ 6 were assigned to the local street network to simulate diversion from their usual path of travel.
- [b] Description, size, and trip generation taken from the Agoura Village Specific Plan EIR.
- [c] Land use density reflects reduction of the Agoura Hills General Plan with the densities specified in the Agoura Village Specific Plan.
- [d] Pass-by reductions for retail land uses were applied on a varying scale: <100 ksf - 10%; 100ksf to 300ksf - 30%; and > 300ksf - 20%.
- [e] Internal capture credits represent trips between land uses within the TAZ and remaining internal to the TAZ. The credits were calculated based on the ITE internalization methodology and vary by time period. Credits were calculated by time period and the percentages are presented in the following order: Daily, AM peak hour, PM peak hour.
- [f] TDM reduction credit of 5% applied to estimate the effects of the current TDM requirements in the Municipal Code.
- [g] Land uses specified in TAZs 6, 8, 10, and 12 (outside of AVSP areas) were reduced in size by 25% for the Reduced Density Alternative.
- [h] Since description, size, and trip generation were obtained from the certified Agoura Village Specific Plan, land uses specified by the approved plan were not reduced for the Reduced Density Alternative.

AVSP = Agoura Village Specific Plan

Citywide, the land use development anticipated under the Reduced Density Alternative is estimated to generate approximately eight to nine percent fewer net new trips than for the proposed General Plan. As shown, the Reduced Density Alternative would generate a projected total of approximately 41,600 daily trips. In general, the land use reduction resulted in a 15 to 20 percent reduction in net new vehicle trips generated within each of the four specified TAZs.

TRAFFIC IMPLICATIONS OF ALTERNATIVES

1992 General Plan Buildout Alternative

As discussed in Chapter 4, 16 of the 43 study segments are projected to operate below LOS C with development anticipated under the proposed General Plan after implementation of the recommended roadway improvements. As discussed above, the land use development under the 1992 General Plan Buildout alternative would generate over twice as many net new trips citywide as the proposed General Plan. It can reasonably be expected, therefore, that development pursuant to the 1992 General Plan Buildout alternative would result in a substantial increase in the number of roadway segments projected to operate at LOS D or worse and add additional trips to segments already projected to operate at or near capacity.

Reduced Density Alternative

With the reduced land use intensities in TAZs 6, 8, 10 and 12 relative to the proposed General Plan scenario, the projected traffic volumes on Canwood Street and Agoura Road would be reduced. The following five segments were projected to operate at LOS D or worse under proposed General Plan conditions in the PM peak period but are likely to operate at LOS C or better under the Reduced Density Alternative:

- 26. Agoura Road east of Kanan Road (PM peak hour)
- 29. Agoura Road east of Cornell Road (PM peak hour)
- 34. Dorothy Drive between Lewis Road & US-101 SB ramps (PM peak hour)
- 36. Agoura Road west of Chesebro Road (PM peak hour)

The total number of roadway segments projected to operate below LOS C under the Reduced Density Alternative is 12; four less than the 16 locations projected under the proposed General Plan.

7. SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS

This report presents an analysis of the potential traffic impacts of the City of Agoura Hills General Plan update. This traffic impact analysis is also in support of the effort to update the Mobility Section of the Agoura Hills General Plan (1992). The following summarizes the results of this analysis:

- The horizon year of the proposed General Plan is 2035.
- Forty-three street segments and five freeway segments were selected for analysis.
- LOS C indicates stable flow on roadway segments. Thirty-two of the 43 analyzed street segments operate at LOS C or better under existing conditions; the remaining 11 locations operate below LOS C.
- Analysis of projected year 2035 future base conditions indicates that 13 of the 43 street segments would operate below LOS C.
- With the addition of trips expected to be generated by land use growth anticipated under the proposed General Plan traffic, 21 of the 43 locations are projected to operate at less than LOS C.
- Eight roadway improvements are identified as part of the proposed General Plan. After incorporating these improvements, 16 locations are projected to operate at LOS D or below.
- These 16 locations are not easily improved either due to physical constraints or quality of life goals. This may require adopting a modified minimum operating standard at these locations.
- Analysis of the five freeway segments in the City indicates that all locations operate at LOS D during at least one peak period under existing conditions. The future base analysis indicates that all segments are projected to operate at LOS D or E during both peak hours. The addition of the proposed General Plan traffic indicates that all segments are projected to operate at LOS E during at least one peak period. This meets the CMP's minimum acceptable LOS criteria for operations on the regional freeway system.
- Analysis of the Reduced Density Alternative indicates that 12 of the 43 street segments would operate below LOS C under the proposed alternative. This is four locations less than the proposed General Plan.
- Development under the 1992 General Plan Buildout Alternative would generate more than twice as many net new trips citywide as under the proposed General Plan and would impact substantially more street segments.

REFERENCES

2004 Congestion Management Program for Los Angeles County, Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority, 2004.

Agoura Hills General Plan Circulation Analysis, Austin-Foust Associates, 1992.

Agoura Village Specific Plan EIR, City of Agoura Hills, 2008.

Florida Department of Transportation Research, 2002.

Highway Capacity Manual, Transportation Research Board, 2000.

Trip Generation, 8th Edition, Institute of Transportation Engineers, 2008.

Trip Generation Handbook, 2nd Edition, Institute of Transportation Engineers, 2004.

**APPENDIX A:
TRAFFIC COUNTS**

Prepared by NDS/ATD

Volumes for: Tuesday, February 10, 2009 City: Agoura Hills Project #: 09-5034-001
Location: Lake Lindero Rd N/o Thousand Oaks Blvd

AM Period	NB	SB	EB	WB	PM Period	NB	SB	EB	WB		
00:00	1	2			12:00	36	24				
00:15	3	1			12:15	14	12				
00:30	1	0			12:30	26	32				
00:45	1	6	1	4	10	12:45	26	102	20	88	190
01:00	0	0			13:00	23	25				
01:15	2	1			13:15	28	20				
01:30	2	0			13:30	21	28				
01:45	2	6	0	1	7	13:45	38	110	16	89	199
02:00	0	0			14:00	36	20				
02:15	1	1			14:15	32	36				
02:30	0	1			14:30	37	27				
02:45	1	2	0	2	4	14:45	39	144	33	116	260
03:00	1	1			15:00	88	34				
03:15	3	1			15:15	49	83				
03:30	0	1			15:30	50	39				
03:45	0	4	1	4	8	15:45	65	252	69	225	477
04:00	1	0			16:00	41	53				
04:15	0	0			16:15	40	28				
04:30	0	2			16:30	32	27				
04:45	1	2	1	3	5	16:45	30	143	31	139	282
05:00	0	2			17:00	42	24				
05:15	2	2			17:15	32	56				
05:30	1	4			17:30	50	45				
05:45	1	4	3	11	15	17:45	39	163	32	157	320
06:00	2	7			18:00	30	22				
06:15	4	6			18:15	24	18				
06:30	4	7			18:30	30	25				
06:45	8	18	14	34	52	18:45	16	100	15	80	180
07:00	7	16			19:00	25	19				
07:15	10	18			19:15	19	13				
07:30	18	26			19:30	21	12				
07:45	60	95	57	117	212	19:45	16	81	11	55	136
08:00	41	70			20:00	16	10				
08:15	39	65			20:15	15	8				
08:30	83	96			20:30	20	8				
08:45	73	236	130	361	597	20:45	13	64	12	38	102
09:00	22	36			21:00	13	11				
09:15	13	27			21:15	14	1				
09:30	9	24			21:30	13	10				
09:45	10	54	23	110	164	21:45	21	61	7	29	90
10:00	12	18			22:00	7	2				
10:15	14	29			22:15	8	3				
10:30	22	18			22:30	2	3				
10:45	20	68	25	90	158	22:45	11	28	3	11	39
11:00	22	27			23:00	3	3				
11:15	7	21			23:15	2	4				
11:30	26	25			23:30	4	1				
11:45	23	78	16	89	167	23:45	0	9	2	10	19
Total Vol.	573	826			1399		1257	1037			2294

Daily Totals

NB	SB	EB	WB
1830	1863	Combined	
3693			

Split %	AM				PM			
	41.0%	59.0%	37.9%		54.8%	45.2%	62.1%	
Peak Hour	08:00	08:00	06:30	06:30	08:00	15:30	15:30	15:30
Volume	236	361	597		196	189	385	
P.H.F.	0.71	0.69	0.74		0.75	0.68	0.72	

Prepared by NDS/ATD

Volumes for: Tuesday, February 10, 2009 City: Agoura Hills Project #: 09-5034-002
Location: Thousand Oaks Blvd W/o Lake Lindero Rd

AM Period	NB	SB	EB	WB	PM Period	NB	SB	EB	WB				
00:00			8	5	12:00			162	132				
00:15			6	9	12:15			151	134				
00:30			5	5	12:30			178	171				
00:45			2	21	3	22	43	12:45	188	679	160	597	1276
01:00			2	1	13:00			222	141				
01:15			6	2	13:15			191	128				
01:30			5	1	13:30			155	139				
01:45			5	18	0	4	22	13:45	152	720	115	523	1243
02:00			2	3	14:00			159	117				
02:15			4	2	14:15			154	114				
02:30			3	1	14:30			156	109				
02:45			2	11	2	8	19	14:45	159	628	141	481	1109
03:00			1	0	15:00			199	155				
03:15			4	1	15:15			166	230				
03:30			4	0	15:30			182	166				
03:45			0	9	8	9	18	15:45	207	754	184	735	1489
04:00			6	5	16:00			177	168				
04:15			4	6	16:15			193	154				
04:30			3	4	16:30			169	158				
04:45			4	17	5	20	37	16:45	185	724	181	661	1385
05:00			4	11	17:00			237	134				
05:15			6	8	17:15			220	179				
05:30			5	5	17:30			215	186				
05:45			8	23	12	36	59	17:45	195	867	159	658	1525
06:00			21	16	18:00			174	156				
06:15			18	14	18:15			188	99				
06:30			18	38	18:30			161	119				
06:45			31	88	47	115	203	18:45	131	654	125	499	1153
07:00			48	53	19:00			153	108				
07:15			44	70	19:15			127	89				
07:30			59	89	19:30			105	88				
07:45			100	251	120	332	583	19:45	99	484	56	341	825
08:00			113	147	20:00			102	59				
08:15			96	162	20:15			100	48				
08:30			112	192	20:30			81	48				
08:45			101	422	182	683	1105	20:45	68	351	42	197	548
09:00			86	97	21:00			68	31				
09:15			65	89	21:15			45	40				
09:30			66	100	21:30			36	38				
09:45			73	290	90	376	666	21:45	47	196	25	134	330
10:00			75	98	22:00			26	24				
10:15			84	114	22:15			25	15				
10:30			84	102	22:30			22	17				
10:45			96	339	91	405	744	22:45	18	91	6	62	153
11:00			118	112	23:00			21	13				
11:15			101	116	23:15			17	9				
11:30			133	124	23:30			8	6				
11:45			123	475	111	463	938	23:45	3	49	10	38	87

Total Vol. 1964 2473 **4437** 6197 4926 **11123**

Daily Totals

NB	SB	EB	WB
Combined		8161	7399
15560			

Split %	AM			PM				
	44.3%	55.7%	28.5%	55.7%	44.3%	71.5%		
Peak Hour	06:30	06:30	08:00	08:00	08:00	17:00	16:45	16:45
Volume			422	683	1105	867	680	1537
P.H.F.			0.93	0.89	0.91	0.91	0.82	0.96

Prepared by NDS/ATD

Volumes for: Tuesday, February 10, 2009 City: Agoura Hills Project #: 09-5034-003
Location: Lake Lindero Rd S/o Thousand Oaks Blvd

AM Period	NB	SB	EB	WB	PM Period	NB	SB	EB	WB		
00:00	1	1			12:00	37	23				
00:15	2	2			12:15	33	35				
00:30	4	2			12:30	40	32				
00:45	0	7	2	7	14	12:45	25	135	34	124	259
01:00	0	0			13:00	35	31				
01:15	0	1			13:15	26	35				
01:30	0	1			13:30	33	22				
01:45	0	0	0	2	2	13:45	27	121	20	108	229
02:00	0	0			14:00	31	27				
02:15	1	0			14:15	34	38				
02:30	1	1			14:30	28	21				
02:45	0	2	0	1	3	14:45	21	114	28	114	228
03:00	0	0			15:00	29	33				
03:15	3	2			15:15	43	49				
03:30	1	1			15:30	35	38				
03:45	1	5	0	3	8	15:45	39	146	43	163	309
04:00	1	0			16:00	30	30				
04:15	3	0			16:15	47	34				
04:30	1	0			16:30	32	22				
04:45	5	10	0	0	10	16:45	35	144	30	116	260
05:00	1	1			17:00	29	37				
05:15	2	1			17:15	37	27				
05:30	3	2			17:30	28	48				
05:45	15	21	3	7	28	17:45	39	133	34	146	279
06:00	7	1			18:00	45	32				
06:15	3	0			18:15	31	46				
06:30	10	2			18:30	34	34				
06:45	13	33	7	10	43	18:45	25	135	30	142	277
07:00	12	13			19:00	24	28				
07:15	12	5			19:15	19	20				
07:30	23	10			19:30	25	18				
07:45	77	124	21	49	173	19:45	15	83	16	82	165
08:00	41	30			20:00	12	24				
08:15	32	23			20:15	9	27				
08:30	45	33			20:30	11	13				
08:45	41	159	24	110	269	20:45	5	37	24	88	125
09:00	28	19			21:00	13	24				
09:15	28	15			21:15	13	7				
09:30	24	12			21:30	6	14				
09:45	29	109	15	61	170	21:45	18	50	11	56	106
10:00	22	8			22:00	7	6				
10:15	15	13			22:15	11	5				
10:30	16	9			22:30	8	3				
10:45	15	68	23	53	121	22:45	1	27	3	17	44
11:00	22	21			23:00	0	2				
11:15	15	29			23:15	2	6				
11:30	35	20			23:30	5	1				
11:45	23	95	15	85	180	23:45	1	8	2	11	19
Total Vol.	633	388			1021		1133	1167			2300

Daily Totals

NB	SB	EB	WB
1766	1555	Combined	
3321			

Split %	AM				PM			
	62.0%	38.0%	30.7%		49.3%	50.7%	69.3%	
Peak Hour	07:45	08:00	06:30	06:30	07:45	15:30	17:30	17:30
Volume	195	110	302		151	160	303	
P.H.F.	0.63	0.83	0.77		0.80	0.83	0.98	

Prepared by NDS/ATD

Volumes for: Tuesday, February 10, 2009 City: Agoura Hills Project #: 09-5034-004
Location: Reyes Adobe Rd N/o Thousand Oaks Blvd

AM Period	NB	SB	EB	WB	PM Period	NB	SB	EB	WB
00:00	2	0			12:00	29	55		
00:15	4	3			12:15	35	68		
00:30	4	6			12:30	59	43		
00:45	0	10	4	13	12:45	48	171	33	199
01:00	4	2			13:00	58	42		
01:15	2	2			13:15	25	43		
01:30	2	0			13:30	31	12		
01:45	1	9	1	5	13:45	44	158	29	126
02:00	4	0			14:00	60	49		
02:15	0	0			14:15	56	94		
02:30	1	0			14:30	61	43		
02:45	2	7	0	0	14:45	77	254	57	243
03:00	2	2			15:00	170	86		
03:15	0	0			15:15	130	163		
03:30	1	3			15:30	60	82		
03:45	0	3	0	5	15:45	49	409	68	399
04:00	0	3			16:00	65	69		
04:15	0	3			16:15	62	59		
04:30	0	4			16:30	51	43		
04:45	1	1	3	13	16:45	51	229	82	253
05:00	1	8			17:00	57	41		
05:15	1	10			17:15	55	68		
05:30	7	22			17:30	52	58		
05:45	3	12	19	59	17:45	47	211	59	226
06:00	0	13			18:00	58	35		
06:15	3	20			18:15	65	50		
06:30	2	20			18:30	60	54		
06:45	9	14	45	98	18:45	38	221	52	191
07:00	9	34			19:00	36	42		
07:15	17	35			19:15	44	30		
07:30	37	42			19:30	37	38		
07:45	109	172	101	212	19:45	35	152	27	137
08:00	80	148			20:00	26	24		
08:15	91	112			20:15	31	24		
08:30	169	185			20:30	38	27		
08:45	136	476	191	636	20:45	32	127	31	106
09:00	37	56			21:00	27	18		
09:15	14	49			21:15	39	15		
09:30	20	34			21:30	37	10		
09:45	23	94	44	183	21:45	27	130	11	54
10:00	31	33			22:00	22	10		
10:15	35	57			22:15	14	8		
10:30	29	32			22:30	21	7		
10:45	28	123	34	156	22:45	17	74	6	31
11:00	27	35			23:00	15	7		
11:15	33	19			23:15	4	2		
11:30	31	51			23:30	5	0		
11:45	29	120	54	159	23:45	2	26	2	11
Total Vol.	1041	1539			2580	2162	1976		4138

Daily Totals					
	NB	SB	EB	WB	Combined
	3203	3515			6718

Split %	AM				PM			
	40.3%	59.7%	38.4%		52.2%	47.8%	61.6%	
Peak Hour	08:00	08:00	06:30	06:30	08:00	15:30	15:30	15:30
Volume	476	636	1112		236	278	514	
P.H.F.	0.70	0.83	0.79		0.91	0.85	0.90	

Prepared by NDS/ATD

Volumes for: Tuesday, February 10, 2009 City: Agoura Hills Project #: 09-5034-005
Location: Thousand Oaks Blvd W/o Reyes Adobe Rd

AM Period	NB	SB	EB	WB	PM Period	NB	SB	EB	WB				
00:00			7	3	12:00			120	99				
00:15			4	5	12:15			118	115				
00:30			3	4	12:30			148	158				
00:45			0	14	1	13	27	12:45	155	541	126	498	1039
01:00			3	2	13:00			183	111				
01:15			3	1	13:15			152	106				
01:30			2	1	13:30			127	92				
01:45			3	11	0	4	15	13:45	120	582	97	406	988
02:00			0	2	14:00			130	86				
02:15			2	0	14:15			118	96				
02:30			5	0	14:30			146	87				
02:45			1	8	2	4	12	14:45	131	525	123	392	917
03:00			1	0	15:00			136	147				
03:15			1	1	15:15			188	198				
03:30			3	0	15:30			156	146				
03:45			0	5	5	6	11	15:45	138	618	129	620	1238
04:00			2	3	16:00			155	130				
04:15			4	4	16:15			146	113				
04:30			2	1	16:30			143	127				
04:45			5	13	2	10	23	16:45	138	582	145	515	1097
05:00			2	6	17:00			190	109				
05:15			5	6	17:15			193	127				
05:30			6	2	17:30			152	126				
05:45			9	22	8	22	44	17:45	158	693	118	480	1173
06:00			16	8	18:00			141	125				
06:15			20	12	18:15			142	104				
06:30			16	27	18:30			146	85				
06:45			28	80	38	85	165	18:45	104	533	99	413	946
07:00			33	41	19:00			126	89				
07:15			41	57	19:15			101	74				
07:30			48	59	19:30			91	71				
07:45			78	200	78	235	435	19:45	81	399	48	282	681
08:00			90	106	20:00			78	48				
08:15			93	128	20:15			68	48				
08:30			75	154	20:30			71	41				
08:45			92	350	104	492	842	20:45	50	267	44	181	448
09:00			72	63	21:00			54	28				
09:15			63	73	21:15			42	40				
09:30			54	72	21:30			22	35				
09:45			92	281	77	285	566	21:45	38	156	20	123	279
10:00			76	84	22:00			23	21				
10:15			62	90	22:15			19	14				
10:30			68	71	22:30			28	13				
10:45			81	287	90	335	622	22:45	12	82	11	59	141
11:00			99	85	23:00			16	12				
11:15			88	82	23:15			16	9				
11:30			97	102	23:30			5	2				
11:45			110	394	93	362	756	23:45	0	37	8	31	68

Total Vol. 1665 1853 **3518** 5015 4000 **9015**

Daily Totals

NB	SB	EB	WB
		6680	5853
Combined		12533	

Split %	AM			PM				
	47.3%	52.7%	28.1%	55.6%	44.4%	71.9%		
Peak Hour	06:30	06:30	08:00	08:00	08:00	17:00	15:30	16:45
Volume			350	492	842	693	518	1180
P.H.F.			0.94	0.80	0.92	0.90	0.88	0.92

Prepared by NDS/ATD

Volumes for: Tuesday, February 10, 2009 City: Agoura Hills Project #: 09-5034-006
Location: Thousand Oaks Blvd E/o Reyes Adobe Rd

AM Period	NB	SB	EB	WB	PM Period	NB	SB	EB	WB			
00:00			4	2	12:00			135	105			
00:15			2	1	12:15			127	110			
00:30			8	4	12:30			127	195			
00:45			4	18	2	9	27	138	527	148	558	1085
01:00			6	3	13:00			173	128			
01:15			4	0	13:15			159	124			
01:30			2	2	13:30			119	133			
01:45			3	15	1	6	21	95	546	116	501	1047
02:00			0	1	14:00			124	95			
02:15			2	0	14:15			134	110			
02:30			7	1	14:30			159	120			
02:45			1	10	3	5	15	161	578	171	496	1074
03:00			2	0	15:00			153	266			
03:15			1	1	15:15			200	256			
03:30			4	0	15:30			179	161			
03:45			1	8	5	6	14	156	688	155	838	1526
04:00			0	4	16:00			173	142			
04:15			2	1	16:15			161	141			
04:30			3	4	16:30			152	146			
04:45			3	8	4	13	21	155	641	149	578	1219
05:00			2	6	17:00			200	124			
05:15			5	9	17:15			200	118			
05:30			11	18	17:30			167	138			
05:45			5	23	14	47	70	178	745	129	509	1254
06:00			16	18	18:00			166	124			
06:15			11	24	18:15			151	120			
06:30			13	40	18:30			172	92			
06:45			46	86	56	138	224	122	611	92	428	1039
07:00			38	72	19:00			142	93			
07:15			31	90	19:15			105	92			
07:30			50	114	19:30			100	79			
07:45			115	234	169	445	679	90	437	67	331	768
08:00			142	215	20:00			91	52			
08:15			135	268	20:15			83	61			
08:30			97	288	20:30			82	50			
08:45			150	524	184	955	1479	71	327	42	205	532
09:00			76	107	21:00			63	25			
09:15			58	99	21:15			44	59			
09:30			60	100	21:30			29	52			
09:45			110	304	104	410	714	44	180	25	161	341
10:00			81	103	22:00			26	24			
10:15			91	119	22:15			22	16			
10:30			70	91	22:30			26	17			
10:45			86	328	120	433	761	16	90	9	66	156
11:00			97	95	23:00			22	12			
11:15			79	110	23:15			11	10			
11:30			103	107	23:30			5	9			
11:45			108	387	111	423	810	1	39	7	38	77

Total Vol. 1945 2890 4835 5409 4709 10118

Daily Totals

NB	SB	EB	WB
		7354	7599
14953			

Split %	AM			PM				
	40.2%	59.8%	32.3%	53.5%	46.5%	67.7%		
Peak Hour	06:30	06:30	08:00	08:00	08:00	17:00	15:30	15:30
Volume		524	955	1479		745	599	1268
P.H.F.		0.87	0.83	0.92		0.93	0.91	0.93

Prepared by NDS/ATD

Volumes for: Tuesday, February 10, 2009 City: Agoura Hills Project #: 09-5034-007
Location: Reyes Adobe Rd S/o Thousand Oaks Blvd

AM Period	NB	SB	EB	WB	PM Period	NB	SB	EB	WB
00:00	4	6			12:00	71	85		
00:15	5	1			12:15	68	78		
00:30	8	3			12:30	76	114		
00:45	3	20	2	12	12:45	74	289	97	374
01:00	7	3			13:00	92	102		
01:15	5	5			13:15	77	114		
01:30	3	2			13:30	67	99		
01:45	1	16	2	12	13:45	68	304	95	410
02:00	4	0			14:00	87	88		
02:15	1	2			14:15	77	106		
02:30	5	3			14:30	93	99		
02:45	1	11	0	5	14:45	94	351	98	391
03:00	2	0			15:00	130	140		
03:15	0	0			15:15	91	172		
03:30	3	3			15:30	90	116		
03:45	1	6	0	3	15:45	96	407	118	546
04:00	1	6			16:00	117	112		
04:15	1	5			16:15	97	100		
04:30	2	10			16:30	103	109		
04:45	3	7	11	32	16:45	98	415	107	428
05:00	3	11			17:00	114	111		
05:15	0	14			17:15	96	101		
05:30	2	23			17:30	102	98		
05:45	5	10	29	77	17:45	93	405	98	408
06:00	6	30			18:00	132	88		
06:15	9	49			18:15	116	105		
06:30	9	46			18:30	108	81		
06:45	26	50	59	184	18:45	75	431	60	334
07:00	26	81			19:00	86	79		
07:15	36	101			19:15	71	75		
07:30	50	109			19:30	59	56		
07:45	110	222	160	451	19:45	59	275	55	265
08:00	85	207			20:00	58	50		
08:15	80	186			20:15	49	38		
08:30	94	208			20:30	46	35		
08:45	88	347	160	761	20:45	56	209	27	150
09:00	55	115			21:00	40	22		
09:15	36	107			21:15	41	38		
09:30	44	82			21:30	40	22		
09:45	55	190	91	395	21:45	46	167	27	109
10:00	54	73			22:00	29	16		
10:15	62	78			22:15	21	13		
10:30	53	78			22:30	21	15		
10:45	43	212	74	303	22:45	20	91	4	48
11:00	49	67			23:00	25	12		
11:15	49	80			23:15	7	10		
11:30	64	78			23:30	3	5		
11:45	52	214	91	316	23:45	2	37	0	27
Total Vol.	1305	2551			3856		3381	3490	6871

Daily Totals

NB	SB	EB	WB
4686	6041	Combined	
10727			

Split %	AM				PM			
	33.8%	66.2%	35.9%		49.2%	50.8%	64.1%	
Peak Hour	07:45	07:45	06:30	06:30	07:45	17:30	15:30	15:45
Volume	369	761	1130		443	446	852	
P.H.F.	0.84	0.91	0.94		0.84	0.94	0.93	

Prepared by NDS/ATD

Volumes for: Tuesday, February 10, 2009 City: Agoura Hills Project #: 09-5034-008
Location: Kanan Rd S/o Fountainwood St

AM Period	NB	SB	EB	WB	PM Period	NB	SB	EB	WB	
00:00	21	9			12:00	142	152			
00:15	17	8			12:15	138	149			
00:30	12	4			12:30	164	147			
00:45	7	57	5	26	83	12:45	150	594	163 611	1205
01:00	10	3			13:00	163	162			
01:15	10	4			13:15	149	138			
01:30	10	3			13:30	141	161			
01:45	5	35	3	13	48	13:45	127	580	165 626	1206
02:00	6	1			14:00	175	134			
02:15	4	0			14:15	222	139			
02:30	4	3			14:30	193	197			
02:45	4	18	4	8	26	14:45	201	791	248 718	1509
03:00	5	4			15:00	205	276			
03:15	2	3			15:15	205	197			
03:30	2	3			15:30	215	223			
03:45	3	12	4	14	26	15:45	225	850	196 892	1742
04:00	3	2			16:00	241	168			
04:15	1	12			16:15	223	166			
04:30	4	23			16:30	235	159			
04:45	3	11	19	56	67	16:45	222	921	161 654	1575
05:00	7	39			17:00	277	175			
05:15	1	51			17:15	293	166			
05:30	13	78			17:30	324	174			
05:45	18	39	82	250	289	17:45	280	1174	199 714	1888
06:00	10	120			18:00	286	137			
06:15	17	121			18:15	326	133			
06:30	25	178			18:30	254	158			
06:45	31	83	188	607	690	18:45	272	1138	129 557	1695
07:00	70	198			19:00	265	132			
07:15	56	247			19:15	227	133			
07:30	79	269			19:30	190	109			
07:45	129	334	300	1014	1348	19:45	166	848	100 474	1322
08:00	175	342			20:00	168	87			
08:15	135	351			20:15	172	65			
08:30	107	239			20:30	150	70			
08:45	98	515	213	1145	1660	20:45	169	659	75 297	956
09:00	96	217			21:00	108	64			
09:15	94	174			21:15	137	55			
09:30	76	204			21:30	104	46			
09:45	91	357	155	750	1107	21:45	79	428	32 197	625
10:00	102	165			22:00	73	42			
10:15	101	163			22:15	55	29			
10:30	100	155			22:30	55	28			
10:45	94	397	153	636	1033	22:45	46	229	18 117	346
11:00	111	147			23:00	35	14			
11:15	112	168			23:15	33	9			
11:30	102	158			23:30	34	13			
11:45	130	455	120	593	1048	23:45	17	119	7 43	162

Total Vol. 2313 5112 **7425** 8331 5900 **14231**

Daily Totals

NB	SB	EB	WB
10644	11012	Combined	
21656			

Split %	AM				PM			
	31.2%	68.8%	34.3%		58.5%	41.5%	65.7%	
Peak Hour	07:45	07:30	06:30	06:30	07:30	17:30	15:30	17:00
Volume	546	1262	1780		1216	753	1888	
P.H.F.	0.78	0.90	0.86		0.93	0.84	0.95	

Prepared by NDS/ATD

Volumes for: Tuesday, February 03, 2009 City: Agoura Hills Project #: 09-5034-009
Location: Kanan Rd N/o Thousand Oaks Blvd

AM Period	NB	SB	EB	WB	PM Period	NB	SB	EB	WB		
00:00	17	10			12:00	191	220				
00:15	16	12			12:15	178	239				
00:30	16	7			12:30	185	211				
00:45	19	68	6	35	103	12:45	180	734	239	909	1643
01:00	9	5			13:00	209	262				
01:15	4	6			13:15	205	228				
01:30	6	2			13:30	165	252				
01:45	4	23	8	21	44	13:45	174	753	225	967	1720
02:00	6	2			14:00	215	204				
02:15	1	4			14:15	228	241				
02:30	5	1			14:30	277	297				
02:45	2	14	5	12	26	14:45	276	996	328	1070	2066
03:00	5	5			15:00	283	379				
03:15	4	3			15:15	270	307				
03:30	1	4			15:30	305	287				
03:45	2	12	8	20	32	15:45	298	1156	261	1234	2390
04:00	3	8			16:00	320	252				
04:15	5	19			16:15	261	222				
04:30	2	19			16:30	275	248				
04:45	4	14	30	76	90	16:45	323	1179	234	956	2135
05:00	2	52			17:00	329	246				
05:15	4	64			17:15	385	268				
05:30	21	58			17:30	360	281				
05:45	14	41	112	286	327	17:45	375	1449	253	1048	2497
06:00	15	153			18:00	341	238				
06:15	23	164			18:15	370	191				
06:30	42	233			18:30	316	205				
06:45	69	149	251	801	950	18:45	353	1380	203	837	2217
07:00	85	275			19:00	309	186				
07:15	72	330			19:15	285	193				
07:30	147	302			19:30	227	138				
07:45	236	540	372	1279	1819	19:45	235	1056	124	641	1697
08:00	379	354			20:00	213	134				
08:15	216	335			20:15	201	118				
08:30	175	386			20:30	155	116				
08:45	170	940	301	1376	2316	20:45	169	738	124	492	1230
09:00	121	277			21:00	140	84				
09:15	157	239			21:15	114	95				
09:30	144	228			21:30	113	63				
09:45	144	566	226	970	1536	21:45	118	485	59	301	786
10:00	137	245			22:00	85	62				
10:15	134	234			22:15	71	36				
10:30	155	210			22:30	51	25				
10:45	156	582	202	891	1473	22:45	41	248	25	148	396
11:00	140	204			23:00	42	22				
11:15	144	212			23:15	43	18				
11:30	162	207			23:30	37	25				
11:45	153	599	230	853	1452	23:45	27	149	15	80	229

Total Vol. 3548 6620 **10168** 10323 8683 **19006**

Daily Totals

NB	SB	EB	WB
13871	15303	Combined	
29174			

Split %	AM				PM			
	34.9%	65.1%	34.9%		54.3%	45.7%	65.1%	
Peak Hour	07:45	07:45	06:30	06:30	07:45	17:15	17:00	17:15
Volume	1006	1447	2453		1461	1048	2501	
P.H.F.	0.66	0.94	0.84		0.95	0.93	0.96	

Prepared by NDS/ATD

Volumes for: Tuesday, February 03, 2009 City: Agoura Hills Project #: 09-5034-010
Location: Thousand Oaks Blvd W/o Kanan Rd

AM Period	NB	SB	EB	WB	PM Period	NB	SB	EB	WB			
00:00			1	4	12:00			123	89			
00:15			0	4	12:15			99	130			
00:30			1	4	12:30			123	210			
00:45			3	5	1	13	18	121	466	126	555	1021
01:00			1	3	13:00			198	121			
01:15			1	2	13:15			148	121			
01:30			1	6	13:30			100	100			
01:45			2	5	1	12	17	114	560	95	437	997
02:00			1	1	14:00			105	86			
02:15			0	2	14:15			113	107			
02:30			1	1	14:30			147	114			
02:45			0	2	0	4	6	166	531	143	450	981
03:00			0	0	15:00			158	259			
03:15			3	1	15:15			184	248			
03:30			0	1	15:30			160	124			
03:45			1	4	4	6	10	143	645	135	766	1411
04:00			1	3	16:00			135	128			
04:15			0	4	16:15			127	154			
04:30			1	2	16:30			132	136			
04:45			5	7	4	13	20	148	542	124	542	1084
05:00			2	2	17:00			162	141			
05:15			2	4	17:15			164	132			
05:30			5	3	17:30			154	162			
05:45			6	15	5	14	29	145	625	145	580	1205
06:00			23	8	18:00			138	144			
06:15			35	19	18:15			133	122			
06:30			49	29	18:30			112	112			
06:45			79	186	49	105	291	128	511	110	488	999
07:00			55	42	19:00			95	116			
07:15			44	48	19:15			80	76			
07:30			70	54	19:30			80	94			
07:45			153	322	109	253	575	73	328	84	370	698
08:00			205	124	20:00			82	95			
08:15			215	199	20:15			70	61			
08:30			112	217	20:30			55	74			
08:45			117	649	103	643	1292	40	247	68	298	545
09:00			85	82	21:00			31	48			
09:15			72	66	21:15			19	43			
09:30			93	63	21:30			26	46			
09:45			91	341	69	280	621	20	96	22	159	255
10:00			70	61	22:00			14	27			
10:15			72	92	22:15			12	18			
10:30			99	84	22:30			6	13			
10:45			85	326	79	316	642	4	36	18	76	112
11:00			95	72	23:00			1	11			
11:15			78	74	23:15			7	1			
11:30			99	81	23:30			5	6			
11:45			105	377	92	319	696	2	15	6	24	39

Total Vol. 2239 1978 **4217** 4602 4745 **9347**

Daily Totals

NB	SB	EB	WB
		6841	6723
Combined		13564	

Split %	AM			PM				
	53.1%	46.9%	31.1%	49.2%	50.8%	68.9%		
Peak Hour	06:30	06:30	07:45	07:45	07:45	16:45	17:15	17:00
Volume			685	649	1334	628	583	1205
P.H.F.			0.80	0.75	0.81	0.96	0.90	0.95

Prepared by NDS/ATD

Volumes for: Tuesday, February 03, 2009 City: Agoura Hills Project #: 09-5034-011
Location: Thousand Oaks Blvd E/o Kanan Rd

AM Period	NB	SB	EB	WB	PM Period	NB	SB	EB	WB				
00:00			4	3	12:00			57	45				
00:15			10	7	12:15			58	86				
00:30			4	1	12:30			73	245				
00:45			1	19	3	14	33	12:45	96	284	72	448	732
01:00			2	0	13:00			158	97				
01:15			2	1	13:15			80	80				
01:30			3	7	13:30			63	66				
01:45			2	9	1	9	18	13:45	79	380	56	299	679
02:00			1	2	14:00			37	64				
02:15			2	1	14:15			73	82				
02:30			1	0	14:30			116	65				
02:45			3	7	2	5	12	14:45	169	395	105	316	711
03:00			4	2	15:00			166	241				
03:15			2	1	15:15			118	161				
03:30			2	3	15:30			102	101				
03:45			0	8	4	10	18	15:45	105	491	106	609	1100
04:00			1	3	16:00			99	112				
04:15			1	3	16:15			101	97				
04:30			1	5	16:30			104	123				
04:45			3	6	4	15	21	16:45	122	426	100	432	858
05:00			0	6	17:00			104	110				
05:15			6	7	17:15			97	104				
05:30			2	7	17:30			119	105				
05:45			7	15	18	38	53	17:45	121	441	114	433	874
06:00			16	19	18:00			119	128				
06:15			26	29	18:15			98	84				
06:30			42	27	18:30			93	73				
06:45			130	214	77	152	366	18:45	85	395	67	352	747
07:00			34	32	19:00			72	71				
07:15			24	40	19:15			53	47				
07:30			71	56	19:30			51	37				
07:45			165	294	139	267	561	19:45	60	236	77	232	468
08:00			228	175	20:00			61	94				
08:15			245	194	20:15			49	30				
08:30			140	238	20:30			54	52				
08:45			52	665	64	671	1336	20:45	31	195	56	232	427
09:00			53	56	21:00			46	33				
09:15			32	47	21:15			52	36				
09:30			37	33	21:30			35	35				
09:45			52	174	48	184	358	21:45	22	155	15	119	274
10:00			46	44	22:00			36	17				
10:15			51	56	22:15			21	20				
10:30			40	66	22:30			16	7				
10:45			41	178	46	212	390	22:45	17	90	13	57	147
11:00			42	44	23:00			11	5				
11:15			44	48	23:15			9	6				
11:30			42	55	23:30			5	2				
11:45			41	169	58	205	374	23:45	3	28	4	17	45

Total Vol. 1758 1782 3540 3516 3546 7062

Daily Totals

NB	SB	EB	WB
		5274	5328
10602			

Split %	AM			PM				
	49.7%	50.3%	33.4%	49.8%	50.2%	66.6%		
Peak Hour	06:30	06:30	07:45	07:45	07:45	17:30	17:15	17:15
Volume			778	746	1524	457	451	907
P.H.F.			0.79	0.78	0.87	0.94	0.89	0.92

Prepared by NDS/ATD

Volumes for: Tuesday, February 03, 2009 City: Agoura Hills Project #: 09-5034-012
Location: Kanan Rd S/o Thousand Oaks Blvd

AM Period	NB	SB	EB	WB	PM Period	NB	SB	EB	WB
00:00	20	10			12:00	228	249		
00:15	25	16			12:15	239	261		
00:30	22	12			12:30	204	281		
00:45	19	86	10	48	12:45	211	882	260	1051
01:00	16	7			13:00	237	281		
01:15	10	7			13:15	247	286		
01:30	9	6			13:30	219	243		
01:45	5	40	11	31	13:45	191	894	255	1065
02:00	7	6			14:00	220	233		
02:15	5	5			14:15	274	241		
02:30	2	0			14:30	273	284		
02:45	2	16	6	17	14:45	291	1058	268	1026
03:00	7	5			15:00	313	366		
03:15	3	5			15:15	259	342		
03:30	6	8			15:30	282	298		
03:45	5	21	10	28	15:45	297	1151	291	1297
04:00	1	6			16:00	320	284		
04:15	9	23			16:15	320	256		
04:30	15	33			16:30	277	258		
04:45	17	42	44	106	16:45	311	1228	242	1040
05:00	24	62			17:00	317	265		
05:15	38	99			17:15	354	241		
05:30	52	101			17:30	337	262		
05:45	31	145	120	382	17:45	324	1332	260	1028
06:00	27	169			18:00	311	236		
06:15	47	175			18:15	340	214		
06:30	55	237			18:30	289	225		
06:45	124	253	270	851	18:45	323	1263	207	882
07:00	112	306			19:00	268	185		
07:15	94	337			19:15	249	172		
07:30	172	333			19:30	230	140		
07:45	239	617	385	1361	19:45	236	983	126	623
08:00	333	381			20:00	180	126		
08:15	257	414			20:15	187	113		
08:30	193	459			20:30	181	109		
08:45	174	957	369	1623	20:45	165	713	124	472
09:00	156	274			21:00	148	90		
09:15	148	284			21:15	150	111		
09:30	155	252			21:30	163	79		
09:45	163	622	235	1045	21:45	133	594	73	353
10:00	153	264			22:00	115	61		
10:15	140	242			22:15	75	44		
10:30	163	247			22:30	72	29		
10:45	166	622	235	988	22:45	52	314	26	160
11:00	155	220			23:00	55	23		
11:15	164	246			23:15	56	27		
11:30	176	228			23:30	45	23		
11:45	198	693	229	923	23:45	29	185	17	90
Total Vol.	4114	7403			11517		10597	9087	19684

Daily Totals

NB	SB	EB	WB
14711	16490	Combined	
31201			

Split %	AM				PM			
	35.7%	64.3%	36.9%		53.8%	46.2%	63.1%	
Peak Hour	07:45	07:45	06:30	06:30	07:45	17:00	15:30	17:00
Volume	1022	1639	2661		1332	1129	2360	
P.H.F.	0.77	0.89	0.93		0.94	0.95	0.98	

Prepared by NDS/ATD

Volumes for: Tuesday, February 03, 2009 City: Agoura Hills Project #: 09-5034-013
Location: Driver Ave E/o Argos St

AM Period	NB	SB	EB	WB	PM Period	NB	SB	EB	WB			
00:00			0	3	12:00			29	31			
00:15			2	2	12:15			42	78			
00:30			0	2	12:30			53	152			
00:45			1	3	3	10	13	65	189	46	307	496
01:00			1	3	13:00			123	72			
01:15			0	1	13:15			55	63			
01:30			2	1	13:30			48	35			
01:45			0	3	0	5	8	59	285	38	208	493
02:00			0	1	14:00			29	42			
02:15			1	0	14:15			48	54			
02:30			0	0	14:30			68	41			
02:45			2	3	0	1	4	84	229	86	223	452
03:00			2	1	15:00			87	115			
03:15			1	0	15:15			67	63			
03:30			1	1	15:30			62	72			
03:45			1	5	1	3	8	63	279	65	315	594
04:00			0	0	16:00			54	76			
04:15			1	1	16:15			54	77			
04:30			1	0	16:30			63	85			
04:45			0	2	1	2	4	71	242	81	319	561
05:00			0	0	17:00			73	92			
05:15			5	2	17:15			52	83			
05:30			3	2	17:30			73	65			
05:45			10	18	7	11	29	89	287	80	320	607
06:00			15	7	18:00			78	104			
06:15			28	16	18:15			62	53			
06:30			30	10	18:30			51	58			
06:45			149	222	49	82	304	45	236	40	255	491
07:00			42	17	19:00			37	53			
07:15			21	8	19:15			19	28			
07:30			78	22	19:30			23	14			
07:45			139	280	78	125	405	36	115	71	166	281
08:00			131	119	20:00			34	81			
08:15			148	145	20:15			23	28			
08:30			94	150	20:30			18	36			
08:45			46	419	25	439	858	20	95	36	181	276
09:00			39	36	21:00			21	24			
09:15			31	14	21:15			15	20			
09:30			26	23	21:30			10	11			
09:45			30	126	26	99	225	9	55	13	68	123
10:00			40	28	22:00			13	4			
10:15			48	41	22:15			8	10			
10:30			26	37	22:30			3	6			
10:45			23	137	31	137	274	8	32	13	33	65
11:00			25	27	23:00			5	6			
11:15			18	29	23:15			5	5			
11:30			26	28	23:30			5	2			
11:45			31	100	35	119	219	0	15	2	15	30

Total Vol. 1318 1033 2351 2059 2410 4469

Daily Totals

NB	SB	EB	WB
		3377	3443
6820			

Split %	AM			PM				
	56.1%	43.9%	34.5%	46.1%	53.9%	65.5%		
Peak Hour	06:30	06:30	07:45	07:45	07:45	17:30	16:30	17:15
Volume			512	492	1004	302	341	624
P.H.F.			0.86	0.82	0.86	0.85	0.95	0.86

Prepared by NDS/ATD

Volumes for: Tuesday, February 03, 2009 City: Agoura Hills Project #: 09-5034-014
Location: Agoura Rd E/o Flintlock Ln

AM Period	NB	SB	EB	WB	PM Period	NB	SB	EB	WB			
00:00			3	1	12:00			111	76			
00:15			2	2	12:15			82	97			
00:30			1	0	12:30			89	109			
00:45			2	8	1	4	12	103	385	102	384	769
01:00			1	0	13:00			100	99			
01:15			1	0	13:15			87	95			
01:30			1	1	13:30			84	76			
01:45			0	3	1	2	5	83	354	77	347	701
02:00			1	0	14:00			79	76			
02:15			0	1	14:15			83	79			
02:30			1	4	14:30			67	80			
02:45			1	3	1	6	9	55	284	88	323	607
03:00			0	1	15:00			101	79			
03:15			1	0	15:15			85	60			
03:30			2	0	15:30			77	65			
03:45			0	3	1	2	5	74	337	69	273	610
04:00			1	1	16:00			109	87			
04:15			1	0	16:15			97	64			
04:30			1	1	16:30			123	70			
04:45			8	11	1	3	14	110	439	69	290	729
05:00			4	2	17:00			155	93			
05:15			5	3	17:15			144	91			
05:30			8	2	17:30			137	79			
05:45			36	53	14	21	74	87	523	80	343	866
06:00			21	15	18:00			80	80			
06:15			21	32	18:15			91	57			
06:30			32	24	18:30			67	59			
06:45			60	134	43	114	248	53	291	57	253	544
07:00			46	48	19:00			42	44			
07:15			62	60	19:15			48	33			
07:30			59	61	19:30			39	28			
07:45			90	257	91	260	517	35	164	34	139	303
08:00			90	101	20:00			34	25			
08:15			78	87	20:15			38	26			
08:30			74	69	20:30			22	25			
08:45			52	294	70	327	621	25	119	11	87	206
09:00			70	75	21:00			17	11			
09:15			57	55	21:15			17	27			
09:30			46	48	21:30			17	21			
09:45			49	222	57	235	457	11	62	10	69	131
10:00			53	66	22:00			26	11			
10:15			65	34	22:15			8	12			
10:30			49	49	22:30			8	11			
10:45			66	233	49	198	431	11	53	6	40	93
11:00			66	61	23:00			9	7			
11:15			66	65	23:15			9	4			
11:30			89	73	23:30			4	3			
11:45			98	319	83	282	601	1	23	6	20	43

Total Vol. 1540 1454 2994 3034 2568 5602

Daily Totals

NB	SB	EB	WB
		4574	4022
8596			

Split %	AM			PM				
	51.4%	48.6%	34.8%	54.2%	45.8%	65.2%		
Peak Hour	06:30	06:30	07:45	07:45	07:45	16:45	17:00	16:45
Volume			332	348	680	546	343	878
P.H.F.			0.92	0.86	0.89	0.88	0.73	0.89

Prepared by NDS/ATD

Volumes for: Tuesday, February 03, 2009 City: Agoura Hills Project #: 09-5034-015
Location: Reyes Adobe Rd N/o Canwood St

AM Period	NB	SB	EB	WB	PM Period	NB	SB	EB	WB
00:00	4	2			12:00	119	117		
00:15	10	3			12:15	93	113		
00:30	5	6			12:30	90	113		
00:45	6	25	1	12	12:45	88	390	134	477
01:00	7	0			13:00	121	108		
01:15	2	2			13:15	115	125		
01:30	4	1			13:30	88	94		
01:45	2	15	1	4	13:45	84	408	108	435
02:00	2	1			14:00	102	114		
02:15	3	3			14:15	108	115		
02:30	1	2			14:30	110	94		
02:45	1	7	1	7	14:45	138	458	119	442
03:00	2	0			15:00	151	111		
03:15	0	0			15:15	134	210		
03:30	3	1			15:30	125	124		
03:45	2	7	5	6	15:45	144	554	138	583
04:00	3	3			16:00	147	119		
04:15	2	8			16:15	135	109		
04:30	2	10			16:30	128	106		
04:45	3	10	10	31	16:45	145	555	102	436
05:00	4	15			17:00	148	103		
05:15	13	19			17:15	188	112		
05:30	3	35			17:30	178	97		
05:45	4	24	45	114	17:45	156	670	102	414
06:00	11	59			18:00	171	107		
06:15	14	59			18:15	158	87		
06:30	15	83			18:30	153	98		
06:45	44	84	94	295	18:45	137	619	72	364
07:00	40	98			19:00	106	68		
07:15	42	140			19:15	93	69		
07:30	68	150			19:30	96	41		
07:45	102	252	208	596	19:45	91	386	51	229
08:00	110	218			20:00	85	51		
08:15	88	240			20:15	91	39		
08:30	105	201			20:30	70	30		
08:45	99	402	217	876	20:45	68	314	30	150
09:00	67	161			21:00	83	16		
09:15	85	135			21:15	71	32		
09:30	68	106			21:30	57	30		
09:45	63	283	106	508	21:45	41	252	23	101
10:00	60	85			22:00	47	21		
10:15	59	80			22:15	32	18		
10:30	56	89			22:30	28	18		
10:45	79	254	101	355	22:45	20	127	19	76
11:00	69	86			23:00	21	5		
11:15	58	94			23:15	27	12		
11:30	99	93			23:30	11	5		
11:45	111	337	94	367	23:45	13	72	3	25
Total Vol.	1700	3171			4871	4805	3732		8537

Daily Totals										
	NB	SB	Combined		EB	WB				
	6505	6903	13408							
Split %	34.9%	65.1%	36.3%		56.3%	43.7%	63.7%			
Peak Hour	07:45	08:00	06:30	06:30	08:00	17:15	15:30	17:15		
Volume	405	876	1278		693	490	1111			
P.H.F.	0.92	0.91	0.97		0.92	0.89	0.93			

Prepared by NDS/ATD

Volumes for: Tuesday, February 03, 2009 City: Agoura Hills Project #: 09-5034-016
Location: Canwood St W/o Reyes Adobe Rd

AM Period	NB	SB	EB	WB	PM Period	NB	SB	EB	WB			
00:00			2	7	12:00			54	62			
00:15			4	3	12:15			53	46			
00:30			2	2	12:30			64	67			
00:45			0	8	0	12	20	52	223	68	243	466
01:00			1	1	13:00			42	64			
01:15			1	0	13:15			48	50			
01:30			0	0	13:30			48	50			
01:45			1	3	3	4	7	43	181	69	233	414
02:00			1	3	14:00			45	47			
02:15			1	5	14:15			53	41			
02:30			0	0	14:30			42	48			
02:45			0	2	0	8	10	51	191	54	190	381
03:00			2	2	15:00			46	54			
03:15			0	0	15:15			55	73			
03:30			0	3	15:30			36	50			
03:45			0	2	0	5	7	48	185	67	244	429
04:00			0	0	16:00			72	63			
04:15			2	2	16:15			55	50			
04:30			1	1	16:30			42	47			
04:45			5	8	1	4	12	65	234	63	223	457
05:00			4	0	17:00			66	37			
05:15			8	3	17:15			59	60			
05:30			7	2	17:30			69	59			
05:45			12	31	5	10	41	58	252	65	221	473
06:00			16	5	18:00			56	60			
06:15			32	14	18:15			49	52			
06:30			27	7	18:30			39	37			
06:45			21	96	16	42	138	32	176	38	187	363
07:00			27	12	19:00			35	29			
07:15			46	8	19:15			33	32			
07:30			47	26	19:30			19	24			
07:45			45	165	51	97	262	20	107	35	120	227
08:00			50	53	20:00			14	28			
08:15			39	60	20:15			9	23			
08:30			37	66	20:30			12	25			
08:45			46	172	70	249	421	14	49	17	93	142
09:00			38	55	21:00			17	19			
09:15			27	57	21:15			4	15			
09:30			34	46	21:30			32	22			
09:45			41	140	54	212	352	12	65	12	68	133
10:00			29	38	22:00			5	14			
10:15			31	44	22:15			5	15			
10:30			32	37	22:30			6	6			
10:45			35	127	54	173	300	3	19	6	41	60
11:00			31	38	23:00			11	8			
11:15			31	45	23:15			3	8			
11:30			49	48	23:30			0	6			
11:45			47	158	54	185	343	2	16	7	29	45

Total Vol. 912 1001 **1913** 1698 1892 **3590**

Daily Totals

NB	SB	EB	WB
		2610	2893
5503			

Split %	AM			PM				
	47.7%	52.3%	34.8%	47.3%	52.7%	65.2%		
Peak Hour	06:30	06:30	07:15	08:15	08:00	16:45	17:15	17:15
Volume			188	251	421	259	244	486
P.H.F.			0.94	0.90	0.91	0.94	0.99	0.95

Prepared by NDS/ATD

Volumes for: Tuesday, February 03, 2009 City: Agoura Hills Project #: 09-5034-017
Location: Canwood St E/o Reyes Adobe Rd

AM Period	NB	SB	EB	WB	PM Period	NB	SB	EB	WB			
00:00			2	0	12:00			31	32			
00:15			2	0	12:15			29	43			
00:30			6	1	12:30			28	24			
00:45			2	12	0	1	13	26	114	38	137	251
01:00			0	0	13:00			27	32			
01:15			5	2	13:15			28	31			
01:30			2	2	13:30			20	21			
01:45			1	8	0	4	12	31	106	23	107	213
02:00			1	0	14:00			26	20			
02:15			1	1	14:15			33	26			
02:30			0	0	14:30			25	26			
02:45			0	2	2	3	5	36	120	36	108	228
03:00			1	0	15:00			36	34			
03:15			1	0	15:15			39	37			
03:30			0	1	15:30			38	27			
03:45			0	2	0	1	3	36	149	27	125	274
04:00			1	0	16:00			42	37			
04:15			0	1	16:15			32	27			
04:30			1	0	16:30			34	30			
04:45			0	2	0	1	3	30	138	35	129	267
05:00			0	3	17:00			42	31			
05:15			2	2	17:15			32	30			
05:30			4	3	17:30			27	21			
05:45			2	8	2	10	18	31	132	28	110	242
06:00			3	6	18:00			19	28			
06:15			4	7	18:15			25	29			
06:30			4	13	18:30			30	23			
06:45			9	20	9	35	55	21	95	20	100	195
07:00			7	16	19:00			14	17			
07:15			10	9	19:15			9	7			
07:30			9	25	19:30			14	15			
07:45			26	52	38	88	140	17	54	11	50	104
08:00			24	22	20:00			8	11			
08:15			40	25	20:15			17	10			
08:30			31	26	20:30			17	7			
08:45			41	136	27	100	236	14	56	8	36	92
09:00			28	28	21:00			9	6			
09:15			20	28	21:15			12	13			
09:30			19	31	21:30			9	1			
09:45			22	89	27	114	203	9	39	3	23	62
10:00			34	25	22:00			9	4			
10:15			21	27	22:15			7	4			
10:30			21	38	22:30			1	6			
10:45			22	98	32	122	220	5	22	5	19	41
11:00			16	20	23:00			3	5			
11:15			25	27	23:15			4	0			
11:30			29	29	23:30			3	3			
11:45			28	98	35	111	209	1	11	1	9	20

Total Vol. 527 590 1117 1036 953 1989

Daily Totals

NB	SB	EB	WB
		1563	1543
3106			

Split %	AM			PM				
	47.2%	52.8%	36.0%	52.1%	47.9%	64.0%		
Peak Hour	06:30	06:30	08:15	07:45	08:15	15:30	16:00	16:00
Volume			140	111	246	148	129	267
P.H.F.			0.85	0.73	0.90	0.88	0.90	0.84

Prepared by NDS/ATD

Volumes for: Tuesday, February 03, 2009

City: Agoura Hills

Project #: 09-5034-018

Location: Reyes Adobe Rd N/o Agoura Rd

AM Period	NB	SB	EB	WB	PM Period	NB	SB	EB	WB		
00:00	3	5			12:00	160	105				
00:15	3	7			12:15	120	106				
00:30	7	8			12:30	116	112				
00:45	1	14	4	24	38	12:45	79	475	129	452	927
01:00	7	1			13:00	125	140				
01:15	3	5			13:15	102	136				
01:30	4	0			13:30	78	91				
01:45	3	17	1	7	24	13:45	107	412	113	480	892
02:00	3	9			14:00	91	89				
02:15	3	4			14:15	93	89				
02:30	11	7			14:30	123	76				
02:45	1	18	2	22	40	14:45	113	420	92	346	766
03:00	0	1			15:00	142	95				
03:15	3	0			15:15	93	110				
03:30	3	5			15:30	146	78				
03:45	0	6	1	7	13	15:45	117	498	81	364	862
04:00	0	2			16:00	180	88				
04:15	4	10			16:15	142	78				
04:30	2	5			16:30	152	104				
04:45	3	9	13	30	39	16:45	168	642	97	367	1009
05:00	7	11			17:00	222	63				
05:15	6	17			17:15	179	106				
05:30	12	37			17:30	187	102				
05:45	12	37	68	133	170	17:45	167	755	132	403	1158
06:00	16	71			18:00	204	64				
06:15	30	83			18:15	157	150				
06:30	22	87			18:30	135	83				
06:45	46	114	181	422	536	18:45	93	589	71	368	957
07:00	54	182			19:00	78	47				
07:15	53	165			19:15	74	61				
07:30	54	214			19:30	71	36				
07:45	63	224	313	874	1098	19:45	75	298	61	205	503
08:00	73	255			20:00	72	33				
08:15	63	232			20:15	42	36				
08:30	82	271			20:30	51	27				
08:45	49	267	314	1072	1339	20:45	37	202	29	125	327
09:00	64	269			21:00	45	14				
09:15	58	136			21:15	41	29				
09:30	47	107			21:30	28	19				
09:45	60	229	105	617	846	21:45	17	131	11	73	204
10:00	57	104			22:00	29	12				
10:15	58	76			22:15	15	12				
10:30	55	95			22:30	18	10				
10:45	58	228	107	382	610	22:45	14	76	23	57	133
11:00	69	85			23:00	23	19				
11:15	77	74			23:15	8	15				
11:30	104	97			23:30	6	8				
11:45	120	370	106	362	732	23:45	7	44	10	52	96

Total Vol. 1533 3952 5485 4542 3292 7834

Daily Totals

NB	SB	EB	WB
6075	7244	Combined	
13319			

Split %	AM				PM			
	27.9%	72.1%	41.2%		58.0%	42.0%	58.8%	
Peak Hour	07:45	08:15	06:30	06:30	07:45	16:45	17:30	17:30
Volume	281	1086	1352		756	448	1163	
P.H.F.	0.86	0.86	0.90		0.85	0.75	0.95	

Prepared by NDS/ATD

Volumes for: Tuesday, February 03, 2009 City: Agoura Hills Project #: 09-5034-019
Location: Agoura Rd W/o Reyes Adobe Rd

AM Period	NB	SB	EB	WB	PM Period	NB	SB	EB	WB				
00:00			3	5	12:00			102	84				
00:15			2	3	12:15			91	87				
00:30			0	6	12:30			106	100				
00:45			1	6	6	20	26	12:45	90	389	117	388	777
01:00			1	1	13:00			90	104				
01:15			0	2	13:15			84	96				
01:30			2	1	13:30			79	95				
01:45			2	5	0	4	9	13:45	79	332	76	371	703
02:00			0	1	14:00			80	76				
02:15			0	0	14:15			81	78				
02:30			6	2	14:30			83	65				
02:45			1	7	2	5	12	14:45	97	341	55	274	615
03:00			1	2	15:00			120	94				
03:15			3	1	15:15			75	85				
03:30			1	2	15:30			100	68				
03:45			0	5	0	5	10	15:45	87	382	71	318	700
04:00			1	1	16:00			141	75				
04:15			1	2	16:15			92	80				
04:30			1	4	16:30			114	77				
04:45			1	4	8	15	19	16:45	105	452	83	315	767
05:00			4	10	17:00			122	92				
05:15			5	9	17:15			102	105				
05:30			3	17	17:30			103	85				
05:45			9	21	49	85	106	17:45	90	417	78	360	777
06:00			12	27	18:00			91	61				
06:15			21	33	18:15			80	81				
06:30			20	58	18:30			64	54				
06:45			22	75	110	228	303	18:45	58	293	55	251	544
07:00			35	95	19:00			46	45				
07:15			32	110	19:15			46	45				
07:30			30	112	19:30			32	40				
07:45			59	156	140	457	613	19:45	35	159	36	166	325
08:00			69	130	20:00			36	30				
08:15			67	122	20:15			23	42				
08:30			64	126	20:30			29	21				
08:45			57	257	99	477	734	20:45	11	99	29	122	221
09:00			71	110	21:00			15	18				
09:15			53	75	21:15			27	19				
09:30			46	61	21:30			21	21				
09:45			58	228	49	295	523	21:45	8	71	9	67	138
10:00			59	66	22:00			13	24				
10:15			48	64	22:15			11	10				
10:30			43	58	22:30			7	9				
10:45			55	205	61	249	454	22:45	7	38	14	57	95
11:00			62	64	23:00			9	12				
11:15			72	61	23:15			5	11				
11:30			96	75	23:30			2	6				
11:45			109	339	84	284	623	23:45	2	18	7	36	54

Total Vol. 1308 2124 **3432** 2991 2725 **5716**

Daily Totals

NB	SB	EB	WB
		4299	4849
9148			

Split %	AM			PM				
	38.1%	61.9%	37.5%	52.3%	47.7%	62.5%		
Peak Hour	06:30	06:30	07:45	07:45	07:45	16:00	16:45	16:30
Volume			259	518	777	452	365	800
P.H.F.			0.94	0.93	0.98	0.80	0.89	0.93

Prepared by NDS/ATD

Volumes for: Tuesday, February 03, 2009 City: Agoura Hills Project #: 09-5034-020
Location: Agoura Rd E/o Reyes Adobe Rd

AM Period	NB	SB	EB	WB	PM Period	NB	SB	EB	WB				
00:00			3	1	12:00			100	127				
00:15			6	4	12:15			119	129				
00:30			0	4	12:30			126	110				
00:45			0	9	2	11	20	12:45	152	497	120	486	983
01:00			0	3	13:00			149	134				
01:15			0	0	13:15			127	111				
01:30			0	2	13:30			113	96				
01:45			0	0	3	8	8	13:45	108	497	102	443	940
02:00			2	1	14:00			108	99				
02:15			0	1	14:15			105	93				
02:30			2	1	14:30			85	103				
02:45			0	4	0	3	7	14:45	115	413	91	386	799
03:00			0	0	15:00			83	103				
03:15			0	0	15:15			104	98				
03:30			0	0	15:30			83	116				
03:45			3	3	1	1	4	15:45	94	364	101	418	782
04:00			0	1	16:00			108	142				
04:15			3	0	16:15			75	121				
04:30			2	0	16:30			105	120				
04:45			7	12	1	2	14	16:45	94	382	135	518	900
05:00			3	3	17:00			92	209				
05:15			7	1	17:15			104	174				
05:30			14	3	17:30			111	168				
05:45			29	53	7	14	67	17:45	105	412	131	682	1094
06:00			44	2	18:00			88	200				
06:15			48	7	18:15			107	120				
06:30			51	13	18:30			71	121				
06:45			77	220	45	67	287	18:45	68	334	89	530	864
07:00			105	34	19:00			57	77				
07:15			80	30	19:15			49	54				
07:30			110	34	19:30			35	78				
07:45			209	504	56	154	658	19:45	46	187	57	266	453
08:00			166	54	20:00			16	52				
08:15			197	59	20:15			18	39				
08:30			212	61	20:30			23	41				
08:45			246	821	46	220	1041	20:45	16	73	41	173	246
09:00			209	60	21:00			16	48				
09:15			125	53	21:15			21	22				
09:30			97	33	21:30			17	31				
09:45			109	540	58	204	744	21:45	8	62	16	117	179
10:00			108	52	22:00			5	34				
10:15			82	63	22:15			10	13				
10:30			84	53	22:30			10	16				
10:45			95	369	61	229	598	22:45	14	39	14	77	116
11:00			108	79	23:00			7	15				
11:15			92	73	23:15			7	8				
11:30			111	100	23:30			1	3				
11:45			138	449	130	382	831	23:45	4	19	4	30	49

Total Vol. 2984 1295 **4279** 3279 4126 **7405**

Daily Totals

NB	SB	EB	WB
		6263	5421
11684			

Split %	AM			PM				
	69.7%	30.3%	36.6%	44.3%	55.7%	63.4%		
Peak Hour	06:30	06:30	08:15	07:45	08:15	17:00	16:45	17:00
Volume			864	230	1090	412	686	1094
P.H.F.			0.88	0.94	0.93	0.93	0.74	0.91

Prepared by NDS/ATD

Volumes for: Tuesday, February 03, 2009 City: Agoura Hills Project #: 09-5034-021
Location: Kanan Rd S/o Canwood St E-N/o Canwood St W

AM Period	NB	SB	EB	WB	PM Period	NB	SB	EB	WB
00:00	26	24			12:00	332	331		
00:15	31	14			12:15	319	357		
00:30	27	12			12:30	300	364		
00:45	22	106	10	60	12:45	308	1259	352	1404
01:00	13	8			13:00	329	362		
01:15	24	11			13:15	316	380		
01:30	11	9			13:30	293	327		
01:45	18	66	13	41	13:45	283	1221	340	1409
02:00	6	5			14:00	313	259		
02:15	10	5			14:15	340	330		
02:30	13	7			14:30	364	347		
02:45	11	40	3	20	14:45	386	1403	308	1244
03:00	5	8			15:00	391	412		
03:15	4	4			15:15	345	400		
03:30	6	13			15:30	410	371		
03:45	8	23	13	38	15:45	419	1565	339	1522
04:00	7	15			16:00	406	371		
04:15	4	24			16:15	393	335		
04:30	15	37			16:30	392	322		
04:45	4	30	40	116	16:45	410	1601	334	1362
05:00	12	79			17:00	400	357		
05:15	15	109			17:15	443	302		
05:30	23	114			17:30	469	326		
05:45	32	82	140	442	17:45	427	1739	336	1321
06:00	45	198			18:00	438	312		
06:15	61	213			18:15	449	308		
06:30	100	286			18:30	406	321		
06:45	151	357	325	1022	18:45	389	1682	249	1190
07:00	148	359			19:00	358	238		
07:15	142	418			19:15	295	228		
07:30	242	407			19:30	279	179		
07:45	291	823	448	1632	19:45	273	1205	159	804
08:00	408	462			20:00	224	156		
08:15	335	473			20:15	239	138		
08:30	265	509			20:30	219	147		
08:45	240	1248	450	1894	20:45	204	886	132	573
09:00	234	376			21:00	189	111		
09:15	211	353			21:15	134	124		
09:30	198	323			21:30	129	120		
09:45	207	850	281	1333	21:45	102	554	85	440
10:00	233	333			22:00	91	84		
10:15	193	317			22:15	79	65		
10:30	226	307			22:30	58	43		
10:45	232	884	288	1245	22:45	45	273	42	234
11:00	234	276			23:00	49	39		
11:15	217	337			23:15	32	38		
11:30	247	300			23:30	36	32		
11:45	274	972	292	1205	23:45	24	141	31	140
Total Vol.	5481	9048		14529		13529	11643		25172

Daily Totals

NB	SB	EB	WB
19010	20691	Combined	
39701			

Split %	AM				PM			
	37.7%	62.3%	36.6%		53.7%	46.3%	63.4%	
Peak Hour	07:45	08:00	06:30	06:30	07:45	17:30	15:30	17:30
Volume	1299	1894	3191		1783	1416	3065	
P.H.F.	0.80	0.93	0.92		0.95	0.95	0.96	

Prepared by NDS/ATD

Volumes for: Tuesday, February 03, 2009 City: Agoura Hills Project #: 09-5034-022
Location: Canwood St W/o Kanan Rd

AM Period	NB	SB	EB	WB	PM Period	NB	SB	EB	WB			
00:00			4	2	12:00			41	30			
00:15			1	4	12:15			56	30			
00:30			2	0	12:30			30	37			
00:45			0	7	2	8	15	40	167	43	140	307
01:00			1	1	13:00			36	52			
01:15			2	1	13:15			30	41			
01:30			2	1	13:30			29	30			
01:45			1	6	1	4	10	28	123	42	165	288
02:00			0	0	14:00			26	47			
02:15			2	1	14:15			31	51			
02:30			0	0	14:30			40	37			
02:45			0	2	0	1	3	38	135	43	178	313
03:00			1	2	15:00			36	48			
03:15			1	0	15:15			57	51			
03:30			0	2	15:30			45	44			
03:45			0	2	0	4	6	53	191	49	192	383
04:00			0	0	16:00			43	41			
04:15			0	0	16:15			50	54			
04:30			3	0	16:30			43	28			
04:45			1	4	1	1	5	26	162	52	175	337
05:00			6	2	17:00			52	35			
05:15			6	2	17:15			51	40			
05:30			8	5	17:30			27	43			
05:45			12	32	0	9	41	38	168	50	168	336
06:00			11	5	18:00			45	42			
06:15			16	4	18:15			31	41			
06:30			31	3	18:30			25	49			
06:45			25	83	9	21	104	16	117	27	159	276
07:00			27	4	19:00			13	23			
07:15			26	5	19:15			17	28			
07:30			36	20	19:30			14	24			
07:45			40	129	34	63	192	16	60	17	92	152
08:00			44	26	20:00			8	16			
08:15			64	35	20:15			7	23			
08:30			45	37	20:30			9	11			
08:45			28	181	36	134	315	6	30	16	66	96
09:00			34	39	21:00			3	13			
09:15			33	34	21:15			10	15			
09:30			36	49	21:30			7	14			
09:45			30	133	26	148	281	5	25	12	54	79
10:00			31	38	22:00			6	14			
10:15			40	29	22:15			5	12			
10:30			34	36	22:30			1	6			
10:45			27	132	38	141	273	3	15	11	43	58
11:00			40	22	23:00			2	9			
11:15			38	35	23:15			0	2			
11:30			29	29	23:30			4	2			
11:45			35	142	34	120	262	1	7	3	16	23

Total Vol. 853 654 1507 1200 1448 2648

Daily Totals

NB	SB	EB	WB
Combined		2053	2102
4155			

Split %	AM			PM				
	56.6%	43.4%	36.3%	45.3%	54.7%	63.7%		
Peak Hour	06:30	06:30	07:45	08:15	07:45	15:30	15:30	15:30
Volume			193	147	325	191	188	379
P.H.F.			0.75	0.94	0.82	0.90	0.88	0.91

Prepared by NDS/ATD

Volumes for: Tuesday, February 03, 2009 City: Agoura Hills Project #: 09-5034-023
Location: Canwood St E/o Kanan Rd

AM Period	NB	SB	EB	WB	PM Period	NB	SB	EB	WB			
00:00			3	7	12:00			108	119			
00:15			3	5	12:15			78	105			
00:30			7	6	12:30			102	120			
00:45			3	16	5	23	39	95	383	100	444	827
01:00			2	2	13:00			93	114			
01:15			1	6	13:15			90	102			
01:30			2	2	13:30			97	95			
01:45			8	13	4	14	27	81	361	83	394	755
02:00			0	3	14:00			79	69			
02:15			4	3	14:15			96	102			
02:30			3	2	14:30			100	88			
02:45			4	11	2	10	21	89	364	83	342	706
03:00			2	2	15:00			112	136			
03:15			0	0	15:15			107	94			
03:30			1	0	15:30			103	101			
03:45			3	6	0	2	8	95	417	104	435	852
04:00			1	0	16:00			85	124			
04:15			1	1	16:15			86	119			
04:30			5	1	16:30			96	125			
04:45			4	11	6	8	19	101	368	121	489	857
05:00			3	10	17:00			74	127			
05:15			4	11	17:15			86	105			
05:30			3	10	17:30			67	111			
05:45			11	21	17	48	69	80	307	93	436	743
06:00			12	15	18:00			83	90			
06:15			26	27	18:15			84	75			
06:30			45	42	18:30			54	79			
06:45			35	118	54	138	256	47	268	47	291	559
07:00			45	37	19:00			54	63			
07:15			58	63	19:15			37	48			
07:30			65	69	19:30			35	42			
07:45			76	244	83	252	496	36	162	29	182	344
08:00			78	89	20:00			30	24			
08:15			110	108	20:15			40	27			
08:30			111	99	20:30			45	38			
08:45			109	408	80	376	784	34	149	34	123	272
09:00			84	91	21:00			39	25			
09:15			70	65	21:15			25	30			
09:30			58	83	21:30			20	25			
09:45			51	263	71	310	573	23	107	17	97	204
10:00			79	69	22:00			22	20			
10:15			56	83	22:15			15	13			
10:30			59	74	22:30			9	8			
10:45			67	261	57	283	544	7	53	9	50	103
11:00			71	74	23:00			9	11			
11:15			75	100	23:15			7	8			
11:30			62	79	23:30			6	11			
11:45			69	277	85	338	615	2	24	12	42	66

Total Vol. 1649 1802 3451 2963 3325 6288

Daily Totals

NB	SB	EB	WB
		4612	5127
Combined		9739	

Split %	AM			PM				
	47.8%	52.2%	35.4%	47.1%	52.9%	64.6%		
Peak Hour	06:30	06:30	08:15	07:45	08:15	15:30	16:15	16:00
Volume			414	379	792	369	492	857
P.H.F.			0.93	0.88	0.91	0.90	0.93	0.97

Prepared by NDS/ATD

Volumes for: Tuesday, February 03, 2009 City: Agoura Hills Project #: 09-5034-024
Location: Kanan Rd N/o Agoura Rd

AM Period	NB	SB	EB	WB	PM Period	NB	SB	EB	WB		
00:00	8	8			12:00	262	170				
00:15	24	11			12:15	202	211				
00:30	8	9			12:30	189	189				
00:45	8	48	9	37	85	12:45	194	847	233	803	1650
01:00	6	8			13:00	231	210				
01:15	6	7			13:15	209	187				
01:30	9	8			13:30	168	188				
01:45	8	29	7	30	59	13:45	192	800	153	738	1538
02:00	9	2			14:00	199	158				
02:15	5	6			14:15	187	153				
02:30	6	2			14:30	203	167				
02:45	3	23	2	12	35	14:45	248	837	155	633	1470
03:00	1	2			15:00	242	180				
03:15	4	3			15:15	229	177				
03:30	5	4			15:30	268	195				
03:45	2	12	4	13	25	15:45	259	998	169	721	1719
04:00	4	5			16:00	252	210				
04:15	8	2			16:15	253	181				
04:30	5	4			16:30	235	166				
04:45	10	27	6	17	44	16:45	242	982	179	736	1718
05:00	9	13			17:00	242	139				
05:15	20	14			17:15	248	166				
05:30	29	37			17:30	242	171				
05:45	29	87	45	109	196	17:45	232	964	189	665	1629
06:00	47	84			18:00	239	165				
06:15	58	128			18:15	222	172				
06:30	57	170			18:30	202	126				
06:45	87	249	160	542	791	18:45	177	840	124	587	1427
07:00	83	187			19:00	138	95				
07:15	113	234			19:15	125	102				
07:30	127	227			19:30	135	98				
07:45	161	484	249	897	1381	19:45	107	505	86	381	886
08:00	197	251			20:00	87	83				
08:15	120	266			20:15	110	71				
08:30	149	312			20:30	91	78				
08:45	122	588	267	1096	1684	20:45	87	375	60	292	667
09:00	137	246			21:00	63	64				
09:15	128	216			21:15	60	57				
09:30	140	148			21:30	71	52				
09:45	119	524	183	793	1317	21:45	53	247	41	214	461
10:00	131	165			22:00	51	44				
10:15	150	170			22:15	37	47				
10:30	135	145			22:30	35	34				
10:45	144	560	157	637	1197	22:45	29	152	25	150	302
11:00	170	144			23:00	45	21				
11:15	183	145			23:15	25	19				
11:30	156	174			23:30	16	13				
11:45	192	701	212	675	1376	23:45	11	97	9	62	159

Total Vol. 3332 4858 **8190** 7644 5982 **13626**

Daily Totals

NB	SB	EB	WB
10976	10840	Combined	
21816			

Split %	AM				PM			
	40.7%	59.3%	37.5%		56.1%	43.9%	62.5%	
Peak Hour	07:45	08:00	06:30	06:30	07:45	15:30	15:30	15:30
Volume	627	1096	1705		1032	755	1787	
P.H.F.	0.80	0.88	0.92		0.96	0.90	0.96	

Prepared by NDS/ATD

Volumes for: Tuesday, February 03, 2009 City: Agoura Hills Project #: 09-5034-025
Location: Agoura Rd W/o Kanan Rd

AM Period	NB	SB	EB	WB	PM Period	NB	SB	EB	WB			
00:00			4	2	12:00			142	86			
00:15			6	4	12:15			92	116			
00:30			1	1	12:30			103	93			
00:45			0	11	2	9	20	112	449	132	427	876
01:00			0	0	13:00			130	145			
01:15			0	1	13:15			95	109			
01:30			1	1	13:30			69	103			
01:45			1	2	1	3	5	77	371	104	461	832
02:00			1	2	14:00			84	95			
02:15			1	1	14:15			76	75			
02:30			1	1	14:30			75	91			
02:45			1	4	0	4	8	101	336	62	323	659
03:00			0	1	15:00			68	90			
03:15			0	1	15:15			82	95			
03:30			1	0	15:30			90	97			
03:45			1	2	1	3	5	94	334	88	370	704
04:00			0	1	16:00			97	102			
04:15			0	0	16:15			102	87			
04:30			3	1	16:30			104	72			
04:45			1	4	3	5	9	77	380	84	345	725
05:00			1	4	17:00			129	77			
05:15			1	4	17:15			122	78			
05:30			1	6	17:30			117	72			
05:45			9	12	17	31	43	114	482	86	313	795
06:00			7	22	18:00			120	71			
06:15			15	14	18:15			103	63			
06:30			19	30	18:30			68	53			
06:45			22	63	46	112	175	76	367	68	255	622
07:00			26	50	19:00			56	47			
07:15			25	50	19:15			50	37			
07:30			32	67	19:30			38	34			
07:45			48	131	98	265	396	34	178	27	145	323
08:00			59	110	20:00			29	23			
08:15			56	123	20:15			27	24			
08:30			58	122	20:30			23	19			
08:45			65	238	143	498	736	24	103	17	83	186
09:00			56	141	21:00			18	17			
09:15			53	96	21:15			20	14			
09:30			35	57	21:30			17	19			
09:45			49	193	92	386	579	8	63	8	58	121
10:00			48	72	22:00			8	30			
10:15			52	72	22:15			6	12			
10:30			53	65	22:30			5	9			
10:45			41	194	75	284	478	5	24	7	58	82
11:00			67	71	23:00			7	9			
11:15			59	61	23:15			4	8			
11:30			80	78	23:30			2	4			
11:45			104	310	95	305	615	2	15	3	24	39

Total Vol. 1164 1905 **3069** 3102 2862 **5964**

Daily Totals

NB	SB	EB	WB
		4266	4767
9033			

Split %	AM					PM		
	37.9%	62.1%	34.0%			52.0%	48.0%	66.0%
Peak Hour	06:30	06:30	08:00	08:15	08:15	17:00	15:30	17:00
Volume			238	529	764	482	374	795
P.H.F.			0.92	0.92	0.92	0.93	0.95	0.96

Prepared by NDS/ATD

Volumes for: Tuesday, February 03, 2009 City: Agoura Hills Project #: 09-5034-026
Location: Agoura Rd E/o Kanan Rd

AM Period	NB	SB	EB	WB	PM Period	NB	SB	EB	WB				
00:00			3	8	12:00			63	66				
00:15			0	2	12:15			73	89				
00:30			0	1	12:30			76	79				
00:45			3	6	3	14	20	12:45	85	297	87	321	618
01:00			0	0	13:00			64	75				
01:15			2	2	13:15			68	79				
01:30			1	1	13:30			42	87				
01:45			1	4	1	4	8	13:45	52	226	76	317	543
02:00			0	2	14:00			63	83				
02:15			0	1	14:15			50	66				
02:30			1	2	14:30			39	77				
02:45			0	1	1	6	7	14:45	71	223	72	298	521
03:00			0	0	15:00			50	61				
03:15			0	2	15:15			68	86				
03:30			0	0	15:30			62	80				
03:45			1	1	1	3	4	15:45	57	237	59	286	523
04:00			1	0	16:00			57	74				
04:15			1	1	16:15			59	78				
04:30			3	1	16:30			56	64				
04:45			3	8	1	3	11	16:45	39	211	71	287	498
05:00			2	2	17:00			48	83				
05:15			3	2	17:15			52	68				
05:30			0	2	17:30			57	69				
05:45			14	19	5	11	30	17:45	81	238	52	272	510
06:00			14	5	18:00			62	61				
06:15			7	4	18:15			51	72				
06:30			11	8	18:30			40	66				
06:45			10	42	14	31	73	18:45	43	196	56	255	451
07:00			14	25	19:00			34	48				
07:15			18	23	19:15			37	37				
07:30			23	26	19:30			17	45				
07:45			38	93	22	96	189	19:45	17	105	34	164	269
08:00			32	25	20:00			11	35				
08:15			37	27	20:15			11	26				
08:30			51	39	20:30			16	36				
08:45			68	188	46	137	325	20:45	13	51	41	138	189
09:00			42	54	21:00			12	13				
09:15			54	37	21:15			23	33				
09:30			43	35	21:30			8	37				
09:45			49	188	45	171	359	21:45	7	50	23	106	156
10:00			47	58	22:00			4	25				
10:15			46	39	22:15			6	13				
10:30			32	62	22:30			3	15				
10:45			44	169	45	204	373	22:45	1	14	3	56	70
11:00			40	53	23:00			2	20				
11:15			50	49	23:15			1	10				
11:30			49	56	23:30			4	7				
11:45			73	212	66	224	436	23:45	0	7	4	41	48

Total Vol. 931 904 1835 1855 2541 4396

Daily Totals

NB	SB	EB	WB
		2786	3445
6231			

Split %	AM			PM				
	50.7%	49.3%	29.4%	42.2%	57.8%	70.6%		
Peak Hour	06:30	06:30	08:30	08:30	08:30	17:15	16:15	15:30
Volume			215	176	391	252	296	526
P.H.F.			0.79	0.81	0.86	0.78	0.80	0.93

Prepared by NDS/ATD

Volumes for: Tuesday, February 03, 2009 City: Agoura Hills Project #: 09-5034-027
Location: Kanan Rd S/o Agoura Rd

AM Period	NB	SB	EB	WB	PM Period	NB	SB	EB	WB		
00:00	6	9			12:00	129	118				
00:15	12	12			12:15	90	132				
00:30	4	4			12:30	98	118				
00:45	1	23	6	31	54	12:45	107	424	129	497	921
01:00	4	6			13:00	118	118				
01:15	4	6			13:15	97	124				
01:30	2	4			13:30	114	127				
01:45	2	12	1	17	29	13:45	119	448	101	470	918
02:00	4	1			14:00	136	96				
02:15	2	3			14:15	137	129				
02:30	2	1			14:30	124	116				
02:45	2	10	1	6	16	14:45	196	593	122	463	1056
03:00	1	2			15:00	172	128				
03:15	1	1			15:15	188	146				
03:30	2	2			15:30	202	160				
03:45	2	6	4	9	15	15:45	203	765	126	560	1325
04:00	1	4			16:00	195	142				
04:15	4	3			16:15	179	137				
04:30	4	4			16:30	170	125				
04:45	6	15	5	16	31	16:45	199	743	145	549	1292
05:00	7	7			17:00	126	109				
05:15	10	13			17:15	177	133				
05:30	19	25			17:30	169	132				
05:45	22	58	39	84	142	17:45	174	646	119	493	1139
06:00	36	61			18:00	160	129				
06:15	55	109			18:15	147	139				
06:30	56	142			18:30	128	92				
06:45	79	226	144	456	682	18:45	108	543	92	452	995
07:00	74	154			19:00	86	67				
07:15	104	194			19:15	74	82				
07:30	128	200			19:30	88	76				
07:45	152	458	174	722	1180	19:45	84	332	86	311	643
08:00	183	163			20:00	57	68				
08:15	125	183			20:15	50	64				
08:30	121	196			20:30	48	55				
08:45	110	539	150	692	1231	20:45	46	201	61	248	449
09:00	118	139			21:00	30	61				
09:15	101	124			21:15	40	51				
09:30	116	101			21:30	36	53				
09:45	120	455	120	484	939	21:45	24	130	44	209	339
10:00	105	120			22:00	34	43				
10:15	124	126			22:15	20	41				
10:30	100	104			22:30	22	30				
10:45	105	434	88	438	872	22:45	15	91	23	137	228
11:00	128	96			23:00	16	25				
11:15	113	105			23:15	10	16				
11:30	100	111			23:30	9	9				
11:45	111	452	137	449	901	23:45	6	41	7	57	98

Total Vol. 2688 3404 **6092** 4957 4446 **9403**

Daily Totals

NB	SB	EB	WB
7645	7850	Combined	
15495			

Split %	AM				PM			
	44.1%	55.9%	39.3%		52.7%	47.3%	60.7%	
Peak Hour	07:30	07:15	06:30	06:30	07:30	15:30	15:30	15:30
Volume	588	731	1308		779	565	1344	
P.H.F.	0.80	0.91	0.95		0.96	0.88	0.93	

Prepared by NDS/ATD

Volumes for: Tuesday, February 03, 2009 City: Agoura Hills Project #: 09-5034-028
Location: Roadside Dr W/o Lewis Rd

AM Period	NB	SB	EB	WB	PM Period	NB	SB	EB	WB			
00:00			0	1	12:00			40	37			
00:15			0	0	12:15			31	39			
00:30			1	1	12:30			22	38			
00:45			0	1	1	3	4	19	112	33	147	259
01:00			0	0	13:00			28	40			
01:15			0	0	13:15			18	36			
01:30			1	0	13:30			19	28			
01:45			2	3	2	2	5	27	92	40	144	236
02:00			0	2	14:00			34	34			
02:15			0	0	14:15			20	30			
02:30			1	0	14:30			19	36			
02:45			0	1	1	3	4	19	92	31	131	223
03:00			0	0	15:00			29	29			
03:15			0	0	15:15			24	28			
03:30			0	0	15:30			24	26			
03:45			0	0	0	0		28	105	25	108	213
04:00			0	0	16:00			26	41			
04:15			1	0	16:15			23	33			
04:30			0	1	16:30			23	38			
04:45			0	1	1	2	3	25	97	35	147	244
05:00			2	0	17:00			24	40			
05:15			3	1	17:15			29	38			
05:30			1	0	17:30			22	26			
05:45			3	9	1	2	11	22	97	30	134	231
06:00			6	1	18:00			20	28			
06:15			8	2	18:15			14	16			
06:30			9	4	18:30			19	18			
06:45			3	26	3	10	36	19	72	24	86	158
07:00			3	14	19:00			12	23			
07:15			19	12	19:15			15	9			
07:30			25	13	19:30			11	15			
07:45			33	80	20	59	139	6	44	15	62	106
08:00			20	12	20:00			5	12			
08:15			22	17	20:15			6	8			
08:30			35	27	20:30			5	12			
08:45			33	110	29	85	195	6	22	8	40	62
09:00			35	18	21:00			6	10			
09:15			24	23	21:15			3	12			
09:30			22	23	21:30			3	10			
09:45			29	110	21	85	195	1	13	13	45	58
10:00			18	26	22:00			2	8			
10:15			22	23	22:15			0	7			
10:30			19	17	22:30			0	4			
10:45			17	76	23	89	165	0	2	1	20	22
11:00			17	32	23:00			2	0			
11:15			25	25	23:15			2	6			
11:30			20	30	23:30			0	1			
11:45			28	90	30	117	207	1	5	3	10	15

Total Vol. 507 457 **964** 753 1074 **1827**

Daily Totals

NB	SB	EB	WB
		1260	1531
Combined		2791	

Split %	AM			PM				
	52.6%	47.4%	34.5%	41.2%	58.8%	65.5%		
Peak Hour	06:30	06:30	08:30	08:30	08:30	15:30	16:30	16:30
Volume		127	97	224		101	151	252
P.H.F.		0.91	0.84	0.90		0.90	0.89	0.94

Prepared by NDS/ATD

Volumes for: Tuesday, February 03, 2009 City: Agoura Hills Project #: 09-5034-029
Location: Agoura Rd E/o Cornell Rd

AM Period	NB	SB	EB	WB	PM Period	NB	SB	EB	WB			
00:00			1	1	12:00			54	67			
00:15			0	3	12:15			60	78			
00:30			4	1	12:30			62	70			
00:45			0	5	1	6	11	75	251	70	285	536
01:00			0	0	13:00			61	69			
01:15			1	0	13:15			61	55			
01:30			0	3	13:30			47	74			
01:45			0	1	1	4	5	59	228	61	259	487
02:00			0	0	14:00			58	60			
02:15			0	0	14:15			56	45			
02:30			1	0	14:30			38	50			
02:45			0	1	0	0	1	65	217	61	216	433
03:00			0	0	15:00			46	65			
03:15			0	0	15:15			60	72			
03:30			1	1	15:30			60	57			
03:45			0	1	0	1	2	47	213	57	251	464
04:00			1	0	16:00			52	60			
04:15			1	0	16:15			59	61			
04:30			0	1	16:30			47	51			
04:45			3	5	2	3	8	31	189	64	236	425
05:00			1	1	17:00			41	75			
05:15			2	0	17:15			41	73			
05:30			3	3	17:30			47	61			
05:45			12	18	8	12	30	60	189	52	261	450
06:00			11	8	18:00			51	55			
06:15			7	5	18:15			36	51			
06:30			10	9	18:30			28	35			
06:45			15	43	20	42	85	26	141	41	182	323
07:00			10	26	19:00			29	28			
07:15			22	20	19:15			16	29			
07:30			26	37	19:30			13	11			
07:45			35	93	27	110	203	16	74	19	87	161
08:00			34	26	20:00			14	23			
08:15			41	40	20:15			9	16			
08:30			52	41	20:30			14	11			
08:45			63	190	44	151	341	21	58	15	65	123
09:00			39	56	21:00			8	8			
09:15			45	44	21:15			16	10			
09:30			48	29	21:30			6	8			
09:45			46	178	45	174	352	9	39	9	35	74
10:00			34	47	22:00			7	16			
10:15			47	38	22:15			8	8			
10:30			35	67	22:30			3	8			
10:45			36	152	43	195	347	1	19	2	34	53
11:00			41	38	23:00			0	4			
11:15			41	47	23:15			2	3			
11:30			34	57	23:30			3	1			
11:45			53	169	55	197	366	0	5	1	9	14

Total Vol. 856 895 1751 1623 1920 3543

Daily Totals

NB	SB	EB	WB
		2479	2815
5294			

Split %	AM			PM				
	48.9%	51.1%	33.1%	45.8%	54.2%	66.9%		
Peak Hour	06:30	06:30	08:30	08:30	08:30	15:30	16:45	15:30
Volume			199	185	384	218	273	453
P.H.F.			0.79	0.83	0.90	0.91	0.80	0.94

Prepared by NDS/ATD

Volumes for: Tuesday, February 03, 2009 City: Agoura Hills Project #: 09-5034-030
Location: Chesebro Rd N/o Driver Ave/Palo Comado Canyon Rd

AM Period	NB	SB	EB	WB	PM Period	NB	SB	EB	WB		
00:00	0	0			12:00	33	29				
00:15	0	0			12:15	45	36				
00:30	0	0			12:30	38	33				
00:45	1	1	1	1	2	12:45	21	137	17	115	252
01:00	1	1			13:00	30	27				
01:15	0	0			13:15	30	26				
01:30	0	0			13:30	37	29				
01:45	1	2	1	2	4	13:45	39	136	30	112	248
02:00	2	2			14:00	28	26				
02:15	2	2			14:15	33	30				
02:30	0	0			14:30	33	28				
02:45	0	4	0	4	8	14:45	36	130	31	115	245
03:00	0	1			15:00	33	26				
03:15	0	0			15:15	46	37				
03:30	2	1			15:30	40	33				
03:45	1	3	1	3	6	15:45	46	165	36	132	297
04:00	0	0			16:00	38	31				
04:15	1	1			16:15	58	43				
04:30	2	2			16:30	40	33				
04:45	3	6	3	6	12	16:45	42	178	35	142	320
05:00	7	5			17:00	34	28				
05:15	1	1			17:15	33	29				
05:30	2	3			17:30	37	28				
05:45	10	20	9	18	38	17:45	36	140	33	118	258
06:00	10	8			18:00	36	31				
06:15	2	2			18:15	21	19				
06:30	17	14			18:30	17	16				
06:45	28	57	20	44	101	18:45	28	102	23	89	191
07:00	30	26			19:00	18	16				
07:15	19	18			19:15	16	13				
07:30	24	24			19:30	11	9				
07:45	36	109	33	101	210	19:45	8	53	7	45	98
08:00	32	28			20:00	18	14				
08:15	31	27			20:15	7	7				
08:30	37	30			20:30	13	8				
08:45	26	126	24	109	235	20:45	7	45	7	36	81
09:00	27	22			21:00	11	9				
09:15	29	24			21:15	10	9				
09:30	41	33			21:30	11	9				
09:45	39	136	34	113	249	21:45	7	39	6	33	72
10:00	37	30			22:00	5	4				
10:15	40	32			22:15	9	7				
10:30	46	38			22:30	11	8				
10:45	38	161	31	131	292	22:45	7	32	4	23	55
11:00	28	27			23:00	5	4				
11:15	17	14			23:15	3	2				
11:30	24	19			23:30	1	1				
11:45	29	98	25	85	183	23:45	0	9	1	8	17
Total Vol.	723	617			1340		1166	968			2134

Daily Totals

NB	SB	EB	WB
1889	1585	Combined	
3474			

Split %	AM				PM			
	54.0%	46.0%	38.6%		54.6%	45.4%	61.4%	
Peak Hour	07:45	07:45	06:30	06:30	07:45	15:30	15:30	15:30
Volume	136	118	254			182	143	325
P.H.F.	0.92	0.89	0.92			0.78	0.83	0.80

Prepared by NDS/ATD

Volumes for: Tuesday, February 03, 2009 City: Agoura Hills Project #: 09-5034-031
Location: Driver Ave W/o Chesebro Rd

AM Period	NB	SB	EB	WB	PM Period	NB	SB	EB	WB			
00:00			7	0	12:00			59	60			
00:15			4	1	12:15			49	49			
00:30			5	3	12:30			39	124			
00:45			2	18	3	7	25	57	204	50	283	487
01:00			4	0	13:00			69	46			
01:15			2	1	13:15			39	64			
01:30			2	0	13:30			63	62			
01:45			0	8	2	3	11	55	226	77	249	475
02:00			0	0	14:00			70	52			
02:15			1	1	14:15			76	52			
02:30			2	0	14:30			56	56			
02:45			0	3	1	2	5	111	313	58	218	531
03:00			1	2	15:00			96	172			
03:15			1	3	15:15			79	135			
03:30			0	1	15:30			78	89			
03:45			0	2	0	6	8	101	354	62	458	812
04:00			0	2	16:00			75	67			
04:15			0	1	16:15			89	61			
04:30			2	2	16:30			86	55			
04:45			0	2	5	10	12	104	354	62	245	599
05:00			2	7	17:00			104	83			
05:15			1	13	17:15			106	63			
05:30			3	19	17:30			101	65			
05:45			1	7	26	65	72	105	416	60	271	687
06:00			8	25	18:00			94	76			
06:15			10	35	18:15			75	50			
06:30			27	40	18:30			67	51			
06:45			69	114	77	177	291	53	289	47	224	513
07:00			20	68	19:00			70	22			
07:15			39	67	19:15			43	22			
07:30			89	96	19:30			42	26			
07:45			112	260	106	337	597	53	208	18	88	296
08:00			148	115	20:00			52	48			
08:15			165	147	20:15			50	19			
08:30			113	192	20:30			30	34			
08:45			58	484	129	583	1067	40	172	23	124	296
09:00			52	83	21:00			30	20			
09:15			37	60	21:15			31	18			
09:30			46	59	21:30			17	11			
09:45			33	168	39	241	409	32	110	13	62	172
10:00			29	41	22:00			22	11			
10:15			44	44	22:15			19	8			
10:30			33	48	22:30			20	8			
10:45			47	153	47	180	333	19	80	10	37	117
11:00			41	46	23:00			10	2			
11:15			38	46	23:15			16	3			
11:30			36	37	23:30			8	3			
11:45			35	150	58	187	337	7	41	2	10	51

Total Vol. 1369 1798 **3167** 2767 2269 **5036**

Daily Totals

NB	SB	EB	WB
		4136	4067
8203			

Split %	AM			PM				
	43.2%	56.8%	38.6%	54.9%	45.1%	61.4%		
Peak Hour	06:30	06:30	07:45	08:00	07:45	17:00	15:30	16:45
Volume			538	583	1098	416	279	688
P.H.F.			0.82	0.76	0.88	0.98	0.81	0.92

Prepared by NDS/ATD

Volumes for: Tuesday, February 03, 2009 City: Agoura Hills Project #: 09-5034-032
Location: Palo Comado Canyon Rd E/o Chesebro Rd

AM Period	NB	SB	EB	WB	PM Period	NB	SB	EB	WB				
00:00			4	7	12:00			104	95				
00:15			1	6	12:15			87	90				
00:30			3	7	12:30			95	72				
00:45			7	15	3	23	38	12:45	98	384	93	350	734
01:00			3	5	13:00			99	97				
01:15			1	4	13:15			113	73				
01:30			1	2	13:30			105	82				
01:45			2	7	0	11	18	13:45	104	421	101	353	774
02:00			1	0	14:00			106	95				
02:15			1	4	14:15			91	100				
02:30			1	1	14:30			99	91				
02:45			1	4	3	8	12	14:45	107	403	141	427	830
03:00			1	2	15:00			210	126				
03:15			4	2	15:15			180	128				
03:30			2	1	15:30			152	119				
03:45			0	7	2	7	14	15:45	112	654	147	520	1174
04:00			2	0	16:00			131	114				
04:15			0	0	16:15			107	151				
04:30			8	5	16:30			126	122				
04:45			13	23	5	10	33	16:45	110	474	146	533	1007
05:00			9	2	17:00			160	137				
05:15			13	3	17:15			128	138				
05:30			25	6	17:30			122	136				
05:45			34	81	18	29	110	17:45	110	520	147	558	1078
06:00			32	19	18:00			125	115				
06:15			43	28	18:15			80	91				
06:30			49	54	18:30			94	95				
06:45			98	222	103	204	426	18:45	80	379	91	392	771
07:00			102	63	19:00			74	89				
07:15			98	78	19:15			46	68				
07:30			132	129	19:30			39	57				
07:45			133	465	159	429	894	19:45	34	193	69	283	476
08:00			139	194	20:00			67	64				
08:15			166	315	20:15			31	58				
08:30			202	184	20:30			51	34				
08:45			146	653	88	781	1434	20:45	34	183	55	211	394
09:00			116	92	21:00			45	27				
09:15			92	85	21:15			31	44				
09:30			93	79	21:30			15	22				
09:45			72	373	73	329	702	21:45	17	108	37	130	238
10:00			77	60	22:00			10	31				
10:15			78	84	22:15			14	31				
10:30			71	52	22:30			19	25				
10:45			87	313	73	269	582	22:45	10	53	22	109	162
11:00			85	70	23:00			7	11				
11:15			80	67	23:15			3	18				
11:30			69	63	23:30			6	8				
11:45			88	322	75	275	597	23:45	2	18	8	45	63

Total Vol. 2485 2375 4860 3790 3911 7701

Daily Totals

NB	SB	EB	WB
		6275	6286
12561			

Split %	AM			PM				
	51.1%	48.9%	38.7%	49.2%	50.8%	61.3%		
Peak Hour	06:30	06:30	08:00	07:45	07:45	16:30	17:00	17:00
Volume			653	852	1492	524	558	1078
P.H.F.			0.81	0.68	0.78	0.82	0.93	0.91

Prepared by NDS/ATD

Volumes for: Tuesday, February 03, 2009 City: Agoura Hills Project #: 09-5034-033
Location: Chesebro Rd S/o Driver Ave/Palo Comado Canyon Rd

AM Period	NB	SB	EB	WB	PM Period	NB	SB	EB	WB		
00:00	4	0			12:00	54	45				
00:15	0	2			12:15	45	45				
00:30	2	2			12:30	51	36				
00:45	4	10	1	5	15	12:45	54	204	45	171	375
01:00	2	1			13:00	72	48				
01:15	1	3			13:15	52	41				
01:30	1	0			13:30	49	29				
01:45	0	4	0	4	8	13:45	37	210	63	181	391
02:00	0	0			14:00	54	47				
02:15	1	2			14:15	40	40				
02:30	1	0			14:30	47	41				
02:45	1	3	3	5	8	14:45	54	195	48	176	371
03:00	0	1			15:00	104	56				
03:15	1	1			15:15	81	60				
03:30	1	0			15:30	76	58				
03:45	0	2	1	3	5	15:45	62	323	64	238	561
04:00	0	2			16:00	80	47				
04:15	0	0			16:15	45	59				
04:30	5	2			16:30	88	45				
04:45	13	18	9	13	31	16:45	60	273	62	213	486
05:00	3	4			17:00	99	47				
05:15	1	2			17:15	78	41				
05:30	3	3			17:30	64	38				
05:45	11	18	20	29	47	17:45	69	310	50	176	486
06:00	8	8			18:00	49	35				
06:15	8	20			18:15	40	26				
06:30	12	29			18:30	53	33				
06:45	27	55	45	102	157	18:45	38	180	35	129	309
07:00	34	46			19:00	53	24				
07:15	28	35			19:15	25	25				
07:30	40	57			19:30	18	24				
07:45	29	131	63	201	332	19:45	15	111	22	95	206
08:00	46	56			20:00	22	13				
08:15	57	88			20:15	8	15				
08:30	67	57			20:30	21	7				
08:45	51	221	58	259	480	20:45	9	60	12	47	107
09:00	45	53			21:00	29	4				
09:15	43	52			21:15	13	9				
09:30	37	45			21:30	6	7				
09:45	44	169	47	197	366	21:45	7	55	8	28	83
10:00	39	40			22:00	2	11				
10:15	41	45			22:15	4	7				
10:30	25	32			22:30	13	7				
10:45	47	152	36	153	305	22:45	1	20	2	27	47
11:00	51	37			23:00	2	0				
11:15	45	37			23:15	0	0				
11:30	39	41			23:30	3	1				
11:45	35	170	48	163	333	23:45	0	5	1	2	7

Total Vol. 953 1134 **2087** 1946 1483 **3429**

Daily Totals

NB	SB	EB	WB
2899	2617	Combined	
5516			

Split %	AM				PM			
	45.7%	54.3%	37.8%		56.8%	43.2%	62.2%	
Peak Hour	08:00	07:30	06:30	06:30	08:00	16:30	15:30	16:30
Volume	221	264	480		325	228	520	
P.H.F.	0.82	0.75	0.83		0.82	0.89	0.89	

Prepared by NDS/ATD

Volumes for: Tuesday, February 03, 2009 City: Agoura Hills Project #: 09-5034-034
Location: Dorothy Dr btwn Lewis Rd & US-101 SB Ramps/Chesebro Rd

AM Period	NB	SB	EB	WB	PM Period	NB	SB	EB	WB			
00:00			0	0	12:00			37	34			
00:15			0	1	12:15			37	32			
00:30			2	3	12:30			34	16			
00:45			1	3	1	5	8	40	148	28	110	258
01:00			1	1	13:00			37	23			
01:15			1	0	13:15			31	29			
01:30			1	0	13:30			34	30			
01:45			0	3	0	1	4	54	156	35	117	273
02:00			0	0	14:00			24	44			
02:15			0	0	14:15			43	22			
02:30			0	0	14:30			37	32			
02:45			0	0	0	0		36	140	27	125	265
03:00			0	0	15:00			32	30			
03:15			0	0	15:15			37	28			
03:30			1	1	15:30			30	25			
03:45			0	1	0	1	2	30	129	32	115	244
04:00			2	0	16:00			44	30			
04:15			0	0	16:15			32	25			
04:30			0	0	16:30			57	29			
04:45			2	4	0	0	4	34	167	32	116	283
05:00			0	4	17:00			61	30			
05:15			1	2	17:15			49	35			
05:30			2	3	17:30			31	24			
05:45			1	4	3	12	16	35	176	15	104	280
06:00			3	3	18:00			31	17			
06:15			6	8	18:15			29	18			
06:30			9	10	18:30			30	22			
06:45			4	22	14	35	57	17	107	16	73	180
07:00			15	12	19:00			27	11			
07:15			18	26	19:15			15	14			
07:30			17	26	19:30			17	11			
07:45			17	67	48	112	179	18	77	5	41	118
08:00			18	35	20:00			10	5			
08:15			17	30	20:15			13	6			
08:30			38	55	20:30			14	5			
08:45			33	106	39	159	265	8	45	6	22	67
09:00			29	42	21:00			8	6			
09:15			26	26	21:15			11	5			
09:30			33	25	21:30			10	4			
09:45			18	106	31	124	230	17	46	4	19	65
10:00			32	23	22:00			11	3			
10:15			23	26	22:15			11	1			
10:30			19	25	22:30			10	5			
10:45			23	97	20	94	191	2	34	1	10	44
11:00			37	18	23:00			2	5			
11:15			36	22	23:15			8	2			
11:30			29	24	23:30			4	1			
11:45			31	133	26	90	223	4	18	1	9	27

Total Vol. 546 633 1179 1243 861 2104

Daily Totals

NB	SB	EB	WB
Combined		1789	1494
3283			

Split %	AM			PM				
	46.3%	53.7%	35.9%	59.1%	40.9%	64.1%		
Peak Hour	06:30	06:30	08:30	07:45	08:30	16:30	16:30	16:30
Volume			126	168	288	201	126	327
P.H.F.			0.83	0.76	0.77	0.82	0.64	0.90

Prepared by NDS/ATD

Volumes for: Tuesday, February 03, 2009 City: Agoura Hills Project #: 09-5034-035
Location: Chesebro Rd S/o Dorothy Dr

AM Period	NB	SB	EB	WB	PM Period	NB	SB	EB	WB
00:00	3	2			12:00	114	59		
00:15	0	2			12:15	86	50		
00:30	4	2			12:30	93	64		
00:45	5	12	1	7	12:45	75	368	57	230
01:00	3	1			13:00	91	59		
01:15	0	1			13:15	79	40		
01:30	1	1			13:30	75	55		
01:45	2	6	0	3	13:45	94	339	72	226
02:00	0	0			14:00	87	59		
02:15	2	1			14:15	89	41		
02:30	0	0			14:30	90	50		
02:45	0	2	2	3	14:45	77	343	56	206
03:00	1	0			15:00	128	45		
03:15	3	0			15:15	123	66		
03:30	1	0			15:30	107	53		
03:45	0	5	0	0	15:45	124	482	53	217
04:00	2	2			16:00	104	63		
04:15	0	1			16:15	90	56		
04:30	7	1			16:30	100	42		
04:45	9	18	1	5	16:45	77	371	56	217
05:00	12	1			17:00	126	49		
05:15	10	2			17:15	132	43		
05:30	26	3			17:30	94	47		
05:45	26	74	5	11	17:45	82	434	56	195
06:00	35	7			18:00	132	43		
06:15	47	13			18:15	68	31		
06:30	41	32			18:30	73	35		
06:45	79	202	29	81	18:45	71	344	27	136
07:00	90	28			19:00	70	31		
07:15	92	38			19:15	47	25		
07:30	114	58			19:30	36	19		
07:45	118	414	76	200	19:45	35	188	27	102
08:00	126	77			20:00	43	20		
08:15	129	92			20:15	26	14		
08:30	202	86			20:30	50	14		
08:45	148	605	68	323	20:45	27	146	25	73
09:00	107	59			21:00	42	7		
09:15	102	64			21:15	23	16		
09:30	101	42			21:30	14	12		
09:45	96	406	32	197	21:45	19	98	11	46
10:00	67	45			22:00	15	10		
10:15	83	48			22:15	13	14		
10:30	72	42			22:30	14	9		
10:45	65	287	33	168	22:45	7	49	8	41
11:00	63	52			23:00	7	3		
11:15	62	25			23:15	1	9		
11:30	73	59			23:30	0	3		
11:45	86	284	52	188	23:45	1	9	2	17
Total Vol.	2315	1186			3501	3171	1706		4877

Daily Totals

NB	SB	EB	WB
5486	2892	Combined	
8378			

Split %	AM				PM			
	66.1%	33.9%	41.8%		65.0%	35.0%	58.2%	
Peak Hour	08:00	07:45	06:30	06:30	08:00	17:15	15:30	15:30
Volume	605	331	928		440	225	650	
P.H.F.	0.75	0.90	0.81		0.83	0.89	0.92	

Prepared by NDS/ATD

Volumes for: Tuesday, February 03, 2009 City: Agoura Hills Project #: 09-5034-036
Location: Agoura Rd W/o Chesebro Rd/Laura la Plante Rd

AM Period	NB	SB	EB	WB	PM Period	NB	SB	EB	WB				
00:00			1	1	12:00			61	59				
00:15			0	2	12:15			72	65				
00:30			3	0	12:30			61	66				
00:45			0	4	1	4	8	12:45	76	270	48	238	508
01:00			0	0	13:00			67	51				
01:15			1	0	13:15			63	44				
01:30			0	1	13:30			48	49				
01:45			0	1	0	1	2	13:45	77	255	58	202	457
02:00			0	0	14:00			66	52				
02:15			0	0	14:15			46	43				
02:30			1	0	14:30			55	42				
02:45			0	1	0	0	1	14:45	58	225	52	189	414
03:00			0	0	15:00			55	54				
03:15			0	0	15:15			68	60				
03:30			0	0	15:30			56	59				
03:45			0	0	0	0		15:45	63	242	46	219	461
04:00			0	0	16:00			61	51				
04:15			0	0	16:15			84	64				
04:30			3	2	16:30			54	59				
04:45			0	3	2	4	7	16:45	49	248	63	237	485
05:00			1	2	17:00			81	61				
05:15			2	0	17:15			74	49				
05:30			2	5	17:30			66	53				
05:45			15	20	11	18	38	17:45	74	295	44	207	502
06:00			15	10	18:00			73	42				
06:15			10	8	18:15			55	41				
06:30			10	16	18:30			25	37				
06:45			19	54	26	60	114	18:45	39	192	42	162	354
07:00			7	21	19:00			46	33				
07:15			13	25	19:15			33	29				
07:30			26	49	19:30			26	20				
07:45			27	73	42	137	210	19:45	22	127	12	94	221
08:00			46	49	20:00			35	18				
08:15			38	60	20:15			14	12				
08:30			49	64	20:30			18	13				
08:45			51	184	76	249	433	20:45	27	94	12	55	149
09:00			40	76	21:00			14	11				
09:15			58	57	21:15			20	4				
09:30			48	30	21:30			11	6				
09:45			45	191	45	208	399	21:45	11	56	8	29	85
10:00			39	46	22:00			11	10				
10:15			45	37	22:15			7	8				
10:30			39	42	22:30			5	6				
10:45			38	161	41	166	327	22:45	3	26	4	28	54
11:00			42	39	23:00			1	6				
11:15			36	44	23:15			7	2				
11:30			52	49	23:30			2	2				
11:45			61	191	56	188	379	23:45	0	10	1	11	21

Total Vol. 883 1035 1918 2040 1671 3711

Daily Totals

NB	SB	EB	WB
		2923	2706
5629			

Split %	AM			PM				
	46.0%	54.0%	34.1%	55.0%	45.0%	65.9%		
Peak Hour	06:30	06:30	08:30	08:15	08:30	17:00	16:15	16:15
Volume		198	276	471		295	247	515
P.H.F.		0.85	0.91	0.93		0.91	0.91	0.87

Prepared by NDS/ATD

Volumes for: Tuesday, February 03, 2009 City: Agoura Hills Project #: 09-5034-037
Location: Palo Comado Canyon Rd S/o Dorothy Dr

AM Period	NB	SB	EB	WB	PM Period	NB	SB	EB	WB
00:00	2	4			12:00	86	110		
00:15	2	1			12:15	69	99		
00:30	3	3			12:30	56	127		
00:45	2	9	7	15	12:45	61	272	84	420
01:00	2	3			13:00	78	98		
01:15	1	1			13:15	53	96		
01:30	2	1			13:30	62	96		
01:45	0	5	2	7	13:45	80	273	121	411
02:00	0	0			14:00	72	97		
02:15	1	1			14:15	52	95		
02:30	0	0			14:30	65	96		
02:45	1	2	0	1	14:45	76	265	98	386
03:00	1	1			15:00	65	179		
03:15	0	3			15:15	75	166		
03:30	1	2			15:30	71	123		
03:45	0	2	1	7	15:45	73	284	109	577
04:00	1	1			16:00	77	133		
04:15	1	0			16:15	83	106		
04:30	1	7			16:30	62	126		
04:45	1	4	12	20	16:45	92	314	100	465
05:00	2	14			17:00	105	148		
05:15	2	9			17:15	87	131		
05:30	3	26			17:30	75	115		
05:45	6	13	31	80	17:45	70	337	97	491
06:00	7	35			18:00	71	123		
06:15	8	51			18:15	50	86		
06:30	31	50			18:30	49	100		
06:45	40	86	96	232	18:45	33	203	83	392
07:00	26	104			19:00	41	80		
07:15	35	103			19:15	37	59		
07:30	67	143			19:30	32	44		
07:45	93	221	143	493	19:45	40	150	38	221
08:00	110	148			20:00	35	60		
08:15	122	159			20:15	21	33		
08:30	87	203			20:30	16	51		
08:45	51	370	148	658	20:45	28	100	31	175
09:00	56	139			21:00	13	49		
09:15	66	106			21:15	20	25		
09:30	53	93			21:30	11	16		
09:45	41	216	103	441	21:45	11	55	19	109
10:00	46	71			22:00	10	10		
10:15	43	88			22:15	17	18		
10:30	45	80			22:30	10	18		
10:45	44	178	79	318	22:45	9	46	7	53
11:00	55	77			23:00	2	11		
11:15	48	87			23:15	12	3		
11:30	56	80			23:30	6	4		
11:45	63	222	86	330	23:45	2	22	1	19
Total Vol.	1328	2602			3930	2321	3719		6040

Daily Totals

NB	SB	EB	WB
3649	6321	Combined	
9970			

Split %	AM				PM			
	33.8%	66.2%	39.4%		38.4%	61.6%	60.6%	
Peak Hour	07:45	08:00	06:30	06:30	07:45	16:45	16:30	16:45
Volume	412	658	1065		359	505	853	
P.H.F.	0.84	0.81	0.92		0.85	0.85	0.84	

Prepared by NDS/ATD

Volumes for: Tuesday, February 03, 2009 City: Agoura Hills Project #: 09-5034-038
Location: Chesebro Rd S/o Chesebro Rd

AM Period	NB	SB	EB	WB	PM Period	NB	SB	EB	WB		
00:00	2	4			12:00	64	50				
00:15	0	0			12:15	51	41				
00:30	1	1			12:30	34	68				
00:45	1	4	1	6	10	12:45	48	197	47	206	403
01:00	0	0			13:00	58	37				
01:15	0	1			13:15	39	49				
01:30	1	0			13:30	40	52				
01:45	0	1	0	1	2	13:45	60	197	58	196	393
02:00	0	0			14:00	60	43				
02:15	0	0			14:15	42	37				
02:30	0	0			14:30	57	44				
02:45	0	0	0	0	14:45	54	213	52	176		389
03:00	0	0			15:00	56	90				
03:15	0	0			15:15	40	65				
03:30	1	0			15:30	52	48				
03:45	0	1	2	2	3	15:45	56	204	44	247	451
04:00	0	1			16:00	40	53				
04:15	0	0			16:15	58	49				
04:30	1	1			16:30	54	68				
04:45	0	1	5	7	8	16:45	46	198	56	226	424
05:00	2	5			17:00	77	62				
05:15	0	0			17:15	75	53				
05:30	1	3			17:30	49	46				
05:45	3	6	7	15	21	17:45	44	245	48	209	454
06:00	9	8			18:00	49	47				
06:15	9	16			18:15	39	40				
06:30	11	16			18:30	22	45				
06:45	23	52	35	75	127	18:45	32	142	45	177	319
07:00	9	38			19:00	28	35				
07:15	19	53			19:15	26	26				
07:30	34	61			19:30	20	17				
07:45	52	114	59	211	325	19:45	19	93	22	100	193
08:00	70	74			20:00	25	25				
08:15	73	76			20:15	14	18				
08:30	65	100			20:30	15	21				
08:45	47	255	89	339	594	20:45	11	65	11	75	140
09:00	39	74			21:00	12	17				
09:15	35	38			21:15	13	12				
09:30	48	35			21:30	4	7				
09:45	33	155	40	187	342	21:45	5	34	7	43	77
10:00	29	32			22:00	10	3				
10:15	35	40			22:15	5	14				
10:30	39	38			22:30	3	8				
10:45	38	141	41	151	292	22:45	3	21	6	31	52
11:00	31	38			23:00	3	10				
11:15	41	38			23:15	3	4				
11:30	47	42			23:30	2	3				
11:45	48	167	38	156	323	23:45	0	8	0	17	25

Total Vol. 897 1150 **2047** 1617 1703 **3320**

Daily Totals

NB	SB	EB	WB
2514	2853	Combined	
5367			

Split %	AM				PM			
	43.8%	56.2%	38.1%		48.7%	51.3%	61.9%	
Peak Hour	07:45	08:00	06:30	06:30	08:00	16:30	16:30	16:30
Volume	260	339	594		252	239	491	
P.H.F.	0.89	0.85	0.90		0.82	0.88	0.88	

Prepared by NDS/ATD

Volumes for: Tuesday, February 03, 2009 City: Agoura Hills Project #: 09-5034-039
Location: Liberty Canyon Rd btwn US-101 NB Ramps & US-101 SB Ramps

AM Period	NB	SB	EB	WB	PM Period	NB	SB	EB	WB
00:00	3	3			12:00	59	24		
00:15	6	3			12:15	50	28		
00:30	2	6			12:30	42	25		
00:45	3	14	1	13	12:45	57	208	15	92
01:00	1	2			13:00	53	28		
01:15	3	2			13:15	48	27		
01:30	2	4			13:30	51	26		
01:45	1	7	2	10	13:45	50	202	19	100
02:00	1	1			14:00	45	28		
02:15	0	0			14:15	51	26		
02:30	0	1			14:30	49	32		
02:45	0	1	0	2	14:45	75	220	26	112
03:00	0	0			15:00	80	35		
03:15	1	0			15:15	63	27		
03:30	0	1			15:30	81	36		
03:45	5	6	0	1	15:45	74	298	54	152
04:00	3	2			16:00	100	33		
04:15	1	0			16:15	74	43		
04:30	2	1			16:30	94	28		
04:45	0	6	2	5	16:45	104	372	42	146
05:00	2	0			17:00	124	26		
05:15	3	0			17:15	123	39		
05:30	6	2			17:30	125	38		
05:45	7	18	2	4	17:45	127	499	38	141
06:00	6	5			18:00	91	44		
06:15	14	2			18:15	80	31		
06:30	16	6			18:30	92	27		
06:45	34	70	6	19	18:45	63	326	27	129
07:00	29	18			19:00	47	26		
07:15	45	15			19:15	57	18		
07:30	70	20			19:30	25	28		
07:45	115	259	38	91	19:45	33	162	12	84
08:00	111	77			20:00	32	16		
08:15	74	70			20:15	27	16		
08:30	48	42			20:30	39	10		
08:45	48	281	24	213	20:45	23	121	15	57
09:00	48	24			21:00	23	13		
09:15	41	23			21:15	16	13		
09:30	41	15			21:30	8	13		
09:45	49	179	18	80	21:45	21	68	9	48
10:00	35	20			22:00	22	7		
10:15	36	16			22:15	15	14		
10:30	32	14			22:30	15	12		
10:45	35	138	18	68	22:45	14	66	14	47
11:00	46	15			23:00	8	7		
11:15	42	20			23:15	6	5		
11:30	46	26			23:30	9	4		
11:45	47	181	19	80	23:45	6	29	6	22
Total Vol.	1160	586			1746	2571	1130		3701

Daily Totals

NB	SB	EB	WB
3731	1716	Combined	
5447			

Split %	AM				PM			
	66.4%	33.6%	32.1%		69.5%	30.5%	67.9%	
Peak Hour	07:30	07:45	06:30	06:30	07:30	17:00	15:30	17:00
Volume	370	227	575		499	166	640	
P.H.F.	0.80	0.74	0.76		0.98	0.77	0.97	

Prepared by NDS/ATD

Volumes for: Tuesday, February 03, 2009 City: Agoura Hills Project #: 09-5034-040
Location: Liberty Canyon Rd N/o Agoura Rd

AM Period	NB	SB	EB	WB	PM Period	NB	SB	EB	WB
00:00	4	5			12:00	59	60		
00:15	0	8			12:15	45	59		
00:30	1	1			12:30	52	44		
00:45	2	7	2	16	12:45	45	201	62	225
01:00	0	1			13:00	47	60		
01:15	1	6			13:15	33	48		
01:30	0	4			13:30	53	60		
01:45	1	2	2	13	13:45	47	180	53	221
02:00	2	0			14:00	58	53		
02:15	1	1			14:15	34	62		
02:30	0	1			14:30	40	46		
02:45	1	4	1	3	14:45	61	193	69	230
03:00	0	0			15:00	66	57		
03:15	1	1			15:15	56	40		
03:30	2	0			15:30	59	66		
03:45	2	5	2	3	15:45	66	247	67	230
04:00	0	0			16:00	78	68		
04:15	0	0			16:15	60	61		
04:30	6	3			16:30	80	64		
04:45	9	15	2	5	16:45	96	314	52	245
05:00	8	5			17:00	117	74		
05:15	2	8			17:15	98	80		
05:30	10	11			17:30	108	67		
05:45	19	39	17	41	17:45	89	412	90	311
06:00	29	9			18:00	74	72		
06:15	20	15			18:15	78	68		
06:30	31	32			18:30	79	65		
06:45	43	123	55	111	18:45	47	278	53	258
07:00	50	33			19:00	44	50		
07:15	70	65			19:15	46	62		
07:30	97	61			19:30	29	27		
07:45	88	305	103	262	19:45	29	148	44	183
08:00	92	136			20:00	20	45		
08:15	65	82			20:15	25	37		
08:30	59	86			20:30	24	36		
08:45	51	267	79	383	20:45	16	85	26	144
09:00	61	58			21:00	18	23		
09:15	48	45			21:15	12	28		
09:30	36	32			21:30	5	33		
09:45	61	206	41	176	21:45	14	49	23	107
10:00	43	30			22:00	12	24		
10:15	36	37			22:15	9	24		
10:30	41	37			22:30	10	19		
10:45	37	157	37	141	22:45	8	39	13	80
11:00	41	30			23:00	3	13		
11:15	46	36			23:15	5	11		
11:30	41	29			23:30	3	14		
11:45	43	171	52	147	23:45	6	17	5	43
Total Vol.	1301	1301			2602		2163	2277	4440

Daily Totals

NB	SB	EB	WB
3464	3578	Combined	
7042			

Split %	AM				PM			
	50.0%	50.0%	36.9%		48.7%	51.3%	63.1%	
Peak Hour	07:15	07:45	06:30	06:30	07:30	16:45	17:00	17:00
Volume	347	407	724		419	311	723	
P.H.F.	0.89	0.75	0.79		0.90	0.86	0.95	

Prepared by NDS/ATD

Volumes for: Tuesday, February 03, 2009 City: Agoura Hills Project #: 09-5034-041
Location: Agoura Rd W/o Liberty Canyon Rd

AM Period	NB	SB	EB	WB	PM Period	NB	SB	EB	WB			
00:00			1	2	12:00			40	36			
00:15			1	2	12:15			41	54			
00:30			2	1	12:30			72	41			
00:45			0	4	0	5	9	59	212	41	172	384
01:00			0	0	13:00			45	37			
01:15			0	0	13:15			46	31			
01:30			1	2	13:30			45	38			
01:45			0	1	0	2	3	53	189	52	158	347
02:00			1	0	14:00			42	37			
02:15			0	0	14:15			32	39			
02:30			1	0	14:30			42	36			
02:45			0	2	0	0	2	31	147	32	144	291
03:00			0	0	15:00			77	47			
03:15			0	0	15:15			68	44			
03:30			0	0	15:30			47	50			
03:45			0	0	0	0		48	240	43	184	424
04:00			1	0	16:00			50	47			
04:15			0	0	16:15			35	39			
04:30			2	0	16:30			49	59			
04:45			2	5	0	0	5	66	200	46	191	391
05:00			3	0	17:00			66	62			
05:15			4	1	17:15			51	66			
05:30			1	3	17:30			58	48			
05:45			14	22	6	10	32	41	216	39	215	431
06:00			12	7	18:00			65	45			
06:15			12	6	18:15			37	37			
06:30			14	15	18:30			34	44			
06:45			26	64	24	52	116	42	178	37	163	341
07:00			25	15	19:00			25	22			
07:15			39	22	19:15			17	22			
07:30			33	50	19:30			15	15			
07:45			33	130	58	145	275	19	76	16	75	151
08:00			41	68	20:00			21	15			
08:15			40	92	20:15			14	13			
08:30			47	58	20:30			22	11			
08:45			40	168	63	281	449	16	73	11	50	123
09:00			40	47	21:00			10	12			
09:15			42	45	21:15			10	4			
09:30			28	25	21:30			9	6			
09:45			22	132	32	149	281	8	37	9	31	68
10:00			31	29	22:00			7	11			
10:15			31	20	22:15			5	9			
10:30			28	26	22:30			8	11			
10:45			28	118	29	104	222	2	22	2	33	55
11:00			32	27	23:00			0	7			
11:15			25	26	23:15			3	2			
11:30			29	43	23:30			3	2			
11:45			35	121	45	141	262	0	6	4	15	21

Total Vol. 767 889 1656 1596 1431 3027

Daily Totals

NB	SB	EB	WB
		2363	2320
4683			

Split %	AM			PM				
	46.3%	53.7%	35.4%	52.7%	47.3%	64.6%		
Peak Hour	06:30	06:30	08:30	08:00	08:00	16:45	16:30	16:30
Volume			169	281	449	241	233	465
P.H.F.			0.90	0.76	0.85	0.91	0.90	0.91

Prepared by NDS/ATD

Volumes for: Tuesday, February 03, 2009 City: Agoura Hills Project #: 09-5034-042
Location: Agoura Rd E/o Liberty Canyon Rd

AM Period	NB	SB	EB	WB	PM Period	NB	SB	EB	WB			
00:00			1	3	12:00			41	51			
00:15			2	2	12:15			55	60			
00:30			0	1	12:30			65	50			
00:45			1	4	2	8	12	67	228	48	209	437
01:00			0	1	13:00			57	50			
01:15			0	1	13:15			59	32			
01:30			0	0	13:30			60	44			
01:45			0	0	1	3	3	54	230	53	179	409
02:00			0	1	14:00			44	41			
02:15			0	0	14:15			50	39			
02:30			0	1	14:30			37	43			
02:45			1	1	0	2	3	44	175	54	177	352
03:00			0	0	15:00			64	48			
03:15			0	0	15:15			66	68			
03:30			0	1	15:30			62	88			
03:45			0	0	0	1	1	42	234	55	259	493
04:00			0	0	16:00			48	84			
04:15			2	0	16:15			39	62			
04:30			4	0	16:30			48	79			
04:45			4	10	0	0	10	40	175	84	309	484
05:00			6	1	17:00			56	131			
05:15			10	1	17:15			51	116			
05:30			5	3	17:30			60	115			
05:45			30	51	5	10	61	58	225	93	455	680
06:00			21	8	18:00			82	90			
06:15			30	8	18:15			47	75			
06:30			36	13	18:30			43	76			
06:45			70	157	19	48	205	33	205	56	297	502
07:00			47	15	19:00			24	64			
07:15			91	17	19:15			20	46			
07:30			57	29	19:30			15	36			
07:45			67	262	42	103	365	18	77	29	175	252
08:00			104	52	20:00			20	18			
08:15			84	50	20:15			12	25			
08:30			99	49	20:30			13	19			
08:45			88	375	65	216	591	14	59	16	78	137
09:00			71	48	21:00			11	16			
09:15			63	41	21:15			9	7			
09:30			36	23	21:30			12	9			
09:45			33	203	31	143	346	11	43	15	47	90
10:00			31	31	22:00			6	15			
10:15			40	25	22:15			4	9			
10:30			35	31	22:30			1	7			
10:45			42	148	28	115	263	3	14	4	35	49
11:00			37	33	23:00			0	7			
11:15			22	33	23:15			2	3			
11:30			27	41	23:30			3	2			
11:45			42	128	51	158	286	0	5	7	19	24

Total Vol. 1339 807 2146 1670 2239 3909

Daily Totals

NB	SB	EB	WB
Combined		3009	3046
6055			

Split %	AM			PM				
	62.4%	37.6%	35.4%	42.7%	57.3%	64.6%		
Peak Hour	06:30	06:30	08:00	08:00	08:00	17:15	17:00	17:00
Volume			375	216	591	251	455	680
P.H.F.			0.90	0.83	0.95	0.77	0.76	0.91

Prepared by NDS/ATD

Volumes for: Tuesday, February 03, 2009

City: Agoura Hills

Project #: 09-5034-043

Location: Liberty Canyon Rd S/o Agoura Rd

AM Period	NB	SB	EB	WB	PM Period	NB	SB	EB	WB
00:00	3	5			12:00	27	31		
00:15	0	7			12:15	32	32		
00:30	2	3			12:30	29	33		
00:45	1	6	3	18	12:45	34	122	35	131
01:00	0	2			13:00	33	35		
01:15	0	4			13:15	24	24		
01:30	1	5			13:30	44	33		
01:45	1	2	3	14	13:45	32	133	37	129
02:00	0	0			14:00	36	28		
02:15	1	1			14:15	34	37		
02:30	0	3			14:30	22	38		
02:45	1	2	0	4	14:45	35	127	43	146
03:00	0	0			15:00	48	53		
03:15	1	1			15:15	45	50		
03:30	1	0			15:30	38	60		
03:45	2	4	1	2	15:45	42	173	57	220
04:00	0	1			16:00	35	62		
04:15	3	1			16:15	39	42		
04:30	6	1			16:30	41	51		
04:45	8	17	0	3	16:45	33	148	51	206
05:00	4	0			17:00	31	67		
05:15	2	2			17:15	34	56		
05:30	8	2			17:30	42	66		
05:45	15	29	2	6	17:45	36	143	60	249
06:00	26	1			18:00	33	62		
06:15	22	3			18:15	48	58		
06:30	27	4			18:30	40	45		
06:45	37	112	9	17	18:45	26	147	55	220
07:00	48	15			19:00	18	60		
07:15	80	19			19:15	28	60		
07:30	85	21			19:30	20	35		
07:45	75	288	38	93	19:45	18	84	36	191
08:00	77	37			20:00	13	37		
08:15	80	25			20:15	17	36		
08:30	63	36			20:30	16	38		
08:45	40	260	27	125	20:45	13	59	29	140
09:00	53	26			21:00	10	17		
09:15	47	20			21:15	15	32		
09:30	34	18			21:30	4	24		
09:45	45	179	19	83	21:45	5	34	16	89
10:00	33	22			22:00	5	20		
10:15	31	22			22:15	6	19		
10:30	36	29			22:30	1	12		
10:45	36	136	21	94	22:45	7	19	10	61
11:00	29	21			23:00	5	13		
11:15	37	29			23:15	5	8		
11:30	31	20			23:30	4	11		
11:45	27	124	29	99	23:45	3	17	4	36
Total Vol.	1159	558			1717		1206	1818	3024

Daily Totals

NB	SB	EB	WB
2365	2376	Combined	
4741			


Split %	AM				PM			
	67.5%	32.5%	36.2%		39.9%	60.1%	63.8%	
Peak Hour	07:15	07:45	06:30	06:30	07:30	17:30	17:00	17:30
Volume	317	136	438		159	249	405	
P.H.F.	0.93	0.89	0.96		0.83	0.93	0.94	

**APPENDIX B:
TAZ INTERNALIZATION WORKSHEETS**

Analyst: SRF
Date: 10/2/2009
Project #: TAZ 2

**MULTI-USE DEVELOPMENT
TRIP GENERATION
AND INTERNAL CAPTURE SUMMARY**

Name of Development/Tile: **AGOURA HILLS GP UPDATE**
Time Period: **Daily**



Net External Trips for Multi-Use Development					
Land Use ID	A	B	C	D	Total
Enter	0	43	545	0	588
Exit	0	40	548	0	588
Total	0	83	1094	0	1176
Single-Use Trip Gen. Est.	0	128	1139	0	1267


INTERNAL CAPTURE

7%

Analyst: SRF
 Date: 10/2/2009
 Project #: TAZ 2

**MULTI-USE DEVELOPMENT
 TRIP GENERATION
 AND INTERNAL CAPTURE SUMMARY**

Name of Development/Title: **AGOURA HILLS GP UPDATE**
 Time Period: **PM Peak Hour**




Net External Trips for Multi-Use Development					
Land Use ID	A	B	C	D	Total
Enter	0	5	28	0	33
Exit	0	2	37	0	39
Total	0	7	65	0	71
Single-Use Trip Gen. Est.	0	11	69	0	80

INTERNAL CAPTURE

Analyst: SRF
 Date: 10/2/2009
 Project #: TAZ 2

**MULTI-USE DEVELOPMENT
 TRIP GENERATION
 AND INTERNAL CAPTURE SUMMARY**

Name of Development/Title: **AGOURA HILLS GP UPDATE**
 Time Period: **AM Peak Hour**



Net External Trips for Multi-Use Development					
Land Use ID	A	B	C	D	Total
Enter	0	2	9	0	11
Exit	0	5	7	0	12
Total	0	7	16	0	23
Single-Use Trip Gen. Est.	0	10	19	0	29

INTERNAL CAPTURE

22%

PROJECT TITLE: AGOURA HILLS GP UPDATE
PROJECT #: TAZ 5
ANALYST: SRF
DATE: 10/2/2009

TRIP GENERATION

This spreadsheet is intended for estimating trip generation and internal capture for multi-use developments. It uses the information provided in the ITE *Trip Generation Handbook*, Chapter 7 March 2001. **(Please read comments and instructions at the right of the tables.)**


Trips entered are directly from development of the AHGP trip gen using ITE8th & AVSP.

ID	Project Description (1)	Land Use (2)	ITE Code (3)	Size (4)	Units (5)	Rates (6)				Directional Distribution (7)						Total Trips				Inbound and Outbound Trips					
						Daily	A.M.	P.M.	Midday	A.M.		P.M.		Midday		Daily	A.M.	P.M.	Midday	A.M.		P.M.		Midday	
										Entering	Exiting	Entering	Exiting	Entering	Exiting					Entering	Exiting	Entering	Exiting	Entering	Exiting
A	Office	Office			KSF	#NUM!	#NUM!	#DIV/0!	0.00	88%	12%	17%	83%	0%	0%	1,968	305	284		272	33	40	244		
B	Residential	Residential			DU	#NUM!	#DIV/0!	#NUM!	0.00	25%	75%	63%	37%	0%	0%	128	10	11		2	8	7	4		
C	Retail	Retail			ksf	#NUM!	1.03	#NUM!	#NUM!	61%	39%	48%	52%	48%	52%	2,151	39	146		22	13	57	74		
D		Misc		0.00	ksf	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%										
TOTAL																4,247	354	441	0	296	54	104	322	0	0
INTERNAL CAPTURE %																6%	5%	4%	#DIV/0!	5%	5%	4%	4%	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
INTERNAL TRIPS																245	19	16	#DIV/0!	16	3	4	12	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
NET TOTAL																4,002	335	425	#DIV/0!	280	51	100	310	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!

Analyst: SRF
Date: 10/2/2009
Project #: TAZ 5

**MULTI-USE DEVELOPMENT
TRIP GENERATION
AND INTERNAL CAPTURE SUMMARY**

Name of Development/Tile: AGOURA HILLS GP UPDATE
Time Period: Daily



Net External Trips for Multi-Use Development					
Land Use ID	A	B	C	D	Total
Enter	952	41	1008	0	2001
Exit	939	40	1022	0	2001
Total	1891	81	2030	0	4002
Single-Use Trip Gen. Est.	1968	128	2151	0	4247


INTERNAL CAPTURE

6%

Analyst: SRF
 Date: 10/2/2009
 Project #: TAZ 5

**MULTI-USE DEVELOPMENT
 TRIP GENERATION
 AND INTERNAL CAPTURE SUMMARY**

Name of Development/Title: AGOURA HILLS GP UPDATE
 Time Period: PM Peak Hour




Net External Trips for Multi-Use Development					
Land Use ID	A	B	C	D	Total
Enter	38	5	54	0	96
Exit	243	2	70	0	314
Total	281	7	123	0	410
Single-Use Trip Gen. Est.	284	11	131	0	426
					INTERNAL CAPTURE 4%

Analyst: SRF
 Date: 10/2/2009
 Project #: TAZ 5

**MULTI-USE DEVELOPMENT
 TRIP GENERATION
 AND INTERNAL CAPTURE SUMMARY**

Name of Development/Title: AGOURA HILLS GP UPDATE
 Time Period: AM Peak Hour



Net External Trips for Multi-Use Development					
Land Use ID	A	B	C	D	Total
Enter	268	2	17	0	287
Exit	32	3	10	0	45
Total	300	5	26	0	332
Single-Use Trip Gen. Est.	305	10	35	0	350

INTERNAL CAPTURE 5%

PROJECT TITLE: AGOURA HILLS GP UPDATE
PROJECT #: TAZ 6
ANALYST: SRF
DATE: 10/2/2009

TRIP GENERATION

This spreadsheet is intended for estimating trip generation and internal capture for multi-use developments. It uses the information provided in the ITE *Trip Generation Handbook*, Chapter 7 March 2001. **(Please read comments and instructions at the right of the tables.)**


Trips entered are directly from development of the AHGP trip gen using ITE8th & AVSP.

ID	Project Description (1)	Land Use (2)	ITE Code (3)	Size (4)	Units (5)	Rates (6)				Directional Distribution (7)						Total Trips				Inbound and Outbound Trips					
						Daily	A.M.	P.M.	Midday	A.M.		P.M.		Midday		Daily	A.M.	P.M.	Midday	A.M.		P.M.		Midday	
										Entering	Exiting	Entering	Exiting	Entering	Exiting					Entering	Exiting	Entering	Exiting	Entering	Exiting
A	Office	Office			KSF	#NUM!	#NUM!	#DIV/0!	0.00	88%	12%	17%	83%	0%	0%	3,315	310	393		262	48	81	312		
B	Residential	Residential			DU	#NUM!	#DIV/0!	#NUM!	0.00	25%	75%	63%	37%	0%	0%	134	11	14		3	8	9	5		
C	Retail	Retail			ksf	#NUM!	1.03	#NUM!	#NUM!	61%	39%	48%	52%	48%	52%	9,023	198	840		121	77	418	422		
D		Misc		0.00	ksf	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%										
TOTAL															12,472	519	1,247	0	386	133	508	739	0	0	
INTERNAL CAPTURE %															6%	12%	4%	#DIV/0!	12%	12%	4%	4%	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	
INTERNAL TRIPS															731	61	53	#DIV/0!	45	16	22	32	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	
NET TOTAL															11,741	458	1,194	#DIV/0!	341	117	486	707	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	

Analyst: SRF
Date: 10/2/2009
Project #: TAZ 6

**MULTI-USE DEVELOPMENT
TRIP GENERATION
AND INTERNAL CAPTURE SUMMARY**

Name of Development/Tile: **AGOURA HILLS GP UPDATE**
Time Period: Daily



Land Use ID	A	B	C	D	Total
Enter	1522	43	4306	0	5871
Exit	1475	42	4354	0	5871
Total	2997	84	8660	0	11741
Single-Use Trip Gen. Est.	3315	134	9023	0	12472


INTERNAL CAPTURE

6%

Analyst: SRF
Date: 10/2/2009
Project #: TAZ 6

**MULTI-USE DEVELOPMENT
TRIP GENERATION
AND INTERNAL CAPTURE SUMMARY**

Name of Development/Title: **AGOURA HILLS GP UPDATE**
Time Period: **PM Peak Hour**



Net External Trips for Multi-Use Development					
Land Use ID	A	B	C	D	Total
Enter	68	6	407	0	481
Exit	303	2	407	0	712
Total	372	8	814	0	1194
Single-Use Trip Gen. Est.	393	14	840	0	1247


INTERNAL CAPTURE

4%

Analyst: SRF
Date: 10/2/2009
Project #: TAZ 6

**MULTI-USE DEVELOPMENT
TRIP GENERATION
AND INTERNAL CAPTURE SUMMARY**

Name of Development/Title: **AGOURA HILLS GP UPDATE**
Time Period: **AM Peak Hour**



Net External Trips for Multi-Use Development					
Land Use ID	A	B	C	D	Total
Enter	242	3	111	0	356
Exit	42	3	57	0	103
Total	284	6	168	0	458
Single-Use Trip Gen. Est.	310	11	198	0	519

INTERNAL CAPTURE

12%

PROJECT TITLE: AGOURA HILLS GP UPDATE
PROJECT #: TAZ 7
ANALYST: SRF
DATE: 10/2/2009

TRIP GENERATION

This spreadsheet is intended for estimating trip generation and internal capture for multi-use developments. It uses the information provided in the ITE *Trip Generation Handbook*, Chapter 7 March 2001. **(Please read comments and instructions at the right of the tables.)**


Trips entered are directly from development of the AHGP trip gen using ITE8th & AVSP.

ID	Project Description (1)	Land Use (2)	ITE Code (3)	Size (4)	Units (5)	Rates (6)				Directional Distribution (7)						Total Trips				Inbound and Outbound Trips					
						Daily	A.M.	P.M.	Midday	A.M.		P.M.		Midday		Daily	A.M.	P.M.	Midday	A.M.		P.M.		Midday	
										Entering	Exiting	Entering	Exiting	Entering	Exiting					Entering	Exiting	Entering	Exiting	Entering	Exiting
A	Office	Office			KSF	#NUM!	#NUM!	#DIV/0!	0.00	88%	12%	17%	83%	0%	0%	715	81	139		72	9	19	120		
B	Residential	Residential			DU	#NUM!	#DIV/0!	#NUM!	0.00	25%	75%	63%	37%	0%	0%										
C	Retail	Retail			ksf	#NUM!	1.03	#NUM!	#NUM!	61%	39%	48%	52%	48%	52%	815	13	49		8	5	21	28		
D		Misc		0.00	ksf	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%										
TOTAL															1,530	94	188	0	80	14	40	148	0	0	
INTERNAL CAPTURE %															4%	4%	1%	#DIV/0!	4%	4%	1%	1%	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	
INTERNAL TRIPS															57	3	3	#DIV/0!	3	0	1	2	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	
NET TOTAL															1,473	91	185	#DIV/0!	77	14	39	146	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	

Analyst: SRF
Date: 10/2/2009
Project #: TAZ 7

**MULTI-USE DEVELOPMENT
TRIP GENERATION
AND INTERNAL CAPTURE SUMMARY**

Name of Development/Tile: **AGOURA HILLS GP UPDATE**
Time Period: Daily



Land Use ID	A	B	C	D	Total
Enter	345	0	391	0	736
Exit	341	0	395	0	736
Total	686	0	786	0	1473
Single-Use Trip Gen. Est.	715	0	815	0	1530


INTERNAL CAPTURE

4%

Analyst: SRF
Date: 10/2/2009
Project #: TAZ 7

**MULTI-USE DEVELOPMENT
TRIP GENERATION
AND INTERNAL CAPTURE SUMMARY**

Name of Development/Title: AGOURA HILLS GP UPDATE
Time Period: PM Peak Hour




Net External Trips for Multi-Use Development						
Land Use ID	A	B	C	D	Total	
Enter	18	0	21	0	39	
Exit	120	0	27	0	147	
Total	138	0	48	0	185	
Single-Use Trip Gen. Est.	139	0	49	0	188	INTERNAL CAPTURE 1%

Analyst: SRF
Date: 10/2/2009
Project #: TAZ 7

**MULTI-USE DEVELOPMENT
TRIP GENERATION
AND INTERNAL CAPTURE SUMMARY**

Name of Development/Title: AGOURA HILLS GP UPDATE
Time Period: AM Peak Hour



Net External Trips for Multi-Use Development					
Land Use ID	A	B	C	D	Total
Enter	71	0	8	0	79
Exit	9	0	4	0	13
Total	79	0	11	0	91
Single-Use Trip Gen. Est.	81	0	13	0	94

INTERNAL CAPTURE

4%

PROJECT TITLE: AGOURA HILLS GP UPDATE
PROJECT #: TAZ 8
ANALYST: SRF
DATE: 10/2/2009

TRIP GENERATION

This spreadsheet is intended for estimating trip generation and internal capture for multi-use developments. It uses the information provided in the ITE *Trip Generation Handbook*, Chapter 7 March 2001. **(Please read comments and instructions at the right of the tables.)**


Trips entered are directly from development of the AHGP trip gen using ITE8th & AVSP.

ID	Project Description (1)	Land Use (2)	ITE Code (3)	Size (4)	Units (5)	Rates (6)				Directional Distribution (7)						Total Trips				Inbound and Outbound Trips					
						Daily	A.M.	P.M.	Midday	A.M.		P.M.		Midday		Daily	A.M.	P.M.	Midday	A.M.		P.M.		Midday	
										Entering	Exiting	Entering	Exiting	Entering	Exiting					Entering	Exiting	Entering	Exiting	Entering	Exiting
A	Office	Office			KSF	#NUM!	#NUM!	#DIV/0!	0.00	88%	12%	17%	83%	0%	0%	1,904	294	276		262	32	39	237		
B	Residential	Residential			DU	#NUM!	#DIV/0!	#NUM!	0.00	25%	75%	63%	37%	0%	0%	442	33	40		6	27	27	13		
C	Retail	Retail			ksf	#NUM!	1.03	#NUM!	#NUM!	61%	39%	48%	52%	48%	52%	2,053	53	135		32	21	64	71		
D		Misc		0.00	ksf	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	933	30	35		25	5	9	26		
TOTAL																5,332	410	486	0	325	85	139	347	0	0
INTERNAL CAPTURE %																9%	8%	7%	#DIV/0!	8%	8%	7%	7%	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
INTERNAL TRIPS																471	33	36	#DIV/0!	26	7	10	26	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
NET TOTAL																4,861	377	450	#DIV/0!	299	78	129	321	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!

Analyst: SRF
Date: 10/2/2009
Project #: TAZ 8

**MULTI-USE DEVELOPMENT
TRIP GENERATION
AND INTERNAL CAPTURE SUMMARY**

Name of Development/Tile: **AGOURA HILLS GP UPDATE**
Time Period: **Daily**



Land Use ID	A	B	C	D	Total
Enter	921	141	901	467	2431
Exit	904	137	923	467	2431
Total	1826	278	1824	933	4861
Single-Use Trip Gen. Est.	1904	442	2053	933	5332


INTERNAL CAPTURE

9%

Analyst: SRF
Date: 10/2/2009
Project #: TAZ 8

**MULTI-USE DEVELOPMENT
TRIP GENERATION
AND INTERNAL CAPTURE SUMMARY**

Name of Development/Title: AGOURA HILLS GP UPDATE
Time Period: PM Peak Hour




Net External Trips for Multi-Use Development						
Land Use ID	A	B	C	D	Total	
Enter	37	18	57	9	121	
Exit	235	7	61	26	329	
Total	272	25	117	35	450	
Single-Use Trip Gen. Est.	276	40	135	35	486	
						INTERNAL CAPTURE 7%

Analyst: SRF
 Date: 10/2/2009
 Project #: TAZ 8

**MULTI-USE DEVELOPMENT
 TRIP GENERATION
 AND INTERNAL CAPTURE SUMMARY**

Name of Development/Tile: AGOURA HILLS GP UPDATE
 Time Period: AM Peak Hour



Net External Trips for Multi-Use Development						
Land Use ID	A	B	C	D	Total	
Enter	255	5	23	25	308	
Exit	30	18	15	5	68	
Total	286	23	38	30	377	
Single-Use Trip Gen. Est.	294	33	53	30	410	
						INTERNAL CAPTURE 8%

PROJECT TITLE: AGOURA HILLS GP UPDATE
PROJECT #: TAZ 9
ANALYST: SRF
DATE: 10/2/2009

TRIP GENERATION

This spreadsheet is intended for estimating trip generation and internal capture for multi-use developments. It uses the information provided in the ITE *Trip Generation Handbook*, Chapter 7 March 2001. **(Please read comments and instructions at the right of the tables.)**


Trips entered are directly from development of the AHGP trip gen using ITE8th & AVSP.

ID	Project Description (1)	Land Use (2)	ITE Code (3)	Size (4)	Units (5)	Rates (6)				Directional Distribution (7)						Total Trips				Inbound and Outbound Trips					
						Daily	A.M.	P.M.	Midday	A.M.		P.M.		Midday		Daily	A.M.	P.M.	Midday	A.M.		P.M.		Midday	
										Entering	Exiting	Entering	Exiting	Entering	Exiting					Entering	Exiting	Entering	Exiting	Entering	Exiting
A	Office	Office			KSF	#NUM!	#NUM!	#DIV/0!	0.00	88%	12%	17%	83%	0%	0%	2,277	220	253		192	28	42	211		
B	Residential	Residential			DU	#NUM!	#DIV/0!	#NUM!	0.00	25%	75%	63%	37%	0%	0%	115	9	11		2	7	7	4		
C	Retail	Retail			ksf	#NUM!	1.03	#NUM!	#NUM!	61%	39%	48%	52%	48%	52%	1,902	48	172		29	19	84	88		
D		Misc		0.00	ksf	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%										
TOTAL															4,294	277	436	0	223	54	133	303	0	0	
INTERNAL CAPTURE %															5%	8%	4%	#DIV/0!	8%	8%	4%	4%	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	
INTERNAL TRIPS															218	21	18	#DIV/0!	17	4	5	12	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	
NET TOTAL															4,076	256	419	#DIV/0!	206	50	128	291	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	

Analyst: SRF
Date: 10/2/2009
Project #: TAZ 9

**MULTI-USE DEVELOPMENT
TRIP GENERATION
AND INTERNAL CAPTURE SUMMARY**

Name of Development/Tile: **AGOURA HILLS GP UPDATE**
Time Period: Daily



Net External Trips for Multi-Use Development					
Land Use ID	A	B	C	D	Total
Enter	1110	37	891	0	2038
Exit	1099	36	903	0	2038
Total	2209	72	1795	0	4076
Single-Use Trip Gen. Est.	2277	115	1902	0	4294


INTERNAL CAPTURE

5%

Analyst: SRF
Date: 10/2/2009
Project #: TAZ 9

**MULTI-USE DEVELOPMENT
TRIP GENERATION
AND INTERNAL CAPTURE SUMMARY**

Name of Development/Title: AGOURA HILLS GP UPDATE
Time Period: PM Peak Hour



Net External Trips for Multi-Use Development					
Land Use ID	A	B	C	D	Total
Enter	39	5	80	0	124
Exit	209	2	83	0	294
Total	249	7	163	0	419
Single-Use Trip Gen. Est.	253	11	172	0	436


INTERNAL CAPTURE

4%

Analyst: SRF
Date: 10/2/2009
Project #: TAZ 9

**MULTI-USE DEVELOPMENT
TRIP GENERATION
AND INTERNAL CAPTURE SUMMARY**

Name of Development/Title: AGOURA HILLS GP UPDATE
Time Period: AM Peak Hour



Net External Trips for Multi-Use Development					
Land Use ID	A	B	C	D	Total
Enter	187	2	24	0	213
Exit	27	3	14	0	44
Total	213	5	38	0	256
Single-Use Trip Gen. Est.	220	9	48	0	277

INTERNAL CAPTURE

8%

PROJECT TITLE: AGOURA HILLS GP UPDATE
PROJECT #: TAZ 11
ANALYST: SRF
DATE: 10/2/2009

TRIP GENERATION

This spreadsheet is intended for estimating trip generation and internal capture for multi-use developments. It uses the information provided in the ITE *Trip Generation Handbook*, Chapter 7 March 2001. **(Please read comments and instructions at the right of the tables.)**


Trips entered are directly from development of the AHGP trip gen using ITE8th & AVSP.

ID	Project Description (1)	Land Use (2)	ITE Code (3)	Size (4)	Units (5)	Rates (6)				Directional Distribution (7)						Total Trips				Inbound and Outbound Trips					
						Daily	A.M.	P.M.	Midday	A.M.		P.M.		Midday		Daily	A.M.	P.M.	Midday	A.M.		P.M.		Midday	
										Entering	Exiting	Entering	Exiting	Entering	Exiting					Entering	Exiting	Entering	Exiting	Entering	Exiting
A	Office	Office			KSF	#NUM!	#NUM!	#DIV/0!	0.00	88%	12%	17%	83%	0%	0%	4,003	604	555		538	66	78	477		
B	Residential	Residential			DU	#NUM!	#DIV/0!	#NUM!	0.00	25%	75%	63%	37%	0%	0%	606	46	54		8	38	36	18		
C	Retail	Retail			ksf	#NUM!	1.03	#NUM!	#NUM!	61%	39%	48%	52%	48%	52%	4,444	105	408		64	41	195	213		
D		Misc		0.00	ksf	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%										
TOTAL																9,053	755	1,017	0	610	145	309	708	0	0
INTERNAL CAPTURE %																8%	8%	6%	#DIV/0!	8%	8%	6%	6%	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
INTERNAL TRIPS																760	63	63	#DIV/0!	51	12	19	44	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
NET TOTAL																8,293	692	954	#DIV/0!	559	133	290	664	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!

Analyst: SRF
Date: 10/2/2009
Project #: TAZ 11

**MULTI-USE DEVELOPMENT
TRIP GENERATION
AND INTERNAL CAPTURE SUMMARY**

Name of Development/Tile: **AGOURA HILLS GP UPDATE**
Time Period: **Daily**



Land Use ID	A	B	C	D	Total
Enter	1935	194	2018	0	4147
Exit	1904	188	2055	0	4147
Total	3838	382	4073	0	8293
Single-Use Trip Gen. Est.	4003	606	4444	0	9053


INTERNAL CAPTURE

8%

Analyst: SRF
 Date: 10/2/2009
 Project #: TAZ 11

**MULTI-USE DEVELOPMENT
 TRIP GENERATION
 AND INTERNAL CAPTURE SUMMARY**

Name of Development/Tile: AGOURA HILLS GP UPDATE
 Time Period: PM Peak Hour




Net External Trips for Multi-Use Development					
Land Use ID	A	B	C	D	Total
Enter	72	24	182	0	277
Exit	472	8	195	0	676
Total	544	33	377	0	954
Single-Use Trip Gen. Est.	555	54	408	0	1017
					INTERNAL CAPTURE
					6%

Analyst: SRF
 Date: 10/2/2009
 Project #: TAZ 11

**MULTI-USE DEVELOPMENT
 TRIP GENERATION
 AND INTERNAL CAPTURE SUMMARY**

Name of Development/Title: **AGOURA HILLS GP UPDATE**
 Time Period: **AM Peak Hour**



Net External Trips for Multi-Use Development					
Land Use ID	A	B	C	D	Total
Enter	526	7	45	0	578
Exit	63	21	30	0	113
Total	589	28	75	0	692
Single-Use Trip Gen. Est.	604	46	105	0	755
					INTERNAL CAPTURE
					8%

PROJECT TITLE: AGOURA HILLS GP UPDATE
PROJECT #: TAZ 12
ANALYST: SRF
DATE: 10/2/2009

TRIP GENERATION

This spreadsheet is intended for estimating trip generation and internal capture for multi-use developments. It uses the information provided in the ITE *Trip Generation Handbook*, Chapter 7 March 2001. **(Please read comments and instructions at the right of the tables.)**


Trips entered are directly from development of the AHGP trip gen using ITE8th & AVSP.

ID	Project Description (1)	Land Use (2)	ITE Code (3)	Size (4)	Units (5)	Rates (6)				Directional Distribution (7)						Total Trips				Inbound and Outbound Trips					
						Daily	A.M.	P.M.	Midday	A.M.		P.M.		Midday		Daily	A.M.	P.M.	Midday	A.M.		P.M.		Midday	
										Entering	Exiting	Entering	Exiting	Entering	Exiting					Entering	Exiting	Entering	Exiting	Entering	Exiting
A	Office	Office			KSF	#NUM!	#NUM!	#DIV/0!	0.00	88%	12%	17%	83%	0%	0%	2,138	294	336		261	33	47	289		
B	Residential	Residential			DU	#NUM!	#DIV/0!	#NUM!	0.00	25%	75%	63%	37%	0%	0%	1,329	98	124		20	78	81	43		
C	Retail	Retail			ksf	#NUM!	1.03	#NUM!	#NUM!	61%	39%	48%	52%	48%	52%	4,523	122	353		75	47	172	181		
D		Misc		0.00	ksf	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%										
TOTAL																7,990	514	813	0	356	158	300	513	0	0
INTERNAL CAPTURE %																15%	15%	12%	#DIV/0!	15%	15%	12%	12%	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
INTERNAL TRIPS																1,202	80	95	#DIV/0!	55	24	35	60	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
NET TOTAL																6,788	434	718	#DIV/0!	301	134	265	453	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!

Analyst: SRF
Date: 10/2/2009
Project #: TAZ 12

**MULTI-USE DEVELOPMENT
TRIP GENERATION
AND INTERNAL CAPTURE SUMMARY**

Name of Development/Tile: **AGOURA HILLS GP UPDATE**
Time Period: **Daily**



Net External Trips for Multi-Use Development					
Land Use ID	A	B	C	D	Total
Enter	1001	425	1968	0	3394
Exit	959	461	1974	0	3394
Total	1960	886	3942	0	6788
Single-Use Trip Gen. Est.	2138	1329	4523	0	7990


INTERNAL CAPTURE

15%

Analyst: SRF
 Date: 10/2/2009
 Project #: TAZ 12

**MULTI-USE DEVELOPMENT
 TRIP GENERATION
 AND INTERNAL CAPTURE SUMMARY**

Name of Development/Title: AGOURA HILLS GP UPDATE
 Time Period: PM Peak Hour




Net External Trips for Multi-Use Development					
Land Use ID	A	B	C	D	Total
Enter	42	58	153	0	252
Exit	284	28	154	0	465
Total	326	85	307	0	718
Single-Use Trip Gen. Est.	336	124	353	0	813
					12%

INTERNAL CAPTURE

Analyst: SRF
 Date: 10/2/2009
 Project #: TAZ 12

**MULTI-USE DEVELOPMENT
 TRIP GENERATION
 AND INTERNAL CAPTURE SUMMARY**

Name of Development/Title: AGOURA HILLS GP UPDATE
 Time Period: AM Peak Hour



Net External Trips for Multi-Use Development					
Land Use ID	A	B	C	D	Total
Enter	245	18	53	0	316
Exit	29	56	33	0	118
Total	275	74	86	0	434
Single-Use Trip Gen. Est.	294	98	122	0	514

INTERNAL CAPTURE

Appendix C

Air Quality Data

SIMPLIFIED CALINE4 CARBON MONOXIDE ANALYSIS

Project Number: 100006439
 Project Title: Agoura Hills GPU

Background Information


Nearest Air Monitoring Station measuring CO: Reseda SRA 6
 Background 1-hour CO Concentration (ppm): 4.0
 Background 8-hour CO Concentration (ppm): 2.8
 Persistence Factor: 0.7
 Analysis Year: 2009

Roadway Data


Intersection: Kanan Road and Agoura Road
 Analysis Condition: Existing

	Roadway Type	No. of Lanes	Average Speed	
			A.M.	P.M.
North-South Roadway:	Kanan Road	4	35	35
East-West Roadway:	Agoura Road	2	35	35

A.M. Peak Hour Traffic Volumes



P.M. Peak Hour Traffic Volumes



Note: As only roadway segment volumes were available, a 25% upward adjustment to the 50% roadway volume was made to account for turning movements from the perpendicular roadway

Highest Traffic Volumes (Vehicles per Hour)

N-S Road:	1,885	N-S Road:	1,957
E-W Road:	723	E-W Road:	826

Roadway CO Contributions and Concentrations

$$\text{Emissions} = (A \times B \times C) / 100,000^1$$

Roadway	Reference CO Concentrations				B Traffic Volume	C Emission Factors ²	Estimated CO Concentrations			
	Edge	25 Feet	50 Feet	100 Feet			Edge	25 Feet	50 Feet	100 Feet
A.M. Peak Traffic Hour										
North-South Road	11.9	7.0	5.4	3.8	1,885	3.67	0.82	0.48	0.37	0.26
East-West Road	3.7	2.7	2.2	1.7	723	3.67	0.10	0.07	0.06	0.05
P.M. Peak Traffic Hour										
North-South Road	11.9	7.0	5.4	3.8	1,957	3.67	0.86	0.50	0.39	0.27
East-West Road	3.7	2.7	2.2	1.7	826	3.67	0.11	0.08	0.07	0.05

¹ Methodology from Bay Area Air Quality Management District *BAAQMD CEQA Guidelines* (1996).

² Emission factors from EMFAC2007 (2008).

Total Roadway CO Concentrations

$$\text{Peak Hour Emissions} = \text{North-South Concentration} + \text{East-West Concentration} + \text{Background 1-hour Concentration}^2$$

$$\text{8-Hour Emissions} = (\text{Highest Peak Hour Concentration} - \text{Background 1-hour Concentration}) \times \text{Persistence Factor} + \text{Background 8-hour Concentration}^2$$

	A.M. Peak Hour	P.M. Peak Hour	8-Hour
Roadway Edge	4.9	5.0	3.5
25 Feet from Roadway Edge	4.6	4.6	3.2
50 Feet from Roadway Edge	4.4	4.5	3.1

² Methodology from Bay Area Air Quality Management District *BAAQMD CEQA Guidelines* (1996).

SIMPLIFIED CALINE4 CARBON MONOXIDE ANALYSIS

Project Number: 100006439
 Project Title: Agoura Hills GPU

Background Information


Nearest Air Monitoring Station measuring CO: Reseda SRA 6
 Background 1-hour CO Concentration (ppm): 4.0
 Background 8-hour CO Concentration (ppm): 2.8
 Persistence Factor: 0.7
 Analysis Year: 2030

Roadway Data


Intersection: Kanan Road and Agoura Road
 Analysis Condition: Existing

	Roadway Type	No. of Lanes	Average Speed		
			A.M.	P.M.	
North-South Roadway:	Kanan Road	At Grade	4	35	35
East-West Roadway:	Agoura Road	At Grade	2	35	35

A.M. Peak Hour Traffic Volumes



P.M. Peak Hour Traffic Volumes



Note: As only roadway segment volumes were available, a 25% upward adjustment to the 50% roadway volume was made to account for turning movements from the perpendicular roadway

Highest Traffic Volumes (Vehicles per Hour)

N-S Road:	2,925	N-S Road:	3,385
E-W Road:	1,264	E-W Road:	1,542

Roadway CO Contributions and Concentrations

Emissions = (A x B x C) / 100,000¹

Roadway	Reference CO Concentrations				B Traffic Volume	C Emission Factors ²	Estimated CO Concentrations			
	A Edge	A ₁ 25 Feet	A ₂ 50 Feet	A ₃ 100 Feet			Edge	25 Feet	50 Feet	100 Feet
A.M. Peak Traffic Hour										
North-South Road	11.9	7.0	5.4	3.8	2,925	0.84	0.29	0.17	0.13	0.09
East-West Road	3.7	2.7	2.2	1.7	1,264	0.84	0.04	0.03	0.02	0.02
P.M. Peak Traffic Hour										
North-South Road	11.9	7.0	5.4	3.8	3,385	0.84	0.34	0.20	0.15	0.11
East-West Road	3.7	2.7	2.2	1.7	1,542	0.84	0.05	0.03	0.03	0.02

¹ Methodology from Bay Area Air Quality Management District *BAAQMD CEQA Guidelines* (1996).

² Emission factors from EMFAC2007 (2008).

Total Roadway CO Concentrations

Peak Hour Emissions = North-South Concentration + East-West Concentration + Background 1-hour Concentration²

8-Hour Emissions = ((Highest Peak Hour Concentration - Background 1-hour Concentration) x Persistence Factor) + Background 8-hour Concentration²

	A.M. Peak Hour	P.M. Peak Hour	8-Hour
Roadway Edge	4.3	4.4	3.1
25 Feet from Roadway Edge	4.2	4.2	3.0
50 Feet from Roadway Edge	4.2	4.2	2.9

² Methodology from Bay Area Air Quality Management District *BAAQMD CEQA Guidelines* (1996).

SIMPLIFIED CALINE4 CARBON MONOXIDE ANALYSIS

Project Number: 100006439
 Project Title: Agoura Hills GPU

Background Information


Nearest Air Monitoring Station measuring CO: Reseda SRA 6
 Background 1-hour CO Concentration (ppm): 4.0
 Background 8-hour CO Concentration (ppm): 2.8
 Persistence Factor: 0.7
 Analysis Year: 2009

Roadway Data


Intersection: Kanan Road and Thousand Oaks Blvd
 Analysis Condition: Existing

	Roadway Type	No. of Lanes	Average Speed	
			A.M.	P.M.
North-South Roadway:	Kanan Road	4	35	35
East-West Roadway:	Thousand Oaks Blvd	4	35	35

A.M. Peak Hour Traffic Volumes



P.M. Peak Hour Traffic Volumes



Note: As only roadway segment volumes were available, a 25% upward adjustment to the 50% roadway volume was made to account for turning movements from the perpendicular roadway

Highest Traffic Volumes (Vehicles per Hour)

N-S Road:	3,198	N-S Road:	3,038
E-W Road:	1,789	E-W Road:	1,320

Roadway CO Contributions and Concentrations

Emissions = (A x B x C) / 100,000¹

Roadway	Reference CO Concentrations				B Traffic Volume	C Emission Factors ²	Estimated CO Concentrations			
	A Edge	A ₁ 25 Feet	A ₂ 50 Feet	A ₃ 100 Feet			Edge	25 Feet	50 Feet	100 Feet
A.M. Peak Traffic Hour										
North-South Road	11.9	7.0	5.4	3.8	3,198	3.67	1.40	0.82	0.63	0.45
East-West Road	3.3	2.6	2.2	1.7	1,789	3.67	0.22	0.17	0.14	0.11
P.M. Peak Traffic Hour										
North-South Road	11.9	7.0	5.4	3.8	3,038	3.67	1.33	0.78	0.60	0.42
East-West Road	3.3	2.6	2.2	1.7	1,320	3.67	0.16	0.13	0.11	0.08

¹ Methodology from Bay Area Air Quality Management District *BAAQMD CEQA Guidelines* (1996).

² Emission factors from EMFAC2007 (2008).

Total Roadway CO Concentrations

Peak Hour Emissions = North-South Concentration + East-West Concentration + Background 1-hour Concentration²

8-Hour Emissions = ((Highest Peak Hour Concentration - Background 1-hour Concentration) x Persistence Factor) + Background 8-hour Concentration²

	A.M. Peak Hour	P.M. Peak Hour	8-Hour
Roadway Edge	5.6	5.5	3.9
25 Feet from Roadway Edge	5.0	4.9	3.5
50 Feet from Roadway Edge	4.8	4.7	3.3

² Methodology from Bay Area Air Quality Management District *BAAQMD CEQA Guidelines* (1996).

SIMPLIFIED CALINE4 CARBON MONOXIDE ANALYSIS

Project Number: 100006439
 Project Title: Agoura Hills GPU

Background Information


Nearest Air Monitoring Station measuring CO: Reseda SRA 6
 Background 1-hour CO Concentration (ppm): 4.0
 Background 8-hour CO Concentration (ppm): 2.8
 Persistence Factor: 0.7
 Analysis Year: 2030

Roadway Data


Intersection: Kanan Road and Thousand Oaks Blvd
 Analysis Condition: Existing

	Roadway Type	No. of Lanes	Average Speed		
			A.M.	P.M.	
North-South Roadway:	Kanan Road	At Grade	4	35	35
East-West Roadway:	Thousand Oaks Blvd	At Grade	4	35	35

A.M. Peak Hour Traffic Volumes



P.M. Peak Hour Traffic Volumes



Note: As only roadway segment volumes were available, a 25% upward adjustment to the 50% roadway volume was made to account for turning movements from the perpendicular roadway

Highest Traffic Volumes (Vehicles per Hour)

N-S Road:	3,864	N-S Road:	3,807
E-W Road:	1,938	E-W Road:	1,444

Roadway CO Contributions and Concentrations

Emissions = (A x B x C) / 100,000¹

Roadway	Reference CO Concentrations				B Traffic Volume	C Emission Factors ²	Estimated CO Concentrations			
	A Edge	A ₁ 25 Feet	A ₂ 50 Feet	A ₃ 100 Feet			Edge	25 Feet	50 Feet	100 Feet
A.M. Peak Traffic Hour										
North-South Road	11.9	7.0	5.4	3.8	3,864	0.84	0.39	0.23	0.18	0.12
East-West Road	3.3	2.6	2.2	1.7	1,938	0.84	0.05	0.04	0.04	0.03
P.M. Peak Traffic Hour										
North-South Road	11.9	7.0	5.4	3.8	3,807	0.84	0.38	0.22	0.17	0.12
East-West Road	3.3	2.6	2.2	1.7	1,444	0.84	0.04	0.03	0.03	0.02

¹ Methodology from Bay Area Air Quality Management District *BAAQMD CEQA Guidelines* (1996).

² Emission factors from EMFAC2007 (2008).

Total Roadway CO Concentrations

Peak Hour Emissions = North-South Concentration + East-West Concentration + Background 1-hour Concentration²

8-Hour Emissions = ((Highest Peak Hour Concentration - Background 1-hour Concentration) x Persistence Factor) + Background 8-hour Concentration²

	A.M. Peak Hour	P.M. Peak Hour	8-Hour
Roadway Edge	4.4	4.4	3.1
25 Feet from Roadway Edge	4.3	4.3	3.0
50 Feet from Roadway Edge	4.2	4.2	2.9

² Methodology from Bay Area Air Quality Management District *BAAQMD CEQA Guidelines* (1996).

SIMPLIFIED CALINE4 CARBON MONOXIDE ANALYSIS

Project Number: 100006439
 Project Title: Agoura Hills GPU

Background Information


Nearest Air Monitoring Station measuring CO: Reseda SRA 6
 Background 1-hour CO Concentration (ppm): 4.0
 Background 8-hour CO Concentration (ppm): 2.8
 Persistence Factor: 0.7
 Analysis Year: 2009

Roadway Data


Intersection: Reyes Adobe Road and Agoura Road
 Analysis Condition: Existing

	Roadway Type	No. of Lanes	Average Speed	
			A.M.	P.M.
North-South Roadway:	Reyes Adobe Road	4	35	35
East-West Roadway:	Agoura Road	4	35	35

A.M. Peak Hour Traffic Volumes



P.M. Peak Hour Traffic Volumes



Note: As only roadway segment volumes were available, a 25% upward adjustment to the 50% roadway volume was made to account for turning movements from the perpendicular roadway

Highest Traffic Volumes (Vehicles per Hour)

N-S Road:	844	N-S Road:	728
E-W Road:	1,166	E-W Road:	1,185

Roadway CO Contributions and Concentrations

$$\text{Emissions} = (A \times B \times C) / 100,000^1$$

Roadway	Reference CO Concentrations				Traffic Volume	Emission Factors ²	Estimated CO Concentrations			
	Edge	25 Feet	50 Feet	100 Feet			Edge	25 Feet	50 Feet	100 Feet
A.M. Peak Traffic Hour										
North-South Road	3.3	2.6	2.2	1.7	844	3.67	0.10	0.08	0.07	0.05
East-West Road	11.9	7.0	5.4	3.8	1,166	3.67	0.51	0.30	0.23	0.16
P.M. Peak Traffic Hour										
North-South Road	3.3	2.6	2.2	1.7	728	3.67	0.09	0.07	0.06	0.05
East-West Road	11.9	7.0	5.4	3.8	1,185	3.67	0.52	0.30	0.23	0.17

¹ Methodology from Bay Area Air Quality Management District *BAAQMD CEQA Guidelines* (1996).

² Emission factors from EMFAC2007 (2008).

Total Roadway CO Concentrations

$$\text{Peak Hour Emissions} = \text{North-South Concentration} + \text{East-West Concentration} + \text{Background 1-hour Concentration}^2$$

$$\text{8-Hour Emissions} = (\text{Highest Peak Hour Concentration} - \text{Background 1-hour Concentration}) \times \text{Persistence Factor} + \text{Background 8-hour Concentration}^2$$

	A.M. Peak Hour	P.M. Peak Hour	8-Hour
Roadway Edge	4.6	4.6	3.2
25 Feet from Roadway Edge	4.4	4.4	3.1
50 Feet from Roadway Edge	4.3	4.3	3.0

² Methodology from Bay Area Air Quality Management District *BAAQMD CEQA Guidelines* (1996).

SIMPLIFIED CALINE4 CARBON MONOXIDE ANALYSIS

Project Number: 100006439
 Project Title: Agoura Hills GPU

Background Information


Nearest Air Monitoring Station measuring CO: Reseda SRA 6
 Background 1-hour CO Concentration (ppm): 4.0
 Background 8-hour CO Concentration (ppm): 2.8
 Persistence Factor: 0.7
 Analysis Year: 2030

Roadway Data


Intersection: Reyes Adobe Road and Agoura Road
 Analysis Condition: Existing

	Roadway Type	No. of Lanes	Average Speed	
			A.M.	P.M.
North-South Roadway:	Reyes Adobe Road	4	35	35
East-West Roadway:	Agoura Road	4	35	35

A.M. Peak Hour Traffic Volumes



P.M. Peak Hour Traffic Volumes



Note: As only roadway segment volumes were available, a 25% upward adjustment to the 50% roadway volume was made to account for turning movements from the perpendicular roadway

Highest Traffic Volumes (Vehicles per Hour)

N-S Road:	1,210	N-S Road:	1,229
E-W Road:	1,635	E-W Road:	1,788

Roadway CO Contributions and Concentrations

Emissions = (A x B x C) / 100,000¹

Roadway	Reference CO Concentrations				Traffic Volume	Emission Factors ²	Estimated CO Concentrations			
	Edge	25 Feet	50 Feet	100 Feet			Edge	25 Feet	50 Feet	100 Feet
A.M. Peak Traffic Hour										
North-South Road	3.3	2.6	2.2	1.7	1,210	0.84	0.03	0.03	0.02	0.02
East-West Road	11.9	7.0	5.4	3.8	1,635	0.84	0.16	0.10	0.07	0.05
P.M. Peak Traffic Hour										
North-South Road	3.3	2.6	2.2	1.7	1,229	0.84	0.03	0.03	0.02	0.02
East-West Road	11.9	7.0	5.4	3.8	1,788	0.84	0.18	0.11	0.08	0.06

¹ Methodology from Bay Area Air Quality Management District *BAAQMD CEQA Guidelines* (1996).

² Emission factors from EMFAC2007 (2008).

Total Roadway CO Concentrations

Peak Hour Emissions = North-South Concentration + East-West Concentration + Background 1-hour Concentration²

8-Hour Emissions = ((Highest Peak Hour Concentration - Background 1-hour Concentration) x Persistence Factor) + Background 8-hour Concentration²

	A.M. Peak Hour	P.M. Peak Hour	8-Hour
Roadway Edge	4.2	4.2	2.9
25 Feet from Roadway Edge	4.1	4.1	2.9
50 Feet from Roadway Edge	4.1	4.1	2.9

² Methodology from Bay Area Air Quality Management District *BAAQMD CEQA Guidelines* (1996).

SIMPLIFIED CALINE4 CARBON MONOXIDE ANALYSIS

Project Number: 100006439
 Project Title: Agoura Hills GPU

Background Information


Nearest Air Monitoring Station measuring CO: Reseda SRA 6
 Background 1-hour CO Concentration (ppm): 4.0
 Background 8-hour CO Concentration (ppm): 2.8
 Persistence Factor: 0.7
 Analysis Year: 2009

Roadway Data


Intersection: Reyes Adobe Road and Thousand Oaks Blvd
 Analysis Condition: Existing

	Roadway Type	No. of Lanes	Average Speed	
			A.M.	P.M.
North-South Roadway:	Reyes Adobe Road	4	35	35
East-West Roadway:	Thousand Oaks Blvd	4	35	35

A.M. Peak Hour Traffic Volumes



P.M. Peak Hour Traffic Volumes



Note: As only roadway segment volumes were available, a 25% upward adjustment to the 50% roadway volume was made to account for turning movements from the perpendicular roadway

Highest Traffic Volumes (Vehicles per Hour)

N-S Road:	1,401	N-S Road:	854
E-W Road:	1,450	E-W Road:	1,532

Roadway CO Contributions and Concentrations

$$\text{Emissions} = (A \times B \times C) / 100,000^1$$

Roadway	Reference CO Concentrations				B Traffic Volume	C Emission Factors ²	Estimated CO Concentrations			
	Edge	25 Feet	50 Feet	100 Feet			Edge	25 Feet	50 Feet	100 Feet
A.M. Peak Traffic Hour										
North-South Road	3.3	2.6	2.2	1.7	1,401	3.67	0.17	0.13	0.11	0.09
East-West Road	11.9	7.0	5.4	3.8	1,450	3.67	0.63	0.37	0.29	0.20
P.M. Peak Traffic Hour										
North-South Road	3.3	2.6	2.2	1.7	854	3.67	0.10	0.08	0.07	0.05
East-West Road	11.9	7.0	5.4	3.8	1,532	3.67	0.67	0.39	0.30	0.21

¹ Methodology from Bay Area Air Quality Management District *BAAQMD CEQA Guidelines* (1996).

² Emission factors from EMFAC2007 (2008).

Total Roadway CO Concentrations

$$\text{Peak Hour Emissions} = \text{North-South Concentration} + \text{East-West Concentration} + \text{Background 1-hour Concentration}^2$$

$$\text{8-Hour Emissions} = (\text{Highest Peak Hour Concentration} - \text{Background 1-hour Concentration}) \times \text{Persistence Factor} + \text{Background 8-hour Concentration}^2$$

	A.M. Peak Hour	P.M. Peak Hour	8-Hour
Roadway Edge	4.8	4.8	3.4
25 Feet from Roadway Edge	4.5	4.5	3.2
50 Feet from Roadway Edge	4.4	4.4	3.1

² Methodology from Bay Area Air Quality Management District *BAAQMD CEQA Guidelines* (1996).

SIMPLIFIED CALINE4 CARBON MONOXIDE ANALYSIS

Project Number: 100006439
 Project Title: Agoura Hills GPU

Background Information


Nearest Air Monitoring Station measuring CO: Reseda SRA 6
 Background 1-hour CO Concentration (ppm): 4.0
 Background 8-hour CO Concentration (ppm): 2.8
 Persistence Factor: 0.7
 Analysis Year: 2030

Roadway Data


Intersection: Reyes Adobe Road and Thousand Oaks Blvd
 Analysis Condition: Existing

	Roadway Type	No. of Lanes	Average Speed	
			A.M.	P.M.
North-South Roadway:	Reyes Adobe Road	4	35	35
East-West Roadway:	Thousand Oaks Blvd	4	35	35

A.M. Peak Hour Traffic Volumes



P.M. Peak Hour Traffic Volumes



Note: As only roadway segment volumes were available, a 25% upward adjustment to the 50% roadway volume was made to account for turning movements from the perpendicular roadway

Highest Traffic Volumes (Vehicles per Hour)

N-S Road:	1,488	N-S Road:	960
E-W Road:	1,547	E-W Road:	1,726

Roadway CO Contributions and Concentrations

$$\text{Emissions} = (A \times B \times C) / 100,000^1$$

Roadway	Reference CO Concentrations				B Traffic Volume	C Emission Factors ²	Estimated CO Concentrations			
	Edge	25 Feet	50 Feet	100 Feet			Edge	25 Feet	50 Feet	100 Feet
A.M. Peak Traffic Hour										
North-South Road	3.3	2.6	2.2	1.7	1,488	0.84	0.04	0.03	0.03	0.02
East-West Road	11.9	7.0	5.4	3.8	1,547	0.84	0.15	0.09	0.07	0.05
P.M. Peak Traffic Hour										
North-South Road	3.3	2.6	2.2	1.7	960	0.84	0.03	0.02	0.02	0.01
East-West Road	11.9	7.0	5.4	3.8	1,726	0.84	0.17	0.10	0.08	0.06

¹ Methodology from Bay Area Air Quality Management District *BAAQMD CEQA Guidelines* (1996).

² Emission factors from EMFAC2007 (2008).

Total Roadway CO Concentrations

$$\text{Peak Hour Emissions} = \text{North-South Concentration} + \text{East-West Concentration} + \text{Background 1-hour Concentration}^2$$

$$\text{8-Hour Emissions} = (\text{Highest Peak Hour Concentration} - \text{Background 1-hour Concentration}) \times \text{Persistence Factor} + \text{Background 8-hour Concentration}^2$$

	A.M. Peak Hour	P.M. Peak Hour	8-Hour
Roadway Edge	4.2	4.2	2.9
25 Feet from Roadway Edge	4.1	4.1	2.9
50 Feet from Roadway Edge	4.1	4.1	2.9

² Methodology from Bay Area Air Quality Management District *BAAQMD CEQA Guidelines* (1996).

Appendix D Biological Resources

impingement by the noise; the time factors related to the study, design, financing and construction of remedial work; the economic factors related to the age and useful life of equipment; and the general public interest and welfare. Any variance granted by said administrator shall be in writing and shall be transmitted to the health officer for enforcement. Any violation of the terms of variance shall be unlawful.

B. *Appeals.* Within fifteen (15) days following the decision of the director on an application, the applicant, the health officer, or any member of the city council, may appeal the decision to the city council by filing a notice of appeal with the director of community development. In the case of an appeal by the applicant for a variance, the notice of appeal shall be accompanied by a fee to be computed by the director on the basis of the estimated cost of preparing the materials referred to be forwarded to the city council as discussed hereafter, and shall be mailed to all property owners within five hundred (500) feet. If the actual cost of such preparation differs from the estimated cost, appropriate payments shall be made to the city.

Within fifteen (15) days following receipt of a notice of appeal and the appeal fee, the director shall forward to the city council copies of the variance application; the recommendation of the health officer; the notice of appeal; all evidence concerning said application received by the director and its decision thereon. The city clerk shall mail to the applicant a notice of the date set for hearing of the appeal. The notice shall be mailed at least ten (10) days prior to the hearing date.

Within sixty (60) days following its receipt of the notice of the appeal, the city council shall either affirm, modify or reverse the decision of the director. As part of its decision, the city council may direct the director to conduct further proceedings on said application. Failure of the city council to affirm, modify or reverse the decision of the director within said sixty (60) day period shall constitute an affirmance of the decision.

DIVISION 7. OAK TREE PRESERVATION GUIDELINES

9657. Oak tree preservation regulations; purpose.

The city lies in the County of Los Angeles in the Conejo Valley, the beauty of which is greatly enhanced by the presence of large numbers of majestic oak trees. At one time, the area was almost completely covered by an oak forest; however, development of the city has resulted in the removal of a great number of these trees. Further, uncontrolled and indiscriminate destruction of oak trees would detrimentally affect the safety and welfare of the citizens of Agoura Hills.

The purpose of these sections is to protect and preserve oak trees in recognition of their historical, aesthetic and environmental value to the citizens of Agoura Hills, present and future, and to provide regulatory measures designed to accomplish this purpose.

The following sections set forth the policy of the city to require the preservation of all healthy oak trees unless compelling reasons justify the removal, cutting, pruning and/or encroachment into the protected zone of an oak tree. Such sections are subject to all other applicable ordinances, and the oak tree preservation guidelines adopted by the city council [and set out in Appendix A to this article].

9657.1. Oak tree preservation.

No person, partnership, firm, corporation, government agency, or other legal entity shall cut, prune, remove, relocate, endanger or damage any tree protected by this section on any public or private land located within the incorporated areas of the City of Agoura Hills except in accordance with

the conditions of a valid oak tree permit issued by the department of planning and community development or the planning commission pursuant to the provisions of section 9657 through 9657.5.

9657.2. Oak tree policy.

It shall be the policy of the City of Agoura Hills to require the preservation of all healthy oak trees unless compelling reasons justify the removal of such trees. This policy shall apply to the removal, pruning, cutting and/or the encroachment into the protected zone of oak trees. The department of planning and community development shall have the primary and overall responsibility to administer, evaluate and monitor this policy.

9657.3. Nonliability of city.

Nothing in these sections shall be deemed to impose any liability upon the City of Agoura Hills or upon any of its officers or employees, or agents, nor to relieve the owner and occupant of any private property from the duty to keep oak trees upon such property or under his control, in a safe condition.

9657.4. Exemptions.

The provisions of section 9657.1 shall not apply to the following:

- A. Emergencies. In cases of emergencies, including but not limited to, thunderstorms, windstorms, floods or other natural disasters, or potential safety hazards, the requirements of section 9657.1 may be waived as follows: If upon a visual inspection, an oak tree is determined to be in a hazardous or dangerous condition, any member of law enforcement or a law enforcement agency or the Los Angeles County fire department may order or allow the removal of a protected tree. Prior notice to the department of planning and community development shall be provided, if possible. Subsequent to the emergency action, written notification shall be provided to the department of planning and community development describing the action taken and the nature of the emergency.
- B. Routine maintenance as defined in the oak tree preservation guidelines.
- C. Oak trees planted, grown and/or held for sale by licensed nurseries or the removal or transplanting of same pursuant to, and as a part of, the operation of a licensed nursery business. This exemption is limited to trees with main trunks under ten (10) inches in diameter.
- D. When removal is determined necessary by fire department personnel actively engaged in fighting a fire.

9657.5. Oak tree permit.

Except as otherwise provided in section 9657.4, no person shall cut, prune, remove, endanger or encroach into the protected zone or relocate any oak tree on any public or private property within the city unless a valid oak tree permit has been issued from the director of planning and community development or the planning commission pursuant to the provisions of these sections and the oak tree preservation guidelines, by filing the proper form and paying the appropriate fee. The accuracy of all required information submitted shall be the responsibility of the applicant.

- A. *Administrative approvals.* The department of planning and community development has jurisdiction to approve a request for the removal of one (1) oak tree on a single

parcel. Except for dead trees, subsequent requests for the removal of trees beyond the number of one (1) on a single parcel of record will be referred to the planning commission for review and approval.

B. *Planning commission approval.* When two (2) or more trees are being requested for removal on an original application, the case will be referred to the planning commission.

C. *Oak tree permit approval process.* The director of planning and community development or the planning commission may approve an oak tree permit when one (1) of the following findings can be made, after city inspection of the tree and property.

1. The condition or location of the protected trees requires cutting or pruning to maintain or remedy its health, balance or structure.

2. The condition of the tree(s) with respect to disease, danger of falling, proximity to existing structures, high pedestrian traffic areas such as parking lots, pedestrian walkways or interference with utility services cannot be controlled or remedied through reasonable preservation and/or preventive procedures and practices.

3. It is necessary to remove, relocate, prune, cut or encroach into the protected zone of an oak tree when, after a determination by the planning commission or director, it is found that the continued existence totally prevents the development of the subject property. An oak tree permit shall not be granted pursuant to this subparagraph 3 unless all the following additional findings are made:

a. That the proposed construction or proposed use will be accomplished without endangering the health of the remaining trees on the subject property;

b. That the removal or relocation of the oak tree(s) proposed will not result in soil erosion through the diversion or increased flow of surface waters which cannot be satisfactorily mitigated;

c. That the removal or relocation of the oak tree(s) proposed is necessary because the continued existence at present location(s) prevents the planned improvement or proposed use of the subject property to such an extent that alternative development plans cannot achieve the same permitted density or that the cost of such alternative would be prohibitive; or that the placement of such tree(s) precludes the reasonable and efficient use of such property for a use otherwise authorized; or that the oak tree(s) proposed for removal or relocation interferes with utility services or streets and highways, either within or outside of the subject property, and no reasonable alternative to such interference exists other than removal of the tree(s).

If the applicant has met the above criteria, an oak tree permit may be issued subject to the following limitations:

(a) Not more than ten (10) percent of the total estimated tree canopy or root structure of all trees on the subject property has been requested to be removed.

(b) In certain exceptional cases, the removal of up to twenty (20) percent of the total tree's canopy or root system may be removed. However, such approval is predicated upon the recommendation of the city's oak tree preservation consultant stating that the viability of the oak tree will not be adversely affected.

(c) In no case shall less than four (4) native oaks be provided for any oak tree removed or relocated.

D. *Conditions on removal.* Conditions may be imposed on the permit at the discretion of the decisionmaker, including but not limited to, any of the following:

1. A condition requiring the replacement or placement of additional trees on the subject property to offset the impacts associated with the loss of a tree or its limbs or encroachment into the protected zone of an oak tree;
2. The relocating of trees on-site or off-site, or the planting of a new tree off-site to offset the loss of a tree;
3. A condition requiring an objectively observable maintenance and care program to be initiated to insure the continued health and care of oak tree(s) on the property;
4. Payment of a fee or donation of a potted tree to the city or other public agency to be used elsewhere in the city should a suitable replacement location for a tree not be possible on-site or off-site.

E. *Oak tree report.* The director shall cause, at the applicant's expense, the preparation of an oak tree report by a city-approved oak tree consultant.

F. *Oak tree preservation guidelines.* In granting an oak tree permit, the director of planning and community development or the planning commission shall require the permit to comply with provisions of the adopted "Oak Tree Preservation Guidelines" and may impose such conditions necessary to carry out the intent of this article and said guidelines. However, in no case shall less than four (4) native oaks be provided for any oak tree removed or relocated.

G. *Notice of permit decision.* Upon completion of the processing of an oak tree permit, the director of planning and community development or the planning commission may approve, conditionally approve or deny the application for an oak tree permit and notice of such decision shall be mailed to the applicant, city council, and planning commission.

H. *Appeals.* Within twenty (20) calendar days of the notice of decision, the applicant, city council, or planning commission may appeal the decision of the director of planning and community development to the planning commission or the decision of the planning commission to the city council.

I. *Enforcement.*

1. *Additional remedies.* Any person who cuts, damages, moves, or removes any oak tree within the city or encroaches into the drip line of an oak tree in violation of this chapter shall be subject to the following remedies in addition to any penalties provided by the Municipal Code:

- (a) A suspension of any building permits until all mitigation measures specified by the city are satisfactorily completed.
- (b) Completion of all mitigation measures as established by the city.

2. *Restitution.* It has been determined that the oak trees within the city are valuable assets to the citizens of this community and to the citizens of the County of Los Angeles and as a result of the loss or damage to any of these trees, the public should be recompensed.

Any person violating the provisions this chapter shall be responsible for proper restitution and may be required to replace the oak tree(s) so removed or damaged, by the donation of or by replanting two (2) or more oak trees of reasonable equivalent size and value to the tree damaged or removed. The number, size and location of said equivalent replacement oak trees shall be determined by the director of planning and community development.

The value shall be established as provided in the tree evaluation formula, as prepared by the Council of Tree and Landscape Appraisers.

DIVISION 8. GUIDELINES FOR LANDSCAPING, PLANTING AND IRRIGATION PLANS

9658. Guidelines for landscaping, planting and irrigation plans; purpose.

The purpose of these regulations is to clearly define the manner in which landscape plans shall be submitted to satisfy the landscaping requirements of the city. It is the intent of these regulations to offer the applicant as much latitude as possible in designating the project landscaping, while at the same time meeting the minimum landscape standards of the city. All applicants are encouraged to take full advantage of the wide range of landscape materials and design possibilities within the framework established by these regulations. These regulations describe the procedure for landscape plan approval, the requirements for submittal of landscape plans, the minimum landscape standards, the proper use of landscaping, and a suggested plant list of native and exotic plant materials.

9658.1. Processing procedures.

Any permit issued under this article shall be conditioned to require landscaping. The procedure for processing and review of landscape plans shall be as follows:

- A. *Presubmittal meeting.* The presubmittal meeting is a recommended, rather than mandatory first step in securing landscape plan approval for the proposed project. The purpose of this meeting is to familiarize the applicant with the city's review process, identify the information and materials necessary to file landscape plans, and discuss various planting materials.
- B. *Plan submittal.* Upon payment of the required fee, the applicant may formally submit its landscape plan to the city for approval.
- C. *Plan review.* Upon receipt of the landscape plans, in conformity with section 9658.2, the city's landscape coordinator shall review the plans for completeness and forward the plans, if complete, to the city's landscape consultant (architectural review board) for review. The consultant's (board's) review shall consist of an on-site inspection and a determination as to the compatibility of selected plant materials, the adequacy of irrigation, and the consistency with standards. Upon completion of such review, the consultant (board) shall submit the plans to the department of planning and community development with his/her findings and recommendations.
- D. *Approval.* Based upon the findings and recommendations of the city's landscape consultant (board), the director may approve or require modification of the project's landscape plans.
- E. *Guarantee/surety.* If the landscaping will not be installed prior to occupancy (nonsloped areas of residential projects only), the applicant shall post with the department of planning and community development adequate surety, as determined by such department, to ensure the completion of the required landscaping. Such surety shall be submitted to the city prior to issuance of a building clearance.
- F. *Installation and inspection.* Landscape plantings and accompanying irrigation for commercial, industrial and sloped areas shall be installed prior to the issuance of a certificate of occupancy by the department of building and safety. Landscaping and irrigation for residential projects (nonsloped area only) shall be installed within the time

Appendix A OAK TREE PRESERVATION GUIDELINES*

***Editor's note:** At the request of the city, Appendix A, Oak Tree Preservation Guidelines, has been set out at length herein. It should be noted however, that Attachment I, oak tree care and maintenance has been omitted from publication until the updated revision thereof is available. Words enclosed in brackets [] have been added by the editor for clarity.

I. Purpose.

The city lies in the County of Los Angeles in the Conejo Valley, the beauty of which is greatly enhanced by the presence of large numbers of majestic oak trees. At one time, the area was almost completely covered by an oak forest, however, development of the city has resulted in the removal of a great number of these trees. Further, uncontrolled and indiscriminate destruction of oak trees would detrimentally affect the safety and welfare of the citizens of Agoura Hills.

The purpose of these sections is to protect and preserve oak trees in recognition of their historical, aesthetic and environmental value to the citizens of Agoura Hills, present and future, and to provide regulatory measures designed to accomplish this purpose.

No person, partnership, firm, corporation, government agency, or other legal entity shall cut, prune, remove, relocate, endanger or damage any tree protected by this section [appendix] on any public or private land located within the incorporated areas of the City of Agoura Hills except in accordance with the conditions of a valid oak tree permit issued by the department of planning and community development or the planning commission pursuant to the provisions of sections 9657 through 9657.5 of the city zoning ordinance.

It shall be the policy of the City of Agoura Hills to require the preservation of all oak trees unless compelling reasons justify the removal of such trees. This policy shall apply to the removal, pruning, cutting and/or the encroachment into the protected zone of oak trees. The department of planning and community development shall have the primary and overall responsibility to administer, evaluate and monitor this policy.

Cross references: Similar provisions set out in §§ 9657--9657.2.

II. Definitions.

For purposes of this resolution [appendix], unless otherwise apparent from the context, certain words and phrases used in this resolution [appendix] are defined in this section.

A. *Certification letter.* A letter certifying that the work was performed under the general or direct supervision of an oak tree preservation consultant and that said work fully complies with the conditions of the development permit, the oak tree report or these oak tree preservation guidelines, as appropriate.

B. *Compensatory pruning.* That certain amount of pruning necessary to be performed to reinstate the proper rootleaf equilibrium.

C. *Cutting.* The detaching or separating, either partial or whole, from a protected tree, any part of the tree including, but not limited to, leaves, limb, branch or root. Cutting shall include pruning.

- D. *Dead tree.* A tree that does not contain any live tissue; i.e., green leaves or live limbs. Since valley oaks (*Quercus Lobata*) are deciduous trees and are dormant in the winter, their status must be confirmed by the city's oak tree consultant before being declared dead.
- E. *Deadwood.* Limbs or branches that contain no green leaves or live limbs.
- F. *Deadwooding.* The process of trimming an oak tree of its deadwood.
- G. *Dripline.* An imaginary line drawn upon the ground at the furthest extension of the canopy around the circumference of the tree.
- H. *Encroachment.* Any intrusion into the protected zone of an oak tree; i.e., pruning, grading, excavating, trenching, etc. (Refer to definition of "Protected Zone" in section II.R.)
- I. *Fine grading permit.* An entitlement from the city authorizing certain grading work that must be conducted within the protected zone of an oak tree, and allows proper drainage to occur as required by the Agoura Hills Municipal Code.
- J. *Ground plane improvements.* Improvements that do not significantly disturb the soil within the protected zone of an oak tree.
- K. *Oak tree.* Any oak tree of the Genus *Quercus* including, but not limited to, Valley Oak (*Quercus Lobata*), California Live Oak (*Quercus Agrifolia*) and Scrub Oak (*Quercus Dumosa*) regardless of size. The definition of oak tree shall include "protected tree".
- L. *Oak tree consultant.* An individual or firm with a degree or extensive experience in landscape architecture, including a great deal of experience in monitoring and maintaining the health of oak trees.
- M. *Oak tree information packet.* A packet containing certain documents distributed to property owners whose lots contain oak trees.
- N. *Oak tree permit.* An entitlement from the city authorizing specific work to be performed within the protected zone of an oak tree.
- O. *Oak tree report.* A report prepared by an oak tree consultant containing specific information on the location, condition, potential impacts of development, recommended actions and mitigation measures regarding one (1) or more oak trees on an individual lot or project site.
- P. *Person.* Any natural person, partnership, firm, corporation, governmental agency or other legal entity.
- Q. *Pre-application conference.* A meeting between the developer and appropriate city representatives for the purpose of discussing the requirements for submitting an application for an oak tree permit.
- R. *Pre-construction conference.* A meeting with the developer, contractors, superintendent, engineers, oak tree consultants, and city representative [to] delineate special procedures, limits of work, lines of authority and special conditions or procedures not specifically covered by any ordinance.
- S. *Protected tree.* Shall mean the same as oak tree (see definition of "oak tree" in section II.K.).
- T. *Protected zone.* Using the dripline as a point of reference, the protected zone shall commence at a point five (5) feet outside of the dripline and extend inwards to the trunk of the tree. In no case shall the protected zone be less than fifteen (15) feet from the trunk of an oak tree.

[Note--See the illustration following these definitions.]

U. *Pruning.* Any and all cutting performed upon the roots or the limbs of an oak tree.

V. *Removal.* The physical removal of a tree or causing of the death of a tree through damaging, poisoning or other direct or indirect action. Trees approved for transplanting which subsequently die shall be considered as removals.

W. *Routine maintenance.* Actions taken for the continued health of an oak tree and including but not limited to: Deadwooding, insect spraying and watering. An oak tree permit is not required to perform routine maintenance.

GRAPHIC LINK (not available): PROTECTED ZONE ILLUSTRATION

III. Oak tree permit--Processing procedures.

Any person desiring to remove, cut branches (except for removing deadwood), and/or pursue any activity which has the potential to damage a protected oak tree must first obtain an oak tree permit from the department of planning and community development. Actions which have the potential to damage a tree include, but are not limited to, trenching, excavating or paving within the protected zone of a tree or at least fifteen (15) feet from the trunk, whichever distance is greater. The processing of applications for oak tree permits will employ the procedures outlined below. These steps have been developed to ensure efficient and consistent handling of permit requests. Some of the actions which have the potential to damage a tree include, but are not limited to, grading and/or grubbing, trenching, excavation, pruning, and paving.

[1.] *Step One: Pre-application conference.* The purpose of this meeting is to familiarize the applicant with the city's permit process, to identify the information and materials necessary to file an application for an oak tree permit, and to discuss applicable policies and procedures relative to the project. A pre-application conference may be arranged by calling the department of planning and community development.

[2.] *Step Two: Formal application.* Once the applicant has completed all application forms and prepared all of the information identified during the pre-application conference, the applicant may formally submit the project application.

Fees:

1. New construction. The following fees will be charged in conjunction with the application.

1.1. Staff Review--As established by council resolution.

1.2. Planning Commission Review--As established by council resolution.

2. Existing construction. The following fees apply equally to commercial and residential properties.

2.1. Dead or hazardous oak trees (removals)--A flat fee, as established by council resolution, per tree will be charged. However, the fee shall be waived or refunded, if upon staff inspection, the tree is declared dead or hazardous. If, after staff inspection, it is determined that the services of the city's oak tree consultant are required to make a final determination, the fee shall be retained by the city to cover costs of said services.

[3.] *Step Three: Application review.* On receipt of an application for an oak tree permit, the department of planning and community development shall review the application for accuracy and completeness and make an inspection of the project site.

In those situations requiring expert review, the application will be reviewed by the city's oak tree consultant. Those situations requiring consultant review include, but are not limited to, projects involving significant numbers of protected trees, projects involving development activities in the immediate vicinity of protected trees, and/or projects where physical protective measures may be required for the continued health of the remaining trees.

Upon completion of application review and on-site inspections, the city's oak tree consultant shall submit a written report to the department of planning and community [development] outlining the findings and recommendations. Normally, this will occur within ten (10) working days of receipt of the application materials from the city.

[4.] *Step Four: Findings for approval.* After receiving all of the required information, the request may be approved when one of the following findings can be made.

1. The condition of the protected tree(s) requires cutting to preserve its health or maintain its balance.
2. The condition of the protected tree(s) general health with respect to the perceived danger of falling over or dropping limbs and its proximity to: existing structures, high pedestrian areas such as roadways, pedestrian walkways, parking lots or interference with public utility lines cannot be controlled or remedied through reasonable preservation and/or preventive measures.
3. The approval of this request will not be contrary to or in conflict with the general purpose and intent of the oak tree ordinance.
4. In evaluating requests for removal or encroachments based on the reasonable and conforming use section, the city shall take into consideration: comparison of proposed building(s) gross floor area and other on-site design features with other conforming developments in the same vicinity and zone, and any other factors that are unique to the property such as topographic constraints and other physical limitations. The applicant shall be responsible for submitting adequate information to demonstrate that reasonable and conforming use cannot be made of the subject property without removal(s) or encroachment(s) into the protected zone of an oak tree.

[5.] *Step Five: Appeals.* Pursuant to section 9657.5.H of the oak tree preservation regulations under the city's zoning ordinance, the decision of the director of planning and community development may be appealed to the planning commission upon filing of the proper form and payment of appropriate fees. The decision of the planning commission may be appealed to the city council and any decision by the city council shall become final and effective upon its adoption.

IV. Oak tree permit--Application requirements.

The materials required to complete an application are described below. The department of planning and community development may waive the filing of one (1) or more of the items listed when deemed unnecessary to process the application. However, additional information may be required when determined necessary for permit processing.

The accuracy of all information, maps and lists submitted shall be the responsibility of the applicant.

A. *Permit required.* There shall be no removal of or encroachment into the protected zone of an oak tree larger than two (2) inches in diameter when measured at a point three and five-tenths (3.5) feet above the tree's natural grade without first obtaining an

oak tree permit.

B. *Application form.* Application shall be made on the standard application form supplied by the department of planning and community development and is included in this packet [appendix] as Attachment "A". The signature of the property owner will be required in all cases.

C. *Justification statement.* An application requirement, which may not be waived, is a written statement by the applicant or its oak tree preservation consultant stating the justification for planned actions involving oak trees.

Statements should establish how the oak trees in the vicinity of the project or construction site will be protected; that any construction or use will be done with approved preservation methods; and that one of the following findings can be made:

1. That due to the condition of the protected tree(s), certain actions are required to maintain its health, balance or structure.
2. That the retention or failure to allow some encroachment of the trees as described in the application prohibits the reasonable and conforming use of the property. (Refer to section 9657.5 for specific guidelines.)
3. That the condition of the tree(s) subject to this ordinance with respect to disease, danger of falling, proximity to existing or proposed buildings and/or structures, parking lots or interference with utility services cannot be controlled or remedied through redesign of the site elements, reasonable preservation procedures and practices.

D. *Site plan map.* The requirement for a site plan map may be waived in some situations involving cutting or removal of dead or hazardous oak trees.

In those cases determined to require a site plan map, the following information should be included. (A sample Site Plan Map is included in Attachment "B".)

NOTE: Existing site plan may be used provided the information is both current and accurate.

1. Size: Maps should not exceed 30" x 42" in size.
2. Scale: The scale should not be smaller than 1" = 20'.

NOTE: Map size and scale may be decreased with prior approval.

3. Title block: In one corner of the map, indicate the name of the property owners, applicant, appropriate consultants, (such as surveyor and oak tree specialists) address(es) and phone number(s) of those involved in preparing the plans and application.
4. Physical characteristics: The body of the map should accurately portray the following existing and proposed features:
 - 4.1. Property lines;
 - 4.2. Streets, access easements and/or public or private driveways and any other paved areas;
 - 4.3. Buildings or structures;
 - 4.4. Setbacks of all buildings and structures from property lines;
 - 4.5. Parking and other paved areas;
 - 4.6. Land uses on parcel (existing and proposed as applicable);
 - 4.7. Proposed grading and construction; including utilities and subdrains,

if available.

5. Oak tree locations: Unless advised differently, the map shall indicate the exact location of an oak tree proposed to be encroached upon, removed and/or relocated, and those oaks within 250 feet of the project or construction area. Surveying the exact location(s) of the tree(s) both horizontally and vertically is very important and must be accomplished by obtaining the services of a professional engineer or a licensed land surveyor whose signature shall be affixed to the site plan and oak tree location map as appropriate.

6. Dripline(s) of the tree(s): The exact location of the dripline of an oak tree is crucial in order to evaluate any impacts resulting from construction. Consequently, aerial photographs and rough approximations will not be acceptable. The dripline must be plotted in the following manner:

- a. Obtain and record eight (8) compass readings; N, NE, E, SE, S, SW, W, NW.
- b. Perform a measurement of the dripline in the field at each compass reading.
- c. Sketch in any variations as observed in the field.

In certain cases, it may be possible to physically stake the surveyed corners of building(s) or related improvements in the field in order to assess the potential impacts upon the trees. The determination for these requirements will be made during the pre-application conference.

In anticipation of a field inspection, each tree shall be assigned a number on the plan and physically tagged in the field as described in section IV.E. of this resolution [appendix].

E. *Tagging.* In the process of preparing oak tree reports each tree is required to be numbered. In order to standardize the system so that everyone may easily locate the tree number the following procedure is hereby established:

1. A permanent tag, a minimum of one and one-quarter (1 1/4) inches to two (2) inches is to be used for this purpose. The tag must be made from a noncorrosive, all-weather material and be permanently attached to the tree.
2. The tag shall be affixed to the north side of the tree at a height of three and one-half (3 1/2) feet above the natural elevation.
3. Except for trees whose number has become obliterated, trees that were previously tagged need not be retagged.
4. Trees whose numbers have become obliterated shall be retagged using the new method described in subsections 1. and 2. above.

F. *Oak tree report.*

1. General.

a. No Report Necessary: The determination on the requirement for an oak tree report will be made during the pre-application conference and will be predicated on the scope of the project and the nature of its impact on the surrounding trees. In general, the requirements for an oak tree report may be waived only in situations involving the removal of dead or hazardous trees, subject to verification by the city's oak tree consultant.

b. New Report Necessary: In situations requiring the submission of an oak tree report, the document shall be certified by the applicant's oak tree consultant to be

true and correct and must be acceptable to the director of planning and community development. Questions concerning the extent of the report's content or the acceptability of the report's preparer should be cleared with the planning staff in advance.

c. Use of Existing Report: In cases where there is an existing oak tree report on a project that was not approved and/or constructed, the developer may be required to provide a supplementary report rather than a new report. In addition to any design changes, the report will retain the original numbering system and include the current health of each oak tree on the project.

2. Criteria. The oak tree report shall describe and evaluate the health and condition of the subject oak trees with respect to such factors as the existence of disease and danger of the tree falling. Additionally, each tree shall be rated on a scale of A to F. (The rating system is described in subsection F.3.)

A tree evaluation form shall be completed for each affected tree showing the location, spread, trunk diameter (as measured three (3) feet, six (6) inches above the average ground level at the base of the tree) and species name of each oak tree. (Sample tree evaluation forms are included in Attachments "C" and "D".) Additionally, the report shall discuss all grading, required cutting, paving or trenching in and around the trees on the project and shall evaluate, to the extent possible, the impact of such activity on the tree as well as any mitigating measures proposed, and the anticipated effectiveness thereof.

In addition, the oak tree report shall contain the following information:

2.1. Physical Evaluation. The physical evaluation of each tree includes, but is not limited to, the following:

- (a) Location of the tree--Accomplished by a professional engineer or a licensed land surveyor; for individual lots, consultants may accurately plot the actual tree location utilizing property line hubs.
- (b) Diameter of trunk at three (3) feet, six (6) inches above grade;
- (c) Diameter of canopy and accurate plotting of the protected zone and mean natural grade at base;
- (d) Height of tree;
- (e) Appearance rating on a "A--F" scale based on standard tree of same species ("A" would be a nearly symmetrical, healthy tree, "F" would be a dead tree);
- (f) Existing tree environment including type of terrain;
- (g) Physical structure--Excessive horizontal branching unbalanced crown, broken branches, etc.; and any mitigation measures proposed to correct any problems.
- (h) The minimum clearance from the present grade to the bottom of the canopy on each of the compass points.

2.2. Horticultural Evaluation. Horticultural evaluation information required; including but not limited to:

- (a) Physical evidence of disease, exfoliation, leaf scorch, exudations; etc. It is required that each identified disease symptom be accompanied with a statement as to the probable effect of the disease upon the life or structure of the tree.

(b) Identification of pests, twig girdler-borers, termites, pit scale, plant parasites; etc.

(c) Evaluation of tree's vigor--Example: new tip growth, good leaf color, poor leaf color, abnormal bark, deadwood, thinning of crown; and recommended mitigation measures necessary to correct any problems.

(A sample oak tree report is included in Attachments "E" and "F".)

3. Oak tree rating system. In rating oak trees, the following system will be used to describe their condition.

3.1. "A" = *Outstanding*: A healthy and vigorous tree characteristic of its species and reasonably free of any visible signs of disease or pest infestation.

3.2. "B" = *Above average*: A healthy and vigorous tree with minor visible signs of disease and/or pest infestation.

3.3. "C" = *Average*: Although healthy in overall appearance there is an abnormal amount of stress or disease and/or pest infestation.

3.4. "D" = *Below average/poor*: This tree is characterized by exhibiting a greater degree of disease and/or pest infestation than normal and appears to be in a state of rapid decline. The degree of decline may vary greatly in signs of dieback, disease and pest infestation and appears to be in an advanced state of decline.

3.5. "F" = *Dead*: This tree exhibits no signs of life whatsoever.

V. Standards for performance of required work.

A. General information:

1. *Scope of work*. All work shall be performed as specified in the approved oak tree report, oak tree permit and the requirements contained in this resolution and the accompanying standards and details. Additional work such as spraying, watering, fertilization, cabling, bracing, etc., may be required as determined by the city's oak tree consultant. It must be remembered that these trees are living organisms and that the necessity for such additional work may be required due to a change in their condition since the original oak tree report was prepared.

2. *Oak tree preservation consultants*. Generally, the services of an oak tree consultant are made necessary by conditions of various permits issued by the City of Agoura Hills. The importance of the consultant to the developer/property owner is clear from the various requirements listed in this resolution. From the city's perspective it is both necessary and critical that the developer/property owner identify the consultant(s) of record and allow them to act independently to perform their duties in a manner whereby they will be able to certify work as required by this resolution. Consequently, except in cases where major cuts have been approved, the developer/land owner must not fail to provide their consultant(s) with a forty-eight-hour advance written notice before commencing any authorized work within the protected zone of oak trees. Moreover, it is mandatory that the developer/land owner notify the department of planning and community development in writing within five (5) days of any changes of their oak tree preservation consultant(s) of record.

3. *Inspections*. All work shall be conducted in accordance with applicable ordinances and procedures detailed in this resolution. It is the developer's responsibility to call for

and secure all inspections required to approve all such work.

4. *Work within the protected zone.* Because of the high sensitivity of oak trees, great care must be taken when work is being conducted within the protected zone. For this reason, the city has established specific procedures to ensure that the trees receive maximum protection. The procedures are as follows:

4.1. *Forty-eight-hour notice.* Except for deadwooding, the applicant shall provide a forty-eight-hour written notice to the department of planning and community development and his oak tree consultant before beginning any work within the protected zone.

4.2. *On-site supervision.* Except for deadwooding, all work conducted within the protected zone of the oak tree shall be performed in the presence of the applicant's oak tree consultant, and verified by the city's oak tree consultant.

4.3. *Hand tools.* Unless otherwise approved, all work conducted within the protected zone shall be accomplished using hand tools only.

4.4. *Certification letter.* Certification letters are required for all work conducted upon oak trees. In this regard, the developer's oak tree consultant shall submit a certification letter to the department of planning and community development within five (5) working days of completion of such work certifying that all of the work was conducted in accordance with the appropriate permits and the requirements of this resolution.

5. *New plants within the protected zone.* Although any planting within the protected zone is discouraged, only drought tolerant plantings will be permitted. Moreover, if such plants are allowed, no spray-type irrigation systems will be permitted.

Each request to plant within the protected zone of an oak tree will be judged on its own merits. Paramount in the consideration of a request will be the compatibility of the plantings to the intent to preserve the oak. Plants should be selected from those normally found beneath an oak tree in its natural setting. Use caution to avoid plants which are susceptible to either *Phytophthora cinnamomi* (Avacado root rot) or *Armillaria mella* (oak root fungus).

B. *Tree maintenance and pruning operations:*

1. *Ornamental or aesthetic pruning.* It is the policy of the City of Agoura Hills not to allow the removal of live tissue for the purpose of altering the appearance of an oak tree. Therefore, ornamental pruning, thinning out, heading up, or any other similar pruning which involves the removal of live tissue is not permitted.

2. *Deadwooding.* Generally speaking, deadwooding an oak tree is self-explanatory in that no live tissue is allowed to be removed.

3. *Structurally unsafe limbs and branches.* Live limbs or branches that do not exceed three (3) inches in diameter and are considered to be unsafe because of decay, rot, cavities, cracks or splitting can be removed without obtaining an oak tree permit. However, the decision to remove such limbs must be made by the developer's oak tree consultant. Any remedial actions on limbs larger than three (3) inches in diameter will be subject to city approval. Such request shall include a brief report from the developer's oak tree consultant detailing the conditions that exist and any remedial work recommended to correct the situation.

4. *Unbalanced trees.* Trees that are suspected of being unbalanced because of broken limbs or which may become unbalanced as a result of the deadwooding process will require an oak tree report and an oak tree permit before any work can be performed. The report shall contain an analysis of the problem and a recommendation for whatever remedial work may be necessary to correct the situation.

5. *Pruning live tissue (encroachments).* Generally, the removal of live growth must be approved by the department of planning and community development through an oak tree permit application. A property owner may remove live tissue without obtaining an oak tree permit, only when 1: the branches do not exceed two (2) inches in diameter and 2: the branches interfere with an existing structure. No oak tree may be topped, even if cuts are limited to branches less than two (2) inches in diameter. In cases where new structures are proposed for construction, no branch, regardless of size, can be removed without obtaining an oak tree permit. No live tissue (roots or branches) exceeding two (2) inches in diameter can be removed without first obtaining an oak tree permit except where clearance is required for public utilities or public rights-of-way after notice to the city.

For the purposes of this section, "topping" shall mean the cutting of the branches of a tree in a manner which will substantially reduce the overall size of the tree canopy so as to destroy its natural shape.

All pruning work shall follow proper arboricultural practices as approved by the city's oak tree consultant. Where root damage has occurred, the developer's consultant may recommend compensatory pruning of the canopy to reinstate the proper root/leaf equilibrium. All diseased, dead or improperly pruned limbs shall be removed from each tree. All root cuts two (2) inches and larger in diameter must be sealed with an approved pruning compound.

6. *Fire damaged trees.* Although fire is considered a serious threat to oak trees, many trees can survive several damaging fires and live one hundred (100) to two hundred (200) years or more. During this time they can continue to provide wildlife enhancement, watershed protection, recreation and aesthetic value to the community.

Some trees may begin to exhibit visible signs of rejuvenation within a few weeks of a fire. In its publication "Five Southern California Oaks: Identification and Post-fire Management: The USFDA General Technical Report (PSW-71)" states that, "It is desirable to postpone the cutting of heavily charred coast live oak trees for at least two (2) to three (3) years on the chance that most trees will recuperate. Since there are many factors that will determine the survival of fire damaged trees, a careful assessment of each individual tree must be undertaken before deciding on a specific course of action."

Policy: Therefore, it shall be the policy of the City of Agoura Hills to require a complete oak tree report on any and all oak trees that have been fire-damaged before any work can be permitted. This policy also applies to deadwooding.

In preparing this report, oak tree consultants may assess the extent of the damage using visual, chemical or electrical techniques as necessary. Recommendations to remove a tree or to perform remedial work may follow the general guidelines contained in the following publications.

- a. U.S. Department of Agriculture, General Technical Report, PSW-71.
- b. Response of Oaks to Fire; prepared by Tim R. Plumb and presented at the Symposium on Ecology, Management and Utilization of California Oaks, June 26--28, 1979.

If the removal of any live tissue is so indicated, an exception may be made to prune the tree in such a manner so as to permit the regeneration process to proceed unhindered by dead or unhealthy limbs. When cases such as these occur, the developer's oak tree preservation consultant will recommend a program in the oak tree report to address the problem.

7. *Diseased trees; pests and insects.* Generally speaking, our native oak trees are a

hardy species. However, there are a variety of diseases and pests that can severely affect trees that are in a state of general decline. Since the entire subject of diseases, pests and insects is a highly technical matter, no diseased tissue may be removed, unless it is unsafe, without submitting an oak tree report and obtaining an oak tree permit.

8. *Roots.* All roots one (1) inch or larger shall be treated as in subsection 5 (pruning). Where structural footings are required and roots will be impacted, the footings shall be bridged and the roots protected. Cover all such roots with a layer of plastic cloth and two (2) to four (4) inches of styrofoam matting prior to pouring the footing. (Standard details are shown in Exhibit E-1, 2 and 3).

Finally, all trees that have suffered root damage shall be fed nutrients to encourage new growth. The developer's oak tree consultant shall make recommendations to the city before proceeding with a nutrient feeding program.

9. *Cavities.* All cavities must be cleaned out of loose debris. Some cavities must be cleaned out to remove all decayed wood while protecting live tissue from injury, provide for proper drainage and allow for new growth, as determined by the city's oak tree consultant. Concrete or other similar materials shall not be used to seal or fill cavities. Screening shall be applied over remaining cavities to prevent debris buildup.

10. *Tree removals.* Unless otherwise expressly approved in writing, authorized removal of oak trees shall be accomplished using the following guidelines:

10.1. All portions of the tree shall be completely removed from the site and debris relocated to a recognized county refuse disposal site. Additionally, the stump must be completely removed and the hole or indentation filled with soil.

10.2. All tree wells that were created to preserve the tree shall be completely filled with soil to the satisfaction of the city engineer and building official.

10.3. Replacement trees shall be planted in accordance with the procedures established in section V.5 of this resolution [appendix].

10.4. Unless waived by the department of planning and community development, a refundable security deposit in an amount equal to the cost of the replacement trees shall be deposited in trust with the City of Agoura Hills to guarantee the implementation of section 10.3. The deposit will be refunded upon satisfactory completion of these conditions.

10.5. A signed acceptance of the conditions of the oak tree permit for removal shall be executed by the applicant or his representative and the refundable security deposit shall be made prior to the issuance of the oak tree permit.

C. Phases of construction: The information contained in this section is divided into the four (4) phases normally associated with new construction. Apart from the normal activities conducted during each phase, there are certain conditions associated with work around oak trees that are required to be completed during these phases. This section addresses these conditions and, unless waived by the department of planning and community development, are expected to be completed for all residential, commercial and industrial projects.

1. *Pre-construction phase.* This period is defined as the time between the approval of a development permit and the issuance of a stage grading or final grading permit. No work of any kind may occur on an approved project unless a state grading permit, grading permit or oak tree permit has been obtained from the City of Agoura Hills. A grading plan for parcels with oak trees on the property will not be approved until the oak tree consultant has reviewed and approved the grading plan. Generally speaking, the following activities will occur before the commencement of grading operations.

1.1. Pre-construction conference. During the conduct of this meeting

representatives from the departments of planning and community development and engineering will address various issues relating to oak trees on the project site. These issues include, but shall not be limited to, the following matters:

(a) The fencing plan. The developer or his representative shall bring a copy of the fencing plan to the pre-construction meeting. Using the approved grading plan or the site plan map, the fencing plan should be designed along the following guidelines:

(1) A minimum five-foot high new chain link fence in concrete footing will be required to be installed at the outermost edge of the protected zone of each oak tree or groups of trees. Exceptions to this policy may occur in cases where oak trees are located on slopes that will not be grubbed or graded, or are located on future phases where there is no activity planned or no currently approved grading plan. The fences must be installed in accordance with the approved fencing plan prior to the commencement of any grading operations. The developer's superintendent will be responsible to call the department of planning and community development for an inspection and approval of the fencing prior to the beginning of grubbing or grading operations.

(2) Additionally, signs must be installed on the fence in four (4) locations (equi-distant) around each tree. The size of each sign must be a minimum of two (2) feet by two (2) feet square and must contain the following language:

WARNING

THIS FENCE SHALL NOT BE REMOVED OR RELOCATED WITHOUT WRITTEN AUTHORIZATION FROM THE CITY OF AGOURA HILLS DEPARTMENT OF PLANNING AND COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT.

On a grove of oak trees, signs shall be placed at approximately fifty-foot intervals around the grove.

(3) Once approval has been obtained, the fences shall remain in place throughout the entire construction period and may not be removed without obtaining written authorization from the department of planning and community development.

(b) See required tagging per section IV.E. of this resolution [appendix.]

2. *Grading operations phase.* This period is defined as the time between the commencement of grading operations and the commencement of construction of the building improvements. It is understood that the construction phase may begin while grading operations are continuing and that grading may begin on a subsequent phase while construction is still in progress on a previous phase.

For purposes of this section, each phase is considered separate and the conditions associated with each phase will be required to be completed at the start or during the operations of each phase. The inspector from the department of planning and community development will make that determination in the field.

In addition to the normal grading operations conducted during this phase, the developer will be required to complete or satisfy the following tasks:

2.1. *On-site documentation.* The following information must be located and permanently retained on the construction site at the start of grading operations. The superintendent will be required to call the department of planning and community development to request an inspection and to verify that the

information is located on site.

- (a) Oak tree report--All present and proposed modifications.
- (b) Oak tree location map.
- (c) Oak tree fencing plan.
- (d) Oak tree permit and all present and future modifications.
- (e) Approved grading plans approved by the city engineer and oak tree consultant.
Stamped set approved by the department of planning and community development.
- (f) Permit and tract conditions: A copy of the approved permit and tract conditions, and all current and future modifications approved by the department of planning and community development.
- (g) Oak tree inspection card.
- (h) Oak tree ordinance.
- (i) Oak tree preservation guidelines.
- (j) Approved planting and irrigation drawings.

2.2. Oak tree removals, deadwooding, pruning, pest control, feeding, fertilization. Unless otherwise approved, the applicant is required to complete oak tree removals, pest control, feeding, and fertilization, as approved by the oak tree permit for the entire project or the phase that is current / being graded. Deadwooding or pruning is to be accomplished during the construction phase of the project, unless otherwise approved.

2.3. Retaining walls within the protected zone. In cases where an oak tree permit has been approved for the construction of retaining walls within the protected zone of the oak tree, the developer will be required to complete these improvements before completion of grading operations and before commencement of the construction phase. (Refer to Attachments G-1, 2 and 3 for standard details.)

2.4. Oak tree preservation devices. If required by the oak tree report and the oak tree permit, preservation devices such as air ventilation systems, oak tree wells, drains, special paving and cabling systems will be required to be installed before the completion of the grading phase and the commencement of the construction phase. These devices must be installed under the direct supervision of the developer's oak tree consultant who will be responsible to write a letter certifying all such work and submit it to the department of planning and community development.

2.5. Utility trenching-pathway plan. In order to avoid unnecessary damage to the root system, prior to the completion of the grading operations and before the commencement of the construction phase, the developer will be required to submit a utility trenching-pathway plan to the departments of planning and community development and the city engineer for review and approval. The plan will depict all of the following systems: storm drains, sub-drains, sewers, easements area drains, gas lines, electrical service, cable TV, water mains, irrigation mainlines, and any other underground installations.

Additionally, the plan must show all lateral lines serving the residences. To be completely effective, the plan must include the surveyed locations of all oak trees

on the project as well as an accurate plotting of the protected zone to within one (1) foot.

The plan should be developed considering the following general guidelines:

- (a) All systems in this subsection (2.5), shall avoid going into the protected zone of any oak tree.
- (b) Where it is not possible to avoid some encroachment, the design shall minimize the extent of such encroachment and a report of these encroachments and mitigation measures will be made in a supplemental oak tree report.

2.6. Parking lots and pedestrian walkway improvement location. Since the city's policy is to preserve all healthy oak trees unless justifying the removal, cutting or relocation of an oak tree, architects should design their projects with this requirement in mind. Therefore, for public safety reasons, parking lots and pedestrian walkways must be designed so that no unhealthy oak trees are proposed to remain in high vehicular and pedestrian areas. Trees whose ratings are confirmed to be a "D" or less should be avoided in pre-design or proposed for removal unless it is felt that major surgery coupled with a nutrient feeding program will restore the tree to a safe and vigorous condition.

To the extent possible, parking spaces should not be located directly under the canopy of oak trees. When this is not possible, pervious paving material shall be employed to the satisfaction of the Director of Planning and Community Development. The developer's licensed engineer will be required to certify that such work was accomplished under his personal supervision and in accordance with the approved plans.

2.7. Cut and fill slopes. In general, every effort should be made to avoid cut and/or fill slopes within the protected zone of any oak tree. Where fill slopes are proposed, the oak tree report must include a soils report indicating whether or not it will be necessary to cut and recompact the area prior to moving the fill material into position.

3. *Construction phase.* This period is defined as the time during which building improvements are under construction.

3.1. Ground plane improvements. The following improvements may be permitted to be installed within the protected zone of an oak tree subject to the approval of an oak tree permit issued by the department of planning and community development and the limitations and guidelines contained in this section: Patio covers, wood decks, garden walls, fences, gazebos and other similar improvements. The guidelines and limitations are as follows:

- (a) An oak tree permit shall be submitted and approved by the department of planning and community development.
- (b) Trenching under the dripline of an oak tree may be conducted with hand tools only.
- (c) All of the work shall be conducted in the presence of an oak tree preservation consultant, subject to verification by the city's oak tree consultant.
- (d) Minor roots under one (1) inch in diameter may be cut but must be treated with an approved compound before the improvements are installed.
- (e) Roots over three (3) inches may only be cut with city approval and

must be treated with an approved compound before the improvements are installed.

(f) All root pruning shall consist of clean cuts at a forty-five-degree angle with the cut surface facing downward.

3.2. Fine grading permit (oak tree lots only). On most tracts, the drainage patterns for the lots will have been designed into the original grading plan. However, this is not the case with custom lots and custom lot tracts. Therefore, in an effort to avoid establishing drainage patterns that intrude into the protected zone of oak trees, the following procedures have been established:

(a) Custom lots and custom lot tracts. A fine grading permit will need to be obtained from the city engineer before any fine grading work may begin. The landscape architect or engineer shall design a pattern that will completely avoid the protected zone utilizing surface and/or subsurface drainage devices. Additionally, the oak tree consultant will be required to submit a supplemental oak tree report to the department of planning and community development for review and approval prior to fine grading the lot.

Following approval of the plan and the completion of the work, the oak tree consultant will submit a letter of certification to the department of planning and community development.

(b) Other lots and projects. On all other projects, grading plans will be designed at the outset to avoid the protected zone of the oak trees. The procedure for these lots will be as described in custom lots, above.

4. *Post construction phase.* This period is defined as the time following the completion of all building improvements. In residential zones, the construction phase and the post construction phase will overlap as houses are completed and new houses are still being constructed. For purposes of this section, certain conditions will be required to be completed before a zoning clearance (leading to a certificate of occupancy) can be issued by the department of planning and community development.

4.1. Certification of oak tree work. On all lots containing oak trees, the inspector from the Department of Planning and Community Development will require that all of the oak tree work required by the conditions of the development permit, the Oak Tree Report and the Oak Tree Permit, as applicable, have been completed and certified by the developer's oak tree preservation consultant prior to issuing a zoning clearance for release of occupancy.

4.2. Oak tree information packet. In cooperation with the sales agent, the developer will be required to provide and certify that an oak tree information packet, as approved by the director, has been provided to the buyer of the property and the homeowners' association. The information to be included in this packet is as follows:

(a) Oak trees--Care and maintenance;

(b) Oak tree ordinance;

(c) Oak tree preservation guidelines;

(d) Development permit: Copy of the city-approved conditions of the permit;

(e) Certification of receipt of the oak tree information packet will be accomplished as follows:

Developer/sales agent shall prepare a letter introducing the packet. The letter and the packet shall then be sent by certified mail to the property owner. The developer shall forward a copy of the letter and a signed copy of the return receipt card to the department of planning and community development where it will be recorded and stored.

C.1. Oak tree planting and replacement program. In recognition of the fact that oak trees are a precious and diminishing resource, it shall be the policy of the City of Agoura Hills to replace or relocate oak trees in cases where removals have been approved in accordance with the following schedule:

1. *Dead or hazardous oak trees.*

1.1. Commercial and industrial properties. One (1) thirty-six-inch box oak tree shall be planted for each tree approved for removal.

1.2. Residential properties. One (1) thirty-six-inch box oak tree shall be planted for each tree approved for removal for new construction. However, in cases where houses currently exist on the property, the requirement for replacement shall be one (1) fifteen-gallon oak tree for each oak tree approved for removal.

2. *Healthy oak trees.*

2.1. Commercial properties. Whether for new or existing construction three (3) oak trees shall be planted to replace each tree that was approved for removal as follows:

(a) Two (2) twenty-four-inch box specimens, and

(b) One (1) thirty-six-or sixty-inch box specimen as follows:

In the case of landmark trees, (trees whose diameter exceeds forty-eight (48) inches, the applicant will be required to obtain a nursery-grown oak tree of equivalent caliper to the tree removed or provide two (2) container grown, sixty-inch box oak trees for each healthy landmark tree approved for removal. The purpose of this limitation is to avoid the introduction of oak root fungus and Avaca root rot into this region.

2.2. Residential properties. The replacement policy for new construction in residential properties is the same as described above in 2.1. However, in cases where houses currently exist, the requirement for replacement shall be one (1) thirty-six-inch box oak tree for every healthy oak tree approved for removal. In cases of landmark trees (forty-eight inches or more in diameter) a sixty-inch box oak tree shall be required to be planted.

3. *Relocations.* In certain limited cases the city may consider the relocation of oak trees from one area in the project to another. The guidelines and limitations of this program are as follows:

3.1. Oak trees which are approved for relocation will be considered by the city as removals.

3.2. The tree(s) being recommended for relocation must be approved by the city's oak tree consultant whose decision will be based upon factors relating to health, type, size, time of year and proposed location.

3.3. The diameter of any relocated tree may not exceed six (6) inches in diameter. Exceptions to this policy may be made if a larger diameter nursery grown oak tree is available and can be reserved for a period of one (1) year in the event the relocated tree does not survive for one (1) year.

3.4. A refundable cash security deposit, in an amount equal to the cost of purchasing an equivalent nursery grown oak tree, shall be made with the department of planning and community development. The deposit will be refunded after twelve (12) months if, in the opinion of the city's oak tree consultant, the relocated tree has survived and is considered to be in good health. If the tree is considered to be marginal, the deposit will be retained for an additional twelve (12) months, when another inspection will be conducted. If the health of the tree is unchanged or has declined, the developer will remove the relocated tree and replace it with an equivalent nursery grown oak tree. The security deposit shall be retained for at least an additional twelve (12) months.

3.5. Trees of replaceable size may be considered for removal so that the new nursery tree can be planted on site in a more appropriate location relative to the new construction.

4. Replacement tree--Types, sizes.

4.1. Types. In all cases, replacement trees will be as follows: *Quercus Agrifolia* (Live Oak), *Quercus Lobata* (Valley Oak). Other oak tree varieties must be approved in advance by the city's oak tree consultant.

Note: In some cases, where it is not possible to obtain nursery grown trees in the sizes required, an equivalent number of large and small container oak trees shall be planted in an amount equal to the cost of the larger but unavailable trees.

4.2. Sizes. While it is recognized that tree sizes may vary somewhat, the following table taken from the Keeline-Wilcox 1985 wholesale catalog will serve as the basis for what the city expects in terms of sizes. Consultants will be expected to make every attempt to obtain oak trees whose specifications most nearly approach the following:

TABLE INSET:

Size Container	Height (Feet)	Spread (Feet)	Caliper (Inches)
15 Gal.			
24" Box	8 to 10	5 to 6	2 to 2 1/2
30" Box	10 to 12	6 to 8	2 1/2 to 3
36" Box	12 to 14	8 to 10	3 to 3 1/2
42" Box	14 to 16	10 to 12	3 1/2 to 4
48" Box	16 to 18	12 to 13	4 to 4 1/2
54" Box	18+	13 to 14	4 1/2 to 5
60" Box	20+	14 to 15	5 to 6
72" Box	22+	15+	6+

5. *Location of replacement trees.* In determining the location of replacement trees, the director of planning and community development shall consider, but is not limited to, the following factors:

- 5.1. The vegetative character of the surrounding area near the project site; and
- 5.2. The number of oak trees subject to this ordinance [appendix] which are proposed to be removed in relation to the number of such trees currently existing

on the project site; and

5.3. The anticipated effectiveness of the replacement oak trees as determined by the oak tree report submitted by the applicant; and

5.4. The development plans submitted by the applicant for the proposed construction or the proposed use of the project site.

In cases where conditions preclude the project site for planting the replacement trees, the director of planning and community development may consider other options as follows:

5.5. Planting oak trees on public property such as designated open space areas, public parks, etc.

(Ord. No. 232, § 1, 7-14-93)

VI. Enforcement.

A. *General.* The department of planning and community development through its code enforcement officers shall vigorously enforce the provisions of the oak tree ordinance and the oak tree preservation guidelines contained within this resolution [appendix]. Additionally, inspectors from building and safety and engineering, in the course of their regular duties, will monitor activities on-site on a daily basis. Any irregularities or suspected violations will be immediately reported to the code enforcement section for followup action.

B. *Stop work orders.* Whenever any construction or work is being performed contrary to the provisions of the oak tree ordinance, oak tree preservation guidelines, oak tree permit or conditions of the appropriate development permit, a city inspector may issue a written notice to the responsible party to stop work on the project on which the violation has occurred or upon which the danger exists. The notice shall state the nature of the violation or danger and no work shall be allowed until the violation has been rectified and approved by the code enforcement officer or the city's oak tree consultant.

C. *Citations.*

1. *Additional remedies.* Any person who cuts, damages, moves, or removes any oak tree within the city or encroaches into the drip line of an oak tree in violation of section 9657.5 of the city's zoning ordinance shall be subject to the following remedies in addition to any penalties provided by the Municipal Code:

(a) A suspension of any building permits until all mitigation measures specified by the city are satisfactorily completed.

(b) Completion of all mitigation measures as established by the city.

2. *Restitution.* It has been determined that the oak trees within the city are valuable assets to the citizens of this community and to the citizens of the County of Los Angeles and as a result of the loss or damage to any of these trees, the public should be recompensed.

Any person violating the provisions of this chapter [appendix] shall be responsible for proper restitution and may be required to replace the oak tree(s) so removed or damaged, by the donation of or by replanting two (2) or more oak trees of reasonable equivalent size and value to the tree damaged or removed. The number, size and location of said equivalent replacement oak trees shall be determined by the director of planning and community development.

The value shall be established as provided in the tree evaluation formula, as prepared by the Council of Tree and Landscape Appraisers.

ATTACHMENT "A"

Attachment "B"

Attachment "C"

Attachment "D"

Attachment "E-1"

Attachment "E-2"

Attachment "E-3"

Attachment "E-4"

Attachment "F"

Attachment "G"

PART 2. SPECIAL REGULATIONS**DIVISION 1. PURPOSE****9651. Purpose.**

The purpose of the special regulations chapter is to establish the criteria for development within sensitive areas and to provide standard criteria for specialized uses and accessory uses.

DIVISION 2. HILLSIDE AND SIGNIFICANT ECOLOGICAL AREAS**9652. Hillside management and significant ecological areas--Purpose.**

Natural hillside terrain dominate the landscape of a major portion of the city. Significant ecological areas (SEA) provide a unique resource. Together they provide an atmosphere and character that residents have expressed a desire to protect. Therefore, special regulations are hereby established that will protect these two (2) resources from incompatible development and preserve the natural terrain, quality environment, and aesthetic character while encouraging creative, innovative and safe residential development.

9652.5. Policy.

Either a conditional use permit or an architectural review approval shall be obtained before the issuance of any building or grading permit, approval of minor land division or subdivision, importation of fill material for the purpose of altering natural terrain, or commencement of any construction or enlargement of any building or structure on any parcel that is in, or partly in, a hillside area or SEA. In addition to preserving the natural character of the hillsides and valleys within the City of Agoura Hills and ensuring the preservation of the scenic viewshed, hillside development regulations are designed to protect residents from geologic hazards, such as unstable soils and erosion, and the possible loss of life and destruction of property.

The intention and policy of the city is to:

1. Encourage minimal grading which relates to the natural contours of the land as opposed to padding or stairstep grading;
2. Require the retention of trees and other vegetation which stabilize hillsides, retain moisture, prevent erosion, and enhance the natural scenic beauty, and, when necessary, require additional landscaping to promote the above;
3. Require immediate planting whenever appropriate to maintain cut and fill slopes;
4. Encourage a variety of building types and design to reduce grading and disturbance of the natural character of the area; and
5. Require the retention of natural landmarks and prominent natural features which enhance the character of a specific area.

A. *Permitted uses.* Subject to the provisions of site plan review, the following shall be permitted uses in hillside and significant ecological areas:

1. Accessory buildings and structures less than five hundred (500) square feet in gross floor area related to existing dwellings or commercial development;
2. Other additions or modifications to existing structures provided said area does not exceed the lesser of seven hundred (700) square feet in gross floor area, or thirty (30) percent of the existing gross floor area and does not increase the number of families that can reside therein.

9652.10. Same--Additional regulations.

Except as specified in section 9652.5, prior to the issuance of any building or grading permits, approval of a minor land division or subdivision, or the commencement of any construction or enlargement of any building or structure on a lot or parcel of land which is in or partly in an area designated in the general plan and related maps as a significant ecological area or within a hillside area as defined herein, either a conditional use permit or architectural review approval shall be obtained as provided by this section. Development standards, lot size, height and setbacks may be modified by the planning commission in order to achieve the purposes of this chapter.

A. A conditional use permit shall be required in the following cases:

1. In hillside areas where the parcel of land contains an area of five (5) acres or greater;
2. In hillside areas where the parcel of land contains an area of less than five (5) acres whenever the density or open space requirements of this section would prohibit the use of such parcel otherwise permitted by this chapter;
3. In hillside areas whenever a major slope failure occurs on a developed parcel of land regardless of the size of such parcel; and
4. In significant ecological areas regardless of the size of the parcel of land.

B. Architectural review approval pursuant to sections of the Agoura Hills Municipal Code shall be required in hillside areas not subject to the requirement of a conditional use permit pursuant to subsection A, above.

9652.12. Definition.

The following definitions shall be applicable in hillside and significant ecological areas:

A. "Hillside area" shall mean a parcel of land, not subject to recorded development restrictions, having an average slope before grading, of greater than ten (10) percent. The average slope of a parcel of land is the relationship between the change in elevation of the land and the horizontal distance over which that change in elevation occurs and shall be computed by the application of the following formula to a contour map of the natural slope of such land:

$$S = \frac{L}{A} \times 100$$

TABLE INSET:

--	--

When S =	Average percent slope
When I =	Contour line elevation interval in feet
When L =	Sum of the length of all contour lines across the parcel
When A =	Net area* of parcel in square feet

*The area of any existing private or public streets shall be excluded in calculating the net area of the property proposed for development.

In determining the average slope of property, property may be divided into smaller areas based upon percentage of slope and any areas with a percentage of slope greater than thirty-five (35) percent may be excluded before the average slope formula is applied. These excluded areas shall be designated as open space and not considered as a part of the development. If this approach is utilized, the dwelling density table may be applied to each of the designated smaller areas and the sum of the smaller areas shall be the designated density for the total property. A conditional use permit shall be required for this process to allow deletion, when appropriate of slope areas greater than thirty-five (35) percent from the average slope calculation. In reviewing the conditional use permit, the overall size of the property, viability, visual value, aesthetic value, location and any other relevant factors of the proposed open space area in relation to the adjacent open space areas shall be considered.

B. "Major slope failure" means the movement of an existing slope that results in a hazard to an existing habitable structure as determined by the building official.

C. "Natural slope" means the natural or existing contours of the land, including the natural or existing vegetation.

D. "Recorded development restrictions" means a grant by an instrument whereby the owner relinquishes to the public, either in perpetuity or for a term of years, the right to construct improvements upon the land except as may be expressly reserved in the instrument and which contains covenants with the city, running with the land, either in perpetuity or for a term of (1) not to construct or permit the construction of any improvements, except as such right is expressly reserved in the instrument and except for public service facilities installed for the benefit of the land subject to such covenant or public service facilities installed pursuant to an authorization by the city council or the public utilities commission; and (2) against the extraction of natural resources or other activities which may destroy the unique physical and scenic characteristics of the land including but not limited to the cutting of trees and other natural growth, except as may be required for fire prevention, elimination of diseased growth and similar protective measures. Any subsequent reservation shall not permit any action which will materially impair the open space character of the land.

(Ord. No. 189, § 1, 7-17-91)

9652.13. General design and development standards.

Subject to the limitations of the underlying district, as it relates to potential uses and except as modified by the planning commission in a manner consistent with the purposes of this section, any development of a hillside area shall be in conformity with the following design and development standards:

A. *Dwelling density.* The maximum number of dwelling units permitted on a parcel of land shall be determined according to the following table:

TABLE INSET:

--	--

Percent Slope	Minimum Average Acreage per Dwelling Unit (in acres)
1. 10--15	0.50
2. 16--20	0.66
3. 21--25	1.00
4. 26--30	1.66
5. 31--35	2.50
6. 36--over	20.00

With respect to parcels of land five (5) acres or larger in size, the dwelling units shall be clustered on the flatter portions of such parcels when appropriate.

In the event that the foregoing density limitations would prohibit the use of a parcel of land otherwise permitted by this chapter, one (1) residential dwelling unit shall be permitted on such parcel provided that:

- (a) Such parcel was lawfully created prior to the adoption of this section; and
- (b) A change in ownership of such parcel occurring after the adoption of this section has not resulted in such parcel no longer being considered part of a larger parcel of land under this chapter; and
- (c) A private septic system will not be installed for any dwelling unit located on a parcel of land consisting of less than one (1) acre in area; and
- (d) A conditional use permit authorizing such dwelling unit is granted in accordance with the requirements of this section.

B. *Development area.* For properties zoned residential or open space, a minimum percentage of a parcel of land shall remain in open space. The minimum percentage shall be determined based upon the following table:

TABLE INSET:

Percent Slope	Minimum Percent of Parcel to Remain in Open Space
1. 10--15	32.5
2. 16--20	47.5
3. 21--25	62.5
4. 26--30	77.5
5. 31--35	92.5
6. Greater than 35	97.5

In the event that the foregoing open space limitations would prohibit the use of a parcel of land otherwise permitted by this chapter, one (1) residential dwelling unit shall be permitted on such parcel provided that:

- (a) Such parcel was lawfully created prior to the adoption of this section;
- (b) A change in ownership occurring after the adoption of this section has not resulted in such parcel no longer being considered part of a larger parcel of land under this chapter;
- (c) A private septic system will not be installed for any dwelling unit located on a parcel of land consisting of less than one (1) acre in area;
- (d) A conditional use permit authorizing such dwelling unit is granted in

accordance with the requirements of this section. The terms of such conditional use permit shall specify the minimum percent of required open space on such parcel of land.

For properties zoned commercial and business park, the maximum allowable floor area ratio (ratio of square footage of building floor to square footage of lot) shall be determined based on the following table.

TABLE INSET:

Percent Slope	Maximum Floor Area Ratio
1. 10--15	.25
2. 16--20	.21
3. 21--25	.18
4. 26--30	.15
5. 31--35	.12
6. Greater than 35	.08

Pre-graded pads that existed prior to January 1, 1999 shall be exempted from the above maximum allowable floor area ratio limitations.

C. Circulation.

1. Streets within any project proposed in a hillside area shall be designed and constructed to accomplish the following purposes:

(a) Minimize grading so as to compliment the natural features of the hillsides and reflect a rural rather than an urban character.

(b) Permit safe and efficient travel for motor vehicles, bicycles and pedestrians, and to provide access for emergency vehicles.

2. In order to accomplish the purposes of this subsection, all streets in a hillside area development shall be designed, where possible, to:

(a) Parallel the natural contours and natural grades of the land. Streets running perpendicular to the grade of the slope shall be avoided, when feasible, to reduce grading and aid in drainage. When streets must cut across grade contours, the principle of grading shall be half cut/half fill at locations not visible to a large area. Bridges shall be provided when streets must cross drainage ways and ravines of exceptional environmental setting.

(b) Use split level streets when access to abutting parcels of land is from other streets to avoid excess cut and fill and minimize scarring effects of hillside development.

(c) Provide a minimum sight distance of one hundred fifty (150) feet for all horizontal and vertical curves. The minimum centerline curve radius on residential streets shall be one hundred (100) feet. Reversed curves shall be connected with tangents as long as practicable. All major streets shall be designed to incorporate curves greater than the minimum to provide for increased traffic flow.

(d) Have a maximum centerline grade for streets of fifteen (15) percent except at intersections and areas of transition where the gradient shall be zero to two (2) percent. Maximum grade for major streets shall be less

than twelve (12) percent. Changes in grade of more than five-tenths of one (1) percent shall be connected by vertical curves conforming to standard sight distances.

(e) Provide adequate private off-street parking to minimize the need for parking on narrow hillside streets. Parallel parking on the hillside streets may be eliminated in order to reduce road width in critical areas in which even parking spaces shall be provided in off-street bays at more suitable locations.

(f) Provide sidewalks and walkways in accordance with a pedestrian circulation plan that is not dependent upon and identical to the plan for vehicular circulation.

(g) Provide bicycle and equestrian trails where appropriate.

(h) Provide hillside public streets with street lighting designed to lessen the impact on views.

(i) Discourage the protrusion of streets on ridgelines.

D. *View preservation.* View preservation shall take into consideration existing residences, views from scenic roadways, and the freeways. Such provisions as increased setbacks to minimize mass, utilizing natural earth and berms to reduce prominence in viewshed, and the retention of natural land form features shall be used. In addition, viewshed in a hillside area shall be protected by:

1. Facing dwelling units onto open, green or view areas;
2. Locating dwelling units in such a manner as to avoid obstructing the view from other dwelling units;
3. Locating structures with a minimum building setback of thirty (30) feet to the top of the slope, for properties zoned commercial or business park. Pre-graded pads which existed prior to January 1, 1999 shall be exempted from this provision.

E. *Fire protection.* The following requirements shall apply in a hillside area:

1. Clearance of brush or vegetative growth from the vicinity of structures and roadways shall be in accordance with the Fire Code and approved by the city;
2. Roofs shall be of fire retardant material in accordance with the requirements of the Building Code. Block walls or other fire resistant walls shall be constructed between a dwelling and any adjacent areas of known fire hazard such as open space areas;
3. All easements for firebreaks shall be dedicated in writing for this purpose and recorded with the Los Angeles County recorder;
4. Special design restrictions shall apply in brush fire areas where narrow canyons act as chimneys, funneling hot winds up the canyons to the ridge. Stilt and cantilevered structures proposed to be constructed on canyon slopes shall be discouraged. Any single-family residential dwelling built on a ridge at the top of such a canyon shall be set back thirty (30) feet from the slope of the canyon rim.

F. *Erosion control.*

1. All manufactured slopes shall be planted or otherwise protected from the effects of storm runoff and erosion within thirty (30) days after completion of any grading. Such planting shall be designed to blend with the surrounding terrain

and the character of development;

2. The face of cut and fill slopes shall be prepared and maintained by the developer so as to control erosion until such time as the parcel of land is occupied. Such control measures may consist of effective planting or soil reinforcement. The protection for the slopes shall be installed as soon as practicable after the completion of any grading but in no event later than thirty (30) days thereafter;

3. Other erosion devices, when necessary, such as check drains, debris basins, cribbing, or other devices or methods to control erosion and provide safety, shall be installed or implemented at the direction of the building official.

G. *Drainage control.*

1. All drainage and terracing in a hillside area shall meet or exceed the requirements of existing standards and codes;

2. Drainage devices shall be placed on graded slopes as inconspicuously as possible. In addition:

(a) Down drains shall be placed in swales;

(b) Sides of any drain which are visible from a public way shall be concealed as much as possible. Vegetation landscaping also shall be used, when feasible, to conceal drains and terraces;

(c) Concrete in any drain or terrace shall be tinted to blend with the landscape;

(d) Drain slope gradients may become steeper as the drain moves down the slope. However, all drain gradients shall be approved by the city;

(e) All drainage facilities shall be designed to carry water to the nearest practicable drainage way approved by the city;

(f) Erosion shall be prevented by installation of nonerosive down drains or other drain devices;

(g) Each building pad shall have a drainage gradient of at least two (2) percent toward the street or toward an approved engineered drainage facility;

(h) Interceptor drains shall be installed along the top of all cut slopes where the tributary drainage area slopes toward the cut, and has a drainage path greater than forty (40) feet measured horizontally. Interceptor drains shall be paved with a minimum of three (3) inches of reinforced concrete or gunite, be at least one (1) foot in depth and at least thirty (30) inches in width. All slopes of drain terraces and interceptor drains, shall be approved by the building official;

3. Streets and sidewalk drains shall be designed to contain and control the one-hundred-year frequency storm including debris production in accordance with the Los Angeles County flood control district design manual and to be usable during the maximum design storm. All developed properties shall drain toward the street or a storm drain;

4. Terracing for cut and fill slopes shall be in conformance with the provisions of the city's grading ordinances and the following:

(a) Terraces at least eight (8) feet wide shall be constructed on all cut and fill slopes that are twenty-five (25) feet or less in height, in order to

control surface drainage and debris. Where only one (1) terrace is required, however, it shall be at midheight of the slope.

(b) If higher slopes are ever permitted by whatever method, the following shall be permitted: cut or fill slopes of greater than twenty-five (25) feet and less than one hundred (100) feet in vertical height shall be constructed with a minimum of one (1) terrace of not less than twelve (12) feet in width at midheight of the slope. The spacing and width of terraces on cut or fill slopes greater than one hundred (100) feet in height shall be designed by a civil engineer.

(c) All swales or ditches located on a terrace shall be a minimum of one (1) foot in depth and a minimum of five (5) feet in width and shall have a gradient of between four (4) percent and twelve (12) percent. Every swale and ditch shall be paved with concrete not less than three (3) inches thick reinforced with six-inch by six-inch, ten-gauge welded wire fabric or equivalent reinforcement.

(d) A single runoff swale or ditch shall not collect runoff from a contributing area in excess of thirteen thousand five hundred (13,500) square feet without discharge into a structured downdrain system.

(e) Subsurface drainage of cut and fill slopes shall be required if in the opinion of a geologist and/or soil engineer such drainage is necessary.

(f) Subdrains shall be designed and constructed when fill is to be placed in natural drainage courses or in other areas where seepage is evident.

H. *Ridgelines.* The development of primary and secondary ridgelines as specified in the city's general plan shall be discouraged. This prohibition shall include, all buildings, solid fencing or walls, paved roads, exotic landscaping, water tanks, and the like which would noticeably detract from the natural skyline.

I. *Building Design*

1. Architectural enrichments and variations in roof massing are encouraged. Roofs shall minimize their visual impact by keeping a low profile and the roof pitch shall follow the slope of the hillside instead of being perpendicular to the hillside or opposing the hillside slope. Upper stories should not be cantilevered out in the opposite direction of the hillside slope.

2. Avoid large expanses of a single material on walls, roofs, or paving areas. Create interesting, small scale patterns by breaking up building mass, varying building materials, and through design and placement of windows and doors.

3. Provide architectural treatment to all sides of a structure. Elements of architectural treatment used on the front facade shall be repeated on all sides of a structure with additional emphasis on those elevations visible from adjacent properties or public rights-of-way.

4. Building materials and color schemes shall blend with the natural landscape. Colors shall be earth tones and the specific hues shall be compatible with the surrounding natural environment.

J. *Landscaping.*

1. Native or naturalized plants, or other plant species that blend naturally with the landscape shall be used.

2. Natural landform planting shall be used to soften the impact of development and provide erosion control. These landscape techniques shall serve to

reintroduce landscape patterns that occur in nature including concentrating trees and shrubs in concave areas while convex portions are planted mainly with ground cover.

3. Trees and shrubs are to be arranged in informal masses and shall be placed selectively to reduce the scale of long, steep slopes.

4. Berming shall be incorporated into the grading plans to help soften the appearance of buildings from public view."

(Ord. No. 99-293, § 2, 2-10-99)

9652.14. Contents of applications.

In addition to the normal application for a conditional use permit or architectural review, the following additional information shall be provided for such applications in hillside or SEA areas:

A. Accurate topographic maps indicating the following:

1. Natural topographic features with an overlay of the proposed contours of the land after completion of the proposed grading;

2. Existing land contours with a maximum five-foot contour interval and a slope analysis showing the following slope categories:

10%--15%

16%--20%

21%--25%

26%--30%

31%--35%

36% and over;

3. Elevations of existing topographic features and the elevations of any proposed building pads, street centerlines and property corners;

4. Locations and dimensions of all proposed cut and fill operations;

5. Locations and details of existing and proposed drainage patterns, structures and retaining walls;

6. Locations of disposal sites for excess or excavated material;

7. Locations of existing trees, other significant vegetation and biological features;

8. Locations of all significant geological features, including bluffs, ridgelines, cliffs, canyons, rock outcroppings, fault lines and waterfalls;

9. Locations and sizes of proposed building areas and lot patterns;

10. Any other information required by the director of community development.

B. Site plans, architectural drawings, and colored renderings illustrating the following:

1. Architectural characteristics of proposed buildings;

2. Vehicular and pedestrian circulation patterns, including street widths and grades and other easements of public rights-of-way;

3. Utility lines and other service facilities, including water, gas, electricity and

sewage lines;

4. Landscaping, irrigation and exterior lighting plans;
5. Locations and design of proposed fences, screens, enclosures and structures, including drainage facilities;
6. Any other information required by the director of community development.

C. Reports and surveys with recommendations from soil engineers and engineering geologists based upon surface and subsurface exploration stating land capabilities; including soil types, soil openings, hydrologic groups, slopes, runoff potential, percolation data, soil depth, erosion potential and natural drainage patterns.

D. Archaeological studies in areas where existing evidence indicates that significant artifacts or historic sites are likely to be encountered in order to ensure that these artifacts and/or sites are not inadvertently destroyed.

E. Additional information to include:

1. Average natural slope of the land;
2. Acreage and square footage calculations;
3. Ratio of structures to total land area;
4. Ratio of parking spaces to building square footage;
5. Ratio of parking area to total land area;
6. Ratio of open space to total land area;
7. Description of maintenance program for proposed developments involving joint or common ownership;
8. Any other specific information determined by the director of community development to be relevant to the applicant's proposal.

F. In significant ecological areas, the following additional information:

1. Identification and location of the resources constituting the basis for classification of such area as a significant ecological area where not provided by the environmental assessment or the initial study for an environmental document;
2. Proposed natural open areas, buffer areas, or other methods to be used to protect resource areas from the proposed use;
3. Such other information as the director of community development determines to be necessary for adequate evaluation.

9652.15. Required burden of proof.

The applicant for a conditional use permit required by this section shall have the burden of proving the following facts:

A. Hillside areas:

1. That the proposed project is located and designed so as to protect the safety of current and future community residents, and will not create significant threats to life and/or property due to the presence of geologic, seismic, slope instability, fire, flood, mud flow, erosion hazards, or other hazards;
2. That the proposed project is compatible with the natural, biotic, cultural,

scenic and open space resources of the area;

3. That the proposed project can be provided with essential public services and is consistent with the objectives and policies of the general plan; and
4. That the proposed development will complement the community character and benefit current and future community residents.

B. Significant ecological areas:

1. That the proposed project is designed to be highly compatible with the biotic resources present, including the setting aside of appropriate and sufficient undisturbed areas;
2. That the proposed project is designed to maintain water bodies, watercourses, and their tributaries in a natural state;
3. That the proposed project is designed so that wildlife movement corridors (migratory paths) are left in an undisturbed and natural state;
4. That the proposed project retains sufficient natural vegetation cover and/or open spaces to buffer critical resource areas from such project;
5. That where necessary, fences or walls are provided to buffer important habitat areas from development; and
6. That roads and utilities serving the proposed project are located and designed so as not to conflict with critical resources, habitat areas or migratory paths.

9652.16. Hearings.

A public hearing shall be held on all applications for a conditional use permit required by this section in accordance with the provisions of section 9804 et seq. Where a conditional use permit is filed and processed as a single application with a land division case, the public hearings on each matter shall be held concurrently.

9652.17. Director's report.

In all cases where a public hearing is required, the director of community development shall prepare a report to the planning commission containing, but not limited to, the following:

A. Review of the applicant's development proposal, including:

1. Appraisal of measures proposed to avoid or mitigate identified natural hazards;
2. Appraisal of measures taken to protect scenic, biotic and other resources;
3. Recommended changes in the proposed development necessary or desirable to achieve compliance with the findings required by section 9652.15 A and B and the provisions of the general plan, and
4. Recommended conditions to be imposed to ensure that the proposed development will be in accord with the findings required by section 9652.18 and the provisions of the general plan.

B. In cases where the proposed development would impact significant ecological areas and where such information is not included in the environmental document, identification and location of the resources constituting the basis or classification of such area as a

significant ecological area.

1. The director, in developing such a report and recommendation, shall consult with appropriate agencies and shall compile the recommendations and comments of such agencies, including any recommendation of SEATAC.

9652.18. Findings and decision.

The planning commission shall not approve an application for a conditional use permit required by this section unless it finds that the proposal is consistent with the general plan and further finds:

A. In hillside areas:

1. That the burden of proof set forth in section 9652.15.A has been met by the applicant, and
2. That the proposed development is consistent with the general design and construction standards provided in this section;

B. In significant ecological areas, that the burden of proof set forth in section 9652.15.B, has been met by the applicant.

9652.19. Conditions.

Every conditional use permit required by this section shall be subject to the following conditions. All of the following conditions shall be deemed to be conditions of every such conditional use permit regardless of whether such conditions are set forth expressly in the permit. The planning commission, in granting a conditional use permit under this section, may impose additional conditions, but may not change or modify any of the following conditions except as otherwise provided herein;

A. *Hillside areas.*

1. *Open space.* Open space shall comprise not less than the area determined by application of the table in subsection 9652.13.B. Subject to the approval of the commission, such open space may include one (1) or more of the following:

- a. Undisturbed natural areas;
- b. Open space for passive recreation;
- c. Private yards, provided that all construction rights will be dedicated;
- d. Parks and open recreational areas;
- e. Riding, hiking and bicycle trails;
- f. Landscaped areas adjacent to streets and highways;
- g. Greenbelts;
- h. Areas graded for rounding of slopes to contour appearance; and
- i. Such other areas as the commission deems appropriate.

2. *Landscaping.* A plan for landscaping common or open space areas not to be left in a natural state shall be submitted to and approved by the commission.

3. *Utilities.* Satisfactory evidence shall be produced that the applicant has made arrangements with the serving utilities to install underground all new facilities necessary to furnish service in the development, including any necessary agreements to join any proposed assessment districts.

4. *Residential density.* The commission shall designate the maximum number of dwelling units permitted in a residential development consistent with section 9650.230A.

5. *Architectural features.* Where not submitted to the commission as part of an application under this section, exterior elevation drawings indicating building heights and major architectural features shall be submitted to and approved by the planning commission prior to the issuance of any building permit.

B. *Significant ecological areas.*

1. Any necessary conditions to guarantee that the proposed project is highly compatible with the biotic resources present;

2. The preservation in a natural state of any designated watercourse;

3. The provisions of all necessary measures to preserve in a natural state any designated wildlife movement corridors;

4. Adequate provisions to buffer any development from any designated unique resource and/or habitat area; and

5. Adequate requirements to prevent conflicts between any proposed roads or utilities and unique resources, habitat areas, or migratory paths.

DIVISION 3. TRANSFER OF DEVELOPMENT RIGHTS

9653 Transfer of development regulations; purpose.

To provide a procedure whereby development credits may be transferred from open space parcels which, because of visibility, access, geology, slope or other factors, are desirable to retain as open space, to other parcels not located in a hillside area or SEA and, furthermore, to provide conditions for ownership and use of open space.

9653.1. Definitions.

A. *Donor parcel.* Parcel from which development credits are transferred.

B. *Receiver parcel.* Parcel to which development credits are transferred.

C. *Development credit.* A development credit is a potential entitlement to construct one (1) dwelling unit on property in the OS district, which can only be exercised when the development credit has been transferred pursuant to the provisions of this section from a donor to a receiver parcel and all other requirements of law are fulfilled.

9653.2. Applicability.

The transfer of development credits may be authorized when the following conditions are met:

A. Donor parcels are within the OS district;

B. Receiver parcels are in the RS, RM, RMH or RH districts;

C. The city council, after recommendation by the planning commission, finds the receiver parcel has sufficient area designated in the general plan to accommodate

Appendix E Native American Correspondence

CITY OF

AGOURA HILLS

"Gateway to the Santa Monica Mountains National Recreation Area"

September 25, 2006

Native American Heritage Commission
915 Capitol Mall, Room 364
Sacramento, CA 95814

SUBJECT: LOCAL GOVERNMENT TRIBAL CONSULTATION LIST REQUEST

Dear Sir/Madam:

We are writing to request a list of the tribal contacts in the area of the City of Agoura Hills, in accordance with the Office of Planning and Research's *Tribal Consultation Guidelines* (Chapter 905, Statutes of 2004). The following information is provided to assist you in this request:

Project Title: City of Agoura Hills General Plan Update
Local Agency: City of Agoura Hills
Contact Person: Allison Cook, Senior Planner
(818) 597-7310 TEL/(818) 597-7337 FAX
30001 Ladyface Court, Agoura Hills, CA 91301
Project Location: City of Agoura Hills, County of Los Angeles
Local Action: General Plan
Project Description: The City is preparing to update its General Plan Land Use Element and Circulation Element, and to conduct any other necessary revisions to other General Plan Elements to ensure consistency.

If you have any questions or need additional information, please contact Allison Cook, Senior Planner, of my staff at (818) 597-7310. Thank you for your assistance.

Sincerely,


Mike Kamino

Planning and Community Development Director

COMMUNITY MEETING

City of Agoura Hills General Plan Update Community Meeting

Where: City Hall – 30001 Ladyface Ct., Agoura Hills


When: Wednesday, December 13, 2006

Time: 6:00 PM – 9:00 PM

This is your opportunity to help
plan the future of your community.

The event will be a joint City Council
and Planning Commission meeting
where the public can learn more about
the General Plan Update process and
share opinions about land use, circulation
and other planning issues in the City.

For more information, please
contact Allison Cook, Senior Planner,
at (818) 597-7310 or at
acook@ci.agoura-hills.ca.us



Learn more at www.ci.agoura-hills.ca.us -- click on the Quick Link "General Plan Update."

STATE OF CALIFORNIA

Arnold Schwarzenegger, Governor

NATIVE AMERICAN HERITAGE COMMISSION

915 CAPITOL MALL, ROOM 364
SACRAMENTO, CA 95814
(916) 653-4992
Fax (916) 657-5298



October 5, 2006

Allison Cook
Planning and Community Development Director
City of Agoura Hills
30001 Ladyface Court
Agoura Hills, CA 91301

VIA FAX: (818) 597-7337

Re: Tribal Consultation Request, General Plan Update, City of Agoura Hills, Los Angeles County

Dear Ms. Cook:

Government Code §65352.3 requires local governments to consult with California Native American tribes identified by the Native American Heritage Commission (NAHC) for the purpose of protecting, and/or mitigating impacts to cultural places. Attached is a consultation list of tribes with traditional lands or cultural places located within the plan boundaries.

As a part of consultation, the NAHC recommends that local governments conduct record searches through the NAHC and California Historic Resources Information System (CHRIS) to determine if any cultural places are located within the area(s) affected by the proposed action. NAHC Sacred Lands File requests must be made in writing. All requests must include county, USGS quad map name, township, range and section. Local governments should be aware, however, that records maintained by the NAHC and CHRIS are not exhaustive, and a negative response to these searches does not preclude the existence of a cultural place. A tribe may be the only source of information regarding the existence of a cultural place.

If you receive notification of change of addresses and phone numbers from Tribes, please notify me. With your assistance we are able to assure that our consultation list contains current information.

If you have any questions, please contact me at (916) 653-4040.

Sincerely,

Handwritten signature of Rob Wood in black ink.

Rob Wood
Environmental Specialist III

Attachment

**Native American Tribal Consultation List
City of Agora Hills
Los Angeles County
October 5, 2006**

Santa Ynez Band of Mission Indians

Vincent Armenta, Chairperson

P.O. Box 517

Santa Ynez, CA 93480

varmenta@santaynezchum Chumash


(805) 688-7997

(805) 686-9578 Fax

This list is current only as of the date of this document.

Distribution of this list does not relieve any person of statutory responsibility as defined in Section 7050.5 of the Health and Safety Code, Section 5097.94 of the Public Resources Code and Section 5097.98 of the Public Resources Code.

This list is applicable only for consultation with Native American tribes under Government Code Section 65352.3.



"Gateway to the Santa Monica Mountains National Recreation Area"

November 27, 2006

Vincent Armenta, Chairperson
Santa Ynez Band of Mission Indians
P.O. Box 517
Santa Ynez, CA 93460

**SUBJECT: INVITATION TO CONSULT UNDER SENATE BILL 18
(GOVERNMENT CODE SECTION 65352.3) FOR THE CITY OF AGOURA HILLS
GENERAL PLAN UPDATE**

Dear Mr. Armenta:

We are writing to invite you to request consultation pursuant to Senate Bill 18 (SB 18)(Government Code Section 65352.3) regarding the City of Agoura Hills General Plan Update. SB 18 requires that all cities and counties notify and consult with California Native American Tribes about proposed local land use planning decisions for the purpose of protecting traditional tribal cultural places and sacred sites. This legislation took effect on March 1, 2005.

The City of Agoura Hills is in the beginning stages of preparing an update to its General Plan. An adoption or amendment to a General Plan (GP) is subject to the requirements of SB 18. The General Plan is a strategic document that will guide the physical development of the City over the next several years. Among other things, the General Plan sets out the pattern of land uses, including residential, commercial and industrial. It also establishes the system of streets, bikeways and trails. The General Plan addresses a wide variety of subject areas, including housing, traffic, natural resources, open space, land uses, economic development, noise and public safety. The General Plan functions as a valuable decision-making tool to providing the policy framework for all land use decisions made by the City. The City's current General Plan was adopted in 1993. Although the City is largely built out, quality of life and land use issues continue to be of interest to residents. In particular, the City is seeking to update the General Plan Land Use and Circulation Elements, and to conduct any other necessary revisions to other General Plan elements to ensure consistency. The project area consists of the entire boundary of the City of Agoura Hills, which is situated in northern Los Angeles County, near the boundary of Ventura County. The Santa Monica Mountains border the City on the south.

Mr. Vincent Armenta
November 27, 2006
Page 2

The City of Agoura Hills contacted the Native American Heritage Commission (NAHC) to request a list of tribes who should be consulted regarding the proposed projects. The NAHC included your tribe on the list. The intent of consultation is to provide an opportunity for local governments and interested tribes to work together early in the process of planning for projects with the goal of protecting tribal cultural places that might not appear on cultural resources registries. A request for consultation by a tribe must be made within 90 days of the date of this notice. Under SB 18, if your tribe requests to participate in the consultation process, any sensitive information shared with the City regarding cultural places and/or sacred sites will be kept strictly confidential and will not be divulged to the public.

If you would like to consult under SB 18 regarding this project, please contact Allison Cook, Senior Planner, of my staff at (818) 597-7310 or at acook@ci.agoura-hills.ca.us, or at the address listed in the letterhead any time before February 28, 2006. Additionally, we are attaching a flyer of an upcoming public meeting at City Hall regarding the General Plan Update, in the event you are interested in attending.

Sincerely,



Mike Kamino

Planning and Community Development Director

Attachment



SANTA YNEZ BAND OF MISSION INDIANS
Tribal Elders Council

December 7, 2006

Allison Cook – Senior Planner
City of Agoura Hills
30001 Ladyface Court
Agoura Hills, CA 91301

RE: Agoura Hills General Plan Update

Dear Miss Cook:

Thank you for contacting the Tribal Elders Council with the Santa Ynez Band of Mission Indians. We appreciate the opportunity to provide consultation as it relates to the General Plan.

In implementing the General Plan, we ask to be kept apprised of proposed developments regarding cultural resources and potentially significant areas. We also recommend that Chumash from the project area are also inclusive in your request for information.

We look forward to hearing from you at your earliest convenience.

Thank you for remembering that at one time our ancestors walked this sacred land.

Sincerely,

The Tribal Elders Governing Board

NATIVE AMERICAN HERITAGE COMMISSION

915 CAPITOL MALL, ROOM 964
SACRAMENTO, CA 95814
(916) 653-6251
Fax (916) 657-5390
Web Site www.nahc.ca.gov
e-mail: ds_nahc@pacbell.net



May 4, 2009

Ms. Allison Cook, Principal Planner
CITY OF AGOURA HILLS PLANNING DEPARTMENT
3001 Ladyface Court
Agoura Hills, CA 91301

Re: Tribal Consultation Per Government Code §§ 65352.3, 65352.4 and 65560 (SB 18) for a General Plan Amendment Update; City of Agoura Hills; Los Angeles County, California

Dear Ms. Cook:

Government Code §65352.3 requires local governments to consult with California Native American tribes identified by the Native American Heritage Commission (NAHC) for the purpose of protecting, and/or mitigating impacts to cultural places. The Native American Heritage Commission is the state "trustee agency" designated for the protection of Native American Cultural Resource pursuant to CA Public Resources Code §21070.s. Attached is a consultation list of tribes with traditional lands or cultural places located within the Project Area of Potential Effect (APE).

As a part of consultation, the NAHC recommends that local governments conduct record searches through the NAHC and California Historic Resources Information System (CHRIS contact 916-653-7278 or www.ohp.ca.gov) to determine if any cultural places are located within the area(s) affected by the proposed action. NAHC Sacred Lands File requests must be made in writing. All requests must include county, USGS quad map name, township, range and section. Local governments should be aware, however, that records maintained by the NAHC and CHRIS are not exhaustive, and a negative response to these searches does not preclude the existence of a cultural place. A tribe may be the only source of information regarding the existence of a cultural place.

The Native American Heritage Commission works with Native American tribal governments regarding its identification of 'Areas of Traditional Use.' The Commission may adjust the submitted data defining the 'Area of Traditional Use' in accordance with generally accepted ethnographic, anthropological, archeological research and oral history. Also, the Area of Traditional Use is an issue appropriate for the government-to-government consultation process.

If you have any questions, please contact me at (916) 653-6251.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Dave Singleton".

Dave Singleton
Program Analyst

Attachment: Native American Tribal Consultation List

Native American Tribal Consultation List
Los Angeles County
May 4, 2009

Fernandeno Tataviam Band of Mission Indians

William Gonzalaes, Cultural/Environ Depart

601 South Brand Boulevard, Suite 102 Fernandeno
San Fernando , CA 91340 Tataviam

ced@tataviam.org

(818) 837-0794 Office

(818) 581-9293 Cell

Tehachapi Indian Tribe

Attn: Charlie Cooke

32835 Santiago Road Kawaiisu
Acton , CA 93510

suscol@interx.net

(661) 733-1812

San Fernando Band of Mission Indians

John Valenzuela, Chairperson

P.O. Box 221838 Fernandefio
Newhall , CA 91322 Tataviam

tsen2u@live.com Serrano
(661) 753-9833 Office Vanyume
(760) 885-0955 Cell Kitanemuk
(760) 949-2103 Home

Coastal Band of the Chumash Nation

Janet Garcia, Chairperson

P.O. Box 4464 Chumash
Santa Barbara , CA 93140

805-964-3447

This list is current only as of the date of this document.

Distribution of this list does not relieve any person of statutory responsibility as defined in Section 7050.5 of the Health and Safety Code, Section 5097.94 of the Public Resources Code and Section 5097.98 of the Public Resources Code.

This list is applicable only for consultation with Native American tribes under Government Code Section 65352.3.



May 14, 2009

Native American Heritage Commission
915 Capitol Mall, Room #364
Sacramento, CA 95814

SUBJECT: Request for Sacred Lands Database Search for the Agoura Hills General Plan Update, Los Angeles County, California

Dear NAHC:

PBS&J is producing a cultural resources document for the Agoura Hills General Plan Update, located in Los Angeles County. We are requesting a search of the sacred lands database to determine if any Native American cultural resources are present on or in the vicinity of the proposed project site. We have also requested a cultural resources records search at the South Central Coastal Information Center. The project location is identified below.

County: Los Angeles County
Quad: Thousand Oaks and Calabasas
Township: 1 North
Range: 18 West
Section: 20, 22, 26, 27, 28, and 29

Should you have any questions or need additional information, please do not hesitate to call me at 916.325.1469 or email at dmjurich@pbsj.com. Please FAX the results of the database search and any other input on the project at 916.325.4810.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in blue ink, appearing to read 'Denise M. Jurich', written in a cursive style.

Denise M. Jurich
Archaeologist

NATIVE AMERICAN HERITAGE COMMISSION

915 CAPITOL MALL, ROOM 864
SACRAMENTO, CA 95814
(916) 658-8251
Fax (916) 657-5390
Web Site www.nahc.ca.gov
e-mail: ds_nahc@pscbell.net



May 26, 2009

Ms. Denise M. Jurich, Archaeologist

PBSJ

1200 - 2nd Street
Sacramento, CA 95814

Sent by FAX to: 916-325-4810

Number of pages: 2

Re: Tribal Consultation Per SB 18 (Government Code §§ 65352.3, 65352.4 and 65562.5) and Sacred Lands File Search for Project Per SB 18/Sacred Lands File Search for Project- General Plan Amendment Update; located in the City of Agoura Hills; Los Angeles County, California

Dear Ms. Jurich:

Government Code §65352.3 requires local governments to consult with California Native American tribes identified by the Native American Heritage Commission (NAHC) for the purpose of protecting, and/or mitigating impacts to cultural places. Attached is a Native American Tribal Consultation list of tribes with traditional lands or cultural places located within the requested plan boundaries.

As a part of consultation, the NAHC recommends that local governments conduct record searches through the NAHC and California Historic Resources Information System (CHRIS; contact 916-653-7278 or www.ohp.ca.gov) to determine if any cultural places are located within the area(s) affected by the proposed action.

A NAHC Sacred Lands File search was conducted based on the township, range, and section information included in your request and no Native American Cultural Resources sites were found within the area of potential effect (APE) you identified. Also, local governments should be aware that records maintained by the NAHC and CHRIS are not exhaustive, and these searches do not preclude the existence of other cultural resources. A tribe may be the only source of information regarding the existence of a cultural place. I suggest you consult with all of those on the accompanying Native American Contacts list, which has been included separately. If they cannot supply information, they might recommend others with specific knowledge about cultural resources in your plan area. If a response has not been received within two weeks of notification, the Commission requests that you follow-up with a telephone call to ensure that the project information has been received.

If you receive notification of change of addresses and phone numbers from Tribes, please notify me. With your assistance we are able to assure that our consultation list contains current information.

If you have any questions, please contact me at (916) 653-6251.

Sincerely,



Dave Singleton
Program Analyst

Attachment Native American Contacts

Native American Tribal Consultation List
Los Angeles County
May 26, 2009

Fernandeno Tataviam Band of Mission Indians
William Gonzales, Cultural/Environ Depart
601 South Brand Boulevard, Suite 102 **Fernandeno**
San Fernando , CA 91340 Tataviam
ced@tataviam.org
(818) 837-0794 Office
(818) 581-9293 Cell

Kern Valley Indian Council
Robert Robinson, Historic Preservation Officer
P.O. Box 401 **Tubatulabal**
Weldon , CA 93283 **Kawaiisu**
brobinson@mchsi.com **Koso**
(760) 378-4575 (Home) **Yokuts**
(760) 549-2131 (Work)

San Fernando Band of Mission Indians
John Valenzuela, Chairperson
P.O. Box 221838 **Fernandeno**
Newhall , CA 91322 **Tataviam**
tsen2u@live.com **Serrano**
(661) 753-9833 Office **Vanyume**
(760) 885-0955 Cell **Kitanemuk**
(760) 949-2103 Home

Gabrielino Band of Mission Indians of CA
Ms. Susan Frank
PO Box 3021 **Gabrielino**
Beaumont , CA 92223
(951) 897-2536
(951) 768-845-3606 - FAX

Coastal Band of the Chumash Nation
Janet Garcia, Chairperson
P.O. Box 4464 **Chumash**
Santa Barbara , CA 93140
805-964-3447

Kern Valley Indian Council
Harold Williams, Chairperson
15775 Setimo Creek Road **Southern Paiute**
Caliente , CA 93518 **Kawaiisu**
Tubatulabal
Koso
Yokuts
(661) 333-5032

This list is current only as of the date of this document.

Distribution of this list does not relieve any person of statutory responsibility as defined in Section 7050.5 of the Health and Safety Code, Section 5097.94 of the Public Resources Code and Section 5097.98 of the Public Resources Code.

This list is applicable only for consultation with Native American tribes under Government Code Section 65352.3.



An employee-owned company

June 8, 2009

William Gonzalaes, Cultural/Environmental Department
Fernandeno Tataviam Band of Mission Indians
601 South Brand Boulevard, Suite 102
San Fernando, CA 91340

Subject: General Plan Amendment Update for the City of Agoura Hills; Los Angeles County,
California

Dear Mr. Gonzalaes:

PBS&J is preparing a cultural resources analysis for the City of Agoura Hills in regards to its proposed Update to their General Plan. The Plan update focuses on maintaining the semi-rural atmosphere of Agoura Hills, encouraging business development that will support the City, and preserving and enhancing the natural resources and visual characteristics of the area. Overall, the General Plan Update mainly calls for the upgrade and re-use of already existing developed areas and the continued preservation of much of the undeveloped land as open space. Based on its limited focus, the Plan update will not include the entirety of the City but instead will focus the Office, Business Park, Industrial, Residential, and Retail land uses of twelve Study Areas. A map outlining the study areas is enclosed.

A confidential records search has been requested from the South Central Coastal Information Center as well. The Native American Heritage Commission has identified you as an individual who may have knowledge of cultural resources within the immediate project area. If you are aware of any such properties, please contact Denise Jurich at (916) 325-1469, by email at dmjurich@pbsj.com, or by mail to the address below. We invite your views and comments about the proposed project as they relate to cultural resources.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in blue ink, appearing to read 'Denise M. Jurich', written in a cursive style.

Denise M. Jurich, RPA
Archaeologist
dmjurich@pbsj.com

Enclosure: Study Area Map




Figure 1



An employee-owned company

June 8, 2009

John Valenzuela, Chairperson
San Fernando Band of Mission Indians
P.O. Box 221838
Newhall, CA 91322

Subject: General Plan Amendment Update for the City of Agoura Hills; Los Angeles County,
California

Dear Mr. Valenzuela:

PBS&J is preparing a cultural resources analysis for the City of Agoura Hills in regards to its proposed Update to their General Plan. The Plan update focuses on maintaining the semi-rural atmosphere of Agoura Hills, encouraging business development that will support the City, and preserving and enhancing the natural resources and visual characteristics of the area. Overall, the General Plan Update mainly calls for the upgrade and re-use of already existing developed areas and the continued preservation of much of the undeveloped land as open space. Based on its limited focus, the Plan update will not include the entirety of the City but instead will focus the Office, Business Park, Industrial, Residential, and Retail land uses of twelve Study Areas. A map outlining the study areas is enclosed.

A confidential records search has been requested from the South Central Coastal Information Center as well. The Native American Heritage Commission has identified you as an individual who may have knowledge of cultural resources within the immediate project area. If you are aware of any such properties, please contact Denise Jurich at (916) 325-1469, by email at dmjurich@pbsj.com, or by mail to the address below. We invite your views and comments about the proposed project as they relate to cultural resources.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in blue ink, appearing to read 'Denise M. Jurich', written in a cursive style.

Denise M. Jurich, RPA
Archaeologist
dmjurich@pbsj.com

Enclosure: Study Area Map



An employee-owned company

June 8, 2009

Ms. Susan Frank
P.O. Box 3021
Beaumont, CA 92223

Subject: General Plan Amendment Update for the City of Agoura Hills; Los Angeles County,
California

Dear Ms. Frank:

PBS&J is preparing a cultural resources analysis for the City of Agoura Hills in regards to its proposed Update to their General Plan. The Plan update focuses on maintaining the semi-rural atmosphere of Agoura Hills, encouraging business development that will support the City, and preserving and enhancing the natural resources and visual characteristics of the area. Overall, the General Plan Update mainly calls for the upgrade and re-use of already existing developed areas and the continued preservation of much of the undeveloped land as open space. Based on its limited focus, the Plan update will not include the entirety of the City but instead will focus the Office, Business Park, Industrial, Residential, and Retail land uses of twelve Study Areas. A map outlining the study areas is enclosed.

A confidential records search has been requested from the South Central Coastal Information Center as well. The Native American Heritage Commission has identified you as an individual who may have knowledge of cultural resources within the immediate project area. If you are aware of any such properties, please contact Denise Jurich at (916) 325-1469, by email at dmjurich@pbsj.com, or by mail to the address below. We invite your views and comments about the proposed project as they relate to cultural resources.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in blue ink, appearing to read 'Denise M. Jurich'.

Denise M. Jurich, RPA
Archaeologist
dmjurich@pbsj.com

Enclosure: Study Area Map



An employee-owned company

June 8, 2009

Janet Garcia, Chairperson
Coastal Band of the Chumash Nation
P.O. Box 4464
Santa Barbara, CA 93140

Subject: General Plan Amendment Update for the City of Agoura Hills; Los Angeles County, California

Dear Ms. Garcia:

PBS&J is preparing a cultural resources analysis for the City of Agoura Hills in regards to its proposed Update to their General Plan. The Plan update focuses on maintaining the semi-rural atmosphere of Agoura Hills, encouraging business development that will support the City, and preserving and enhancing the natural resources and visual characteristics of the area. Overall, the General Plan Update mainly calls for the upgrade and re-use of already existing developed areas and the continued preservation of much of the undeveloped land as open space. Based on its limited focus, the Plan update will not include the entirety of the City but instead will focus the Office, Business Park, Industrial, Residential, and Retail land uses of twelve Study Areas. A map outlining the study areas is enclosed.

A confidential records search has been requested from the South Central Coastal Information Center as well. The Native American Heritage Commission has identified you as an individual who may have knowledge of cultural resources within the immediate project area. If you are aware of any such properties, please contact Denise Jurich at (916) 325-1469, by email at dmjurich@pbsj.com, or by mail to the address below. We invite your views and comments about the proposed project as they relate to cultural resources.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in blue ink, appearing to read 'Denise M. Jurich'.

Denise M. Jurich, RPA
Archaeologist
dmjurich@pbsj.com

Enclosure: Study Area Map



An employee-owned company

June 8, 2009

Harold Williams, Chairperson
Kern Valley Indian Council
15775 Setimo Creek Road
Caliente, CA 93518

Subject: General Plan Amendment Update for the City of Agoura Hills; Los Angeles County, California

Dear Mr. Williams:

PBS&J is preparing a cultural resources analysis for the City of Agoura Hills in regards to its proposed Update to their General Plan. The Plan update focuses on maintaining the semi-rural atmosphere of Agoura Hills, encouraging business development that will support the City, and preserving and enhancing the natural resources and visual characteristics of the area. Overall, the General Plan Update mainly calls for the upgrade and re-use of already existing developed areas and the continued preservation of much of the undeveloped land as open space. Based on its limited focus, the Plan update will not include the entirety of the City but instead will focus the Office, Business Park, Industrial, Residential, and Retail land uses of twelve Study Areas. A map outlining the study areas is enclosed.

A confidential records search has been requested from the South Central Coastal Information Center as well. The Native American Heritage Commission has identified you as an individual who may have knowledge of cultural resources within the immediate project area. If you are aware of any such properties, please contact Denise Jurich at (916) 325-1469, by email at dmjurich@pbsj.com, or by mail to the address below. We invite your views and comments about the proposed project as they relate to cultural resources.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in blue ink, appearing to read 'Denise M. Jurich', written in a cursive style.

Denise M. Jurich, RPA
Archaeologist
dmjurich@pbsj.com

Enclosure: Study Area Map



An employee-owned company

June 8, 2009

Robert Robinson, Historic Preservation Officer
Kern Valley Indian Council
P.O. Box 401
Weldon, CA 93283

Subject: General Plan Amendment Update for the City of Agoura Hills; Los Angeles County, California

Dear Mr. Robinson:

PBS&J is preparing a cultural resources analysis for the City of Agoura Hills in regards to its proposed Update to their General Plan. The Plan update focuses on maintaining the semi-rural atmosphere of Agoura Hills, encouraging business development that will support the City, and preserving and enhancing the natural resources and visual characteristics of the area. Overall, the General Plan Update mainly calls for the upgrade and re-use of already existing developed areas and the continued preservation of much of the undeveloped land as open space. Based on its limited focus, the Plan update will not include the entirety of the City but instead will focus the Office, Business Park, Industrial, Residential, and Retail land uses of twelve Study Areas. A map outlining the study areas is enclosed.

A confidential records search has been requested from the South Central Coastal Information Center as well. The Native American Heritage Commission has identified you as an individual who may have knowledge of cultural resources within the immediate project area. If you are aware of any such properties, please contact Denise Jurich at (916) 325-1469, by email at dmjurich@pbsj.com, or by mail to the address below. We invite your views and comments about the proposed project as they relate to cultural resources.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in blue ink, appearing to read 'Denise M. Jurich', written in a cursive style.

Denise M. Jurich, RPA
Archaeologist
dmjurich@pbsj.com

Enclosure: Study Area Map



August 10, 2009

Native American Heritage Commission
915 Capitol Mall, Room #364
Sacramento, CA 95814

SUBJECT: Request for Sacred Lands Database Search for the Agoura Hills General Plan Update, Los Angeles County, California

Dear NAHC:

PBS&J is producing a cultural resources document for the Agoura Hills General Plan Update, located in Los Angeles County. A request was placed earlier this year for this project but the project site boundary has since been adjusted. We are requesting another search of the sacred lands database to determine if any Native American cultural resources are present on or in the vicinity of the newly proposed project site boundary. We have also requested a cultural resources records search at the South Central Coastal Information Center. The project location is identified below.

County: Los Angeles County
Quad: Thousand Oaks and Calabasas
Township: 1 North
Range: 18 West
Section: 22, 28, 29, 30

Should you have any questions or need additional information, please do not hesitate to call Steve Smith at 916.325.1468 or Jesse Martinez at 916.325.1472. Please FAX the results of the database search and any other input on the project at 916.325.4810.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in blue ink, appearing to read 'Denise M. Jurich'.

Denise M. Jurich
Archaeologist

STATE OF CALIFORNIA

Arnold Schwarzenegger, Governor

NATIVE AMERICAN HERITAGE COMMISSION

915 CAPITOL MALL, ROOM 384
 SACRAMENTO, CA 95814
 (916) 653-6251
 Fax (916) 657-5890
 Web Site www.nahc.ca.gov
 ds_nahc@pacbell.net



August 20, 2009

Ms. Denise M. Jurich, Archaeologist

PBSJ

1200 – 2nd Street
 Sacramento, CA 95814

Sent by FAX to: 916-325-4810

No. of Pages: 3

Re: Request for a Sacred Lands File search and Native American Contacts List for a Proposed Agoura Hills General Plan Update Project; located in the City of Agoura Hills in northwest Los Angeles County, California

Dear Ms. Jurich:

The Native American Heritage Commission (NAHC), the State of California 'Trustee Agency' for the protection and preservation of Native American cultural resources (c.f. CA Public Resources Code §21070), was able to perform a record search of its Sacred Lands File (SLF) for the affected project area (APE) requested. The California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) requires that any project that causes a substantial adverse change in the significance of an historical resource, that includes archaeological resources, is a 'significant effect' requiring the preparation of an Environmental Impact Report (EIR) per the California Code of Regulations §15064.5(b)(c)(f) CEQA guidelines). Section 15382 of the 2007 CEQA Guidelines defines a significant impact on the environment as "a substantial, or potentially substantial, adverse change in any of physical conditions within an area affected by the proposed project, including ...objects of historic or aesthetic significance." The NAHC SLF search did not indicate the presence of Native American cultural resources within one-half - mile radius of the project area (APE) of the proposed project (APE).

This letter includes state and federal statutes relating to Native American historic properties of religious and cultural significance to American Indian tribes and individuals as 'consulting parties' under both state and federal law.

Early consultation with Native American tribes in your area is the best way to avoid unanticipated discoveries once a project is underway. Enclosed are the names of the nearest tribes and interested Native American individuals that the NAHC recommends as 'consulting parties,' for this purpose, that may have knowledge of the religious and cultural significance of the historic properties in the project area (e.g. APE). We recommend that you contact persons on the attached list of Native American contacts. A Native American Tribe or Tribal Elder may be the only source of information about a cultural resource.. Furthermore we suggest that you contact the California Historic Resources Information System (CHRIS) at the Office of Historic Preservation Coordinator's office (at (916) 653-7278, for referral to the nearest Information Center of which there are 11..

Consultation with tribes and interested Native American consulting parties, on the NAHC list, should be conducted in compliance with the requirements of federal NEPA (42 U.S.C. 4321-43351) and Section 106 and 4(f) of federal NHPA (16 U.S.C. 470 [f]et seq), and NAGPRA (25 U.S.C. 3001-3013), as appropriate. .

Lead agencies should consider avoidance, as defined in Section 15370 of the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) when significant cultural resources could be affected by a project. Also, Public Resources Code Section 5097.98 and Health & Safety Code Section 7050.5

provide for provisions for accidentally discovered archeological resources during construction and mandate the processes to be followed in the event of an accidental discovery of any human remains in a project location other than a 'dedicated cemetery'. Discussion of these should be included in your environmental documents, as appropriate.

The response to this search for Native American cultural resources is conducted in the NAHC Sacred Lands Inventory, established by the California Legislature (CA Public Resources Code §5097.94(a) and is exempt from the CA Public Records Act (c.f. California Government Code §6254.10) although Native Americans on the attached contact list may wish to reveal the nature of identified cultural resources/historic properties. Confidentiality of "historic properties of religious and cultural significance" may also be protected under Section 304 of the NHPA or at the Secretary of the Interior' discretion if not eligible for listing on the National Register of Historic Places. The Secretary may also be advised by the federal Indian Religious Freedom Act (cf. 42 U.S.C, 1996) in issuing a decision on whether or not to disclose items of religious and/or cultural significance identified in or near the APE and possibly threatened by proposed project activity.

If you have any questions about this response to your request, please do not hesitate to contact me at (916) 653-6251.

Sincerely,


Dave Singleton
Program Analyst

Attachment: Native American Contacts List (NOTE: we further recommend that other forms of 'proof of mailing or proof of contact be utilized instead of 'Return Receipt Requested' Certified or Registered Mail.) Further, we suggest a follow-up telephone call to the contacts if the replies are not received or need clarification.

Native American Contact
Los Angeles County
August 19, 2009

Charles Cooke
32835 Santiago Road
Acton , CA 93510

(661) 733-1812 - cell
suscol@intox.net

Chumash
Fernandeno
Tataviam
Kitanemuk

San Fernando Band of Mission Indians
John Valenzuela, Chairperson
P.O. Box 221838
Newhall , CA 91322
tsen2u@live.com
(661) 753-9833 Office
(760) 885-0955 Cell
(760) 949-1604 Fax

Fernandeño
Tataviam
Serrano
Vanyume
Kitanemuk

Beverly Salazar Folkes
1931 Shadybrook Drive
Thousand Oaks , CA 91362
805 492-7255
(805) 558-1154 - cell
folkes9@msn.com

Chumash
Tataviam
Fernandeño

Randy Guzman - Folkes
4577 Alamo Street, Unit C
Simi Valley , CA 93063
ndnRandy@gmail.com
(805) 905-1675 - cell

Chumash
Fernandeño
Tataviam
Shoshone Paiute
Yaqui

Fernandeno Tataviam Band of Mission Indians
William Gonzales, Cultural/Environ Depart
601 South Brand Boulevard, Suite 102
San Fernando , CA 91340
rortega@tataaviam.us
(818) 837-0794 Office
(818) 581-9293 Cell
(818) 837-0796 Fax

Fernandeno
Tataviam

LA City/County Native American Indian Comm
Ron Andrade, Director
3175 West 6th Street, Rm. 403
Los Angeles , CA 90020
(213) 351-5324
(213) 386-3995 FAX

This list is current only as of the date of this document.
Distribution of this list does not relieve any person of statutory responsibility as defined in Section 7050.5 of the Health and Safety Code, Section 5097.94 of the Public Resources Code and Section 5097.98 of the Public Resources Code, and federal NEPA (42 USC 4321-43351), NHPA Sections 106, 4(f) (16 USC 470(f)) and NAGPRA (25 USC 3001-3013)

This list is only applicable for contacting local Native Americans with regard to cultural resources for the proposed Agoura Hills General Plan Update; located in northwest Los Angeles County, California for which a Sacred Lands File search and Native American Contacts list were requested.



An employee-owned company

September 9, 2009

Mr. Charles Cooke
32835 Santiago Road
Acton, CA 93510

Subject: General Plan Amendment Update for the City of Agoura Hills, Los Angeles County,
California

Dear Mr. Cooke:

PBS&J is preparing a cultural resources study for the City of Agoura Hills General Plan Amendment Update. The Update focuses on maintaining the semi-rural atmosphere of Agoura Hills, encouraging business development that will support the City, and preserving and enhancing the natural resources and visual characteristics of the area. Overall, the Update mainly calls for the upgrade and re-use of already existing developed areas and the continued preservation of much of the undeveloped land as open space. Based on its limited focus, the Plan update will not include the entirety of the City but instead will focus the office, business park, industrial, residential, and retail land uses of twelve Study Areas (see attached map).

In May 2009, PBS&J requested a Sacred Lands Database search from the NAHC for the General Plan Amendment Update. The NAHC responded that no Native American cultural resources sites were found in the project site boundary. PBS&J also sent letters describing the project to the individuals identified by the NAHC. To date, PBS&J has received no responses from any listed individuals.

Since the time of the aforementioned efforts, the General Plan Amendment Update Study Area has been expanded to include the Ladyface Mountain Specific Plan Area (Study Area 4) and the Agoura Village Specific Plan Area (Study Area 7), both depicted on the attached map. Accordingly, PBS&J requested a Sacred Lands Database search from the NAHC for the new Study Areas. The NAHC responded that no Native American cultural resources sites were found in or adjacent to the Study Area boundaries. The NAHC also identified you as an individual who may have knowledge of cultural resources within the Study Areas. If you are aware of any such properties within or adjacent to Study Areas 4 and 7 on the attached map, or within or adjacent to any of the 12 Study Areas, please contact Denise Jurich at (916) 325-1469, by email at dmjurich@pbsj.com, or by mail to the address below. We invite your views and comments about the proposed project as they relate to cultural resources.

Sincerely,


A handwritten signature in blue ink, appearing to read 'Denise M. Jurich'.

Denise M. Jurich, RPA
Archaeologist

Enclosure: Study Area Map

CITY of AGOURA HILLS General Plan Update

COMMUNITY DISTRICTS AND SUBAREAS





An employee-owned company

September 9, 2009

Ms. Beverly Salazar Folkes
1931 Shadybrook Drive
Thousand Oaks, CA 91362

Subject: General Plan Amendment Update for the City of Agoura Hills, Los Angeles County,
California

Dear Ms. Folkes:

PBS&J is preparing a cultural resources study for the City of Agoura Hills General Plan Amendment Update. The Update focuses on maintaining the semi-rural atmosphere of Agoura Hills, encouraging business development that will support the City, and preserving and enhancing the natural resources and visual characteristics of the area. Overall, the Update mainly calls for the upgrade and re-use of already existing developed areas and the continued preservation of much of the undeveloped land as open space. Based on its limited focus, the Plan update will not include the entirety of the City but instead will focus the office, business park, industrial, residential, and retail land uses of twelve Study Areas (see attached map).

In May 2009, PBS&J requested a Sacred Lands Database search from the NAHC for the General Plan Amendment Update. The NAHC responded that no Native American cultural resources sites were found in the project site boundary. PBS&J also sent letters describing the project to the individuals identified by the NAHC. To date, PBS&J has received no responses from any listed individuals.

Since the time of the aforementioned efforts, the General Plan Amendment Update Study Area has been expanded to include the Ladyface Mountain Specific Plan Area (Study Area 4) and the Agoura Village Specific Plan Area (Study Area 7), both depicted on the attached map. Accordingly, PBS&J requested a Sacred Lands Database search from the NAHC for the new Study Areas. The NAHC responded that no Native American cultural resources sites were found in or adjacent to the Study Area boundaries. The NAHC also identified you as an individual who may have knowledge of cultural resources within the Study Areas. If you are aware of any such properties within or adjacent to Study Areas 4 and 7 on the attached map, or within or adjacent to any of the 12 Study Areas, please contact Denise Jurich at (916) 325-1469, by email at dmjurich@pbsj.com, or by mail to the address below. We invite your views and comments about the proposed project as they relate to cultural resources.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in blue ink, appearing to read 'Denise M. Jurich', written in a cursive style.

Denise M. Jurich, RPA
Archaeologist

Enclosure: Study Area Map



An employee-owned company

September 9, 2009

Fernandeno Tatavium Band of Mission Indians
William Gonzalaes
601 South Brand Boulevard, Suite 102
San Fernando, CA 91340

Subject: General Plan Amendment Update for the City of Agoura Hills, Los Angeles County,
California

Dear Mr. Gonzalaes:

PBS&J is preparing a cultural resources study for the City of Agoura Hills General Plan Amendment Update. The Update focuses on maintaining the semi-rural atmosphere of Agoura Hills, encouraging business development that will support the City, and preserving and enhancing the natural resources and visual characteristics of the area. Overall, the Update mainly calls for the upgrade and re-use of already existing developed areas and the continued preservation of much of the undeveloped land as open space. Based on its limited focus, the Plan update will not include the entirety of the City but instead will focus the office, business park, industrial, residential, and retail land uses of twelve Study Areas (see attached map).

In May 2009, PBS&J requested a Sacred Lands Database search from the NAHC for the General Plan Amendment Update. The NAHC responded that no Native American cultural resources sites were found in the project site boundary. PBS&J also sent letters describing the project to the individuals identified by the NAHC. To date, PBS&J has received no responses from any listed individuals.

Since the time of the aforementioned efforts, the General Plan Amendment Update Study Area has been expanded to include the Ladyface Mountain Specific Plan Area (Study Area 4) and the Agoura Village Specific Plan Area (Study Area 7), both depicted on the attached map. Accordingly, PBS&J requested a Sacred Lands Database search from the NAHC for the new Study Areas. The NAHC responded that no Native American cultural resources sites were found in or adjacent to the Study Area boundaries. The NAHC also identified you as an individual who may have knowledge of cultural resources within the Study Areas. If you are aware of any such properties within or adjacent to Study Areas 4 and 7 on the attached map, or within or adjacent to any of the 12 Study Areas, please contact Denise Jurich at (916) 325-1469, by email at dmjurich@pbsj.com, or by mail to the address below. We invite your views and comments about the proposed project as they relate to cultural resources.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in blue ink, appearing to read 'Denise M. Jurich', written in a cursive style.

Denise M. Jurich, RPA
Archaeologist

Enclosure: Study Area Map



An employee-owned company

September 9, 2009

Los Angeles Native American Indian Commission
Ron Andrade, Director
3175 West 6th Street, Room 403
Los Angeles, CA 90020

Subject: General Plan Amendment Update for the City of Agoura Hills, Los Angeles County,
California

Dear Mr. Andrade:

PBS&J is preparing a cultural resources study for the City of Agoura Hills General Plan Amendment Update. The Update focuses on maintaining the semi-rural atmosphere of Agoura Hills, encouraging business development that will support the City, and preserving and enhancing the natural resources and visual characteristics of the area. Overall, the Update mainly calls for the upgrade and re-use of already existing developed areas and the continued preservation of much of the undeveloped land as open space. Based on its limited focus, the Plan update will not include the entirety of the City but instead will focus the office, business park, industrial, residential, and retail land uses of twelve Study Areas (see attached map).

In May 2009, PBS&J requested a Sacred Lands Database search from the NAHC for the General Plan Amendment Update. The NAHC responded that no Native American cultural resources sites were found in the project site boundary. PBS&J also sent letters describing the project to the individuals identified by the NAHC. To date, PBS&J has received no responses from any listed individuals.

Since the time of the aforementioned efforts, the General Plan Amendment Update Study Area has been expanded to include the Ladyface Mountain Specific Plan Area (Study Area 4) and the Agoura Village Specific Plan Area (Study Area 7), both depicted on the attached map. Accordingly, PBS&J requested a Sacred Lands Database search from the NAHC for the new Study Areas. The NAHC responded that no Native American cultural resources sites were found in or adjacent to the Study Area boundaries. The NAHC also identified you as an individual who may have knowledge of cultural resources within the Study Areas. If you are aware of any such properties within or adjacent to Study Areas 4 and 7 on the attached map, or within or adjacent to any of the 12 Study Areas, please contact Denise Jurich at (916) 325-1469, by email at dmjurich@pbsj.com, or by mail to the address below. We invite your views and comments about the proposed project as they relate to cultural resources.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in blue ink, appearing to read 'Denise M. Jurich', written in a cursive style.

Denise M. Jurich, RPA
Archaeologist

Enclosure: Study Area Map



An employee-owned company

September 9, 2009

San Fernando Band of Mission Indians
John Valenzuela, Chairperson
P.O. Box 221838
Newhall, CA 91322

Subject: General Plan Amendment Update for the City of Agoura Hills, Los Angeles County,
California

Dear Mr. Valenzuela:

PBS&J is preparing a cultural resources study for the City of Agoura Hills General Plan Amendment Update. The Update focuses on maintaining the semi-rural atmosphere of Agoura Hills, encouraging business development that will support the City, and preserving and enhancing the natural resources and visual characteristics of the area. Overall, the Update mainly calls for the upgrade and re-use of already existing developed areas and the continued preservation of much of the undeveloped land as open space. Based on its limited focus, the Plan update will not include the entirety of the City but instead will focus the office, business park, industrial, residential, and retail land uses of twelve Study Areas (see attached map).

In May 2009, PBS&J requested a Sacred Lands Database search from the NAHC for the General Plan Amendment Update. The NAHC responded that no Native American cultural resources sites were found in the project site boundary. PBS&J also sent letters describing the project to the individuals identified by the NAHC. To date, PBS&J has received no responses from any listed individuals.

Since the time of the aforementioned efforts, the General Plan Amendment Update Study Area has been expanded to include the Ladyface Mountain Specific Plan Area (Study Area 4) and the Agoura Village Specific Plan Area (Study Area 7), both depicted on the attached map. Accordingly, PBS&J requested a Sacred Lands Database search from the NAHC for the new Study Areas. The NAHC responded that no Native American cultural resources sites were found in or adjacent to the Study Area boundaries. The NAHC also identified you as an individual who may have knowledge of cultural resources within the Study Areas. If you are aware of any such properties within or adjacent to Study Areas 4 and 7 on the attached map, or within or adjacent to any of the 12 Study Areas, please contact Denise Jurich at (916) 325-1469, by email at dmjurich@pbsj.com, or by mail to the address below. We invite your views and comments about the proposed project as they relate to cultural resources.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in blue ink, appearing to read 'Denise M. Jurich', written in a cursive style.

Denise M. Jurich, RPA
Archaeologist

Enclosure: Study Area Map



An employee-owned company

September 9, 2009

Randy Guzman-Folkes
4577 Alamo Street, Unit C
Simi Valley, CA 93063

Subject: General Plan Amendment Update for the City of Agoura Hills, Los Angeles County,
California

Dear Mr. Guzman-Folkes:

PBS&J is preparing a cultural resources study for the City of Agoura Hills General Plan Amendment Update. The Update focuses on maintaining the semi-rural atmosphere of Agoura Hills, encouraging business development that will support the City, and preserving and enhancing the natural resources and visual characteristics of the area. Overall, the Update mainly calls for the upgrade and re-use of already existing developed areas and the continued preservation of much of the undeveloped land as open space. Based on its limited focus, the Plan update will not include the entirety of the City but instead will focus the office, business park, industrial, residential, and retail land uses of twelve Study Areas (see attached map).

In May 2009, PBS&J requested a Sacred Lands Database search from the NAHC for the General Plan Amendment Update. The NAHC responded that no Native American cultural resources sites were found in the project site boundary. PBS&J also sent letters describing the project to the individuals identified by the NAHC. To date, PBS&J has received no responses from any listed individuals.

Since the time of the aforementioned efforts, the General Plan Amendment Update Study Area has been expanded to include the Ladyface Mountain Specific Plan Area (Study Area 4) and the Agoura Village Specific Plan Area (Study Area 7), both depicted on the attached map. Accordingly, PBS&J requested a Sacred Lands Database search from the NAHC for the new Study Areas. The NAHC responded that no Native American cultural resources sites were found in or adjacent to the Study Area boundaries. The NAHC also identified you as an individual who may have knowledge of cultural resources within the Study Areas. If you are aware of any such properties within or adjacent to Study Areas 4 and 7 on the attached map, or within or adjacent to any of the 12 Study Areas, please contact Denise Jurich at (916) 325-1469, by email at dmjurich@pbsj.com, or by mail to the address below. We invite your views and comments about the proposed project as they relate to cultural resources.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in blue ink, appearing to read 'Denise M. Jurich'.

Denise M. Jurich, RPA
Archaeologist

Enclosure: Study Area Map

Appendix F

Noise Data

TRAFFIC NOISE LEVELS AND NOISE CONTOURS

Project Number: 100006439
Project Name: Agoura Hills General Plan Update

Background Information

Model Description: FHWA Highway Noise Prediction Model (FHWA-RD-77-108) with California Vehicle Noise (CALVENO) Emission Levels.
 Source of Traffic Volumes: Fehr & Peers, 2009
 Community Noise Descriptor: L_{dn}: _____ CNEL: X

Assumed 24-Hour Traffic Distribution:	Day	Evening	Night
Total ADT Volumes	77.70%	12.70%	9.60%
Medium-Duty Trucks	87.43%	5.05%	7.52%
Heavy-Duty Trucks	89.10%	2.84%	8.06%

"-" = contour is located within the roadway right-of-way.
 Distance is from the centerline of the roadway segment to the receptor location.

Analysis Condition Roadway, Segment	Lanes	Median Width	ADT Volume	Design Speed (mph)	Alpha Factor	Vehicle Mix		Distance from Centerline of Roadway				
						Medium Trucks	Heavy Trucks	CNEL at 100 Feet	70 CNEL	65 CNEL	60 CNEL	55 CNEL
Lake Lindero Road (1)												
north of Thousand Oaks Boulevard, existing	2	0	3,700	35	0.5	2.0%	1.0%	54.8	-	-	45	97
north of Thousand Oaks Boulevard, future (2035)	2	0	3,850	35	0.5	2.0%	1.0%	55.0	-	-	46	100
north of Thousand Oaks Boulevard, future + project	2	0	3,900	35	0.5	2.0%	1.0%	55.0	-	-	47	100
Thousand Oaks Boulevard (2)												
west of Lindero Road, existing	4	10	15,550	45	0.5	2.0%	1.0%	63.7	-	81	175	377
west of Lindero Road, future (2035)	4	10	16,400	45	0.5	2.0%	1.0%	63.9	-	84	181	391
west of Lindero Road, future + project	4	10	17,700	45	0.5	2.0%	1.0%	64.2	-	89	191	411
Lake Lindero Road (3)												
south of Thousand Oaks Boulevard, existing	2	0	3,300	35	0.5	2.0%	1.0%	54.3	-	-	42	90
south of Thousand Oaks Boulevard, future (2035)	2	0	3,300	35	0.5	2.0%	1.0%	54.3	-	-	42	90
south of Thousand Oaks Boulevard, future + project	2	0	3,450	35	0.5	2.0%	1.0%	54.5	-	-	43	93
Reyes Adobe Road (4)												
north of Thousand Oaks Boulevard, existing	4	0	6,700	40	0.5	2.0%	1.0%	58.7	-	-	83	178
north of Thousand Oaks Boulevard, future (2035)	4	0	6,950	40	0.5	2.0%	1.0%	58.9	-	-	85	182
north of Thousand Oaks Boulevard, future + project	4	0	7,100	40	0.5	2.0%	1.0%	59.0	-	-	86	185
Thousand Oaks Boulevard (5)												
west of Reyes Adobe Road, existing	4	10	12,550	45	0.5	2.0%	1.0%	62.7	-	70	152	327
west of Reyes Adobe Road, future (2035)	4	10	13,150	45	0.5	2.0%	1.0%	62.9	-	73	157	337
west of Reyes Adobe Road, future + project	4	10	14,600	45	0.5	2.0%	1.0%	63.4	-	78	168	362
Thousand Oaks Boulevard (6)												
east of Reyes Adobe Road, existing	4	10	14,950	45	0.5	2.0%	1.0%	63.5	-	79	171	368
east of Reyes Adobe Road, future (2035)	4	10	15,550	45	0.5	2.0%	1.0%	63.7	-	81	175	377
east of Reyes Adobe Road, future + project	4	10	16,300	45	0.5	2.0%	1.0%	63.9	-	84	181	389
Reyes Adobe Road (7)												
south of Thousand Oaks Boulevard, existing	4	0	10,750	40	0.5	2.0%	1.0%	60.8	-	53	113	244
south of Thousand Oaks Boulevard, future (2035)	4	0	10,750	40	0.5	2.0%	1.0%	60.8	-	53	113	244
south of Thousand Oaks Boulevard, future + project	4	0	12,250	40	0.5	2.0%	1.0%	61.4	-	57	123	266
Kanan Road (8)												
south of Fountainwood Avenue, existing	4	10	21,650	35	0.5	2.0%	1.0%	62.6	-	70	150	323
south of Fountainwood Avenue, future (2035)	4	10	24,950	35	0.5	2.0%	1.0%	63.3	-	76	165	355
south of Fountainwood Avenue, future + project	4	10	27,600	35	0.5	2.0%	1.0%	63.7	-	82	176	380
Kanan Road (9)												
north of Thousand Oaks Boulevard, existing	4	10	29,150	35	0.5	2.0%	1.0%	63.9	-	85	183	394
north of Thousand Oaks Boulevard, future	4	10	33,500	35	0.5	2.0%	1.0%	64.5	-	93	200	432
north of Thousand Oaks Boulevard, future + project	4	10	36,800	35	0.5	2.0%	1.0%	64.9	-	99	213	460
Thousand Oaks Boulevard (10)												
west of Kanan Road, existing	4	10	13,550	45	0.5	2.0%	1.0%	63.1	-	74	160	344
west of Kanan Road, future (2035)	4	10	14,150	45	0.5	2.0%	1.0%	63.2	-	76	164	354
west of Kanan Road, future + project	4	10	15,000	45	0.5	2.0%	1.0%	63.5	-	79	171	368
Thousand Oaks Boulevard (11)												
east of Kanan Road, existing	4	10	10,600	45	0.5	2.0%	1.0%	62.0	-	63	136	292
east of Kanan Road, future (2035)	4	10	11,000	45	0.5	2.0%	1.0%	62.1	-	65	139	300
east of Kanan Road, future + project	4	10	11,850	45	0.5	2.0%	1.0%	62.5	-	68	146	315
Kanan Road (12)												
south of Thousand Oaks Boulevard, existing	4	10	31,200	35	0.5	2.0%	1.0%	64.2	-	89	191	412
south of Thousand Oaks Boulevard, future (2035)	4	10	33,800	35	0.5	2.0%	1.0%	64.6	-	94	202	434
south of Thousand Oaks Boulevard, future + project	4	10	37,150	35	0.5	2.0%	1.0%	65.0	-	100	215	463
Driver Avenue (13)												
east of Argos Street, existing	2	0	6,800	30	0.5	2.0%	1.0%	56.6	-	-	59	127
east of Argos Street, future (2035)	2	0	7,150	30	0.5	2.0%	1.0%	56.8	-	-	61	132
east of Argos Street, future + project	2	0	7,800	30	0.5	2.0%	1.0%	57.2	-	-	65	139
Agoura Road (14)												
east of Flintlock Lane, existing	4	10	8,600	45	0.5	2.0%	1.0%	61.1	-	55	118	254
east of Flintlock Lane, future (2035)	4	10	8,700	45	0.5	2.0%	1.0%	61.1	-	55	119	256
east of Flintlock Lane, future + project	4	10	10,200	45	0.5	2.0%	1.0%	61.8	-	61	132	285
Reyes Adobe Road (15)												
north of Canwood Street, existing	4	0	13,400	40	0.5	2.0%	1.0%	61.8	-	61	131	282
north of Canwood Street, future (2035)	4	0	13,400	40	0.5	2.0%	1.0%	61.8	-	61	131	282
north of Canwood Street, future + project	4	0	16,000	40	0.5	2.0%	1.0%	62.5	-	68	147	318
Canwood Street (16)												
west of Reyes Adobe Road, existing	2	0	5,500	35	0.5	2.0%	1.0%	56.5	-	-	59	126
west of Reyes Adobe Road, future (2035)	2	0	5,600	35	0.5	2.0%	1.0%	56.6	-	-	59	128
west of Reyes Adobe Road, future + project	2	0	5,700	35	0.5	2.0%	1.0%	56.7	-	-	60	129

Analysis Condition Roadway, Segment	Lanes	Median Width	ADT Volume	Design Speed (mph)	Alpha Factor	Vehicle Mix		Distance from Centerline of Roadway CNEL at 100 Feet	Distance to Contour				
						Medium Trucks	Heavy Trucks		70 CNEL	65 CNEL	60 CNEL	55 CNEL	
						Canwood Street (17)							
east of Reyes Adobe Road, existing	2	0	3,100	35	0.5	2.0%	1.0%	54.0	-	-	40	86	
east of Reyes Adobe Road, future (2035)	2	0	3,100	35	0.5	2.0%	1.0%	54.0	-	-	40	86	
east of Reyes Adobe Road, future + project	2	0	3,500	35	0.5	2.0%	1.0%	54.6	-	-	43	93	
Reyes Adobe Road (18)													
north of Agoura Road, existing	4	0	13,300	40	0.5	2.0%	1.0%	61.7	-	61	130	281	
north of Agoura Road, future (2035)	4	0	13,350	40	0.5	2.0%	1.0%	61.7	-	61	131	282	
north of Agoura Road, future + project	4	0	20,650	40	0.5	2.0%	1.0%	63.6	-	81	175	377	
Agoura Road (19)													
west of Reyes Adobe Road, existing	4	10	9,150	45	0.5	2.0%	1.0%	61.3	-	57	123	265	
west of Reyes Adobe Road, future (2035)	4	10	9,300	45	0.5	2.0%	1.0%	61.4	-	58	124	268	
west of Reyes Adobe Road, future + project	4	10	13,250	45	0.5	2.0%	1.0%	63.0	-	73	157	339	
Agoura Road (20)													
east of Reyes Adobe Road, existing	4	0	11,700	45	0.5	2.0%	1.0%	62.3	-	67	143	309	
east of Reyes Adobe Road, future (2035)	4	0	11,800	45	0.5	2.0%	1.0%	62.4	-	67	144	311	
east of Reyes Adobe Road, future + project	4	0	16,850	45	0.5	2.0%	1.0%	63.9	-	85	183	394	
Kanan Road (21)													
south of Canwood Street East, existing	6	10	39,700	35	0.5	2.0%	1.0%	65.5	-	108	233	502	
south of Canwood Street East, future (2035)	6	10	42,950	35	0.5	2.0%	1.0%	65.9	-	114	246	530	
south of Canwood Street East, future + project	6	10	53,200	35	0.5	2.0%	1.0%	66.8	61	132	283	611	
Canwood Street (22)													
west of Kanan Road, existing	2	0	4,150	35	0.5	2.0%	1.0%	55.3	-	-	49	105	
west of Kanan Road, future (2035)	2	0	4,250	35	0.5	2.0%	1.0%	55.4	-	-	49	106	
west of Kanan Road, future + project	2	0	6,650	35	0.5	2.0%	1.0%	57.3	-	-	67	143	
Canwood Street (23)													
east of Kanan Road, existing	2	0	9,750	35	0.5	2.0%	1.0%	59.0	-	40	86	185	
east of Kanan Road, future (2035)	2	0	9,750	35	0.5	2.0%	1.0%	59.0	-	40	86	185	
east of Kanan Road, future + project	2	0	19,000	35	0.5	2.0%	1.0%	61.9	-	62	134	288	
Kanan Road (24)													
north of Agoura Road, existing	4	10	21,800	35	0.5	2.0%	1.0%	62.7	-	70	151	324	
north of Agoura Road, future (2035)	4	10	25,450	35	0.5	2.0%	1.0%	63.3	-	77	167	360	
north of Agoura Road, future + project	4	10	37,100	35	0.5	2.0%	1.0%	65.0	-	100	215	462	
Agoura Road (25)													
west of Kanan Road, existing	4	0	9,050	45	0.5	2.0%	1.0%	61.2	-	56	121	260	
west of Kanan Road, future (2035)	4	0	9,200	45	0.5	2.0%	1.0%	61.3	-	57	122	263	
west of Kanan Road, future + project	4	0	16,050	45	0.5	2.0%	1.0%	63.7	-	82	177	381	
Agoura Road (26)													
east of Kanan Road, existing	2	0	6,250	45	0.5	2.0%	1.0%	59.5	-	43	93	200	
east of Kanan Road, future (2035)	2	0	6,350	45	0.5	2.0%	1.0%	59.6	-	44	94	202	
east of Kanan Road, future + project	2	0	10,000	45	0.5	2.0%	1.0%	61.6	-	59	127	274	
Kanan Road (27)													
south of Agoura Road, existing	2	10	15,500	45	0.5	2.0%	1.0%	63.5	-	79	171	369	
south of Agoura Road, future (2035)	2	10	18,300	45	0.5	2.0%	1.0%	64.2	41	89	191	412	
south of Agoura Road, future + project	2	10	23,600	45	0.5	2.0%	1.0%	65.3	49	105	226	488	
Roadside Drive (28)													
west of Lewis Road, existing	2	0	2,800	35	0.5	2.0%	1.0%	53.6	-	-	37	80	
west of Lewis Road, future (2035)	2	0	2,800	35	0.5	2.0%	1.0%	53.6	-	-	37	80	
west of Lewis Road, future + project	2	0	3,650	35	0.5	2.0%	1.0%	54.7	-	-	45	96	
Agoura Road (29)													
east of Cornell Road, existing	2	0	5,300	45	0.5	2.0%	1.0%	58.8	-	39	83	179	
east of Cornell Road, future (2035)	2	0	5,550	45	0.5	2.0%	1.0%	59.0	-	40	86	185	
east of Cornell Road, future + project	2	0	9,200	45	0.5	2.0%	1.0%	61.2	-	56	120	259	
Chesebro Road (30)													
north of Driver Avenue/Palo Comado Canyon Road, existing	2	0	3,450	35	0.5	2.0%	1.0%	54.5	-	-	43	93	
north of Driver Avenue/Palo Comado Canyon Road, future (2035)	2	0	3,850	35	0.5	2.0%	1.0%	55.0	-	-	46	100	
north of Driver Avenue/Palo Comado Canyon Road, future + project	2	0	3,850	35	0.5	2.0%	1.0%	55.0	-	-	46	100	
Driver Avenue (31)													
west of Chesebro Road, existing	2	0	8,200	30	0.5	2.0%	1.0%	57.4	-	-	67	144	
west of Chesebro Road, future (2035)	2	0	8,550	30	0.5	2.0%	1.0%	57.6	-	-	69	148	
west of Chesebro Road, future + project	2	0	9,000	30	0.5	2.0%	1.0%	57.8	-	33	71	153	
Palo Comado Canyon Road (32)													
east of Chesebro Road, existing	2	0	12,550	35	0.5	2.0%	1.0%	60.1	-	47	102	219	
east of Chesebro Road, future (2035)	2	0	12,600	35	0.5	2.0%	1.0%	60.1	-	47	102	219	
east of Chesebro Road, future + project	2	0	17,850	35	0.5	2.0%	1.0%	61.6	-	60	128	277	
Chesebro Road (33)													
south of Driver Avenue/Palo Comado Canyon Road, existing	2	0	5,500	35	0.5	2.0%	1.0%	56.5	-	-	59	126	
south of Driver Avenue/Palo Comado Canyon Road, future (2035)	2	0	5,600	35	0.5	2.0%	1.0%	56.6	-	-	59	128	
south of Driver Avenue/Palo Comado Canyon Road, future + project	2	0	11,500	35	0.5	2.0%	1.0%	59.7	-	44	96	206	
Dorothy Drive (34)													
Lewis Road to US-101 SB Ramps/Chesebro Road, existing	2	0	3,300	35	0.5	2.0%	1.0%	54.3	-	-	42	90	
Lewis Road to US-101 SB Ramps/Chesebro Road, future (2035)	2	0	3,350	35	0.5	2.0%	1.0%	54.4	-	-	42	91	
Lewis Road to US-101 SB Ramps/Chesebro Road, future + project	2	0	5,150	35	0.5	2.0%	1.0%	56.2	-	-	56	121	
Chesebro Road (35)													
south of Dorothy Drive, existing	2	0	8,400	35	0.5	2.0%	1.0%	58.4	-	36	78	167	
south of Dorothy Drive, future (2035)	2	0	9,350	35	0.5	2.0%	1.0%	58.8	-	39	83	180	
south of Dorothy Drive, future + project	2	0	12,400	35	0.5	2.0%	1.0%	60.0	-	47	101	217	
Agoura Road (36)													
west of Chesebro Road, existing	2	0	5,650	45	0.5	2.0%	1.0%	59.1	-	40	87	187	
west of Chesebro Road, future (2035)	2	0	5,800	45	0.5	2.0%	1.0%	59.2	-	41	88	191	
west of Chesebro Road, future + project	2	0	8,700	45	0.5	2.0%	1.0%	61.0	-	54	116	250	
Palo Comado Canyon Road (37)													
south of Dorothy Drive, existing	2	0	9,950	35	0.5	2.0%	1.0%	59.1	-	40	87	187	

Analysis Condition Roadway, Segment	Lanes	Median Width	ADT Volume	Design Speed (mph)	Alpha Factor	Vehicle Mix		Distance from Centerline of Roadway				
						Medium Trucks	Heavy Trucks	CNEL at 100 Feet	70 CNEL	65 CNEL	60 CNEL	55 CNEL
south of Dorothy Drive, future (2035)	2	0	11,300	35	0.5	2.0%	1.0%	59.6	-	44	95	204
south of Dorothy Drive, future + project	2	0	16,900	35	0.5	2.0%	1.0%	61.4	-	57	124	267
Chesebro Road (38)												
north of Agoura Road, existing	2	0	5,350	35	0.5	2.0%	1.0%	56.4	-	-	58	124
north of Agoura Road, future (2035)	2	0	5,750	35	0.5	2.0%	1.0%	56.7	-	-	60	130
north of Agoura Road, future + project	2	0	8,450	35	0.5	2.0%	1.0%	58.4	-	36	78	168
Liberty Canyon Road (39)												
US-101 NB ramps & US-101 SB ramps, existing	4	10	5,450	45	0.5	2.0%	1.0%	59.1	-	-	87	188
US-101 NB ramps & US-101 SB ramps, future (2035)	4	10	5,650	45	0.5	2.0%	1.0%	59.3	-	-	89	192
US-101 NB ramps & US-101 SB ramps, future + project	4	10	5,800	45	0.5	2.0%	1.0%	59.4	-	-	91	196
Liberty Canyon Road (40)												
north of Agoura Road, existing	4	10	7,050	45	0.5	2.0%	1.0%	60.2	-	-	103	223
north of Agoura Road, future (2035)	4	10	7,300	45	0.5	2.0%	1.0%	60.4	-	49	106	228
north of Agoura Road, future + project	4	10	7,550	45	0.5	2.0%	1.0%	60.5	-	50	108	233
Agoura Road (41)												
west of Liberty Canyon Road, existing	2	0	4,700	45	0.5	2.0%	1.0%	58.3	-	36	77	166
west of Liberty Canyon Road, future (2035)	2	0	4,850	45	0.5	2.0%	1.0%	58.4	-	36	79	169
west of Liberty Canyon Road, future + project	2	0	6,450	45	0.5	2.0%	1.0%	59.7	-	44	95	205
Agoura Road (42)												
east of Liberty Canyon Road, existing	2	0	6,050	45	0.5	2.0%	1.0%	59.4	-	42	91	196
east of Liberty Canyon Road, future (2035)	2	0	6,250	45	0.5	2.0%	1.0%	59.5	-	43	93	200
east of Liberty Canyon Road, future + project	2	0	6,250	45	0.5	2.0%	1.0%	59.5	-	43	93	200
Liberty Canyon Road (43)												
south of Agoura Road, existing	4	10	4,750	45	0.5	2.0%	1.0%	58.5	-	-	79	171
south of Agoura Road, future (2035)	4	10	4,950	45	0.5	2.0%	1.0%	58.7	-	-	82	176
south of Agoura Road, future + project	4	10	6,250	45	0.5	2.0%	1.0%	59.7	-	-	95	205
US-101 (1)*												
north of Reyes Adobe Road, existing	10	25	130,000	55	0.5	3.0%	2.0%	77.6	320	690	1,487	3,203
north of Reyes Adobe Road, future (2035)	10	25	157,000	55	0.5	3.0%	2.0%	78.4	363	783	1,686	3,632
north of Reyes Adobe Road, future + project	10	25	166,000	55	0.5	3.0%	2.0%	78.6	377	812	1,750	3,770
US-101 (2)*												
north of Kanan Road, existing	10	25	130,000	55	0.5	3.0%	2.0%	77.6	320	690	1,487	3,203
north of Kanan Road, future (2035)	10	25	157,000	55	0.5	3.0%	2.0%	78.4	363	783	1,686	3,632
north of Kanan Road, future + project	10	25	165,000	55	0.5	3.0%	2.0%	78.6	375	809	1,743	3,754
US-101 (3)*												
north of Chesebro Road, existing	10	25	132,000	55	0.5	3.0%	2.0%	77.6	324	697	1,502	3,235
north of Chesebro Road, future (2035)	10	25	160,000	55	0.5	3.0%	2.0%	78.5	368	792	1,707	3,678
north of Chesebro Road, future + project	10	25	167,000	55	0.5	3.0%	2.0%	78.7	378	815	1,757	3,785
US-101 (4)*												
north of Liberty Canyon Road, existing	10	25	136,000	55	0.5	3.0%	2.0%	77.8	330	711	1,532	3,301
north of Liberty Canyon Road, future (2035)	10	25	165,000	55	0.5	3.0%	2.0%	78.6	375	809	1,743	3,754
north of Liberty Canyon Road, future + project	10	25	175,000	55	0.5	3.0%	2.0%	78.9	390	841	1,812	3,905
US-101 (5)*												
south of Liberty Canyon Road, existing	10	25	141,500	55	0.5	3.0%	2.0%	78.0	339	730	1,573	3,389
south of Liberty Canyon Road, future (2035)	10	25	171,000	55	0.5	3.0%	2.0%	78.8	384	828	1,785	3,845
south of Liberty Canyon Road, future + project	10	25	181,500	55	0.5	3.0%	2.0%	79.0	400	862	1,857	4,001

*US-101 ADTs obtained by multiplying the AM Peak Hour traffic volume by 10.

File Translated: P:\Projects - All Users\100000000+\100006439 Agoura Hills GP\EIR\Data\Noise\Measurements\Location 1.slm
 Model/Serial Number: 814 / A0174
 Firmware/Software Revs: 1.026 / 1.07
 Name: PBS&J/EIP
 Descr1: 12301 Wilshire Blvd., Ste. 430
 Descr2: Los Angeles, CA 90025
 Setup/Setup Descr: 15minute.slm / 15 Minute
 Location: On the sidewalk in front of 5322 Alfonso Drive
 Note1: 20 ft 8 in from centerline to curb
 Note2: 25 ft from centerline to noise monitor
 Octave Filters: None

Overall Measurement

Start Time: 08-May-2001 12:26:19
 Elapsed Time: 00:15:00.0
 Leq: 58.3 dBA
 SEL: 87.9 dBA
 Dose: 0.00 %
 Proj. Dose: 0.06 %
 Threshold: 0 dB
 Criterion: 90 dB
 Exchange Rate: 3 dB

Current Measurement

Start Time: 08-May-2001 12:26:19
 Elapsed Time: 00:15:00.0
 Leq: 58.3 dBA
 SEL: 87.9 dBA
 Dose: 0.00 %
 Proj. Dose: 0.06 %
 Threshold: 0 dB
 Criterion: 90 dB
 Exchange Rate: 3 dB

Min: 53.9 dBA 08-May-2001 12:26:34
 Max: 67.2 dBA 08-May-2001 12:35:02
 Peak-1: 89.5 dBF 08-May-2001 12:39:54
 Peak-2: 84.8 dBA 08-May-2001 12:33:25

Min: 53.9 dBA 08-May-2001 12:26:34
 Max: 67.2 dBA 08-May-2001 12:35:02
 Peak-1: 89.5 dBF 08-May-2001 12:39:54
 Peak-2: 84.8 dBA 08-May-2001 12:33:25

L 1.67 62.7 dBA L 50.00 57.8 dBA
 L 8.33 59.8 dBA L 66.67 57.4 dBA
 L 33.33 58.3 dBA L 90.00 56.3 dBA

Detector: Slow

Weighting: A

SPL Exceedance Level 1: 115.00 Exceeded: 0 times
 SPL Exceedance level 2: 120 Exceeded: 0 times
 Peak-1 Exceedance Level: 140 Exceeded: 0 times
 Peak-2 Exceedance Level: 140 Exceeded: 0 times
 Hysteresis: 2
 Overloaded: 0 time(s)
 Paused: 0 times for 00:00:00.0

Calibrated: 12-Mar-2009 15:18:27
 Checked: 08-May-2001 12:05:52
 Calibrator LD 0504
 Cal Records Count: 0

Offset: 8.5 dB
 Level: 113.60 dB
 Level: 114.0 dB

Interval Records: Enabled
 History Records: Disabled

Number Interval Records: 1
 Number History Records: 18

814 Memory: 524288 bytes
 Free Memory: 425287 bytes 81.12% free

Battery Level: 95% Source: INT

File Translated: P:\Projects - All Users\100000000+\100006439 Agoura Hills GP\EIR\Data\Noise\Measurements\Location 2.slm
 Model/Serial Number: 814 / A0174
 Firmware/Software Revs: 1.026 / 1.07
 Name: PBS&J/EIP
 Descr1: 12301 Wilshire Blvd., Ste. 430
 Descr2: Los Angeles, CA 90025
 Setup/Setup Descr: 15minute.slm / 15 Minute
 Location: On the sidewalk in front of 30601 Agoura Road
 Note1: 29 ft 6 in from centerline to curb
 Note2: 39 ft 3 in from centerline to noise monitor
 Octave Filters: None

Overall Measurement

Start Time: 08-May-2001 12:58:21
 Elapsed Time: 00:15:00.0
 Leq: 65.0 dBA
 SEL: 94.6 dBA
 Dose: 0.00 %
 Proj. Dose: 0.31 %
 Threshold: 0 dB
 Criterion: 90 dB
 Exchange Rate: 3 dB

Current Measurement

Start Time: 08-May-2001 12:58:21
 Elapsed Time: 00:15:00.0
 Leq: 65.0 dBA
 SEL: 94.6 dBA
 Dose: 0.00 %
 Proj. Dose: 0.31 %
 Threshold: 0 dB
 Criterion: 90 dB
 Exchange Rate: 3 dB

Min: 57.3 dBA 08-May-2001 13:08:20
 Max: 77.0 dBA 08-May-2001 13:11:21
 Peak-1: 100.8 dBF 08-May-2001 13:11:20
 Peak-2: 91.1 dBA 08-May-2001 13:11:20

Min: 57.3 dBA 08-May-2001 13:08:20
 Max: 77.0 dBA 08-May-2001 13:11:21
 Peak-1: 100.8 dBF 08-May-2001 13:11:20
 Peak-2: 91.1 dBA 08-May-2001 13:11:20

L 1.67 71.4 dBA L 50.00 62.6 dBA
 L 8.33 69.1 dBA L 66.67 61.0 dBA
 L 33.33 64.4 dBA L 90.00 59.3 dBA

Detector: Slow

Weighting: A

SPL Exceedance Level 1: 115.00 Exceeded: 0 times
 SPL Exceedance level 2: 120 Exceeded: 0 times
 Peak-1 Exceedance Level: 140 Exceeded: 0 times
 Peak-2 Exceedance Level: 140 Exceeded: 0 times
 Hysteresis: 2
 Overloaded: 0 time(s)
 Paused: 0 times for 00:00:00.0

Calibrated: 12-Mar-2009 15:18:27
 Checked: 08-May-2001 12:05:52
 Calibrator LD 0504
 Cal Records Count: 0

Offset: 8.5 dB
 Level: 113.60 dB
 Level: 114.0 dB

Interval Records: Enabled
 History Records: Disabled

Number Interval Records: 1
 Number History Records: 18

814 Memory: 524288 bytes
 Free Memory: 425287 bytes 81.12% free

Battery Level: 94% Source: INT

File Translated: P:\Projects - All Users\100000000+\100006439 Agoura Hills GP\EIR\Data\Noise\Measurements\Location 3.slm
 Model/Serial Number: 814 / A0174
 Firmware/Software Revs: 1.026 / 1.07
 Name: PBS&J/EIP
 Descr1: 12301 Wilshire Blvd., Ste. 430
 Descr2: Los Angeles, CA 90025
 Setup/Setup Descr: 15minute.slm / 15 Minute
 Location: On the sidewalk across the street from 5719 Lake Lindero Drive
 Note1: 20 ft from centerline to curb
 Note2: 24 ft from centerline to noise monitor
 Octave Filters: None

Overall Measurement

Start Time: 08-May-2001 13:30:38
 Elapsed Time: 00:15:00.0
 Leq: 69.5 dBA
 SEL: 99.0 dBA
 Dose: 0.00 %
 Proj. Dose: 0.89 %
 Threshold: 0 dB
 Criterion: 90 dB
 Exchange Rate: 3 dB

Current Measurement

Start Time: 08-May-2001 13:30:38
 Elapsed Time: 00:15:00.0
 Leq: 69.5 dBA
 SEL: 99.0 dBA
 Dose: 0.00 %
 Proj. Dose: 0.89 %
 Threshold: 0 dB
 Criterion: 90 dB
 Exchange Rate: 3 dB

Min: 52.9 dBA 08-May-2001 13:34:56
 Max: 93.5 dBA 08-May-2001 13:40:45
 Peak-1: 115.6 dBF 08-May-2001 13:40:45
 Peak-2: 114.2 dBA 08-May-2001 13:40:45

Min: 52.9 dBA 08-May-2001 13:34:56
 Max: 93.5 dBA 08-May-2001 13:40:45
 Peak-1: 115.6 dBF 08-May-2001 13:40:45
 Peak-2: 114.2 dBA 08-May-2001 13:40:45

L 1.67 77.0 dBA L 50.00 62.5 dBA
 L 8.33 70.5 dBA L 66.67 60.4 dBA
 L 33.33 64.7 dBA L 90.00 56.0 dBA

Detector: Slow

Weighting: A

SPL Exceedance Level 1: 115.00 Exceeded: 0 times
 SPL Exceedance level 2: 120 Exceeded: 0 times
 Peak-1 Exceedance Level: 140 Exceeded: 0 times
 Peak-2 Exceedance Level: 140 Exceeded: 0 times
 Hysteresis: 2
 Overloaded: 0 time(s)
 Paused: 0 times for 00:00:00.0

Calibrated: 12-Mar-2009 15:18:27
 Checked: 08-May-2001 12:05:52
 Calibrator LD 0504
 Cal Records Count: 0

Offset: 8.5 dB
 Level: 113.60 dB
 Level: 114.0 dB

Interval Records: Enabled
 History Records: Disabled

Number Interval Records: 1
 Number History Records: 18

814 Memory: 524288 bytes
 Free Memory: 425287 bytes 81.12% free

Battery Level: 94% Source: INT

File Translated: P:\Projects - All Users\100000000+\100006439 Agoura Hills GP\EIR\Data\Noise\Measurements\Location 4.slm
 Model/Serial Number: 814 / A0174
 Firmware/Software Revs: 1.026 / 1.07
 Name: PBS&J/EIP
 Descr1: 12301 Wilshire Blvd., Ste. 430
 Descr2: Los Angeles, CA 90025
 Setup/Setup Descr: 15minute.slm / 15 Minute
 Location: In between Calmfiled Ave and Kanan Rd on the north sidewalk of Laro Dr
 Note1: 20 ft from centerline to curb
 Note2: 25 ft 5 in from centerline to noise monitor
 Octave Filters: None

Overall Measurement

Start Time: 08-May-2001 14:03:21
 Elapsed Time: 00:15:00.0
 Leq: 61.7 dBA
 SEL: 91.2 dBA
 Dose: 0.00 %
 Proj. Dose: 0.14 %
 Threshold: 0 dB
 Criterion: 90 dB
 Exchange Rate: 3 dB

Current Measurement

Start Time: 08-May-2001 14:03:21
 Elapsed Time: 00:15:00.0
 Leq: 61.7 dBA
 SEL: 91.2 dBA
 Dose: 0.00 %
 Proj. Dose: 0.14 %
 Threshold: 0 dB
 Criterion: 90 dB
 Exchange Rate: 3 dB

Min: 47.0 dBA 08-May-2001 14:15:01
 Max: 75.4 dBA 08-May-2001 14:14:40
 Peak-1: 99.1 dBF 08-May-2001 14:10:01
 Peak-2: 95.8 dBA 08-May-2001 14:09:53

Min: 47.0 dBA 08-May-2001 14:15:01
 Max: 75.4 dBA 08-May-2001 14:14:40
 Peak-1: 99.1 dBF 08-May-2001 14:10:01
 Peak-2: 95.8 dBA 08-May-2001 14:09:53

L 1.67 69.4 dBA L 50.00 59.1 dBA
 L 8.33 65.2 dBA L 66.67 56.8 dBA
 L 33.33 61.2 dBA L 90.00 51.7 dBA

Detector: Slow

Weighting: A

SPL Exceedance Level 1: 115.00 Exceeded: 0 times
 SPL Exceedance level 2: 120 Exceeded: 0 times
 Peak-1 Exceedance Level: 140 Exceeded: 0 times
 Peak-2 Exceedance Level: 140 Exceeded: 0 times
 Hysteresis: 2
 Overloaded: 0 time(s)
 Paused: 0 times for 00:00:00.0

Calibrated: 12-Mar-2009 15:18:27
 Checked: 08-May-2001 12:05:52
 Calibrator LD 0504
 Cal Records Count: 0

Offset: 8.5 dB
 Level: 113.60 dB
 Level: 114.0 dB

Interval Records: Enabled
 History Records: Disabled

Number Interval Records: 1
 Number History Records: 18

814 Memory: 524288 bytes
 Free Memory: 425287 bytes 81.12% free

Battery Level: 94% Source: INT

File Translated: P:\Projects - All Users\100000000+\100006439 Agoura Hills GP\EIR\Data\Noise\Measurements\Location 5.slm
 Model/Serial Number: 814 / A0174
 Firmware/Software Revs: 1.026 / 1.07
 Name: PBS&J/EIP
 Descr1: 12301 Wilshire Blvd., Ste. 430
 Descr2: Los Angeles, CA 90025
 Setup/Setup Descr: 15minute.slm / 15 Minute
 Location: NW corner of Kanan Road and Thousand Oaks Blvd
 Note1: 41 ft 9 in from centerline of Kanan to curb
 Note2: 47 ft 9 in from centerline of Kanan to noise monitor
 Octave Filters: None

Overall Measurement

Start Time: 08-May-2001 14:30:19
 Elapsed Time: 00:15:00.0
 Leq: 69.8 dBA
 SEL: 99.4 dBA
 Dose: 0.00 %
 Proj. Dose: 0.96 %
 Threshold: 0 dB
 Criterion: 90 dB
 Exchange Rate: 3 dB

Current Measurement

Start Time: 08-May-2001 14:30:19
 Elapsed Time: 00:15:00.0
 Leq: 69.8 dBA
 SEL: 99.4 dBA
 Dose: 0.00 %
 Proj. Dose: 0.96 %
 Threshold: 0 dB
 Criterion: 90 dB
 Exchange Rate: 3 dB

Min: 57.4 dBA 08-May-2001 14:39:11
 Max: 85.6 dBA 08-May-2001 14:35:47
 Peak-1: 106.2 dBF 08-May-2001 14:31:51
 Peak-2: 102.1 dBA 08-May-2001 14:31:51

Min: 57.4 dBA 08-May-2001 14:39:11
 Max: 85.6 dBA 08-May-2001 14:35:47
 Peak-1: 106.2 dBF 08-May-2001 14:31:51
 Peak-2: 102.1 dBA 08-May-2001 14:31:51

L 1.67 77.1 dBA L 50.00 66.6 dBA
 L 8.33 73.3 dBA L 66.67 64.4 dBA
 L 33.33 69.6 dBA L 90.00 61.4 dBA

Detector: Slow

Weighting: A

SPL Exceedance Level 1: 115.00 Exceeded: 0 times
 SPL Exceedance level 2: 120 Exceeded: 0 times
 Peak-1 Exceedance Level: 140 Exceeded: 0 times
 Peak-2 Exceedance Level: 140 Exceeded: 0 times
 Hysteresis: 2
 Overloaded: 0 time(s)
 Paused: 0 times for 00:00:00.0

Calibrated: 12-Mar-2009 15:18:27
 Checked: 08-May-2001 12:05:52
 Calibrator LD 0504
 Cal Records Count: 0

Offset: 8.5 dB
 Level: 113.60 dB
 Level: 114.0 dB

Interval Records: Enabled
 History Records: Disabled

Number Interval Records: 1
 Number History Records: 18

814 Memory: 524288 bytes
 Free Memory: 425287 bytes 81.12% free

Battery Level: 94% Source: INT

File Translated: P:\Projects - All Users\100000000+\100006439 Agoura Hills GP\EIR\Data\Noise\Measurements\Location 6.slm
 Model/Serial Number: 814 / A0174
 Firmware/Software Revs: 1.026 / 1.07
 Name: PBS&J/EIP
 Descr1: 12301 Wilshire Blvd., Ste. 430
 Descr2: Los Angeles, CA 90025
 Setup/Setup Descr: 15minute.slm / 15 Minute
 Location: On the sidewalk in front of 5565 Buffwood Place
 Note1: 17 ft from centerline to curb
 Note2: 22 ft 5 in from centerline to noise monitor
 Octave Filters: None

Overall Measurement

Start Time: 08-May-2001 14:57:37
 Elapsed Time: 00:15:00.0
 Leq: 54.5 dBA
 SEL: 84.1 dBA
 Dose: 0.00 %
 Proj. Dose: 0.00 %
 Threshold: 0 dB
 Criterion: 90 dB
 Exchange Rate: 3 dB

Current Measurement

Start Time: 08-May-2001 14:57:37
 Elapsed Time: 00:15:00.0
 Leq: 54.5 dBA
 SEL: 84.1 dBA
 Dose: 0.00 %
 Proj. Dose: 0.00 %
 Threshold: 0 dB
 Criterion: 90 dB
 Exchange Rate: 3 dB

Min: 48.8 dBA 08-May-2001 15:04:20
 Max: 64.1 dBA 08-May-2001 14:58:40
 Peak-1: 91.7 dBF 08-May-2001 15:00:39
 Peak-2: 91.1 dBA 08-May-2001 14:58:39

Min: 48.8 dBA 08-May-2001 15:04:20
 Max: 64.1 dBA 08-May-2001 14:58:40
 Peak-1: 91.7 dBF 08-May-2001 15:00:39
 Peak-2: 91.1 dBA 08-May-2001 14:58:39

L 1.67 58.9 dBA L 50.00 54.1 dBA
 L 8.33 56.6 dBA L 66.67 52.9 dBA
 L 33.33 55.1 dBA L 90.00 50.4 dBA

Detector: Slow

Weighting: A

SPL Exceedance Level 1: 115.00 Exceeded: 0 times
 SPL Exceedance level 2: 120 Exceeded: 0 times
 Peak-1 Exceedance Level: 140 Exceeded: 0 times
 Peak-2 Exceedance Level: 140 Exceeded: 0 times
 Hysteresis: 2
 Overloaded: 0 time(s)
 Paused: 0 times for 00:00:00.0

Calibrated: 12-Mar-2009 15:18:27
 Checked: 08-May-2001 12:05:52
 Calibrator LD 0504
 Cal Records Count: 0

Offset: 8.5 dB
 Level: 113.60 dB
 Level: 114.0 dB

Interval Records: Enabled
 History Records: Disabled

Number Interval Records: 1
 Number History Records: 18

814 Memory: 524288 bytes
 Free Memory: 425287 bytes 81.12% free

Battery Level: 94% Source: INT

File Translated: P:\Projects - All Users\100000000+\100006439 Agoura Hills GP\EIR\Data\Noise\Measurements\Location 7.slm
 Model/Serial Number: 814 / A0174
 Firmware/Software Revs: 1.026 / 1.07
 Name: PBS&J/EIP
 Descr1: 12301 Wilshire Blvd., Ste. 430
 Descr2: Los Angeles, CA 90025
 Setup/Setup Descr: 15minute.slm / 15 Minute
 Location: On the sidewalk at the entrance to Indian Hills HS on Driver Ave
 Note1: 20 ft from centerline to curb
 Note2: 28 ft 5 in from centerline to noise monitor
 Octave Filters: None

Overall Measurement

Start Time: 08-May-2001 15:26:18
 Elapsed Time: 00:15:00.0
 Leq: 62.7 dBA
 SEL: 92.2 dBA
 Dose: 0.00 %
 Proj. Dose: 0.18 %
 Threshold: 0 dB
 Criterion: 90 dB
 Exchange Rate: 3 dB

Current Measurement

Start Time: 08-May-2001 15:26:18
 Elapsed Time: 00:15:00.0
 Leq: 62.7 dBA
 SEL: 92.2 dBA
 Dose: 0.00 %
 Proj. Dose: 0.18 %
 Threshold: 0 dB
 Criterion: 90 dB
 Exchange Rate: 3 dB

Min: 42.7 dBA 08-May-2001 15:29:29
 Max: 80.2 dBA 08-May-2001 15:32:33
 Peak-1: 103.7 dBF 08-May-2001 15:32:32
 Peak-2: 89.7 dBA 08-May-2001 15:32:32

Min: 42.7 dBA 08-May-2001 15:29:29
 Max: 80.2 dBA 08-May-2001 15:32:33
 Peak-1: 103.7 dBF 08-May-2001 15:32:32
 Peak-2: 89.7 dBA 08-May-2001 15:32:32

L 1.67 69.9 dBA L 50.00 58.0 dBA
 L 8.33 66.8 dBA L 66.67 53.6 dBA
 L 33.33 61.5 dBA L 90.00 46.9 dBA

Detector: Slow

Weighting: A

SPL Exceedance Level 1: 115.00 Exceeded: 0 times
 SPL Exceedance level 2: 120 Exceeded: 0 times
 Peak-1 Exceedance Level: 140 Exceeded: 0 times
 Peak-2 Exceedance Level: 140 Exceeded: 0 times
 Hysteresis: 2
 Overloaded: 0 time(s)
 Paused: 0 times for 00:00:00.0

Calibrated: 12-Mar-2009 15:18:27
 Checked: 08-May-2001 12:05:52
 Calibrator LD 0504
 Cal Records Count: 0

Offset: 8.5 dB
 Level: 113.60 dB
 Level: 114.0 dB

Interval Records: Enabled
 History Records: Disabled

Number Interval Records: 1
 Number History Records: 18

814 Memory: 524288 bytes
 Free Memory: 425287 bytes 81.12% free

Battery Level: 94% Source: INT

File Translated: P:\Projects - All Users\100000000+\100006439 Agoura Hills GP\EIR\Data\Noise\Measurements\Location 8.slm
 Model/Serial Number: 814 / A0174
 Firmware/Software Revs: 1.026 / 1.07
 Name: PBS&J/EIP
 Descr1: 12301 Wilshire Blvd., Ste. 430
 Descr2: Los Angeles, CA 90025
 Setup/Setup Descr: 15minute.slm / 15 Minute
 Location: Just off the sidewalk at the entrance to Indian Hills HS on Driver Ave
 Note1: 20 ft from centerline to curb
 Note2: 37 ft from centerline to noise monitor
 Octave Filters: None

Overall Measurement

Start Time: 08-May-2001 15:54:10
 Elapsed Time: 00:15:00.0
 Leq: 64.4 dBA
 SEL: 93.9 dBA
 Dose: 0.00 %
 Proj. Dose: 0.27 %
 Threshold: 0 dB
 Criterion: 90 dB
 Exchange Rate: 3 dB

Current Measurement

Start Time: 08-May-2001 15:54:10
 Elapsed Time: 00:15:00.0
 Leq: 64.4 dBA
 SEL: 93.9 dBA
 Dose: 0.00 %
 Proj. Dose: 0.27 %
 Threshold: 0 dB
 Criterion: 90 dB
 Exchange Rate: 3 dB

Min: 44.6 dBA 08-May-2001 15:55:46
 Max: 84.4 dBA 08-May-2001 16:00:47
 Peak-1: 107.0 dBF 08-May-2001 16:00:47
 Peak-2: 96.7 dBA 08-May-2001 16:00:47

Min: 44.6 dBA 08-May-2001 15:55:46
 Max: 84.4 dBA 08-May-2001 16:00:47
 Peak-1: 107.0 dBF 08-May-2001 16:00:47
 Peak-2: 96.7 dBA 08-May-2001 16:00:47

L 1.67 70.9 dBA L 50.00 61.3 dBA
 L 8.33 65.5 dBA L 66.67 59.8 dBA
 L 33.33 62.6 dBA L 90.00 56.5 dBA

Detector: Slow

Weighting: A

SPL Exceedance Level 1: 115.00 Exceeded: 0 times
 SPL Exceedance level 2: 120 Exceeded: 0 times
 Peak-1 Exceedance Level: 140 Exceeded: 0 times
 Peak-2 Exceedance Level: 140 Exceeded: 0 times
 Hysteresis: 2
 Overloaded: 0 time(s)
 Paused: 0 times for 00:00:00.0

Calibrated: 12-Mar-2009 15:18:27
 Checked: 08-May-2001 12:05:52
 Calibrator LD 0504
 Cal Records Count: 0

Offset: 8.5 dB
 Level: 113.60 dB
 Level: 114.0 dB

Interval Records: Enabled
 History Records: Disabled

Number Interval Records: 1
 Number History Records: 18

814 Memory: 524288 bytes
 Free Memory: 425287 bytes 81.12% free

Battery Level: 94% Source: INT

File Translated: P:\Projects - All Users\100000000+\100006439 Agoura Hills GP\EIR\Data\Noise\Measurements\Location 9.slm
 Model/Serial Number: 814 / A0174
 Firmware/Software Revs: 1.026 / 1.07
 Name: PBS&J/EIP
 Descr1: 12301 Wilshire Blvd., Ste. 430
 Descr2: Los Angeles, CA 90025
 Setup/Setup Descr: 15minute.slm / 15 Minute
 Location: On the side of the road in front of 29395 Agoura Road
 Note1: 20 ft from centerline to edge of road
 Note2: 27 ft from centerline to noise monitor
 Octave Filters: None

Overall Measurement

Start Time: 08-May-2001 16:29:54
 Elapsed Time: 00:15:00.0
 Leq: 68.5 dBA
 SEL: 98.0 dBA
 Dose: 0.00 %
 Proj. Dose: 0.70 %
 Threshold: 0 dB
 Criterion: 90 dB
 Exchange Rate: 3 dB

Current Measurement

Start Time: 08-May-2001 16:29:54
 Elapsed Time: 00:15:00.0
 Leq: 68.5 dBA
 SEL: 98.0 dBA
 Dose: 0.00 %
 Proj. Dose: 0.70 %
 Threshold: 0 dB
 Criterion: 90 dB
 Exchange Rate: 3 dB

Min: 52.9 dBA 08-May-2001 16:41:24
 Max: 78.9 dBA 08-May-2001 16:42:11
 Peak-1: 103.7 dBF 08-May-2001 16:34:21
 Peak-2: 95.0 dBA 08-May-2001 16:37:39

Min: 52.9 dBA 08-May-2001 16:41:24
 Max: 78.9 dBA 08-May-2001 16:42:11
 Peak-1: 103.7 dBF 08-May-2001 16:34:21
 Peak-2: 95.0 dBA 08-May-2001 16:37:39

L 1.67 74.8 dBA L 50.00 66.2 dBA
 L 8.33 72.6 dBA L 66.67 62.9 dBA
 L 33.33 68.9 dBA L 90.00 56.8 dBA

Detector: Slow

Weighting: A

SPL Exceedance Level 1: 115.00 Exceeded: 0 times
 SPL Exceedance level 2: 120 Exceeded: 0 times
 Peak-1 Exceedance Level: 140 Exceeded: 0 times
 Peak-2 Exceedance Level: 140 Exceeded: 0 times
 Hysteresis: 2
 Overloaded: 0 time(s)
 Paused: 0 times for 00:00:00.0

Calibrated: 12-Mar-2009 15:18:27
 Checked: 08-May-2001 12:05:52
 Calibrator LD 0504
 Cal Records Count: 0

Offset: 8.5 dB
 Level: 113.60 dB
 Level: 114.0 dB

Interval Records: Enabled
 History Records: Disabled

Number Interval Records: 1
 Number History Records: 18

814 Memory: 524288 bytes
 Free Memory: 425287 bytes 81.12% free

Battery Level: 94% Source: INT

File Translated: P:\Projects - All Users\100000000+\100006439 Agoura Hills GP\EIR\Data\Noise\Measurements\Location 10.slr
 Model/Serial Number: 814 / A0174
 Firmware/Software Revs: 1.026 / 1.07
 Name: PBS&J/EIP
 Descr1: 12301 Wilshire Blvd., Ste. 430
 Descr2: Los Angeles, CA 90025
 Setup/Setup Descr: 15minute.slm / 15 Minute
 Location: SW corner of Kanan Road and Roadside Drive
 Note1: 46 ft 3 in from centerline of Kanan to curb
 Note2: 56 ft 4 in from centerline of Kanan to noise monitor
 Octave Filters: None

Overall Measurement

Start Time: 08-May-2001 16:54:19
 Elapsed Time: 00:15:00.0
 Leq: 77.1 dBA
 SEL: 106.7 dBA
 Dose: 0.16 %
 Proj. Dose: 5.18 %
 Threshold: 0 dB
 Criterion: 90 dB
 Exchange Rate: 3 dB

Current Measurement

Start Time: 08-May-2001 16:54:19
 Elapsed Time: 00:15:00.0
 Leq: 77.1 dBA
 SEL: 106.7 dBA
 Dose: 0.16 %
 Proj. Dose: 5.18 %
 Threshold: 0 dB
 Criterion: 90 dB
 Exchange Rate: 3 dB

Min: 61.2 dBA 08-May-2001 16:55:46
 Max: 100.0 dBA 08-May-2001 16:59:59
 Peak-1: 120.2 dBF 08-May-2001 16:57:00
 Peak-2: 117.7 dBA 08-May-2001 16:57:01

Min: 61.2 dBA 08-May-2001 16:55:46
 Max: 100.0 dBA 08-May-2001 16:59:59
 Peak-1: 120.2 dBF 08-May-2001 16:57:00
 Peak-2: 117.7 dBA 08-May-2001 16:57:01

L 1.67 84.4 dBA L 50.00 68.3 dBA
 L 8.33 73.3 dBA L 66.67 66.9 dBA
 L 33.33 69.5 dBA L 90.00 64.8 dBA

Detector: Slow

Weighting: A

SPL Exceedance Level 1: 115.00 Exceeded: 0 times
 SPL Exceedance level 2: 120 Exceeded: 0 times
 Peak-1 Exceedance Level: 140 Exceeded: 0 times
 Peak-2 Exceedance Level: 140 Exceeded: 0 times
 Hysteresis: 2
 Overloaded: 0 time(s)
 Paused: 0 times for 00:00:00.0

Calibrated: 12-Mar-2009 15:18:27
 Checked: 08-May-2001 12:05:52
 Calibrator LD 0504
 Cal Records Count: 0

Offset: 8.5 dB
 Level: 113.60 dB
 Level: 114.0 dB

Interval Records: Enabled
 History Records: Disabled

Number Interval Records: 1
 Number History Records: 18

814 Memory: 524288 bytes
 Free Memory: 425287 bytes 81.12% free

Battery Level: 94% Source: INT

File Translated: P:\Projects - All Users\100000000+\100006439 Agoura Hills GP\EIR\Data\Noise\Measurements\Location 11.slr
 Model/Serial Number: 814 / A0174
 Firmware/Software Revs: 1.026 / 1.07
 Name: PBS&J/EIP
 Descr1: 12301 Wilshire Blvd., Ste. 430
 Descr2: Los Angeles, CA 90025
 Setup/Setup Descr: 15minute.slm / 15 Minute
 Location: On the edge of the road in front of 28220 Agoura Road
 Note1: 20 ft from centerline to edge of road
 Note2: 27 ft from centerline to noise monitor
 Octave Filters: None

Overall Measurement

Start Time: 08-May-2001 17:30:19
 Elapsed Time: 00:15:00.0
 Leq: 67.3 dBA
 SEL: 96.8 dBA
 Dose: 0.00 %
 Proj. Dose: 0.53 %
 Threshold: 0 dB
 Criterion: 90 dB
 Exchange Rate: 3 dB

Current Measurement

Start Time: 08-May-2001 17:30:19
 Elapsed Time: 00:15:00.0
 Leq: 67.3 dBA
 SEL: 96.8 dBA
 Dose: 0.00 %
 Proj. Dose: 0.53 %
 Threshold: 0 dB
 Criterion: 90 dB
 Exchange Rate: 3 dB

Min: 58.5 dBA 08-May-2001 17:31:09
 Max: 80.4 dBA 08-May-2001 17:36:56
 Peak-1: 100.0 dBF 08-May-2001 17:36:56
 Peak-2: 98.7 dBA 08-May-2001 17:32:28

Min: 58.5 dBA 08-May-2001 17:31:09
 Max: 80.4 dBA 08-May-2001 17:36:56
 Peak-1: 100.0 dBF 08-May-2001 17:36:56
 Peak-2: 98.7 dBA 08-May-2001 17:32:28

L 1.67 74.3 dBA L 50.00 64.3 dBA
 L 8.33 71.2 dBA L 66.67 62.2 dBA
 L 33.33 67.0 dBA L 90.00 60.2 dBA

Detector: Slow

Weighting: A

SPL Exceedance Level 1: 115.00 Exceeded: 0 times
 SPL Exceedance level 2: 120 Exceeded: 0 times
 Peak-1 Exceedance Level: 140 Exceeded: 0 times
 Peak-2 Exceedance Level: 140 Exceeded: 0 times
 Hysteresis: 2
 Overloaded: 0 time(s)
 Paused: 0 times for 00:00:00.0

Calibrated: 12-Mar-2009 15:18:27
 Checked: 08-May-2001 12:05:52
 Calibrator LD 0504
 Cal Records Count: 0

Offset: 8.5 dB
 Level: 113.60 dB
 Level: 114.0 dB

Interval Records: Enabled
 History Records: Disabled

Number Interval Records: 1
 Number History Records: 18

814 Memory: 524288 bytes
 Free Memory: 425287 bytes 81.12% free

Battery Level: 94% Source: INT

Appendix G Greenhouse Gas Calculations

Greenhouse Gas Emission Worksheet

Project Summary

Project: Agoura Hills GPU - Existing
 Project Number: 100006439

Project Totals	Tonnage	Percent of total
Construction		
Total	0 metric tons CO2	100%
Operation		
Vehicular Use	248,643 metric tons CO2e	69%
Electricity	34,477 metric tons CO2e	10%
Natural Gas	49,111 metric tons CO2e	14%
Solid Waste	23,805 metric tons CO2e	7%
Water Use	6,735 metric tons CO2e	2%
Total	362,771 metric tons CO2e	100%

	Low End	High End
Mitigated Emissions	362770.8	362770.8
<i>% Reduction</i>	0%	0%

Greenhouse Gas Emission Worksheet

Construction Emissions

Project: Agoura Hills GPU - Existing

Project Number: 100006439

1 ton (short, US) = 0.90718474 metric ton.

Off-Road Construction Equipment

Year	Phase	tons CO2	metric tons CO2
2009			0
2010			0
2011			0
2012			0
2013			0
2014			0
2015			0
2016			0
2017			0
2018			0
2019			0
2020			0
2021			0
2022			0
Total		0	0

Source: URBEMIS 2007, version 9.2.4

Greenhouse Gas Emission Worksheet

Operational Emissions

Project: Agoura Hills GPU - Existing
 Project Number: 100006439

Conversion to CO2e Units based on GWP
 CH4 21
 N2O 310

Indirect Emissions from Electricity Use

Total Project Annual KWh: 104,512,306 kWh/year
 Project Annual MWh: 104,512 MWh/year

1 ton (short, US) = 0.90718474 metric ton.
 1 metric ton = 2,204.62 pounds

Emission Factors for Electricity Use:

CO2 724.12 lbs/MWh/year
 CH4 0.0302 lbs/MWh/year
 N2O 0.0081 lbs/MWh/year

Annual Emissions from Electricity Use:

	Total Emissions	Total CO2e Units
CO2 emissions:	34327.6623 metric tons	34327.7 metric tons CO2e
CH4 emissions:	1.4317 metric tons	30.1 metric tons CO2e
N2O emissions:	0.3840 metric tons	119.0 metric tons CO2e
Project Total		34,477 metric tons CO2e

Sources:

Table C.1 Comparison of GWPs from the IPCC's 2nd and 3rd TAR, App. C of the CCAR General Reporting Protocol (GAR), Ver. 3.1, Jan. 2009
 Table C.2: CO2, CH4, and N2O Electricity Emission Factors by eGRID Subregion, Subregion CAMX, App C of the CCAR GAR, Ver. 3.1, Jan. 2009.

Emissions from Natural Gas Use

Total Project Usage: 9,256,226 therms/year

1 Therm = 0.1 Million Btu (MMBtu)

Emission Factors for Natural Gas Use:

CO2 11.67 lbs/therm
 CH4 0.001 lbs/therm
 N2O 0.00002 lbs/therm

Annual Emissions from Natural Gas Use:

	Total Emissions	Total CO2e Units
CO2 emissions:	48997.1775 metric tons	48997.2 metric tons CO2e
CH4 emissions:	4.1986 metric tons	88.2 metric tons CO2e
N2O emissions:	0.0840 metric tons	26.0 metric tons CO2e
Project Total		49,111 metric tons CO2e

Sources:

Table C.7: CO2 Emission Factors for Stationary Combustion, Appendix C of the CCAR GAR, Ver. 3.1, Jan. 2009
 Table C.8 CH4 and N2O Emission Factors for Stationary Combustion by Fuel Type and Sector, Appendix C of the CCAR Protocol, 2009 (for residential, commercial, institutional uses).

Indirect Emissions from Solid Waste

Total Solid Waste: 27,947 tons/year
 Landfill Gas: 3,172 tons/year

Annual Emissions from Solid Waste:

	Total Emissions	Total CO2e Units
CO2 emissions:	2018 tons	1831 metric tons CO2e
CH4 emissions:	1153 tons	21974 metric tons CO2e
Project Total		23,805 metric tons CO2e

Sources:

State Workbook: Methodologies for Estimating Greenhouse Gas Emissions (pages 5-1 to 5-3)

Indirect Emissions from Water Use

Indoor Uses 1,530.02 MG/year
 Outdoor Uses* 0.00 MG/year
 Total Project Usage: 1,530.02 MG/year
 Northern or Southern Ca? Southern

Annual Electricity Generation Associated with Water Uses

	Water Consumption (MG)	Energy Factor (MWh/MG)	
Indoor Uses	1,530.02	13.022	19,924 MWh/year
Outdoor Uses	0.00	11.111	0 MWh/year
Total Project Usage			19,924 MWh/year

Emission Factors for Electricity Use:

CO2 742.12 lbs/MWh/year
 CH4 0.0302 lbs/MWh/year
 N2O 0.0081 lbs/MWh/year

Annual Emissions from Water Use:

	Total Emissions	Total CO2e Units
CO2 emissions:	6706.8 metric tons	6706.8 metric tons CO2e
CH4 emissions:	0.3 metric tons	5.7 metric tons CO2e
N2O emissions:	0.1 metric tons	22.7 metric tons CO2e
Project Total		6,735 metric tons CO2e

* - Input manually

Sources:

Table C.5: CO2 Emission Factors and Oxidation Rates for Stationary Combustion, Appendix C of the CCAR Protocol, 2009
 Table C.6 Methane and Nitrous Oxide Emission Factors for Stationary Combustion by Fuel Type, Appendix C of the CCAR Protocol, 2009.
 Table ES-1: Recommended Revised Water-energy Proxies, Refining Estimates of Water-Related Energy Use in California, CEC-500-2006-118.

Greenhouse Gas Emission Worksheet

Mobile Emissions

Project:

Project Number:

From URBEMIS 2007 Vehicle Fleet Mix Output:

Daily Vehicle Miles Traveled (VMT): 1,490,885

Annual VMT: 544,173,025

Vehicle Type	Percent Type	CH4		N2O	
		CH4 Emission Factor (g/mile)*	Emission (g/mile)	Emission Factor (g/mile)*	N2O Emission (g/mile)
Light Auto	47.5%	0.0147	0.0069825	0.0079	0.0037525
Light Truck < 3750 lbs	11.0%	0.0157	0.001727	0.0101	0.001111
Light Truck 3751-5750 lbs	22.2%	0.0157	0.0034854	0.0101	0.0022422
Med Truck 5751-8500 lbs	9.9%	0.0326	0.0032274	0.0177	0.0017523
Lite-Heavy Truck 8501-10,000 lbs	1.8%	0.0326	0.0005868	0.0177	0.0003186
Lite-Heavy Truck 10,001-14,000 lbs	0.7%	0.0326	0.0002282	0.0177	0.0001239
Med-Heavy Truck 14,001-33,000 lbs	1.1%	0.0326	0.0003586	0.0177	0.0001947
Heavy-Heavy Truck 33,001-60,000 lbs	0.9%	0.0326	0.0002934	0.0177	0.0001593
Other Bus	0.1%	0.0326	0.0000326	0.0177	0.0000177
Urban Bus	0.1%	0.0326	0.0000326	0.0177	0.0000177
Motorcycle	3.5%	0.0147	0.0005145	0.0079	0.0002765
School Bus	0.1%	0.0326	0.0000326	0.0177	0.0000177
Motor Home	1.1%	0.0326	0.0003586	0.0177	0.0001947
Total			0.0178602		0.0101788

* from URBEMIS output

1 ton (short, US) = 0.90718474 metric ton.

1g = 0.000001 metric ton

Annual Mobile Emissions:

	Total Emissions	Total CO2e units
CO2 Emissions*:	271963.7 tons CO2	246,721 metric tons CO2e
CH4 Emissions:	9.7 metric tons CH4	204 metric tons CO2e
N2O Emissions:	5.5 metric tons N2O	1,717 metric tons CO2e

Project Total:	248,643 metric tons CO2e
-----------------------	---------------------------------

* from URBEMIS output. 1 ton (short, US) = 0.90718474 metric ton.

Sources:

Table C.4: CH4 and N2O Emission Factors for Highway Vehicles by Model Year (g/mile),CCAR GAR, Version 3.1, January 2009.

Assume Model year 2005-present, gasoline fueled.

URBEMIS 2007, version 9.2.4.

Electricity Calcs

Project Area	Electricity Generation Rate*	Use	Subtotal (kWH/year)
5312 units	5,626.50 kWH/year/unit	Single-family Residential	29,887,968
2298 units	5,626.50 kWH/year/unit	Multi-family Residential	12,929,697
356065 sf	5.9 kWH/year/sf	School**	2,100,784
250000 sf	9.95 kWH/year/sf	Hotel***	2,487,500
1,225,113 sf	13.55 kWH/year/sf	Retail	16,600,281
2333157 sf	12.95 kWH/year/sf	Office	30,214,383
92011 sf	12.95 kWH/year/sf	Institutional	1,191,542
844,681 sf	10.5 kWH/year/sf	Manufacturing	8,869,151
22000 sf	10.5 kWH/year/sf	Miscellaneous (Comm/Rec)	231,000
		Total	104,512,306 kWH/year

* From SCAQMD CEQA Handbook (use other rates as appropriate)

** Assumes an average of 85 sf/student

*** approximation of square footage based on 519 rooms in the city

Natural Gas Calcs

Project Area	Natural Gas Generation Rate*	Use	Subtotal (cf/year)
5312 units	4011.5 cf/unit/month	Single-family Residential	255,709,056
2298 units	4011.5 cf/unit/month	Multi-family Residential	110,621,124
356065 sf	2.9 cf/sf/month	School	12,391,062
250000 sf	4.35 cf/sf/month	Hotel	13,050,000
1,225,113 sf	13.55 cf/sf/month	Retail	199,203,374
2333157 sf	10.5 cf/sf/month	Office	293,977,782
92011 sf	3.3 cf/sf/month	Institutional	3,643,636
844,681 sf	3.3 cf/sf/month	Manufacturing	33,449,368
22000 sf	13.55 cf/sf/month	Comm/Rec	3,577,200
		Total	925,622,601 cf/year
			or
			9,256,226.01 therms/year

* From SCAQMD CEQA Handbook (use other rates as appropriate)

Solid Waste Calcs

Project Area	Solid Waste Generation Rate*	Use	Subtotal (tons/year)
5312 unit	10 lbs/unit/day	Single-family Residential	9,694
2298 unit	4 lbs/unit/day	Multi-family Residential	1,678
356065 sf	0.007 lbs/sf/day	School	455
250000 sf	0.059 lbs/sf/day	Hotel	2,692
1,225,113 sf	0.005 lbs/sf/day	Shopping Center	1,118
2333157 sf	0.006 lbs/sf/day	Office	2,555
92011 sf	0.006 lbs/sf/day	Institutional	101
844,681 sf	0.0625 lbs/sf/day	Manufacturing	9,635
22000 unit	0.005 lbs/sf/day	Comm/Rec	20
		Total	27,947 tons/year

* City of Los Angeles, Bureau of Sanitation 1981

Water Calcs

Project	Units	Water (gals/day/unit)	Water Usage (gals/day)	Type Description	Annual Water Usage (Million Gallons)
				<i>Residential</i>	
5312	Unit	532	2825984	Single-family Residential	1031.48416
2298	Unit	532	1222536	Multi-family Residential	446.22564
8	Acres	870	7111.491047	School	2.595694232
6	Acres	870	4993.112948	Hotel	1.822486226
28	Acres	870	24447	Retail/Service	8.923155
54	Acres	870	46719	Office/Business Park	17.052435
2	Acres	870	1837.685262	Institutional	0.670755121
19	Acres	870	16878	Manufacturing	6.16047
1	Acres	870	439.3939394	Commercial Recreation	0.160378788
47	Acres	870	40890	Park	14.92485
	Total		0		1,530.02 MG water (annual)

CAPCOA GHG Reduction Measures							
Measure	Name	Recommended Reduction	Description	Notes	Project Feature/Equivalent	Project Reduction	
						Low End	High End
MM T-1	Bike Parking	1%	Nonresidential projects provide plentiful short- and long-term bicycle parking facilities to meet peak season maximum demand (e.g., one bike rack space per 20 vehicle/employee parking spaces).	Range 1-5% for T-1 through T-4 combined			
MM T-2	End of Trip Facilities	1%	Nonresidential projects provide "end-of-trip" facilities including showers, lockers, and changing space (e.g., four clothes lockers and one shower provided for every 80 employee parking spaces, separate facilities for each gender for projects with 160 or more employee parking spaces).				
MM T-3	Bike Parking at Multi-Unit Residential	1%	Long-term bicycle parking is provided at apartment complexes or condominiums without garages (e.g., one long-term bicycle parking space for each unit without a garage). Long-term facilities shall consist of one of the following: a bicycle locker, a locked room with standard racks and access limited to bicyclists only, or a standard rack in a location that is staffed and/or monitored by video surveillance 24 hours per day.				
MM T-4	Proximity to Bike Path/Bike Lanes	1%	Entire project is located within one-half mile of an existing/planned Class I or Class II bike lane and project design includes a comparable network that connects the project uses to the existing offsite facility. Project design includes a designated bicycle route connecting all units, onsite bicycle parking facilities, offsite bicycle facilities, site entrances, and primary building entrances to existing Class I or Class II bike lane(s) within one half mile. Bicycle route connects to all streets contiguous with project site. Bicycle route has minimum conflicts with automobile parking and circulation facilities. All streets internal to the project wider than 75 feet have Class II bicycle lanes on both sides.				

Measure	Name	Recommended Reduction	Description	Notes	Project Feature/Equivalent	Project Reduction	
						Low End	High End
MM T-5	Pedestrian Network	1-10%	The project provides a pedestrian access network that internally links all uses and connects to all existing/planned external streets and pedestrian facilities contiguous with the project site. Project design includes a designated pedestrian route interconnecting all internal uses, site entrances, primary building entrances, public facilities, and adjacent uses to existing external pedestrian facilities and streets. Route has minimal conflict with parking and automobile circulation facilities. Streets (with the exception of alleys) within the project have sidewalks on both sides. All sidewalks internal and adjacent to project site are minimum of five feet wide. All sidewalks feature vertical curbs.	Range 1-5% for T-5 through T-6 combined			
			Pedestrian facilities and improvements such as grade separation, wider sidewalks, and traffic calming are implemented wherever feasible to minimize pedestrian barriers. All site entrances provide pedestrian access.				
MM T-6	Pedestrian Barriers Minimized	1-10%	Site design and building placement minimize barriers to pedestrian access and interconnectivity. Physical barriers such as walls, berms, landscaping, and slopes between residential and nonresidential uses that impede bicycle or pedestrian circulation are eliminated.				
MM T-7	Bus Shelter for Existing/Planned Transit Service	1-2%	Bus or streetcar service provides headways of one hour or less for stops within one-quarter mile; project provides safe and convenient bicycle/pedestrian access to transit stop(s) and provides essential transit stop improvements (i.e., shelters, route information, benches, and lighting).				

Measure	Name	Recommended Reduction	Description	Notes	Project Feature/Equivalent	Project Reduction	
						Low End	High End
MM T-8	Traffic Calming	1-10%	Project design includes pedestrian/bicycle safety and traffic calming measures in excess of jurisdiction requirements. Roadways are designed to reduce motor vehicle speeds and encourage pedestrian and bicycle trips by featuring traffic calming features. All sidewalks internal and adjacent to project site are minimum of five feet wide. All sidewalks feature vertical curbs. Roadways that converge internally within the project are routed in such a way as to avoid "skewed intersections," which are intersections that meet at acute, rather than right, angles. Intersections internal and adjacent to the project feature one or more of the following pedestrian safety/traffic calming design techniques: marked crosswalks, count-down signal timers, curb extensions, speed tables, raised crosswalks, raised intersections, median islands, tight corner radii, and roundabouts or mini-circles. Streets internal and adjacent to the project feature pedestrian safety/traffic calming measures such as on-street parking, planter strips with street trees, and chicanes/chokers (variations in road width to discourage high-speed travel).				
MM T-9	Paid Parking (Parking Cash Out)	1-30%	Project provides employee and/or customer paid parking system. Project must have a permanent and enforceable method of maintaining user fees for all parking facilities. The facility may not provide customer or employee validations. Daily charge for parking must be equal to or greater than the cost of a transit day/monthly pass plus 20 percent.				
MM T-10	Minimum Parking	1-30%	Provide minimum amount of parking required. Once land uses are determined, the trip reduction factor associated with this measure can be determined by utilizing the ITE parking generation publication. The reduction in trips can be computed as shown below by the ratio of the difference of minimum parking required by code and ITE peak parking demand to ITE peak parking demand for the land uses multiplied by 50%. Percent Trip Reduction = 50 * [(min parking required by code – ITE peak parking demand) / (ITE peak parking demand)]				

Measure	Name	Recommended Reduction	Description	Notes	Project Feature/Equivalent	Project Reduction	
						Low End	High End
MM T-11	Parking Reduction Beyond Code/Shared Parking	1-30%	Provide parking reduction less than code. This measure can be readily implemented through a shared parking strategy, wherein parking is utilized jointly among different land uses, buildings, and facilities in an area that experience peak parking needs at different times of day and day of the week.				
MM T-12	Pedestrian Pathway Through Parking	1-4%	Provide a parking lot design that includes clearly marked and shaded pedestrian pathways between transit facilities and building entrances.				
MM T-13	Off-Street Parking	1-4%	Parking facilities are not adjacent to street frontage.				
MM T-14	Parking Area Tree Cover	Reduction of 3.1 kg/m2 canopy	Provide parking lot areas with 50 percent tree cover within 10 years of construction, in particular low emitting, low maintenance, native drought resistant trees. Reduces urban heat island effect and requirement for air conditioning, effective when combined with other measures (e.g., electrical maintenance equipment and reflective paving material).				
MM T-15	Valet Bicycle Parking	n/a, low	Provide spaces for the operation of valet bicycle parking at community event "centers" such as amphitheaters, theaters, and stadiums.				
MM T-16	Garage Bicycle Storage	n/a, low	Provide storage space in one-car garages for bicycles and bicycle trailers.				
MM T-17	Preferential Parking for EVs/CNG Vehicles	n/a, low	Provide preferential parking space locations for Electric Vehicles (EV) or Compressed Natural Gas (CNG) vehicles.				
MM T-18	Reduced/No Parking Fee for EVs/CNG Vehicles	n/a, low	Provide a reduced/no parking fee for EVs/CNG vehicles.				
MM T-19	TMA Membership	1-28%	Include permanent TMA membership and funding requirement. Funding to be provided by Community Facilities District or County Service Area or other nonrevocable funding mechanism. TDMS have been shown to reduce employee vehicle trips up to 28% with the largest reductions achieved through parking pricing and transit passes. The impact depends on the travel alternatives.				
MM T-20	ULEV	n/a, low	Use of and/or provide ULEV that are 50% cleaner than average new model cars (e.g., natural gas, ethanol, electric).				
MM T-21	Flex Fuel Vehicles	5466 lb GHG/year	Use of and/or provide vehicles that utilize gasoline/ethanol blends (e.g., E85).				

Measure	Name	Recommended Reduction	Description	Notes	Project Feature/Equivalent	Project Reduction	
						Low End	High End
MM D-1	Office/Mixed Use Density	0.05%-2%	Project provides high density office or mixed-use proximate to transit. Project must provide safe and convenient pedestrian and bicycle access to all transit stops within one-quarter mile.				
MM D-2	Orientation to Existing/Planned Transit, Bikeway, or Pedestrian Corridor	0.4-1%	Project is oriented towards existing transit, bicycle, or pedestrian corridor. Setback distance between project and existing or planned adjacent uses is minimized or nonexistent. Setback distance between different buildings on project site is minimized. Setbacks between project buildings and planned or existing sidewalks are minimized. Buildings are oriented towards existing or planned street frontage. Primary entrances to buildings are located along planned or existing public street frontage. Project provides bicycle access to any planned bicycle corridor(s). Project provides pedestrian access to any planned pedestrian corridor(s).				
MM D-3	Services Operational	0.5-5%	Project provides on-site shops and services for employees.				
MM D-4	Residential Density (Employ Sufficient Density for New Residential Development to Support the Use of Public Transit)	1-40%	Project provides high-density residential development. Transit facilities must be within one quarter mile of project border. Project provides safe and convenient bicycle/pedestrian access to all transit stop(s) within one-quarter mile of project border.	See report for VMT reduction formula	See report for VMT reduction formula		

Measure	Name	Recommended Reduction	Description	Notes	Project Feature/Equivalent	Project Reduction	
						Low End	High End
MM D-5	Street Grid	1%	Multiple and direct street routing (grid style). This measure only applies to projects with an internal CF ≥ 0.80 , and average of one-quarter mile or less between external connections along perimeter of project. [CF= # of intersections / (# of cul-de-sacs + intersections)]. Cul-de-sacs with bicycle/pedestrian through access may be considered "complete intersections" when calculating the project's internal connectivity factor. External connections are bike/pedestrian pathways and access points, or streets with safe and convenient bicycle and pedestrian access that connect the project to adjacent streets, sidewalks, and uses. If project site is adjacent to undeveloped land; streets, pathways, access points, and right-of-ways that provide for future access to adjacent uses may count for up to 50% of the external connections. Block perimeter (the sum of the measurement of the length of all block sides) is limited to no more than 1,350 feet. Streets internal to the project should connect to streets external to the project whenever possible.				
MM D-6	NEV Access	0.5-1.5%	Make physical development consistent with requirements for neighborhood electric vehicles. Current studies show that for most trips, NEVs do not replace gas-fueled vehicles as the primary vehicle.				
MM D-7	Affordable Housing Component	0.4-6%	Residential development projects of five or more dwelling units provide a deed restricted low-income housing component on-site (or as defined in the code). Developers who pay into In-Lieu Fee Programs are not considered eligible to receive credit for this measure. The award of emission reduction credit shall be based only on the proportion of affordable housing developed on-site because in-lieu programs simply induce a net increase in development. Percentage reduction shall be calculated according to the following formula: % reduction = % units deedrestricted below market rate housing * 0.04				

Measure	Name	Recommended Reduction	Description	Notes	Project Feature/Equivalent	Project Reduction	
						Low End	High End
MM D-8	Recharging Area	n/a, low	Provide residential buildings with a "utility" room or space for recharging batteries, whether for use in a car, electric lawnmower, other electric landscaping equipment, or even batteries for small items such as flashlights.				
MM D-9	Urban Mixed-Use	3-9%	Development of projects predominantly characterized by properties on which various uses, such as office, commercial, institutional, and residential, are combined in a single building or on a single site in an integrated development project with functional interrelationships and a coherent physical design.				
MM D-10	Suburban Mixed-use	3%	Have at least three of the following on site and/or offsite within one-quarter mile: Residential Development, Retail Development, Park, Open Space, or Office.				
MM D-11	Other Mixed-Use	1%	All residential units are within one-quarter mile of parks, schools or other civic uses.				
MM D-12	Infill Development	3-30%	Project site is on a vacant infill site, redevelopment area, or brownfield or greyfield lot that is highly accessible to regional destinations, where the destinations rating of the development site (measured as the weighted average travel time to all other regional destinations) is improved by 100% when compared to an alternate greenfield site.				
MM D-13	Electric Lawnmower	1%	Provide a complimentary electric lawnmower to each residential buyer.				
MM D-14	Enhanced Recycling/Waste Reduction, Reuse, Composting	n/a, low	Provide infrastructure/education that promotes the avoidance of products with excessive packaging, recycle, buying of refills, separating of food and yard waste for composting, and using rechargeable batteries.				
MM D-15	LEED Certification	n/a, moderate	LEED promotes a wholebuilding approach to sustainability by recognizing performance in five key areas of human and environmental health: sustainable site development, water savings, energy efficiency, materials selection, and indoor environmental quality.				
MM D-16	Retro-Commissioning	8-10% (energy usage)	The process ensures that all building systems perform interactively according to the contract documents, the design intent and the owner's operational needs to optimize energy performance.				

Measure	Name	Recommended Reduction	Description	Notes	Project Feature/Equivalent	Project Reduction	
						Low End	High End
MM D-17	Landscaping	n/a, low	Project shall use drought resistant native trees, trees with low emissions and high carbon sequestration potential. Evergreen trees on the north and west sides afford the best protection from the setting summer sun and cold winter winds. Additional considerations include the use of deciduous trees on the south side of the house that will admit summer sun; evergreen plantings on the north side will slow cold winter winds; constructing a natural planted channel to funnel summer cooling breezes into the house. Neighborhood CCR's not requiring that front and side yards of single family homes be planted with turf grass. Vegetable gardens, bunch grass, and low-water landscaping shall also be permitted, or even encouraged.				
MM D-18	Local Farmer's Market	n/a, low	Project shall dedicate space in a centralized, accessible location for a weekly farmers' market.				
MM D-19	Community Gardens	n/a, low	Project shall dedicate space for community gardens.				
MM E-1	High-Efficiency Pumps	n/a, low	Project shall use high-efficiency pumps.				
MM E-2	Wood Burning Fireplaces/Stoves	n/a, low	Project does not feature fireplaces or wood burning stoves.				
MM E-3	Natural Gas Stove	n/a, low	Project features only natural gas or electric stoves in residences.				
MM E-4	Energy Star Roof	0.5-1%	Project installs Energy Star labeled roof materials.				
MM E-5	On-site Renewable Energy System	1-3%	Project provides onsite renewable energy system(s). Nonpolluting and renewable energy potential includes solar, wind, geothermal, low-impact hydro, biomass and bio-gas strategies. When applying these strategies, projects may take advantage of net metering with the local utility.				
MM E-6	Exceed Title 24	1%	Project exceeds title 24 requirements by 20%.				
MM E-7	Solar Orientation	1%	Project orients 75 percent or more of homes and/or buildings to face either north or south (within 30° of N/S). Building design includes roof overhangs that are sufficient to block the high summer sun, but not the lower winter sun, from penetrating south facing windows. Trees, other landscaping features and other buildings are sited in such a way as to maximize shade in the summer and maximize solar access to walls and windows in the winter.				

Measure	Name	Recommended Reduction	Description	Notes	Project Feature/Equivalent	Project Reduction	
						Low End	High End
MM E-8	Nonroof Surfaces	1%	Provide shade (within 5 years) and/or use light-colored/highalbedo materials (reflectance of at least 0.3) and/or open grid pavement for at least 30% of the site's nonroof impervious surfaces, including parking lots, walkways, plazas, etc.; OR place a minimum of 50% of parking spaces underground or covered by structured parking; OR use an open-grid pavement system (less than 50% impervious) for a minimum of 50% of the parking lot area. The mitigation measure reduces heat islands (thermal gradient differences between developed and undeveloped areas to minimize impact on microclimate and human and wildlife habitats. This measure requires the use of patented or copyright protected methodologies created by the ATSM.				
MM E-9	Low Energy Cooling	1-10%	Project optimizes building's thermal distribution by separating ventilation and thermal conditioning systems.				
MM E-10	Green Roof	1%	Install a vegetated roof that covers at least 50% of roof area. The reduction assumes that a vegetated roof is installed on a least 50% of the roof area or that a combination high albedo and vegetated roof surface is installed that meets the following standard: (Area of SRI Roof/0.75) + (Area of vegetated roof/0.5) >= Total Roof Area. Water consumption reduction measures shall be considered in the design of the green roof.				
MM E-11	EV Charging Facilities	n/a, low	Project installs EV charging facilities.				
MM E-12	Light Colored Paving	n/a, low	Project provides light-colored paving (e.g., increased albedo pavement).				
MM E-13	Cool Roofs	n/a, low	Project provides cool roofs. Highly reflective, highly emissive roofing materials that stay 50 60°F cooler than a normal roof under a hot summer sun. CA's Cool Savings Program provided rebates to building owners for installing roofing materials with high solar reflectance and thermal emittance.				
MM E-14	Solar Water Heaters	20-70% (energy)	Project provides solar water heaters.				
MM E-15	Electric Yard Equipment Compatibility	n/a, low	Project provides electrical outlets at building exterior areas.				
MM E-16	Energy Efficient Appliance Standards	n/a, low	Project uses energy efficient appliances (e.g., Energy Star).				

Measure	Name	Recommended Reduction	Description	Notes	Project Feature/Equivalent	Project Reduction	
						Low End	High End
MM E-17	Green Building Materials	n/a, low	Project uses materials which are resource efficient, recycled, with long life cycles and manufactured in an environmentally friendly way.				
MM E-18	Shading Mechanisms	n/a, low	Install energy-reducing shading mechanisms for windows, porch, patio and walkway overhangs.				
MM E-19	Ceiling/Whole House Fans	n/a, low	Install energy-reducing ceiling/whole-house fans.				
MM E-20	Programmable Thermostats	n/a, low	Install energy-reducing programmable thermostats that automatically adjust temperature settings.				
MM E-21	Passive Heating and Cooling Systems	n/a, low	Install energy-reducing passive heating and cooling systems				
MM E-22	Day Lighting Systems	n/a, low	Install energy-reducing day lighting systems (e.g., skylights, light shelves and interior transom windows), (e.g., insulation and ventilation).				
MM E-23	Water Use Appliances	n/a, low	Require the installation of low-water use appliances.				
MM E-24	Goods Transport by Rail	n/a, moderate	Provide a spur at nonresidential projects to use nearby rail for goods movement.				
MM S-1	GHG Emissions Reductions Education	n/a, low	Provide local governments, businesses, and residents with guidance/protocols/information on how to reduce GHG emissions (e.g., energy saving, food miles).				
MM S-2	School Curriculum	n/a, low	Include how to reduce GHG emissions (e.g., energy saving, food miles) in the school curriculum.				
MM C-1	ARB Certified Diesel Construction Equipment	n/a, low	Use ARB-certified diesel construction equipment. Increases CO2 emissions when trapped CO and carbon particles are oxidized (Catalyst Products 2007, ETC 2007).				
MM C-2	Alternative Fuel Construction Equipment	n/a, low	Use alternative fuel types for construction equipment. At the tailpipe biodiesel emits 10% more CO2 than petroleum diesel. Overall lifecycle emissions of CO2 from 100% biodiesel are 78% lower than those of petroleum diesel (NREL 1998, EPA 2007b).				
MM C-3	Local Building Materials	n/a, low	Use locally made building materials for construction of the project and associated infrastructure.				
MM C-4	Recycle Demolished Construction Material	n/a, low	Recycle/Reuse demolished construction material. Use locally made building materials for construction of the project and associated infrastructure.				

Measure	Name	Recommended Reduction	Description	Notes	Project Feature/Equivalent	Project Reduction	
						Low End	High End
MM M-1	Off-Site Mitigation Fee Program	n/a, low	Provide/Pay into an off-site mitigation fee program, which focuses primarily on reducing emissions from existing development and buildings through retro-fit (e.g., increased insulation).				
MM M-2	Offset Purchase	n/a, low	Provide/purchase offsets for additional emissions by acquiring carbon credits or engaging in other market "cap and trade" systems.				
ate Change, CAPCOA, January 2008			TOTAL	Low-end		0.0%	
				High-end			0.0%

Urbemis 2007 Version 9.2.4
Combined Annual Emissions Reports (Tons/Year)

File Name:

Project Name: Agoura Hills - Existing

Project Location: South Coast AQMD

On-Road Vehicle Emissions Based on: Version : Emfac2007 V2.3 Nov 1 2006

Off-Road Vehicle Emissions Based on: OFFROAD2007

Summary Report:

OPERATIONAL (VEHICLE) EMISSION ESTIMATES

	<u>ROG</u>	<u>NOx</u>	<u>CO</u>	<u>SO2</u>	<u>PM10</u>	<u>PM2.5</u>	<u>CO2</u>
TOTALS (tons/year, unmitigated)	239.39	335.40	2,781.16	2.72	470.25	91.65	271,963.70

SUM OF AREA SOURCE AND OPERATIONAL EMISSION ESTIMATES

	<u>ROG</u>	<u>NOx</u>	<u>CO</u>	<u>SO2</u>	<u>PM10</u>	<u>PM2.5</u>	<u>CO2</u>
TOTALS (tons/year, unmitigated)	239.39	335.40	2,781.16	2.72	470.25	91.65	271,963.70

7/20/2009 10:16:18 AM

Operational Unmitigated Detail Report:

OPERATIONAL EMISSION ESTIMATES Annual Tons Per Year, Unmitigated

Source	ROG	NOX	CO	SO2	PM10	PM25	CO2
Single family housing	68.07	93.00	787.61	0.76	130.37	25.43	75,750.04
Apartments low rise	15.37	19.23	162.84	0.16	26.95	5.26	15,661.45
Elementary school	12.18	11.69	96.23	0.09	16.41	3.20	9,475.45
City park	0.15	0.15	1.25	0.00	0.21	0.04	123.33
Hotel	6.22	8.68	70.70	0.07	12.15	2.37	6,998.09
Regnl shop. center	69.14	104.23	846.89	0.84	145.70	28.37	83,920.08
Office park	45.07	64.39	538.83	0.53	90.86	17.71	52,619.16
Government (civic center)	3.60	5.36	43.81	0.04	7.51	1.46	4,328.02
Business Park/Manufacturing	19.50	28.57	232.15	0.23	39.94	7.78	23,003.82
Multipurpose Recreation Facility	0.09	0.10	0.85	0.00	0.15	0.03	84.26
TOTALS (tons/year, unmitigated)	239.39	335.40	2,781.16	2.72	470.25	91.65	271,963.70

Operational Settings:

Does not include correction for passby trips

Does not include double counting adjustment for internal trips

Analysis Year: 2010 Season: Annual

Emfac: Version : Emfac2007 V2.3 Nov 1 2006

Summary of Land Uses

Land Use Type	Acreage	Trip Rate	Unit Type	No. Units	Total Trips	Total VMT
Single family housing	1,770.67	7.70	dwelling units	5,312.00	40,902.40	413,228.76

Summary of Land Uses

Land Use Type	Acreage	Trip Rate	Unit Type	No. Units	Total Trips	Total VMT
Apartments low rise	143.62	3.68	dwelling units	2,298.00	8,456.64	85,435.74
Elementary school		1.29	students	4,189.00	5,403.81	52,038.69
City park		1.59	acres	47.00	74.73	678.74
Hotel		8.17	rooms	519.00	4,240.23	38,511.89
Regnl shop. center		42.03	1000 sq ft	1,225.11	51,491.37	462,032.05
Office park		11.59	1000 sq ft	2,333.16	27,041.32	288,044.18
Government (civic center)		27.92	1000 sq ft	92.01	2,568.92	23,801.04
Business Park/Manufacturing		16.71	1000 sq ft	844.68	14,114.60	126,650.32
Multipurpose Recreation Facility		2.35	1000 sq ft	22.00	51.70	463.90
					154,345.72	1,490,885.31

Vehicle Fleet Mix

Vehicle Type	Percent Type	Non-Catalyst	Catalyst	Diesel
Light Auto	51.7	1.2	98.6	0.2
Light Truck < 3750 lbs	7.3	2.7	94.6	2.7
Light Truck 3751-5750 lbs	22.9	0.4	99.6	0.0
Med Truck 5751-8500 lbs	10.6	0.9	99.1	0.0
Lite-Heavy Truck 8501-10,000 lbs	1.6	0.0	81.2	18.8
Lite-Heavy Truck 10,001-14,000 lbs	0.5	0.0	60.0	40.0
Med-Heavy Truck 14,001-33,000 lbs	0.9	0.0	22.2	77.8
Heavy-Heavy Truck 33,001-60,000 lbs	0.5	0.0	0.0	100.0
Other Bus	0.1	0.0	0.0	100.0

Vehicle Fleet Mix

Vehicle Type	Percent Type	Non-Catalyst	Catalyst	Diesel
Urban Bus	0.1	0.0	0.0	100.0
Motorcycle	2.8	67.9	32.1	0.0
School Bus	0.1	0.0	0.0	100.0
Motor Home	0.9	0.0	88.9	11.1

Travel Conditions

	Residential			Commercial		
	Home-Work	Home-Shop	Home-Other	Commuter	Non-Work	Customer
Urban Trip Length (miles)	12.7	7.0	9.5	13.3	7.4	8.9
Rural Trip Length (miles)	17.6	12.1	14.9	15.4	9.6	12.6
Trip speeds (mph)	30.0	30.0	30.0	30.0	30.0	30.0
% of Trips - Residential	32.9	18.0	49.1			

% of Trips - Commercial (by land use)

Elementary school	20.0	10.0	70.0
City park	5.0	2.5	92.5
Hotel	5.0	2.5	92.5
Regnl shop. center	2.0	1.0	97.0
Office park	48.0	24.0	28.0
Government (civic center)	10.0	5.0	85.0
Business Park/Manufacturing	2.0	1.0	97.0
Multipurpose Recreation Facility	2.0	1.0	97.0

Greenhouse Gas Emission Worksheet

Project Summary

Project: Agoura Hills GPU - Net
 Project Number: 100006439

Project Totals	Tonnage	Percent of total
Construction		
Total	0 metric tons CO2	100%
Operation		
Vehicular Use	74,220 metric tons CO2e	80%
Electricity	9,418 metric tons CO2e	10%
Natural Gas	4,480 metric tons CO2e	5%
Solid Waste	4,496 metric tons CO2e	5%
Water Use	516 metric tons CO2e	1%
Total	93,130 metric tons CO2e	100%

	Low End	High End
Mitigated Emissions	84189.74	39114.7
<i>% Reduction</i>	10%	58%

Operation		Existing	Net	Gross	
Vehicular Use	metric tons CO2e	253723	74,220	327,943	71%
Electricity	metric tons CO2e	34477	9,418	43,895	10%
Natural Gas	metric tons CO2e	49111	4,480	53,591	12%
Solid Waste	metric tons CO2e	23805	4,496	28,301	6%
Water Use	metric tons CO2e	6735	516	7,251	2%
Total	metric tons CO2e	367851	93,130	460,981	100%

Note: Vehicular emissions reflect 2009-2035 adjustment. Will not match existing emissions levels sheet

Greenhouse Gas Emission Worksheet

Construction Emissions

Project: Agoura Hills GPU - Net

Project Number: 100006439

1 ton (short, US) = 0.90718474 metric ton.

Off-Road Construction Equipment

Year	Phase	tons CO2	metric tons CO2
2009			0
2010			0
2011			0
2012			0
2013			0
2014			0
2015			0
2016			0
2017			0
2018			0
2019			0
2020			0
2021			0
2022			0
Total		0	0

Source: URBEMIS 2007, version 9.2.4

Greenhouse Gas Emission Worksheet

Operational Emissions

Project: Agoura Hills GPU - Net
 Project Number: 100006439

Conversion to CO2e Units based on GWP
 CH4 21
 N2O 310

Indirect Emissions from Electricity Use

Total Project Annual KWh: 28,549,968 kWh/year
 Project Annual MWh: 28,550 MWh/year

1 ton (short, US) = 0.90718474 metric ton.
 1 metric ton = 2,204.62 pounds

Emission Factors for Electricity Use:

CO2 724.12 lbs/MWh/year
 CH4 0.0302 lbs/MWh/year
 N2O 0.0081 lbs/MWh/year

Annual Emissions from Electricity Use:

	Total Emissions	Total CO2e Units
CO2 emissions:	9377.3997 metric tons	9377.4 metric tons CO2e
CH4 emissions:	0.3911 metric tons	8.2 metric tons CO2e
N2O emissions:	0.1049 metric tons	32.5 metric tons CO2e
Project Total		9,418 metric tons CO2e

Sources:

Table C.1 Comparison of GWPs from the IPCC's 2nd and 3rd TAR, App. C of the CCAR General Reporting Protocol (GAR), Ver. 3.1, Jan. 2009
 Table C.2: CO2, CH4, and N2O Electricity Emission Factors by eGRID Subregion, Subregion CAMX, App C of the CCAR GAR, Ver. 3.1, Jan. 2009.

Emissions from Natural Gas Use

Total Project Usage: 844,300 therms/year

1 Therm = 0.1 Million Btu (MMBtu)

Emission Factors for Natural Gas Use:

CO2 11.67 lbs/therm
 CH4 0.001 lbs/therm
 N2O 0.00002 lbs/therm

Annual Emissions from Natural Gas Use:

	Total Emissions	Total CO2e Units
CO2 emissions:	4469.2444 metric tons	4469.2 metric tons CO2e
CH4 emissions:	0.3830 metric tons	8.0 metric tons CO2e
N2O emissions:	0.0077 metric tons	2.4 metric tons CO2e
Project Total		4,480 metric tons CO2e

Sources:

Table C.7: CO2 Emission Factors for Stationary Combustion, Appendix C of the CCAR GAR, Ver. 3.1, Jan. 2009
 Table C.8 CH4 and N2O Emission Factors for Stationary Combustion by Fuel Type and Sector, Appendix C of the CCAR Protocol, 2009 (for residential, commercial, institutional uses).

Indirect Emissions from Solid Waste

Total Solid Waste: 5,279 tons/year
 Landfill Gas: 599 tons/year

Annual Emissions from Solid Waste:

	Total Emissions	Total CO2e Units
CO2 emissions:	381 tons	346 metric tons CO2e
CH4 emissions:	218 tons	4151 metric tons CO2e
Project Total		4,496 metric tons CO2e

Sources:

State Workbook: Methodologies for Estimating Greenhouse Gas Emissions (pages 5-1 to 5-3)

Indirect Emissions from Water Use

Indoor Uses 117.28 MG/year
 Outdoor Uses* 0.00 MG/year
 Total Project Usage: 117.28 MG/year
 Northern or Southern Ca? Southern

Annual Electricity Generation Associated with Water Uses

	Water Consumption (MG)	Energy Factor (MWh/MG)	
Indoor Uses	117.28	13.022	1,527 MWh/year
Outdoor Uses	0.00	11.111	0 MWh/year
Total Project Usage			1,527 MWh/year

Emission Factors for Electricity Use:

CO2 742.12 lbs/MWh/year
 CH4 0.0302 lbs/MWh/year
 N2O 0.0081 lbs/MWh/year

Annual Emissions from Water Use:

	Total Emissions	Total CO2e Units
CO2 emissions:	514.1 metric tons	514.1 metric tons CO2e
CH4 emissions:	0.0 metric tons	0.4 metric tons CO2e
N2O emissions:	0.0 metric tons	1.7 metric tons CO2e
Project Total		516 metric tons CO2e

* - Input manually

Sources:

Table C.5: CO2 Emission Factors and Oxidation Rates for Stationary Combustion, Appendix C of the CCAR Protocol, 2009
 Table C.6 Methane and Nitrous Oxide Emission Factors for Stationary Combustion by Fuel Type, Appendix C of the CCAR Protocol, 2009.
 Table ES-1: Recommended Revised Water-energy Proxies, Refining Estimates of Water-Related Energy Use in California, CEC-500-2006-118.

Greenhouse Gas Emission Worksheet

Mobile Emissions

Project: Agoura Hills GPU - Net
Project Number: 100006439

From URBEMIS 2007 Vehicle Fleet Mix Output:

Daily Vehicle Miles Traveled (VMT): 436,976
 Annual VMT: 159,496,379

Vehicle Type	Percent Type	CH4		N2O	
		CH4 Emission Factor (g/mile)*	Emission (g/mile)	Emission Factor (g/mile)*	N2O Emission (g/mile)
Light Auto	47.5%	0.0147	0.0069825	0.0079	0.0037525
Light Truck < 3750 lbs	11.0%	0.0157	0.001727	0.0101	0.001111
Light Truck 3751-5750 lbs	22.2%	0.0157	0.0034854	0.0101	0.0022422
Med Truck 5751-8500 lbs	9.9%	0.0326	0.0032274	0.0177	0.0017523
Lite-Heavy Truck 8501-10,000 lbs	1.8%	0.0326	0.0005868	0.0177	0.0003186
Lite-Heavy Truck 10,001-14,000 lbs	0.7%	0.0326	0.0002282	0.0177	0.0001239
Med-Heavy Truck 14,001-33,000 lbs	1.1%	0.0326	0.0003586	0.0177	0.0001947
Heavy-Heavy Truck 33,001-60,000 lbs	0.9%	0.0326	0.0002934	0.0177	0.0001593
Other Bus	0.1%	0.0326	0.0000326	0.0177	0.0000177
Urban Bus	0.1%	0.0326	0.0000326	0.0177	0.0000177
Motorcycle	3.5%	0.0147	0.0005145	0.0079	0.0002765
School Bus	0.1%	0.0326	0.0000326	0.0177	0.0000177
Motor Home	1.1%	0.0326	0.0003586	0.0177	0.0001947
Total			0.0178602		0.0101788

* from URBEMIS output
 1 ton (short, US) = 0.90718474 metric ton.
 1g = 0.000001 metric ton

Annual Mobile Emissions:

	Total Emissions	Total CO2e units
CO2 Emissions*:	81192.5 tons CO2	73,657 metric tons CO2e
CH4 Emissions:	2.8 metric tons CH4	60 metric tons CO2e
N2O Emissions:	1.6 metric tons N2O	503 metric tons CO2e
Project Total:		74,220 metric tons CO2e

* from URBEMIS output. 1 ton (short, US) = 0.90718474 metric ton.

Sources:

Table C.4: CH4 and N2O Emission Factors for Highway Vehicles by Model Year (g/mile), CCAR GAR, Version 3.1, January 2009.
 Assume Model year 2005-present, gasoline fueled.
 URBEMIS 2007, version 9.2.4.

Electricity Calcs

Project Area	Electricity Generation Rate*	Use	Subtotal (kWH/year)
116 units	5,626.50 kWH/year/unit	Single-family Residential	652,674
413 units	5,626.50 kWH/year/unit	Multi-family Residential	2,323,745
sf	5.9 kWH/year/sf	School	-
sf	9.95 kWH/year/sf	Hotel	-
625,794 sf	13.55 kWH/year/sf	Retail	8,479,509
1098291 sf	12.95 kWH/year/sf	Office	14,222,868
sf	12.95 kWH/year/sf	Institutional	-
273,445 sf	10.5 kWH/year/sf	Manufacturing	2,871,173
sf	10.5 kWH/year/sf	Miscellaneous (Comm/Rec)	-
		Total	28,549,968 kWH/year

* From SCAQMD CEQA Handbook (use other rates as appropriate)

Natural Gas Calcs

Project Area	Natural Gas Generation Rate*	Use	Subtotal (cf/year)
116 units	4011.5 cf/unit/month	Single-family Residential	5,584,008
413 units	4011.5 cf/unit/month	Multi-family Residential	19,880,994
sf	2.9 cf/sf/month	School	-
sf	4.35 cf/sf/month	Hotel	-
625,794 sf	2.9 cf/sf/month	Retail	21,777,631
1098291 sf	2 cf/sf/month	Office	26,358,984
sf	3.3 cf/sf/month	Institutional	-
273,445 sf	3.3 cf/sf/month	Manufacturing	10,828,422
sf	13.55 cf/sf/month	Comm/Rec	-
		Total	84,430,039 cf/year
			or
			844,300.39 therms/year

* From SCAQMD CEQA Handbook (use other rates as appropriate)

Solid Waste Calcs

Project Area	Solid Waste Generation Rate*	Use	Subtotal (tons/year)
116 unit	4 lbs/unit/day	Single-family Residential	85
413 unit	4 lbs/unit/day	Multi-family Residential	301
sf	0.007 lbs/sf/day	School	-
sf	0.059 lbs/sf/day	Hotel	-
625,794 sf	0.005 lbs/sf/day	Shopping Center	571
1098291 sf	0.006 lbs/sf/day	Office	1,203
sf	0.006 lbs/sf/day	Institutional	-
273,445 sf	0.0625 lbs/sf/day	Manufacturing	3,119
unit	0.005 lbs/sf/day	Comm/Rec	-
		Total	5,279 tons/year

* City of Los Angeles, Bureau of Sanitation 1981

Water Calcs

Project	Units	Water (gals/day/unit)	Water Usage (gals/day)	Type Description	Annual Water Usage (Million Gallons)
				<i>Residential</i>	
116	Unit	532	61712	Single-family Residential	22.52488
413	Unit	532	219716	Multi-family Residential	80.19634
	Acres	870	0	School	0
	Acres	870	0	Hotel	0
14	Acres	870	12498.6405	Retail/Service	4.562003781
25	Acres	870	21935.56405	Office/Business Park	8.006480878
	Acres	870	0	Institutional	0
6	Acres	870	5461.36708	Manufacturing	1.993398984
	Acres	870	0	Commercial Recreation	0
	Acres	870	0	Park	0
	Total		0		117.28 MG water (annual)

CAPCOA GHG Reduction Measures							
Measure	Name	Recommended Reduction	Description	Notes	Project Feature/Equivalent	Project Reduction	
						Low End	High End
MM T-1	Bike Parking	1%	Nonresidential projects provide plentiful short- and long-term bicycle parking facilities to meet peak season maximum demand (e.g., one bike rack space per 20 vehicle/employee parking spaces).	Range 1-5% for T-1 through T-4 combined		1.0%	1.0%
MM T-2	End of Trip Facilities	1%	Nonresidential projects provide "end-of-trip" facilities including showers, lockers, and changing space (e.g., four clothes lockers and one shower provided for every 80 employee parking spaces, separate facilities for each gender for projects with 160 or more employee parking spaces).			1.0%	1.0%
MM T-3	Bike Parking at Multi-Unit Residential	1%	Long-term bicycle parking is provided at apartment complexes or condominiums without garages (e.g., one long-term bicycle parking space for each unit without a garage). Long-term facilities shall consist of one of the following: a bicycle locker, a locked room with standard racks and access limited to bicyclists only, or a standard rack in a location that is staffed and/or monitored by video surveillance 24 hours per day.				
MM T-4	Proximity to Bike Path/Bike Lanes	1%	Entire project is located within one-half mile of an existing/planned Class I or Class II bike lane and project design includes a comparable network that connects the project uses to the existing offsite facility. Project design includes a designated bicycle route connecting all units, onsite bicycle parking facilities, offsite bicycle facilities, site entrances, and primary building entrances to existing Class I or Class II bike lane(s) within one half mile. Bicycle route connects to all streets contiguous with project site. Bicycle route has minimum conflicts with automobile parking and circulation facilities. All streets internal to the project wider than 75 feet have Class II bicycle lanes on both sides.			1.0%	1.0%

Measure	Name	Recommended Reduction	Description	Notes	Project Feature/Equivalent	Project Reduction	
						Low End	High End
MM T-5	Pedestrian Network	1-10%	The project provides a pedestrian access network that internally links all uses and connects to all existing/planned external streets and pedestrian facilities contiguous with the project site. Project design includes a designated pedestrian route interconnecting all internal uses, site entrances, primary building entrances, public facilities, and adjacent uses to existing external pedestrian facilities and streets. Route has minimal conflict with parking and automobile circulation facilities. Streets (with the exception of alleys) within the project have sidewalks on both sides. All sidewalks internal and adjacent to project site are minimum of five feet wide. All sidewalks feature vertical curbs.	Range 1-5% for T-5 through T-6 combined		1.0%	5.0%
			Pedestrian facilities and improvements such as grade separation, wider sidewalks, and traffic calming are implemented wherever feasible to minimize pedestrian barriers. All site entrances provide pedestrian access.				
MM T-6	Pedestrian Barriers Minimized	1-10%	Site design and building placement minimize barriers to pedestrian access and interconnectivity. Physical barriers such as walls, berms, landscaping, and slopes between residential and nonresidential uses that impede bicycle or pedestrian circulation are eliminated.				
MM T-7	Bus Shelter for Existing/Planned Transit Service	1-2%	Bus or streetcar service provides headways of one hour or less for stops within one-quarter mile; project provides safe and convenient bicycle/pedestrian access to transit stop(s) and provides essential transit stop improvements (i.e., shelters, route information, benches, and lighting).				

Measure	Name	Recommended Reduction	Description	Notes	Project Feature/Equivalent	Project Reduction	
						Low End	High End
MM T-8	Traffic Calming	1-10%	Project design includes pedestrian/bicycle safety and traffic calming measures in excess of jurisdiction requirements. Roadways are designed to reduce motor vehicle speeds and encourage pedestrian and bicycle trips by featuring traffic calming features. All sidewalks internal and adjacent to project site are minimum of five feet wide. All sidewalks feature vertical curbs. Roadways that converge internally within the project are routed in such a way as to avoid "skewed intersections," which are intersections that meet at acute, rather than right, angles. Intersections internal and adjacent to the project feature one or more of the following pedestrian safety/traffic calming design techniques: marked crosswalks, count-down signal timers, curb extensions, speed tables, raised crosswalks, raised intersections, median islands, tight corner radii, and roundabouts or mini-circles. Streets internal and adjacent to the project feature pedestrian safety/traffic calming measures such as on-street parking, planter strips with street trees, and chicanes/chokers (variations in road width to discourage high-speed travel).			1.0%	10.0%
MM T-9	Paid Parking (Parking Cash Out)	1-30%	Project provides employee and/or customer paid parking system. Project must have a permanent and enforceable method of maintaining user fees for all parking facilities. The facility may not provide customer or employee validations. Daily charge for parking must be equal to or greater than the cost of a transit day/monthly pass plus 20 percent.				
MM T-10	Minimum Parking	1-30%	Provide minimum amount of parking required. Once land uses are determined, the trip reduction factor associated with this measure can be determined by utilizing the ITE parking generation publication. The reduction in trips can be computed as shown below by the ratio of the difference of minimum parking required by code and ITE peak parking demand to ITE peak parking demand for the land uses multiplied by 50%. Percent Trip Reduction = 50 * [(min parking required by code – ITE peak parking demand) / (ITE peak parking demand)]				

Measure	Name	Recommended Reduction	Description	Notes	Project Feature/Equivalent	Project Reduction	
						Low End	High End
MM T-11	Parking Reduction Beyond Code/Shared Parking	1-30%	Provide parking reduction less than code. This measure can be readily implemented through a shared parking strategy, wherein parking is utilized jointly among different land uses, buildings, and facilities in an area that experience peak parking needs at different times of day and day of the week.				
MM T-12	Pedestrian Pathway Through Parking	1-4%	Provide a parking lot design that includes clearly marked and shaded pedestrian pathways between transit facilities and building entrances.			1.0%	4.0%
MM T-13	Off-Street Parking	1-4%	Parking facilities are not adjacent to street frontage.				
MM T-14	Parking Area Tree Cover	Reduction of 3.1 kg/m2 canopy	Provide parking lot areas with 50 percent tree cover within 10 years of construction, in particular low emitting, low maintenance, native drought resistant trees. Reduces urban heat island effect and requirement for air conditioning, effective when combined with other measures (e.g., electrical maintenance equipment and reflective paving material).				
MM T-15	Valet Bicycle Parking	n/a, low	Provide spaces for the operation of valet bicycle parking at community event "centers" such as amphitheaters, theaters, and stadiums.				
MM T-16	Garage Bicycle Storage	n/a, low	Provide storage space in one-car garages for bicycles and bicycle trailers.				
MM T-17	Preferential Parking for EVs/CNG Vehicles	n/a, low	Provide preferential parking space locations for Electric Vehicles (EV) or Compressed Natural Gas (CNG) vehicles.				
MM T-18	Reduced/No Parking Fee for EVs/CNG Vehicles	n/a, low	Provide a reduced/no parking fee for EVs/CNG vehicles.				
MM T-19	TMA Membership	1-28%	Include permanent TMA membership and funding requirement. Funding to be provided by Community Facilities District or County Service Area or other nonrevocable funding mechanism. TDMS have been shown to reduce employee vehicle trips up to 28% with the largest reductions achieved through parking pricing and transit passes. The impact depends on the travel alternatives.				
MM T-20	ULEV	n/a, low	Use of and/or provide ULEV that are 50% cleaner than average new model cars (e.g., natural gas, ethanol, electric).				
MM T-21	Flex Fuel Vehicles	5466 lb GHG/year	Use of and/or provide vehicles that utilize gasoline/ethanol blends (e.g., E85).				

Measure	Name	Recommended Reduction	Description	Notes	Project Feature/Equivalent	Project Reduction	
						Low End	High End
MM D-1	Office/Mixed Use Density	0.05%-2%	Project provides high density office or mixed-use proximate to transit. Project must provide safe and convenient pedestrian and bicycle access to all transit stops within one-quarter mile.			0.1%	2.0%
MM D-2	Orientation to Existing/Planned Transit, Bikeway, or Pedestrian Corridor	0.4-1%	Project is oriented towards existing transit, bicycle, or pedestrian corridor. Setback distance between project and existing or planned adjacent uses is minimized or nonexistent. Setback distance between different buildings on project site is minimized. Setbacks between project buildings and planned or existing sidewalks are minimized. Buildings are oriented towards existing or planned street frontage. Primary entrances to buildings are located along planned or existing public street frontage. Project provides bicycle access to any planned bicycle corridor(s). Project provides pedestrian access to any planned pedestrian corridor(s).			0.4%	1.0%
MM D-3	Services Operational	0.5-5%	Project provides on-site shops and services for employees.				
MM D-4	Residential Density (Employ Sufficient Density for New Residential Development to Support the Use of Public Transit)	1-40%	Project provides high-density residential development. Transit facilities must be within one quarter mile of project border. Project provides safe and convenient bicycle/pedestrian access to all transit stop(s) within one-quarter mile of project border.	See report for VMT reduction formula	See report for VMT reduction formula		

Measure	Name	Recommended Reduction	Description	Notes	Project Feature/Equivalent	Project Reduction	
						Low End	High End
MM D-5	Street Grid	1%	Multiple and direct street routing (grid style). This measure only applies to projects with an internal CF ≥ 0.80 , and average of one-quarter mile or less between external connections along perimeter of project. [CF= # of intersections / (# of cul-de-sacs + intersections)]. Cul-de-sacs with bicycle/pedestrian through access may be considered "complete intersections" when calculating the project's internal connectivity factor. External connections are bike/pedestrian pathways and access points, or streets with safe and convenient bicycle and pedestrian access that connect the project to adjacent streets, sidewalks, and uses. If project site is adjacent to undeveloped land; streets, pathways, access points, and right-of-ways that provide for future access to adjacent uses may count for up to 50% of the external connections. Block perimeter (the sum of the measurement of the length of all block sides) is limited to no more than 1,350 feet. Streets internal to the project should connect to streets external to the project whenever possible.				
MM D-6	NEV Access	0.5-1.5%	Make physical development consistent with requirements for neighborhood electric vehicles. Current studies show that for most trips, NEVs do not replace gas-fueled vehicles as the primary vehicle.				
MM D-7	Affordable Housing Component	0.4-6%	Residential development projects of five or more dwelling units provide a deed restricted low-income housing component on-site (or as defined in the code). Developers who pay into In-Lieu Fee Programs are not considered eligible to receive credit for this measure. The award of emission reduction credit shall be based only on the proportion of affordable housing developed on-site because in-lieu programs simply induce a net increase in development. Percentage reduction shall be calculated according to the following formula: % reduction = % units deedrestricted below market rate housing * 0.04				

Measure	Name	Recommended Reduction	Description	Notes	Project Feature/Equivalent	Project Reduction	
						Low End	High End
MM D-8	Recharging Area	n/a, low	Provide residential buildings with a "utility" room or space for recharging batteries, whether for use in a car, electric lawnmower, other electric landscaping equipment, or even batteries for small items such as flashlights.				
MM D-9	Urban Mixed-Use	3-9%	Development of projects predominantly characterized by properties on which various uses, such as office, commercial, institutional, and residential, are combined in a single building or on a single site in an integrated development project with functional interrelationships and a coherent physical design.				
MM D-10	Suburban Mixed-use	3%	Have at least three of the following on site and/or offsite within one-quarter mile: Residential Development, Retail Development, Park, Open Space, or Office.				
MM D-11	Other Mixed-Use	1%	All residential units are within one-quarter mile of parks, schools or other civic uses.				
MM D-12	Infill Development	3-30%	Project site is on a vacant infill site, redevelopment area, or brownfield or greyfield lot that is highly accessible to regional destinations, where the destinations rating of the development site (measured as the weighted average travel time to all other regional destinations) is improved by 100% when compared to an alternate greenfield site.			3.0%	30.0%
MM D-13	Electric Lawnmower	1%	Provide a complimentary electric lawnmower to each residential buyer.				
MM D-14	Enhanced Recycling/Waste Reduction, Reuse, Composting	n/a, low	Provide infrastructure/education that promotes the avoidance of products with excessive packaging, recycle, buying of refills, separating of food and yard waste for composting, and using rechargeable batteries.				
MM D-15	LEED Certification	n/a, moderate	LEED promotes a wholebuilding approach to sustainability by recognizing performance in five key areas of human and environmental health: sustainable site development, water savings, energy efficiency, materials selection, and indoor environmental quality.				
MM D-16	Retro-Commissioning	8-10% (energy usage)	The process ensures that all building systems perform interactively according to the contract documents, the design intent and the owner's operational needs to optimize energy performance.				

Measure	Name	Recommended Reduction	Description	Notes	Project Feature/Equivalent	Project Reduction	
						Low End	High End
MM D-17	Landscaping	n/a, low	Project shall use drought resistant native trees, trees with low emissions and high carbon sequestration potential. Evergreen trees on the north and west sides afford the best protection from the setting summer sun and cold winter winds. Additional considerations include the use of deciduous trees on the south side of the house that will admit summer sun; evergreen plantings on the north side will slow cold winter winds; constructing a natural planted channel to funnel summer cooling breezes into the house. Neighborhood CCR's not requiring that front and side yards of single family homes be planted with turf grass. Vegetable gardens, bunch grass, and low-water landscaping shall also be permitted, or even encouraged.			0.1%	1.0%
MM D-18	Local Farmer's Market	n/a, low	Project shall dedicate space in a centralized, accessible location for a weekly farmers' market.				
MM D-19	Community Gardens	n/a, low	Project shall dedicate space for community gardens.				
MM E-1	High-Efficiency Pumps	n/a, low	Project shall use high-efficiency pumps.				
MM E-2	Wood Burning Fireplaces/Stoves	n/a, low	Project does not feature fireplaces or wood burning stoves.				
MM E-3	Natural Gas Stove	n/a, low	Project features only natural gas or electric stoves in residences.				
MM E-4	Energy Star Roof	0.5-1%	Project installs Energy Star labeled roof materials.				
MM E-5	On-site Renewable Energy System	1-3%	Project provides onsite renewable energy system(s). Nonpolluting and renewable energy potential includes solar, wind, geothermal, low-impact hydro, biomass and bio-gas strategies. When applying these strategies, projects may take advantage of net metering with the local utility.				
MM E-6	Exceed Title 24	1%	Project exceeds title 24 requirements by 20%.				
MM E-7	Solar Orientation	1%	Project orients 75 percent or more of homes and/or buildings to face either north or south (within 30° of N/S). Building design includes roof overhangs that are sufficient to block the high summer sun, but not the lower winter sun, from penetrating south facing windows. Trees, other landscaping features and other buildings are sited in such a way as to maximize shade in the summer and maximize solar access to walls and windows in the winter.				

Measure	Name	Recommended Reduction	Description	Notes	Project Feature/Equivalent	Project Reduction	
						Low End	High End
MM E-8	Nonroof Surfaces	1%	Provide shade (within 5 years) and/or use light-colored/highalbedo materials (reflectance of at least 0.3) and/or open grid pavement for at least 30% of the site's nonroof impervious surfaces, including parking lots, walkways, plazas, etc.; OR place a minimum of 50% of parking spaces underground or covered by structured parking; OR use an open-grid pavement system (less than 50% impervious) for a minimum of 50% of the parking lot area. The mitigation measure reduces heat islands (thermal gradient differences between developed and undeveloped areas to minimize impact on microclimate and human and wildlife habitats. This measure requires the use of patented or copyright protected methodologies created by the ATSM.				
MM E-9	Low Energy Cooling	1-10%	Project optimizes building's thermal distribution by separating ventilation and thermal conditioning systems.				
MM E-10	Green Roof	1%	Install a vegetated roof that covers at least 50% of roof area. The reduction assumes that a vegetated roof is installed on a least 50% of the roof area or that a combination high albedo and vegetated roof surface is installed that meets the following standard: (Area of SRI Roof/0.75) + (Area of vegetated roof/0.5) >= Total Roof Area. Water consumption reduction measures shall be considered in the design of the green roof.				
MM E-11	EV Charging Facilities	n/a, low	Project installs EV charging facilities.				
MM E-12	Light Colored Paving	n/a, low	Project provides light-colored paving (e.g., increased albedo pavement).				
MM E-13	Cool Roofs	n/a, low	Project provides cool roofs. Highly reflective, highly emissive roofing materials that stay 50 60°F cooler than a normal roof under a hot summer sun. CA's Cool Savings Program provided rebates to building owners for installing roofing materials with high solar reflectance and thermal emittance.			0.1%	1.0%
MM E-14	Solar Water Heaters	20-70% (energy)	Project provides solar water heaters.				
MM E-15	Electric Yard Equipment Compatibility	n/a, low	Project provides electrical outlets at building exterior areas.				
MM E-16	Energy Efficient Appliance Standards	n/a, low	Project uses energy efficient appliances (e.g., Energy Star).				

Measure	Name	Recommended Reduction	Description	Notes	Project Feature/Equivalent	Project Reduction	
						Low End	High End
MM E-17	Green Building Materials	n/a, low	Project uses materials which are resource efficient, recycled, with long life cycles and manufactured in an environmentally friendly way.				
MM E-18	Shading Mechanisms	n/a, low	Install energy-reducing shading mechanisms for windows, porch, patio and walkway overhangs.				
MM E-19	Ceiling/Whole House Fans	n/a, low	Install energy-reducing ceiling/whole-house fans.				
MM E-20	Programmable Thermostats	n/a, low	Install energy-reducing programmable thermostats that automatically adjust temperature settings.				
MM E-21	Passive Heating and Cooling Systems	n/a, low	Install energy-reducing passive heating and cooling systems				
MM E-22	Day Lighting Systems	n/a, low	Install energy-reducing day lighting systems (e.g., skylights, light shelves and interior transom windows), (e.g., insulation and ventilation).				
MM E-23	Water Use Appliances	n/a, low	Require the installation of low-water use appliances.			0.1%	1.0%
MM E-24	Goods Transport by Rail	n/a, moderate	Provide a spur at nonresidential projects to use nearby rail for goods movement.				
MM S-1	GHG Emissions Reductions Education	n/a, low	Provide local governments, businesses, and residents with guidance/protocols/information on how to reduce GHG emissions (e.g., energy saving, food miles).				
MM S-2	School Curriculum	n/a, low	Include how to reduce GHG emissions (e.g., energy saving, food miles) in the school curriculum.				
MM C-1	ARB Certified Diesel Construction Equipment	n/a, low	Use ARB-certified diesel construction equipment. Increases CO2 emissions when trapped CO and carbon particles are oxidized (Catalyst Products 2007, ETC 2007).				
MM C-2	Alternative Fuel Construction Equipment	n/a, low	Use alternative fuel types for construction equipment. At the tailpipe biodiesel emits 10% more CO2 than petroleum diesel. Overall lifecycle emissions of CO2 from 100% biodiesel are 78% lower than those of petroleum diesel (NREL 1998, EPA 2007b).				
MM C-3	Local Building Materials	n/a, low	Use locally made building materials for construction of the project and associated infrastructure.				
MM C-4	Recycle Demolished Construction Material	n/a, low	Recycle/Reuse demolished construction material. Use locally made building materials for construction of the project and associated infrastructure.				

Measure	Name	Recommended Reduction	Description	Notes	Project Feature/Equivalent	Project Reduction	
						Low End	High End
MM M-1	Off-Site Mitigation Fee Program	n/a, low	Provide/Pay into an off-site mitigation fee program, which focuses primarily on reducing emissions from existing development and buildings through retro-fit (e.g., increased insulation).				
MM M-2	Offset Purchase	n/a, low	Provide/purchase offsets for additional emissions by acquiring carbon credits or engaging in other market "cap and trade" systems.				
ate Change, CAPCOA, January 2008			TOTAL	Low-end		9.6%	
				High-end			58.0%

Urbemis 2007 Version 9.2.4

Combined Annual Emissions Reports (Tons/Year)

File Name: C:\Documents and Settings\21502\Desktop\Agoura Hills\AQ Stuff\Net Increase - Mobile Emissions - Annual.urb924

Project Name: Agoura Hills - Net Development

Project Location: South Coast AQMD

On-Road Vehicle Emissions Based on: Version : Emfac2007 V2.3 Nov 1 2006

Off-Road Vehicle Emissions Based on: OFFROAD2007

Summary Report:

OPERATIONAL (VEHICLE) EMISSION ESTIMATES

	<u>ROG</u>	<u>NOx</u>	<u>CO</u>	<u>SO2</u>	<u>PM10</u>	<u>PM2.5</u>	<u>CO2</u>
TOTALS (tons/year, unmitigated)	22.05	22.76	239.28	0.81	137.61	26.66	81,192.52

SUM OF AREA SOURCE AND OPERATIONAL EMISSION ESTIMATES

	<u>ROG</u>	<u>NOx</u>	<u>CO</u>	<u>SO2</u>	<u>PM10</u>	<u>PM2.5</u>	<u>CO2</u>
TOTALS (tons/year, unmitigated)	22.05	22.76	239.28	0.81	137.61	26.66	81,192.52

7/20/2009 3:40:54 PM

Operational Unmitigated Detail Report:

OPERATIONAL EMISSION ESTIMATES Annual Tons Per Year, Unmitigated

Source	ROG	NOX	CO	SO2	PM10	PM25	CO2
Single family housing	0.49	0.47	5.09	0.02	2.84	0.55	1,688.12
Apartments low rise	0.93	0.80	8.67	0.03	4.84	0.94	2,872.46
Regnl shop. center	11.57	12.34	128.07	0.43	74.31	14.39	43,751.31
Office park	6.97	7.01	75.20	0.25	42.71	8.28	25,280.14
Business Park/Manufacturing	2.09	2.14	22.25	0.08	12.91	2.50	7,600.49
TOTALS (tons/year, unmitigated)	22.05	22.76	239.28	0.81	137.61	26.66	81,192.52

Operational Settings:

Does not include correction for passby trips

Does not include double counting adjustment for internal trips

Analysis Year: 2035 Season: Annual

Emfac: Version : Emfac2007 V2.3 Nov 1 2006

Summary of Land Uses

Land Use Type	Acreage	Trip Rate	Unit Type	No. Units	Total Trips	Total VMT
Single family housing	38.67	7.70	dwelling units	116.00	893.20	9,023.82
Apartments low rise	25.81	3.68	dwelling units	413.00	1,519.84	15,354.64
Regnl shop. center		42.03	1000 sq ft	625.79	26,301.95	236,007.41
Office park		11.59	1000 sq ft	1,098.29	12,729.18	135,591.24
Business Park/Manufacturing		16.71	1000 sq ft	273.44	4,569.18	40,999.27
					46,013.35	436,976.38

7/20/2009 3:40:54 PM

Vehicle Fleet Mix

Vehicle Type	Percent Type	Non-Catalyst	Catalyst	Diesel
Light Auto	48.0	0.0	100.0	0.0
Light Truck < 3750 lbs	7.4	0.0	100.0	0.0
Light Truck 3751-5750 lbs	24.4	0.0	100.0	0.0
Med Truck 5751-8500 lbs	11.8	0.0	100.0	0.0
Lite-Heavy Truck 8501-10,000 lbs	1.9	0.0	78.9	21.1
Lite-Heavy Truck 10,001-14,000 lbs	0.6	0.0	66.7	33.3
Med-Heavy Truck 14,001-33,000 lbs	1.0	0.0	20.0	80.0
Heavy-Heavy Truck 33,001-60,000 lbs	0.7	0.0	0.0	100.0
Other Bus	0.1	0.0	0.0	100.0
Urban Bus	0.1	0.0	0.0	100.0
Motorcycle	2.5	32.0	68.0	0.0
School Bus	0.1	0.0	0.0	100.0
Motor Home	1.4	0.0	92.9	7.1

Travel Conditions

	Residential			Commuter	Commercial	
	Home-Work	Home-Shop	Home-Other		Non-Work	Customer
Urban Trip Length (miles)	12.7	7.0	9.5	13.3	7.4	8.9
Rural Trip Length (miles)	17.6	12.1	14.9	15.4	9.6	12.6
Trip speeds (mph)	30.0	30.0	30.0	30.0	30.0	30.0
% of Trips - Residential	32.9	18.0	49.1			

Travel Conditions

	Residential			Commercial		
	Home-Work	Home-Shop	Home-Other	Commute	Non-Work	Customer
% of Trips - Commercial (by land use)						
Regnl shop. center				2.0	1.0	97.0
Office park				48.0	24.0	28.0
Business Park/Manufacturing				2.0	1.0	97.0

Urbemis 2007 Version 9.2.4

Combined Annual Emissions Reports (Tons/Year)

File Name: C:\Documents and Settings\21502\Desktop\Agoura Hills\AQ Stuff\Existing Uses - Mobile Emissions - Annual.urb924

Project Name: Agoura Hills - Existing

Project Location: South Coast AQMD

On-Road Vehicle Emissions Based on: Version : Emfac2007 V2.3 Nov 1 2006

Off-Road Vehicle Emissions Based on: OFFROAD2007

Summary Report:

OPERATIONAL (VEHICLE) EMISSION ESTIMATES

	<u>ROG</u>	<u>NOx</u>	<u>CO</u>	<u>SO2</u>	<u>PM10</u>	<u>PM2.5</u>	<u>CO2</u>
TOTALS (tons/year, unmitigated)	79.29	77.64	823.70	2.74	469.53	91.01	277,564.48

SUM OF AREA SOURCE AND OPERATIONAL EMISSION ESTIMATES

	<u>ROG</u>	<u>NOx</u>	<u>CO</u>	<u>SO2</u>	<u>PM10</u>	<u>PM2.5</u>	<u>CO2</u>
TOTALS (tons/year, unmitigated)	79.29	77.64	823.70	2.74	469.53	91.01	277,564.48

7/20/2009 3:42:39 PM

Operational Unmitigated Detail Report:

OPERATIONAL EMISSION ESTIMATES Annual Tons Per Year, Unmitigated

Source	ROG	NOX	CO	SO2	PM10	PM25	CO2
Single family housing	22.47	21.50	233.24	0.76	130.18	25.26	77,304.41
Apartments low rise	5.19	4.44	48.22	0.16	26.91	5.22	15,982.82
Elementary school	4.39	2.71	28.51	0.10	16.39	3.18	9,670.92
City park	0.05	0.04	0.37	0.00	0.21	0.04	125.88
Hotel	2.08	2.01	20.93	0.07	12.13	2.35	7,142.51
Regnl shop. center	22.65	24.16	250.73	0.85	145.47	28.17	85,652.01
Office park	14.81	14.90	159.75	0.53	90.72	17.59	53,704.03
Government (civic center)	1.18	1.24	12.97	0.04	7.49	1.45	4,417.32
Business Park/Manufacturing	6.44	6.62	68.73	0.23	39.88	7.72	23,478.58
Multipurpose Recreation Facility	0.03	0.02	0.25	0.00	0.15	0.03	86.00
TOTALS (tons/year, unmitigated)	79.29	77.64	823.70	2.74	469.53	91.01	277,564.48

Operational Settings:

Does not include correction for passby trips

Does not include double counting adjustment for internal trips

Analysis Year: 2035 Season: Annual

Emfac: Version : Emfac2007 V2.3 Nov 1 2006

Summary of Land Uses

Land Use Type	Acreage	Trip Rate	Unit Type	No. Units	Total Trips	Total VMT
Single family housing	1,770.67	7.70	dwelling units	5,312.00	40,902.40	413,228.76

Summary of Land Uses

Land Use Type	Acreage	Trip Rate	Unit Type	No. Units	Total Trips	Total VMT
Apartments low rise	143.62	3.68	dwelling units	2,298.00	8,456.64	85,435.74
Elementary school		1.29	students	4,189.00	5,403.81	52,038.69
City park		1.59	acres	47.00	74.73	678.74
Hotel		8.17	rooms	519.00	4,240.23	38,511.89
Regnl shop. center		42.03	1000 sq ft	1,225.11	51,491.37	462,032.05
Office park		11.59	1000 sq ft	2,333.16	27,041.32	288,044.18
Government (civic center)		27.92	1000 sq ft	92.01	2,568.92	23,801.04
Business Park/Manufacturing		16.71	1000 sq ft	844.68	14,114.60	126,650.32
Multipurpose Recreation Facility		2.35	1000 sq ft	22.00	51.70	463.90
					154,345.72	1,490,885.31

Vehicle Fleet Mix

Vehicle Type	Percent Type	Non-Catalyst	Catalyst	Diesel
Light Auto	48.0	0.0	100.0	0.0
Light Truck < 3750 lbs	7.4	0.0	100.0	0.0
Light Truck 3751-5750 lbs	24.4	0.0	100.0	0.0
Med Truck 5751-8500 lbs	11.8	0.0	100.0	0.0
Lite-Heavy Truck 8501-10,000 lbs	1.9	0.0	78.9	21.1
Lite-Heavy Truck 10,001-14,000 lbs	0.6	0.0	66.7	33.3
Med-Heavy Truck 14,001-33,000 lbs	1.0	0.0	20.0	80.0
Heavy-Heavy Truck 33,001-60,000 lbs	0.7	0.0	0.0	100.0
Other Bus	0.1	0.0	0.0	100.0

Vehicle Fleet Mix


Vehicle Type	Percent Type	Non-Catalyst	Catalyst	Diesel
Urban Bus	0.1	0.0	0.0	100.0
Motorcycle	2.5	32.0	68.0	0.0
School Bus	0.1	0.0	0.0	100.0
Motor Home	1.4	0.0	92.9	7.1

Travel Conditions

	Residential			Commercial		
	Home-Work	Home-Shop	Home-Other	Commuter	Non-Work	Customer
Urban Trip Length (miles)	12.7	7.0	9.5	13.3	7.4	8.9
Rural Trip Length (miles)	17.6	12.1	14.9	15.4	9.6	12.6
Trip speeds (mph)	30.0	30.0	30.0	30.0	30.0	30.0
% of Trips - Residential	32.9	18.0	49.1			

% of Trips - Commercial (by land use)

Elementary school	20.0	10.0	70.0
City park	5.0	2.5	92.5
Hotel	5.0	2.5	92.5
Regnl shop. center	2.0	1.0	97.0
Office park	48.0	24.0	28.0
Government (civic center)	10.0	5.0	85.0
Business Park/Manufacturing	2.0	1.0	97.0
Multipurpose Recreation Facility	2.0	1.0	97.0



City of
AGOURA HILLS
GENERAL PLAN 2035 EIR



VOLUME II: FINAL EIR Appendices FEBRUARY 2010



CITY OF AGOURA HILLS GENERAL PLAN 2035

Environmental Impact Report
SCH No. 2009051013

Volume II: Final EIR Appendices

Prepared for
City of Agoura Hills
30001 Ladyface Court
Agoura Hills, California 91301

Prepared by
PBS&J
12301 Wilshire Boulevard, Suite 430
Los Angeles, California 90025

February 2010

Contents

Volume I: Final EIR

Volume II: Final EIR Appendices

Appendix A	Notice of Preparation (NOP) and NOP Comment Letters
Appendix B	Traffic Study
Appendix C	Air Quality Data
Appendix D	Biological Resources
Appendix E	Native American Correspondence
Appendix F	Noise Data
Appendix G	Greenhouse Gas Calculations

**Appendix A Notice of Preparation (NOP) and NOP
Comment Letters**



**NOTICE OF PREPARATION (NOP)
CITY OF AGOURA HILLS GENERAL PLAN UPDATE
ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT (EIR)**

Date: April 30, 2009

To: Responsible and Trustee Agencies, and Interested Parties and Organizations

Subject: Notice of Preparation (NOP) of an Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for the City of Agoura Hills General Plan Update

Project Title: City of Agoura Hills General Plan Update

Location: City of Agoura Hills, California

The City of Agoura Hills will be the Lead Agency under the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) and will prepare a Draft Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for the Agoura Hills General Plan Update (proposed project). The City will prepare a comprehensive environmental document evaluating the potential environmental effects of the General Plan Update.

Scoping Meeting: A Scoping Meeting will be held during the comment period to take comments related to the scope of the environmental issues to be analyzed within the Draft EIR. The Scoping Meeting will be held at **6:30 PM on May 21, 2009** during a regularly scheduled Planning Commission meeting at the Agoura Hills City Hall Council Chambers located at 30001 Ladyface Court in the City of Agoura Hills.

To Agencies: The City requests your agency's views on the scope and content of the environmental information relevant to your agency's statutory responsibilities in connection with the proposed project, in accordance with California Code of Regulations, Title 14, Section 15082(b). Your agency may need to use the EIR when considering any permit or other approval that your agency may issue for the project.

To Organizations and Interested Parties: The City requests your comments regarding the environmental issues that should be addressed in the EIR.

Project Location: The City of Agoura Hills is located in western Los Angeles County near the southeastern edge of Ventura County. Generally, Agoura Hills is bordered by Westlake Village to the west, Thousand Oaks to the northwest, Oak Park (Ventura County) to the north, Calabasas and

unincorporated areas of Los Angeles County to the east, and unincorporated areas of Los Angeles County to the south.

Regional access to the City is provided by U.S. Highway 101 which runs east-west through the City of Agoura Hills. Local access within the City is provided primarily by Kanan Road and Reyes Adobe Road in the north-south direction, and Agoura Road and Thousand Oaks Boulevard in the east-west direction.

Planning Boundaries: The entire Planning Area for the General Plan Update (GPU) includes the existing City boundaries (approximately 7.86 square miles).

Description of project: Every city and county in California is required by state law to prepare and maintain a General Plan. The General Plan provides the policy framework for all land use and development decisions made by the City. The proposed project is an update to the City of Agoura Hills General Plan through the year 2035. This update includes a revision to the land use map and revisions to the various sections or “elements” of the General Plan required by the state. The General Plan Update (GPU) will focus on the Land Use and Circulation elements, but will also refine existing policies in the following other elements: Open Space and Conservation; Parks and Recreation; Noise; Public Safety; Seismic Safety; Scenic Highways; Public Facilities; Utilities and Services; Community Design; and Economic Development. As part of the GPU, all of the identified elements will be incorporated into four consolidated elements, including Community Conservation and Development, Infrastructure and Community Services, Natural Resources, and Community Safety.

Environmental Impact Report: Pursuant to CEQA Section 15168, a Program EIR will be prepared for the General Plan Update. The EIR will evaluate the project’s potential impacts on the environment and analyze alternatives that could reduce potential environmental impacts. The environmental issues listed below will be addressed in the EIR.

- Aesthetics and Visual Resources
- Biological Resources
- Air Quality
- Agricultural Resources
- Minerals
- Climate Change/ Green-House Gases
- Cultural and Historic Resources
- Geology and Soils
- Hazards and Hazardous Materials
- Hydrology and Water Quality
- Land Use and Planning
- Noise
- Population and Housing
- Public Services, including
 - > Fire Protection
 - > Police Protection

- > Schools
- > Parks
- > Other public facilities
- Recreation
- Transportation/Traffic
- Utilities and Service Systems, including
 - > Sewer
 - > Solid Waste
 - > Water Supply
 - > Electricity
 - > Energy and Natural Gas

Consideration will be given to both the project specific and cumulative effects of each of these potential impacts. The level of environmental analysis that is proposed for each environmental issue listed below is based on the information available at the time of NOP preparation.

Additional Copies of the NOP Are Available At:

City of Agoura Hills
Planning Counter
30001 Ladyface Court
Agoura Hills, CA 91301
(818) 597-7310

Agoura Hills Library
29901 Ladyface Court
Agoura Hills, CA 91301
(818) 889-2278

Responses and Comments: If you would like to submit written comments on the Notice of Preparation, please send them to the City of Agoura Hills at the address shown below. Please be specific in your statements describing your environmental concerns. Due to the time limits mandated by state law, your written response must be provided to the City ***within 30 days*** of receiving this notice. Please include reference to the project title in your response and forward to the contact person listed below.

Project Title: Agoura Hills General Plan Update
Project Applicant: City of Agoura Hills
Send Responses to: Allison Cook, Principal Planner
Planning Department
City of Agoura Hills
3001 Ladyface Court
Agoura Hills, CA 91301
Telephone: 818-597-7310
Email: acook@ci.agoura-hills.ca.us

NATIVE AMERICAN HERITAGE COMMISSION

915 CAPITOL MALL, ROOM 364
SACRAMENTO, CA 95814
(916) 653-6251
Fax (916) 657-5390
Web Site www.nahc.ca.gov
e-mail: ds_nahc@pacbell.net



May 4, 2009

Ms. Allison Cook, Principal Planner
CITY OF AGOURA HILLS PLANNING DEPARTMENT
3001 Ladyface Court
Agoura Hills, CA 91301

Re: Tribal Consultation Per Government Code §§ 65352.3, 65352.4 and 65560 (SB 18) for a General Plan Amendment Update: City of Agoura Hills: Los Angeles County, California

Dear Ms. Cook:

Government Code §65352.3 requires local governments to consult with California Native American tribes identified by the Native American Heritage Commission (NAHC) for the purpose of protecting, and/or mitigating impacts to cultural places. The Native American Heritage Commission is the state "trustee agency" designated for the protection of Native American Cultural Resource pursuant to CA Public Resources Code §21070.s. Attached is a consultation list of tribes with traditional lands or cultural places located within the Project Area of Potential Effect (APE).

As a part of consultation, the NAHC recommends that local governments conduct record searches through the NAHC and California Historic Resources Information System (CHRIS contact 916-653-7278 or www.ohp.ca.gov) to determine if any cultural places are located within the area(s) affected by the proposed action. NAHC Sacred Lands File requests must be made in writing. All requests must include county, USGS quad map name, township, range and section. Local governments should be aware, however, that records maintained by the NAHC and CHRIS are not exhaustive, and a negative response to these searches does not preclude the existence of a cultural place. A tribe may be the only source of information regarding the existence of a cultural place.

The Native American Heritage Commission works with Native American tribal governments regarding its identification of 'Areas of Traditional Use,' The Commission may adjust the submitted data defining the 'Area of Traditional Use' in accordance with generally accepted ethnographic, anthropological, archeological research and oral history. Also, the Area of Traditional Use is an issue appropriate for the government-to-government consultation process.

If you have any questions, please contact me at (916) 653-6251.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Dave Singleton".

Dave Singleton
Program Analyst

Attachment: Native American Tribal Consultation List

Native American Tribal Consultation List
Los Angeles County
May 4, 2009

Fernandeno Tataviam Band of Mission Indians

William Gonzalaes, Cultural/Environ Depart
601 South Brand Boulevard, Suite 102 Fernandeno
San Fernando , CA 91340 Tataviam
ced@tataviam.org
(818) 837-0794 Office
(818) 581-9293 Cell

Tehachapi Indian Tribe

Attn: Charlie Cooke
32835 Santiago Road Kawaiisu
Acton , CA 93510
suscol@interx.net
(661) 733-1812

San Fernando Band of Mission Indians

John Valenzuela, Chairperson
P.O. Box 221838 Fernandefio
Newhall , CA 91322 Tataviam
tsen2u@live.com Serrano
(661) 753-9833 Office Vanyume
(760) 885-0955 Cell Kitanemuk
(760) 949-2103 Home

Coastal Band of the Chumash Nation

Janet Garcia, Chairperson
P.O. Box 4464 Chumash
Santa Barbara , CA 93140
805-964-3447

This list is current only as of the date of this document.

Distribution of this list does not relieve any person of statutory responsibility as defined in Section 7050.5 of the Health and Safety Code, Section 5097.94 of the Public Resources Code and Section 5097.98 of the Public Resources Code.

This list is applicable only for consultation with Native American tribes under Government Code Section 65352.3.



ARNOLD SCHWARZENEGGER
GOVERNOR

STATE OF CALIFORNIA
GOVERNOR'S OFFICE *of* PLANNING AND RESEARCH
STATE CLEARINGHOUSE AND PLANNING UNIT



CYNTHIA BRYANT
DIRECTOR

Notice of Preparation

May 4, 2009

To: Reviewing Agencies
Re: City of Agoura Hills General Plan Update
SCH# 2009051013

Attached for your review and comment is the Notice of Preparation (NOP) for the City of Agoura Hills General Plan Update draft Environmental Impact Report (EIR).

Responsible agencies must transmit their comments on the scope and content of the NOP, focusing on specific information related to their own statutory responsibility, within 30 days of receipt of the NOP from the Lead Agency. This is a courtesy notice provided by the State Clearinghouse with a reminder for you to comment in a timely manner. We encourage other agencies to also respond to this notice and express their concerns early in the environmental review process.

Please direct your comments to:

Allison Cook
City of Agoura Hills
30001 Ladyface Court
Agoura Hills, CA 91301

with a copy to the State Clearinghouse in the Office of Planning and Research. Please refer to the SCH number noted above in all correspondence concerning this project.

If you have any questions about the environmental document review process, please call the State Clearinghouse at (916) 445-0613.

Sincerely,

Scott Morgan
Assistant Deputy Director & Senior Planner, State Clearinghouse

Attachments
cc: Lead Agency

**Document Details Report
State Clearinghouse Data Base**

SCH# 2009051013
Project Title City of Agoura Hills General Plan Update
Lead Agency Agoura Hills, City of

Type **NOP** Notice of Preparation

Description The proposed project is an update to the City of Agoura Hills General Plan through the year 2035. This update includes a revision to the land use map and revisions to the various sections or "elements" of the General Plan required by the state. The General Plan Update (GPU) will focus on the Land Use and Circulation elements, but will also refine existing policies in the following other elements: Open Space and Conservation; Parks and Recreation; Noise; Public Safety; Seismic Safety; Scenic Highways; Public Facilities; Utilities and Services; Community Design; and Economic Development. As part of the GPU, all of the identified elements will be incorporated into four consolidated elements, including Community Conservation and Development, Infrastructure and Community Services, Natural Resources, and Community Safety.

Lead Agency Contact

Name Allison Cook
Agency City of Agoura Hills
Phone 818-597-7310 **Fax**
email acook@ci.agoura-hills.ca.us
Address 30001 Ladyface Court
City Agoura Hills **State** CA **Zip** 91301

Project Location

County Los Angeles
City Agoura Hills
Region
Cross Streets Citywide
Lat / Long
Parcel No.

Township	Range	Section	Base
-----------------	--------------	----------------	-------------

Proximity to:

Highways U.S. Highway 101
Airports
Railways
Waterways
Schools
Land Use The entire Planning Area for the General Plan Update includes the existing City boundaries (Approximately 7.86 square miles)

Project Issues Aesthetic/Visual; Biological Resources; Air Quality; Agricultural Land; Minerals; Archaeologic-Historic; Geologic/Seismic; Soil Erosion/Compaction/Grading; Toxic/Hazardous; Water Quality; Landuse; Noise; Population/Housing Balance; Schools/Universities; Recreation/Parks; Public Services; Traffic/Circulation; Sewer Capacity; Solid Waste; Water Supply; Other Issues

Reviewing Agencies Resources Agency; Department of Conservation; Cal Fire; Office of Historic Preservation; Department of Parks and Recreation; Department of Water Resources; Department of Fish and Game, Region 5; Department of Food and Agriculture; Office of Emergency Services; Native American Heritage Commission; California Highway Patrol; Department of Health Services; Integrated Waste Management Board; Regional Water Quality Control Board, Region 4

Date Received 05/04/2009 **Start of Review** 05/04/2009 **End of Review** 06/02/2009

NOP Distribution List

County: Los Angeles

SCH# 200701010

Resources Agency

- Resources Agency
Nadell Gayou
- Dept. of Boating & Waterways
Mike Sotelo
- California Coastal Commission
Elizabeth A. Fuchs
- Colorado River Board
Gerald R. Zimmerman
- Dept. of Conservation
Rebecca Salazar
- California Energy Commission
Daie Edwards
- Cal Fire
Allen Robertson
- Office of Historic Preservation
Wayne Donaldson
- Dept of Parks & Recreation
Environmental Stewardship Section
- Central Valley Flood Protection Board
Jon Yego
- S.F. Bay Conservation & Dev't. Comm.
Steve McAdam
- Dept. of Water Resources
Resources Agency
Nadell Gayou

- Fish & Game Region 2
Jeff Drongesen
- Fish & Game Region 3
Robert Floerke
- Fish & Game Region 4
Julie Vance
- Fish & Game Region 5
Don Chadwick
Habitat Conservation Program
- Fish & Game Region 6
Gabrina Gatchel
Habitat Conservation Program
- Fish & Game Region 6 I/M
Gabrina Gatchel
Inyo/Mono, Habitat Conservation Program
- Dept. of Fish & Game M
George Isaac
Marine Region

Other Departments

- Food & Agriculture
Steve Shaffer
Dept. of Food and Agriculture
- Depart. of General Services
Public School Construction
- Dept. of General Services
Anna Garbeff
Environmental Services Section
- Dept. of Public Health
Bridgette Binning
Dept. of Health/Drinking Water

Independent Commissions, Boards

- Delta Protection Commission
Linda Flack
- Office of Emergency Services
Dennis Castrillo
- Governor's Office of Planning & Research
State Clearinghouse
- Native American Heritage Comm.
Debbie Treadway

Fish and Game

- Depart. of Fish & Game
Scott Flint
Environmental Services Division
- Fish & Game Region 1
Donald Koch
- Fish & Game Region 1E
Laurie Harnsberger

- Public Utilities Commission
Leo Wong
- Santa Monica Bay Restoration
Guangyu Wang
- State Lands Commission
Marina Brand
- Tahoe Regional Planning Agency (TRPA)
Cherry Jacques

Business, Trans & Housing

- Caltrans - Division of Aeronautics
Sandy Hesnard
- Caltrans - Planning
Terri Pencovic
- California Highway Patrol
Scott Loetscher
Office of Special Projects
- Housing & Community Development
CEQA Coordinator
Housing Policy Division

Dept. of Transportation

- Caltrans, District 1
Rex Jackman
- Caltrans, District 2
Marcelino Gonzalez
- Caltrans, District 3
Bruce de Terra
- Caltrans, District 4
Lisa Carboni
- Caltrans, District 5
David Murray
- Caltrans, District 6
Michael Navarro
- Caltrans, District 7
Elmer Alvarez

- Caltrans, District 8
Dan Kopulsky
- Caltrans, District 9
Gayle Rosander
- Caltrans, District 10
Tom Dumas
- Caltrans, District 11
Jacob Armstrong
- Caltrans, District 12
Chris Herre

Cal EPA

- Air Resources Board**
- Airport Projects
Jim Lerner
- Transportation Projects
Douglas Ito
- Industrial Projects
Mike Tollstrup

- California Integrated Waste Management Board
Sue O'Leary

- State Water Resources Control Board
Regional Programs Unit
Division of Financial Assistance

- State Water Resources Control Board
Student Intern, 401 Water Quality Certification Unit
Division of Water Quality

- State Water Resources Control Board
Steven Herrera
Division of Water Rights


- Dept. of Toxic Substances Control
CEQA Tracking Center

- Department of Pesticide Regulation
CEQA Coordinator


Regional Water Quality Control Board (RWQCB)

- RWQCB 1
Cathleen Hudson
North Coast Region (1)
- RWQCB 2
Environmental Document Coordinator
San Francisco Bay Region (2)
- RWQCB 3
Central Coast Region (3)
- RWQCB 4
Teresa Rodgers
Los Angeles Region (4)
- RWQCB 5S
Central Valley Region (5)
- RWQCB 5F
Central Valley Region (5)
Fresno Branch Office
- RWQCB 5R
Central Valley Region (5)
Redding Branch Office
- RWQCB 6
Lahontan Region (6)
- RWQCB 6V
Lahontan Region (6)
Victorville Branch Office
- RWQCB 7
Colorado River Basin Region (7)
- RWQCB 8
Santa Ana Region (8)
- RWQCB 9
San Diego Region (9)

Other _____



2009051013



**NOTICE OF PREPARATION (NOP)
CITY OF AGOURA HILLS GENERAL PLAN UPDATE
ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT (EIR)**

Date: April 30, 2009

To: Responsible and Trustee Agencies, and Interested Parties and Organizations

Subject: Notice of Preparation (NOP) of an Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for the City of Agoura Hills General Plan Update

Project Title: City of Agoura Hills General Plan Update

Location: City of Agoura Hills, California

The City of Agoura Hills will be the Lead Agency under the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) and will prepare a Draft Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for the Agoura Hills General Plan Update (proposed project). The City will prepare a comprehensive environmental document evaluating the potential environmental effects of the General Plan Update.

Scoping Meeting: A Scoping Meeting will be held during the comment period to take comments related to the scope of the environmental issues to be analyzed within the Draft EIR. The Scoping Meeting will be held at **6:30 PM on May 21, 2009** during a regularly scheduled Planning Commission meeting at the Agoura Hills City Hall Council Chambers located at 30001 Ladyface Court in the City of Agoura Hills.

To Agencies: The City requests your agency's views on the scope and content of the environmental information relevant to your agency's statutory responsibilities in connection with the proposed project, in accordance with California Code of Regulations, Title 14, Section 15082(b). Your agency may need to use the EIR when considering any permit or other approval that your agency may issue for the project.

To Organizations and Interested Parties: The City requests your comments regarding the environmental issues that should be addressed in the EIR.

Project Location: The City of Agoura Hills is located in western Los Angeles County near the southeastern edge of Ventura County. Generally, Agoura Hills is bordered by Westlake Village to the west, Thousand Oaks to the northwest, Oak Park (Ventura County) to the north, Calabasas and

- > Schools
- > Parks
- > Other public facilities
- Recreation
- Transportation/Traffic
- Utilities and Service Systems, including
 - > Sewer
 - > Solid Waste
 - > Water Supply
 - > Electricity
 - > Energy and Natural Gas

Consideration will be given to both the project specific and cumulative effects of each of these potential impacts. The level of environmental analysis that is proposed for each environmental issue listed below is based on the information available at the time of NOP preparation.

Additional Copies of the NOP Are Available At:

City of Agoura Hills

Planning Counter

30001 Ladyface Court

Agoura Hills, CA 91301

(818) 597-7310

Agoura Hills Library

29901 Ladyface Court

Agoura Hills, CA 91301

(818) 889-2278

Responses and Comments: If you would like to submit written comments on the Notice of Preparation, please send them to the City of Agoura Hills at the address shown below. Please be specific in your statements describing your environmental concerns. Due to the time limits mandated by state law, your written response must be provided to the City *within 30 days* of receiving this notice. Please include reference to the project title in your response and forward to the contact person listed below.

Project Title: Agoura Hills General Plan Update

Project Applicant: City of Agoura Hills

Send Responses to: Allison Cook, Principal Planner

Planning Department

City of Agoura Hills

3001 Ladyface Court

Agoura Hills, CA 91301

Telephone: 818-597-7310

Email: acook@ci.agoura-hills.ca.us



South Coast Air Quality Management District

21865 Copley Drive, Diamond Bar, CA 91765-4178
(909) 396-2000 • www.aqmd.gov

May 7, 2009

Ms. Allison Cook, Principal Planner
Planning Department
City of Agoura Hills
30001 Ladyface Court
Agoura Hills, CA 91301

Dear Ms. Cook:

Notice of Preparation of a Draft Environmental Impact Report (Draft EIR) for the City of Agoura Hills General Plan Update

The South Coast Air Quality Management District (SCAQMD) appreciates the opportunity to comment on the above-mentioned document. The SCAQMD's comments are recommendations regarding the analysis of potential air quality impacts from the proposed project that should be included in the draft environmental impact report (EIR). Please send the SCAQMD a copy of the Draft EIR upon its completion. **In addition, please send with the draft EIR all appendices or technical documents related to the air quality analysis and electronic versions of all air quality modeling and health risk assessment files. Electronic files include spreadsheets, database files, input files, output files, etc., and does not mean Adobe PDF files. Without all files and supporting air quality documentation, the SCAQMD will be unable to complete its review of the air quality analysis in a timely manner. Any delays in providing all supporting air quality documentation will require additional time for review beyond the end of the comment period.**

Air Quality Analysis

The SCAQMD adopted its California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) Air Quality Handbook in 1993 to assist other public agencies with the preparation of air quality analyses. The SCAQMD recommends that the Lead Agency use this Handbook as guidance when preparing its air quality analysis. Copies of the Handbook are available from the SCAQMD's Subscription Services Department by calling (909) 396-3720. Alternatively, the lead agency may wish to consider using the California Air Resources Board (CARB) approved URBEMIS 2007 Model. This model is available on the SCAQMD Website at: www.urbemis.com.

The Lead Agency should identify any potential adverse air quality impacts that could occur from all phases of the project and all air pollutant sources related to the project. Air quality impacts from both construction (including demolition, if any) and operations should be calculated. Construction-related air quality impacts typically include, but are not limited to, emissions from the use of heavy-duty equipment from grading, earth-loading/unloading, paving, architectural coatings, off-road mobile sources (e.g., heavy-duty construction equipment) and on-road mobile sources (e.g., construction worker vehicle trips, material transport trips). Operation-related air quality impacts may include, but are not limited to, emissions from stationary sources (e.g., boilers), area sources (e.g., solvents and coatings), and vehicular trips (e.g., on- and off-road tailpipe emissions and entrained dust). Air quality impacts from indirect sources, that is, sources that generate or attract vehicular trips should be included in the analysis.

The SCAQMD has developed a methodology for calculating PM_{2.5} emissions from construction and operational activities and processes. In connection with developing PM_{2.5} calculation methodologies, the SCAQMD has also developed both regional and localized significance thresholds. The SCAQMD requests that the lead agency quantify PM_{2.5} emissions and compare the results to the recommended PM_{2.5} significance thresholds. Guidance for calculating PM_{2.5} emissions and PM_{2.5} significance thresholds can be found at the following internet address:
http://www.aqmd.gov/ceqa/handbook/PM2_5/PM2_5.html.

In addition to analyzing regional air quality impacts the SCAQMD recommends calculating localized air quality impacts and comparing the results to localized significance thresholds (LSTs). LST's can be used in addition to the recommended regional significance thresholds as a second indication of air quality impacts when preparing a CEQA document. Therefore, when preparing the air quality analysis for the proposed project, it is recommended that the lead agency perform a localized significance analysis by either using the LSTs developed by the SCAQMD or performing dispersion modeling as necessary. Guidance for performing a localized air quality analysis can be found at <http://www.aqmd.gov/ceqa/handbook/LST/LST.html>.

It is recommended that lead agencies for projects generating or attracting vehicular trips, especially heavy-duty diesel-fueled vehicles, perform a mobile source health risk assessment. Guidance for performing a mobile source health risk assessment ("Health Risk Assessment Guidance for Analyzing Cancer Risk from Mobile Source Diesel Idling Emissions for CEQA Air Quality Analysis") can be found on the SCAQMD's CEQA web pages at the following internet address: http://www.aqmd.gov/ceqa/handbook/mobile_toxic/mobile_toxic.html. An analysis of all toxic air contaminant impacts due to the decommissioning or use of equipment potentially generating such air pollutants should also be included.

Mitigation Measures

In the event that the project generates significant adverse air quality impacts, CEQA requires that all feasible mitigation measures that go beyond what is required by law be utilized during project construction and operation to minimize or eliminate significant adverse air quality impacts. To assist the Lead Agency with identifying possible mitigation measures for the project, please refer to Chapter 11 of the SCAQMD CEQA Air Quality Handbook for sample air quality mitigation measures. Additional mitigation measures can be found on the SCAQMD's CEQA web pages at the following internet address: www.aqmd.gov/ceqa/handbook/mitigation/MM_intro.html Additionally, SCAQMD's Rule 403 – Fugitive Dust, and the Implementation Handbook contain numerous measures for controlling construction-related emissions that should be considered for use as CEQA mitigation if not otherwise required. Other measures to reduce air quality impacts from land use projects can be found in the SCAQMD's Guidance Document for Addressing Air Quality Issues in General Plans and Local Planning. This document can be found at the following internet address: <http://www.aqmd.gov/prdas/aqguide/aqguide.html>. In addition, guidance on siting incompatible land uses can be found in the California Air Resources Board's Air Quality and Land Use Handbook: A Community Perspective, which can be found at the following internet address: <http://www.arb.ca.gov/ch/handbook.pdf>. Pursuant to state CEQA Guidelines §15126.4 (a)(1)(D), any impacts resulting from mitigation measures must also be discussed.

Data Sources

SCAQMD rules and relevant air quality reports and data are available by calling the SCAQMD's Public Information Center at (909) 396-2039. Much of the information available through the Public Information Center is also available via the SCAQMD's World Wide Web Homepage (<http://www.aqmd.gov>).

The SCAQMD is willing to work with the Lead Agency to ensure that project-related emissions are accurately identified, categorized, and evaluated. Please call Daniel Garcia, Air Quality Specialist, CEQA Section, at (909) 396-3304 if you have any questions regarding this letter.

Sincerely,



Steve Smith, Ph.D.

Program Supervisor, CEQA Section

Planning, Rule Development and Area Sources

SS:DG:AK

LAC090501-03AK

Control Number

COUNTY OF VENTURA

**RESOURCE MANAGEMENT AGENCY
PLANNING DIVISION**

M E M O R A N D U M

DATE: May 7, 2009
TO: Laura Hocking, RMA Planning Technician
FROM: Bruce Smith, Manager, General Plan Section
SUBJECT: Notice of Preparation – City of Agoura Hills General Plan Update

The Ventura County Planning Division has reviewed the Notice of Preparation (NOP) for the above project and offers the following comments:

State CEQA Guidelines Section 15082 requires that the NOP shall provide “sufficient information describing the project and potential environmental effects to enable the responsible agencies to make a meaningful response.”

The project description is insufficient to determine what environmental issues must be addressed. The project description merely describes what a General Plan is and states that the update will focus on the Land Use and Circulation elements but will “refine” existing policies in the Seismic Safety; Scenic Highways; Public Facilities; Utilities and Services; Community Design; and Economic Development elements as well. It is not clear whether this is just a reformatting exercise or a substantive revision to the General Plan. The NOP does not indicate where the proposed changes may be viewed. The changes do not appear to be available on the City’s web site.

We suggest that the draft changes to the General Plan be summarized in the NOP and that the actual draft General Plan Update be posted on the City web site and/or distributed electronically to reviewing parties.



**PUBLIC WORKS AGENCY
TRANSPORTATION DEPARTMENT
Traffic, Advance Planning & Permits Division**

MEMORANDUM

DATE: May 14, 2009

TO: RMA – Planning Division
Attention: Laura Hocking

FROM: Nazir Lalani, Deputy Director

SUBJECT: REVIEW OF DOCUMENT 09-019 City of Agoura Hills General Plan Update through 2035

Notice of Preparation (NOP) of an Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for the (GPU). The Planning Area for the GPU includes the existing City boundaries of the City of Agoura Hills.

Lead Agency: City of Agoura Hills

Pursuant to your request, the Public Works Agency -- Transportation Department has completed the review of the NOP of an EIR for the City of Agoura Hills GPU. The proposed project is an update to the City of Agoura Hills General Plan through the year 2035. This update includes a revision to the land use map and revisions to the various sections or "elements" of the General Plan required by the state. The GPU will focus on the Land Use and Circulation elements, but will also refine existing policies in the following other elements: Open Space and Conservation; Parks and Recreation; Noise; Public Safety; Seismic Safety; Scenic Highways; Public Facilities; Utilities and Services; Community Design; and Economic Development. As part of the GPU, all of the identified elements will be incorporated into four consolidated elements, including Community Conservation and Development, Infrastructure and Community Services, Natural Resources, and Community Safety. The Planning Area for the GPU includes the existing City boundaries of the City of Agoura Hills.

We have these comments:

1. We generally concur with the comments in the NOP of an EIR for those areas under the purview of the Transportation Department.
2. When future developments are proposed, the projects may have site specific and/or cumulative impact on County roadways. The subsequent environmental document for these projects should include any site-specific or cumulative impact to the County Road Network and local roads. The project proponent will then be required to mitigate any adverse impacts this project may have on County Road Network. To address the cumulative adverse impacts of traffic on the Regional Road Network, Traffic Impact Mitigation Fees (TIMF) should be assessed on development projects in accordance with the terms of the Agreement between the City of Agoura Hills and the County dated February 12, 1992 (see attached). With payment of the TIMF, the level of service

and safety of the existing roads with regards to cumulative impact would remain consistent with the County's General Plan.

3. Please provide us a copy of the Final EIR for review, when it becomes available.

Our review is limited to the impacts this project may have on the County's Regional Road Network.

Please contact me at 654-2080 if you have questions.

F:\transpor\LanDev\Non-County\09-019.doc

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

DISTRICT 7, REGIONAL PLANNING
IGR/CEQA BRANCH
100 MAIN STREET, MS # 16
LOS ANGELES, CA 90012-3606
PHONE: (213) 897-6696
FAX: (213) 897-1337




*Flex your power!
Be energy efficient!*

IGR/CEQA No. 090507NY
NOP-General Plan Update
Vic. LA-101/PM 35.04
SCH # 2009051013

May 18, 2009

Ms. Allison Cook
City of Agoura Hills
30001 Ladyface Court
Agoura Hills, CA. 91301



Dear Ms. Allison:

Thank you for including the California Department of Transportation (Caltrans) in the environmental review process for the above referenced project. The proposed project is a General Plan Update for the City of Agoura Hills.

The California Department of Transportation (Caltrans) as the State agency responsible for planning, operations, and maintenance of State highways, shares similar transportation goals with the City. In the spirit of mutual and collaborative planning, we offer our expertise in the areas of transportation modeling, mainline freeway analysis, system and corridor planning, environmental and community impact assessment, as well as identifying critical operational deficiencies affecting freeway congestion, speed, and delay.

For your information, please see excerpts below from the California Environmental Resource Evaluation System website http://ceres.ca.gov/planning/genplan/gp_chapter3.html#circulation that provides information regarding General Plans that you may find helpful:

“Caltrans is particularly interested in the transportation planning roles of local general plans and suggests that the following areas be emphasized.

- Coordination of planning efforts between local agencies and Caltrans districts.
- Preservation of transportation corridors for future system improvements; and
- Development of coordinated transportation system management plans that achieve the maximum use of present and proposed infrastructure.”

Circulation Element

It is widely known that Southern California highways are heavily congested especially during morning and evening peak periods. We realize that to improve mobility there is the need for capacity enhancing project as well as other innovative alternatives.

New development will increase use of local and regional roadways and the circulation element can identify strategies the City will pursue to maintain good levels of service. We understand that mitigating cumulative traffic impacts may present come challenges. Given that the Los

Angeles County's CMP debit and credit system has been suspended, we recommend the City consider an alternate local funding plan towards regional transportation improvements. Local funding efforts may include a region or community wide traffic impact program. We request the City consider implementing a funding program to contribute to improvements on the State highway system, including impacted State Route 101 and on/off ramps. The City may take this opportunity to include policies that allow it to procure funds towards regional transportation improvements such as interchange modification. Procuring funds toward freeway segments, freeway interchanges, freeway on/off-ramps, as well as for bus and rail transit facilities should also be in the goals of the City.

We commend the City for its plans to improve the US101/Palo Comado Canyon Road interchange. We acknowledge that a Project Study Report (PSR) sponsored by the City has been completed with Caltrans oversight, and that the City intends to fund remaining design, environmental, and construction work.

We request inclusion in the environmental review process of land use projects within the City General Plan area and all projects that have the potential to significantly impact traffic conditions on State highways. To avoid delays and any misunderstandings in the traffic impact analysis, we request to be involved in its development.

The thresholds for significance on State highway facilities may be different than those applied in the Los Angeles County Congestion Management Program (CMP). For State thresholds and guidance on the preparation of acceptable traffic studies, please refer to the Statewide Guide for the preparation of Traffic Impact Studies at:

<http://www.dot.ca.gov/hq/traffops/developserv/operationalsystems/reports/tisguide.pdf>

If significant impacts were anticipated on the State highway system the Department would work with the City and applicants to identify appropriate traffic mitigation measures.

Traffic mitigation alternatives may include vehicular demand reducing strategies, such as incentives for commuters to use transit i.e. park-and-ride lots, discounts on monthly bus and rail passes, vanpools, etc.

Land Use Element

As you are aware, there is a critical relationship between land use and transportation. The quality of the State transportation system operation can affect the quality of the local circulation system operation. During the past couple decades, population and economic growth has been strong in Los Angeles County. Projections show that this growth will continue. The Circulation Element needs to be consistent with the Land-Use and Housing Elements of the General Plan.

We recommend that special attention be given to the jobs-and-housing balance concept. Communities with predominantly residential allocations should be encouraged to set aside areas for office, commercial/retail, and open space uses. Benefits of balanced communities include: reduction of long morning and evening commutes on State highways, shorter trips which in turn would reduce the consumption of fuel and air pollutants. It may also change direction of trips. Instead of most traffic traveling in one direction during peak periods, some trips may be diverted in the opposite direction. Other land use strategies may include Transit-Oriented Developments (TODs).

Housing Element

As we indicated previously, continued high growth is expected for Los Angeles County, which will have impacts to our State transportation facilities. For large development projects, we recommend that efforts be made to provide affordable housing for young workers and seniors to ensure that substantial numbers of employees can afford to purchase homes and live in proposed projects. We also ask that project proponents be encouraged to provide job information on jobs provided along with housing development phases.

We look forward to reviewing the traffic study. We expect to receive a copy from the State Clearinghouse when the Draft EIR is completed. However, to expedite the review process, and clarify any misunderstandings, you may send a copy in advance to the undersigned.

If you have any questions, please feel free to contact me at (213) 897-6696 or Nerses Yerjanian the project engineer at (213) 897-6536 and refer to IGR/CEQA No. 090507/NY.

Sincerely,



ELMER ALVAREZ
IGR/CEQA Program Manager

cc: Scott Morgan, State Clearinghouse

VENTURA COUNTY
AIR POLLUTION CONTROL DISTRICT
Memorandum

TO: Laura Hocking/Dawnyelle Addison, Planning DATE: May 19, 2009

FROM: Alicia Stratton


SUBJECT: Request for Review of Notice of Preparation for an Environmental Impact Report for the City of Agoura Hills General Plan Update (Reference No. 09-019)

Air Pollution Control District staff has reviewed the subject project, which is a proposal for an update to the City of Agoura Hills General Plan through the year 2035. This update includes a revision to the land use map and revisions to the various elements of the General Plan required by the state. The General Plan Update will focus on the Land Use and Circulation elements, but will also refine existing policies in several other areas. The project location is the City of Agoura Hills in Los Angeles County.

Ventura County APCD does not have comments to submit on this project.

If you have any questions, please call me at (805) 645-1426.

SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA



**ASSOCIATION of
GOVERNMENTS**

Main Office

818 West Seventh Street
12th Floor
Los Angeles, California
90017-3435

t (213) 236-1800

f (213) 236-1825

www.scag.ca.gov

Officers

President
Jon Edney, El Centro

First Vice President
Larry McCallon, Highland

Second Vice President
Pam O'Connor, Santa Monica

Immediate Past President
Richard Dixon, Lake Forest

Policy Committee Chairs

Executive/Administration
Jon Edney, El Centro

Community, Economic and
Human Development
Larry McCallon, Highland

Energy & Environment
Keith Hanks, Azusa

Transportation
Mike Ten, South Pasadena

May 28, 2009

Ms. Allison Cook
Principal Planner
City of Agoura Hills
3001 Ladyface Court
Agoura Hills, CA 91301
acook@ci.agoura-hills.ca.us

RE: SCAG Comments on the Notice of Preparation of an Environmental Impact Report for The City of Agoura Hills General Plan Update [SCAG No. I20090218]

Dear Ms. Cook,


Thank you for submitting the **Notice of Preparation of an Environmental Impact Report for The City of Agoura Hills General Plan Update [SCAG No. I20090218]** to the Southern California Association of Governments (SCAG) for review and comment. SCAG is the authorized regional agency for Inter-Governmental Review of Programs proposed for federal financial assistance and direct development activities, pursuant to Presidential Executive Order 12372 (replacing A-95 Review). Additionally, pursuant to Public Resources Code Section 21083(d) SCAG reviews Environmental Impacts Reports of projects of regional significance for consistency with regional plans per the California Environmental Quality Act Guidelines, Sections 15125(d) and 15206(a)(1). SCAG is also the designated Regional Transportation Planning Agency and as such is responsible for both preparation of the Regional Transportation Plan (RTP) and Regional Transportation Improvement Program (RTIP) under California Government Code Section 65080 and 65082.

SCAG staff has reviewed this project and determined that the proposed project is regionally significant per California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) Guidelines, Sections 15125 and/or 15206. The proposed project is an update of the City of Agoura Hills General Plan through the year 2035.

Policies of SCAG's Regional Transportation Plan (RTP) and Compass Growth Visioning (CGV) that may be applicable to your project are outlined in the attachment. The RTP, CGV, and table of policies can be found on the SCAG web site at: <http://scag.ca.gov/igr>. For ease of review, we would encourage you to use a side-by-side comparison of all SCAG policies with a discussion of the consistency, non-consistency or non-applicability of the policy and supportive analysis in a table format (example attached).

The attached policies are meant to provide guidance for considering the proposed project within the context of our regional goals and policies. We also encourage the use of the SCAG List of Mitigation Measures extracted from the RTP to aid with demonstrating consistency with regional plans and policies. **Please provide a minimum of 45 days for SCAG to review the Draft EIR and associated plans when these documents are available.** If you have any questions regarding the attached comments, please contact Bernard Lee at (213) 236-1800 or leeb@scag.ca.gov. Thank you.

Sincerely,


Jacob Lieb, Manager
Assessment, Housing & EIR

DOCS# 151937

The Regional Council is comprised of 83 elected officials representing 189 cities, six counties, five County Transportation Commissions, Imperial Valley Association of Governments and a Tribal Government representative within Southern California.

**COMMENTS ON THE NOTICE OF PREPARATION OF AN ENVIRONMENTAL
IMPACT REPORT FOR THE CITY OF AGOURA HILLS GENERAL PLAN – SCAG
NO. I20090218**

PROJECT LOCATION

The City of Agoura Hills is located in western Los Angeles County near the southeastern edge of Ventura County. Generally, Agoura Hills is bordered by Westlake Village to the west, Thousand Oaks to the northwest, Oak Park (Ventura County) to the north, Calabasas and unincorporated areas of Los Angeles County to the east, and unincorporated areas of Los Angeles County to the south. The entire planning area for the General Plan Update includes the existing City boundaries (approximately 7.86 square miles).

PROJECT DESCRIPTION

Every city and county in California is required by state law to prepare and maintain a General Plan. The General Plan provides the policy framework for all land use and development decisions made by the City. The proposed project is an update to the City of Agoura Hills General Plan through the year 2035. This update includes a revision to the land use map and revisions to the various sections or "elements" of the General Plan required by the state. The General Plan Update (GPU) will focus on the Land Use and Circulation elements, but will also refine existing policies in the following other elements: Open Space and Conservation; Parks and Recreation; Noise; Public Safety; Seismic Safety; Scenic Highways; Public Facilities; Utilities and Services; Community Design; and Economic Development. As part of the GPU, all of the identified elements will be incorporated into four consolidated elements, including Community Conservation and Development, Infrastructure and Community Services, Natural Resources, and Community Safety.

CONSISTENCY WITH REGIONAL TRANSPORTATION PLAN

Regional Growth Forecasts

The DEIR should reflect the most current SCAG forecasts, which are the 2008 RTP (May 2008) Population, Household and Employment forecasts. The forecasts for your region, subregion, and city are as follows:

Adopted SCAG Regionwide Forecasts¹

	<u>2010</u>	<u>2015</u>	<u>2020</u>	<u>2025</u>	<u>2030</u>	<u>2035</u>
Population	19,418,344	20,465,830	21,468,948	22,395,121	23,255,377	24,057,286
Households	6,086,986	6,474,074	6,840,328	7,156,645	7,449,484	7,710,722
Employment	8,349,453	8,811,406	9,183,029	9,546,773	9,913,376	10,287,125

Adopted LV-MCOG Subregion Forecasts¹

	<u>2010</u>	<u>2015</u>	<u>2020</u>	<u>2025</u>	<u>2030</u>	<u>2035</u>
Population	94,525	97,304	101,622	105,898	110,027	113,960
Households	32,571	33,610	35,259	36,584	37,841	38,874
Employment	316,766	326,071	339,071	351,525	363,635	374,847

Adopted City of Agoura Hills Forecasts¹

	<u>2010</u>	<u>2015</u>	<u>2020</u>	<u>2025</u>	<u>2030</u>	<u>2035</u>
Population	23,348	23,357	23,401	23,439	23,472	23,501
Households	7,486	7,544	7,605	7,652	7,698	7,736
Employment	11,942	12,277	12,491	12,743	13,011	13,269

1. The 2008 RTP growth forecast at the regional, subregional, and city level was adopted by the Regional Council in May 2008. City totals are the sum of small area data and should be used for advisory purposes only.

The **2008 Regional Transportation Plan (RTP)** also has goals and policies that are pertinent to this proposed project. This RTP links the goal of sustaining mobility with the goals of fostering economic development, enhancing the environment, reducing energy consumption, promoting transportation-friendly development patterns, and encouraging fair and equitable access to residents affected by socio-economic, geographic and commercial limitations. The RTP continues to support all applicable federal and state laws in implementing the proposed project. Among the relevant goals and policies of the RTP are the following:

Regional Transportation Plan Goals:

- RTP G1** *Maximize mobility and accessibility for all people and goods in the region.*
- RTP G2** *Ensure travel safety and reliability for all people and goods in the region.*
- RTP G3** *Preserve and ensure a sustainable regional transportation system.*
- RTP G4** *Maximize the productivity of our transportation system.*
- RTP G5** *Protect the environment, improve air quality and promote energy efficiency.*
- RTP G6** *Encourage land use and growth patterns that complement our transportation investments.*
- RTP G7** *Maximize the security of our transportation system through improved system monitoring, rapid recovery planning, and coordination with other security agencies.*

GROWTH VISIONING

The fundamental goal of the **Compass Growth Visioning** effort is to make the SCAG region a better place to live, work and play for all residents regardless of race, ethnicity or income class. Thus, decisions regarding growth, transportation, land use, and economic development should be made to promote and sustain for future generations the region's mobility, livability and prosperity. The following "Regional Growth Principles" are proposed to provide a framework for local and regional decision making that improves the quality of life for all SCAG residents. Each principle is followed by a specific set of strategies intended to achieve this goal.

Principle 1: Improve mobility for all residents.

- GV P1.1** *Encourage transportation investments and land use decisions that are mutually supportive.*
- GV P1.2** *Locate new housing near existing jobs and new jobs near existing housing.*
- GV P1.3** *Encourage transit-oriented development.*
- GV P1.4** *Promote a variety of travel choices*

Principle 2: Foster livability in all communities.

- GV P2.1** *Promote infill development and redevelopment to revitalize existing communities.*
- GV P2.2** *Promote developments, which provide a mix of uses.*
- GV P2.3** *Promote "people scaled," walkable communities.*
- GV P2.4** *Support the preservation of stable, single-family neighborhoods.*

Principle 3: Enable prosperity for all people.

- GV P3.1** *Provide, in each community, a variety of housing types to meet the housing needs of all income levels.*
- GV P3.2** *Support educational opportunities that promote balanced growth.*

- GV P3.3 *Ensure environmental justice regardless of race, ethnicity or income class.*
- GV P3.4 *Support local and state fiscal policies that encourage balanced growth*
- GV P3.5 *Encourage civic engagement.*

Principle 4: Promote sustainability for future generations.

- GV P4.1 *Preserve rural, agricultural, recreational, and environmentally sensitive areas*
- GV P4.2 *Focus development in urban centers and existing cities.*
- GV P4.3 *Develop strategies to accommodate growth that uses resources efficiently, eliminate pollution and significantly reduce waste.*
- GV P4.4 *Utilize "green" development techniques*

CONCLUSION

As the clearinghouse for regionally significant projects per Executive Order 12372, SCAG reviews the consistency of local plans, projects, and programs with regional plans. This activity is based on SCAG's responsibilities as a regional planning organization pursuant to state and federal laws and regulations. Guidance provided by these reviews is intended to assist local agencies and project sponsors to take actions that contribute to the attainment of regional goals and policies.

All feasible measures needed to mitigate any potentially negative regional impacts associated with the proposed project should be implemented and monitored, as required by CEQA. Refer to the SCAG List of Mitigation Measures for additional guidance.

The list can be found at: http://www.scag.ca.gov/igr/documents/SCAG_IGRMMRP_2008.pdf

SUGGESTED SIDE BY SIDE FORMAT - COMPARISON TABLE OF SCAG POLICIES

For ease of review, we would encourage the use of a side-by-side comparison of all SCAG policies with a discussion of the consistency, non-consistency or not applicable of the policy and supportive analysis in a table format. All policies and goals must be evaluated as to impacts. Suggested format is as follows:

The complete table can be found at: <http://www.scag.ca.gov/igr/>

- Click on “*Demonstrating Your Project’s Consistency With SCAG Policies*”
- Scroll down to “*Table of SCAG Policies for IGR*”

SCAG Regional Transportation Plan Goals and Compass Growth Visioning Principles		
Regional Transportation Plan Goals		
Goal/ Principle Number	Policy Text	Statement of Consistency, Non-Consistency, or Not Applicable
RTP G1	Maximize mobility and accessibility for all people and goods in the region.	<i>Consistent: Statement as to why</i> <i>Not-Consistent: Statement as to why</i> <i>or</i> <i>Not Applicable: Statement as to why</i>
RTP G2	Ensure travel safety and reliability for all people and goods in the region.	<i>Consistent: Statement as to why</i> <i>Not-Consistent: Statement as to why</i> <i>or</i> <i>Not Applicable: Statement as to why</i>
RTP G3	Preserve and ensure a sustainable regional transportation system.	<i>Consistent: Statement as to why</i> <i>Not-Consistent: Statement as to why</i> <i>or</i> <i>Not Applicable: Statement as to why</i>
Etc.	Etc.	Etc.

May 28, 2009

City of Agoura Hills
Planning Department
3001 Ladyface Court
Agoura Hills, CA 91301
Attn.: Allison Cook, Principal Planner

E-mail: acook@ci.agoura-hill.ca.us

Subject: Comments on NOP of an EIR for City of Agoura Hills General Plan Update

Dear Ms. Cook:

Thank you for the opportunity to review and comment on the subject document. Attached are the comments that we have received resulting from intra-county review of the subject document. Additional comments may have been sent directly to you by other County agencies.

Your proposed responses to these comments should be sent directly to the commenter, with a copy to Laura Hocking, Ventura County Planning Division, L#1740, 800 S. Victoria Avenue, Ventura, CA 93009.

If you have any questions regarding any of the comments, please contact the appropriate respondent. Overall questions may be directed to Laura Hocking at (805) 654-2443.

Sincerely,



for Kim L. Rodriguez
County Planning Director

Attachment

County RMA Reference Number 09-019





COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES
DEPARTMENT OF PARKS AND RECREATION
"Creating Community Through People, Parks and Programs"

Russ Guiney, Director

June 2, 2009

Sent via e-mail: acook@ci.agoura-hills.ca.us

Allison Cook
Principal Planner
Planning Department
City of Agoura Hills
30001 Ladyface Court
Agoura Hills, CA 91301

Dear Ms. Cook:

**NOTICE OF PREPARATION (NOP)
CITY OF AGOURA HILLS GENERAL PLAN UPDATE
DRAFT ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT (DEIR)**

The Department of Parks and Recreation has reviewed the NOP of DEIR for the City of Agoura Hills General Plan Update for facilities under the jurisdiction of the Department and have found the following trail within the City of Agoura Hills.

Proposed joint County/ NPS Trail
#1 Zuma Ridge Trail

As shown in the enclosure, *The Santa Monica Mountains North Area Plan*, the Zuma Ridge Trail is shown in the last page, Map 4: Ventura Freeway Corridor Hiking Trails.

Thank you for including this Department in the review process. If you have any trail inquiries, please contact Myrna Rodriguez at (213) 351-5135 or mrodriguez2@parks.lacounty.gov.

Sincerely,


Julie Yom
Park Planner

JY:(c:response-City of Agoura Hills General Plan Update)

Enclosure: The Santa Monica Mountains North Area Plan

c: Dept. of Parks and Recreation (N. E. Garcia, L. Hensley, J. Rupert, M. Rodriguez)
National Park Service (I. Nicholson)

THE SANTA MONICA MOUNTAINS NORTH AREA PLAN



County of Los Angeles
Department of Regional Planning
James E. Hartl, AICP
Director of Planning

Adopted: October 24, 2000
by the Los Angeles County
Board of Supervisors



LOS ANGELES COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

Gloria Molina, First District
Yvonne Brathwaite Burke, Second District
Zev Yaroslavsky, Third District
Don Knabe, Fourth District
Michael D. Antonovich, Fifth District



LOS ANGELES COUNTY REGIONAL PLANNING COMMISSION

Renée L. Campbell, Chariman
George Pederson, Vice Chairman
Don Toy, Commissioner
Esther Feldman, Commissioner
Cheryl Vargo, Commissioner

General Plan Amendment

No. SP 97-181

Actions:

**Adopt Santa Monica Mountains North Area Plan
Repeal Santa Monica Mountains Interim Area Plan
Amend Los Angeles County Highway Plan
Amend Los Angeles County Bikeway Plan**



Project Management:

**James E. Hartl, AICP, Director of Planning
Jon Sanabria, Chief Deputy
Pamela Holt, AICP, Administrator, Advance Planning Division**

Project Manager:

Lee Stark, Regional Planner

Editor and Production Designer:

**George Malone, AICP, Head
General Plan Development Program
Production of North Area Plan Maps: Rob Glaser**

Production of Land Use Policy Map:

**Milan Svitek, AICP, Head
Geographic Information Systems
Nick Franchino**

Contributing Staff:

**Ellen Fitzgerald
Patricia Lin**

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
List of Maps	ii
List of Figures	ii
Acknowledgments	iii
I. Introduction	
A. Purpose of the Santa Monica Mountains North Area Plan	I-1
B. Setting	I-2
C. Organization of the North Area Plan	I-2
D. How to Use the North Area Plan	I-3
E. Area Development	I-4
F. Previous Planning Efforts	I-5
G. Region-wide Planning Coordination	I-7
H. Program Environmental Impact Report	I-8
I. Relationship to the Santa Monica Mountains Local Coastal Program	I-8
II. Guiding Principles and General Goals	
A. Guiding Principles	II-10
B. General Goals	II-11
III. Intergovernmental Land Use Coordination	III-15
IV. Conservation and Open Space Element	
A. Introduction	IV-17
B. Guiding Principles	IV-17
C. Biological Resources & Habitat Linkage	IV-18
D. Hillside Management	IV-20
E. Water Quality	IV-22
F. Scenic Resources	IV-24
G. Open Space	IV-27
H. Recreation and Trails	IV-28
V. Safety and Noise Element	
A. Introduction	V-36
B. Guiding Principles	V-36
C. Seismic Hazards	V-36
D. Flood Hazards	V-37
E. Fire Hazards	V-38
F. Non-Seismic Geologic Hazards	V-41
G. Hazardous and Toxic Materials	V-42
H. Noise Hazards	V-43
VI. Land Use and Housing Element	
A. Introduction	VI-45
B. Guiding Principles	VI-45
C. Development and Environmental Resources	VI-46
D. Pattern and Character of Development	VI-47
Land Use Policy Map	VI-54

VII. Circulation Element	
A. Introduction	VII-61
B. Guiding Principles	VII-62
C. Balancing Roadway Capacity with Environmental Sensitivity	VII-63
D. Managing Roadway Capacity	VII-64
E. Transportation Alternatives	VII-67
VIII. Public Facilities Element	
A. Introduction	VIII-72
B. Guiding Principles	VIII-73
C. Water and Sewer Services	VIII-74
D. Public Schools	VIII-75
IX. Implementation	
A. Introduction	IX-77
B. Actions to be Undertaken by Los Angeles County	IX-77
C. Joint Actions to be Undertaken by Local Governments and Service Providers	IX-79
D. Public Educational Tools	IX-81
Glossary	G-83
Appendices	
A. Background Information on Biota	A-92
B. Significant Ecological Areas	B-95

List of Maps

Maps	Page
1. Ventura Freeway Corridor Planning Area	I-9
2. Significant Ecological Areas	IV-33
3. Mulholland Highway Scenic Corridor	IV-34
4. Hiking Trails	IV-35
5. Highway Plan Policy	VII-69
6. Ventura Freeway Alternative Route Plan	VII-70
7. Bikeway Plan	VII-71
Land Use Policy Map	Separate Attachment

List of Figures

Figures	Page
1. Environmental Thresholds and Appropriate Levels of Development	VI-48
2. Residential Density Calculation	VI-55
3. Proposed Roadway Improvements	VII-66



ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The following persons are acknowledged for their contribution to the preparation of the Ventura Freeway Corridor Areawide Plan--the foundation for the Santa Monica Mountains North Area Plan. Without the dedication and hard work of such persons, the preparation of the Santa Monica Mountains North Area Plan would not have been possible. The County of Los Angeles is grateful for their many hours of service and contribution to this planning effort.

**Ventura Freeway
Corridor Areawide Plan
Policy Committee**

County of Los Angeles
Third Supervisorial District

Ed Edelman
Zev Yaroslavsky
Ginny Kruger
David Michaelson

City of Agoura Hills

Ed Kurtz
Darlene McBane
Joan Yacavone

City of Calabasas

Charles Cate
Lesley Devine
Dennis Washburn

City of Hidden Hills

Brian Herdeg

City of Westlake Village

Iraj Broomand
Ken Rufener

Las Virgenes Municipal
Water District

Hal Helsley, Chair
Ken Rufener

Las Virgenes Unified
School District

John Fitzpatrick
Albert Marley

National Park Service

Arthur Eck
David Gackenbach

**Ventura Freeway
Corridor Areawide Plan
Public Advisory Committee**

Andy Andrews
Pamela Azar
Melinda Becker
Walter F. Bell
Sally Bellerue
Dave Brown, Chair
Ray Brownfield
Hunt Clarke Braly
Leah Culberg
John A. Diaz
Virginia V. Drasnin
Peter Eason
Michael Fichera
Carl Gibbs
Les Hardie
Michael Harrison
Nancy Helsley
Chris Hendricks
Fern Huddleston
Lenora Kirby
Robert Krause
Vicky Leary
George Long
Darlene McBane
Linda Palmer
Connie Raabe
Phil Ramuno
Lee Ranger
Barbara Reinike
Blake Shelters
Debi Tappis
Jess Thomas
Myra Turek
Chris Willig
Richard Woolard

**Ventura Freeway
Corridor Areawide Plan
Technical Advisory
Committee**

County of Los Angeles
Department of
Regional Planning
Lee Stark

City of Agoura Hills

Dave Anderson
Mike Kamino

City of Calabasas

Steven Harris
Anna Lisa Hernandez
Marilyn Miller

City of Hidden Hills

Tim D'Zmura
Cherie Paglia

City of Westlake Village

Hamid Arshadi
Robert Theobald

Las Virgenes Municipal
Water District

Mike Brown
Gene Talmadge

Las Virgenes Unified
School District

Don Zimring

National Park Service

Nancy Arkin
Melanie Beck
Scott Erickson
Tedra Fox

I. INTRODUCTION

A. Purpose of the North Area Plan

The *Santa Monica Mountains North Area Plan* (North Area Plan is a synonym used in this document) is a component of the Los Angeles County General Plan. The North Area Plan replaces in its entirety the *Malibu/Santa Monica Mountains Interim Area Plan*, which previously served as the basic planning tool for the unincorporated area. The North Area Plan's primary role is to provide more focused policy for the regulation of development within the unincorporated area of the Santa Monica Mountains west of the City of Los Angeles and north of the Coastal Zone boundary--the planning area--as part of the overall General Plan area of Los Angeles County. The North Area Plan refines the policies of the county-wide General Plan as it applies to this planning area.



This plan is an outgrowth of a unique cooperative planning effort for the Ventura Freeway corridor (see Map 1 ~ '*Ventura Freeway Corridor Planning Area*' at the end of this chapter). The County participated with the cities of Westlake Village, Agoura Hills, Calabasas, Hidden Hills, the Las Virgenes Unified School District, the Las Virgenes Municipal Water District and the National Park Service in drafting a long-range plan for the region--a term used throughout this document to identify the entire unincorporated area and adjacent cities mentioned above. That effort produced the *Ventura Freeway Corridor Areawide Plan* ('Corridor Plan').

The Corridor Plan identified the concerns and issues that were shared by all of the plan participants and includes much pertinent background information on the region. The Corridor Plan provided valuable guidance and was the model for the goals and policies in this North Area Plan. The many references to the "region" throughout this North Area Plan--which has jurisdiction only over the unincorporated County--is testimony to the need to consider surrounding and off-site impacts in this environmentally sensitive area and to the value of cooperative multi-jurisdiction planning. Certainly such regional factors as traffic, trails, and views are appropriate subjects for consideration by the Regional Planning Commission--the first regional planning agency created in the United States, in 1922.

The North Area Plan serves to:

- Identify the community's environmental, social, and economic goals.
- Provide a forum for area residents to mold a vision for the future of the area and to resolve local land use and planning conflicts.
- State the County's policies on existing and future development needed to achieve community goals.
- Establish within local government the ability to respond to problems and opportunities concerning community development in a way consistent with local, regional and state goals and policies.

- Inform citizens about their community and allow for opportunities to participate in the planning and decision-making process of local government.
- Identify the need for and methods of improving the coordination of community development activities among all local units of government.
- Create a basis for subsequent planning efforts, such as the preparation of specific plans and special studies.

B. Setting

The jurisdiction of the Santa Monica Mountains North planning area is the unincorporated portion of the Santa Monica Mountains west of the City of Los Angeles and north of the Coastal Zone boundary. (See Map 1) Surrounded by a unique and distinctive environment characterized by steep mountains, rolling hills, canyons, streams and oak woodlands is an equally distinctive group of communities. Content of the North Area Plan is influenced by the close proximity of the four cities within the planning area as well as the Coastal Zone to the south. This beautiful Southern California setting is described in a recent research effort:

'Few trips through Southern California's urban landscape offer such dramatic change as the drive westward out of the San Fernando Valley along... the Ventura Freeway. Winding up the Calabasas Grade from Woodland Hills, the scenery shifts abruptly.... Traffic begins to thin out. Densely packed urban development is replaced by large hilltop residences and small residential and commercial clusters... give way to golden, rolling grass hills of oak savannah and lush green riparian areas which line canyon bottoms.

Further along the freeway corridor, the landscape changes again. The dramatic Santa Monica Mountains loom large in the background, especially... Ladyface Mountain south of Agoura Hills. As the freeway widens to accommodate the breadth of the Conejo Valley, the meticulously planned streets and neighborhoods of Westlake Village... become evident, creating a different vision of suburbia."

The above description of the views from the Ventura Freeway characterizes the types of visual pleasures which occur throughout the entire area and not just from the Freeway--due in large part to the extensive preserves of publicly owned park lands.

The portions of the corridor planning area within unincorporated Los Angeles County are the focus of this planning report. The unincorporated area within the corridor encompasses 32.2 square miles and has an estimated 1995 population of 4,940.

C. Organization of the North Area Plan

The North Area Plan consists of six components, described as follows:

Guiding Principles and General Goals

This chapter establishes the basic vision statement of the North Area Plan, and sets forth principles and goals intended to guide and shape the content and direction of the policy elements that follow in the North Area Plan.

¹UCLA Extension Public Policy Program, *The 101 Corridor: Land-Use Planning and Intergovernmental Relations (Draft)*, Los Angeles, November 1993.

G. Open Space

Over 5,000 acres of major public open spaces within the North Plan area—approximately one-fourth of the planning area, representing a major investment of public monies—have been preserved, including lands under the management of the National Park Service, the State of California, and the Santa Monica Mountain Conservancy. Additional committed open space areas include local park lands, and lands that were preserved as permanent open space as the result of various development approvals. The adjacent cities and Coastal Zone, as well as Ventura County, also include major blocks of publicly-owned open space parklands. Large additional blocks of open space lands exist through the region, but are not committed to long term open space and are, therefore, available for various types and intensities of development.

State General Plan law related to Open Space Elements describes four types of open spaces:

- **Open Space for the Protection of Significant Environmental Resources.** Most of the land acquired by the National Park Service, the State of California, and the Santa Monica Mountains Conservancy falls into this category, as these lands contain significant biological habitats and habitat linkages. Much of the remaining open spaces within the region contain a great abundance and variety of vegetative and wildlife habitats and linkages. They also represent a scenic resource of great value.
- **Open Space for the Protection of Public Health and Safety.** Many hillside areas have proven to be unstable; despite the best efforts of geologists, soils engineers, and civil engineers, man-made slopes within the region have been subject to failure. Thus, certain hillside areas are unsuitable for development, and are more appropriately left as open space. In addition, the fires that periodically rage through the Santa Monica Mountains are a reminder of the inherent difficulties with development in mountainous areas. Because fire is a natural and a needed phenomenon, certain areas within the mountains are best left in their natural condition, and protected from development. Currently, many steeply sloping areas, as well as areas subject to flooding have been committed to long term open space, primarily as part of past development approvals.
- **Open Space for the Managed Production of Resources.** Open space for the managed production of resources typically includes agricultural lands and lands used for mineral extraction. At this time, there is no open space in this category in the unincorporated area.
- **Open Space for Public Recreation.** These open space areas include the public and private parks managed by Los Angeles County and property owners' associations, as well as developed recreation areas owned and managed by the National Park Service and the California Department of Parks and Recreation.

Open Space Goals and Policies

Goal IV-5:

An integrated open space system that preserves valuable natural resources, manages water resources, and provides a variety of recreational opportunities, and a coordinated program among federal, state, and local agencies for the consistent management of public lands.

Policies:

- IV-39 In the conditions of approval setting aside lands for open space, clearly define the land's intended open space functions, and ensure that the management and use of such lands are consistent with those intended open space functions.

- IV-40 Treat all parcels within existing clustered subdivisions that were set aside as open space, as permanent deed-restricted open space on the Land Use Policy Map.
- IV- 41 Preserve open space corridors which physically link open space and habitat areas to populated areas as well as to complementary recreational uses.
- IV-42 Structure the pattern and character of planned development so as to be compatible with and complementary to open space resources.
- IV-43 Diverse methods, including fee simple acquisition, purchase of development rights, regulations, and/or development density and clustering incentives, are appropriate where open space preservation is achieved.
- IV-44 Implement adequate legal protections to ensure the preservation in perpetuity of designated open space lands.
- IV-45 Preserve open space that protects streams and watersheds, prevents vegetation clearance or grading of steep areas and helps reduce development-induced runoff.

H. Recreation And Trails

One of the most important functions of this portion of the Santa Monica Mountains is its ability to provide the Los Angeles metropolitan region with a wide range of public and private recreational opportunities. The natural environment of the mountains--throughout the unincorporated area as well as adjacent cities-- is particularly well suited for active and passive outdoor recreational experiences in an unstructured natural setting. In view of the need for energy conservation, the value of recreation in close proximity to the urban complex is immense. The Santa Monica Mountains area represents the last opportunity to maintain a critical element of a 'close-in,' outdoor, recreational-oriented lifestyle within the Los Angeles region, and the communities along the Ventura Freeway corridor serve a gateway function into the mountains.

The cornerstones of the area's recreation potential are the existing federal, state, and local parks and trails. These parks and proposed acquisitions, linked by the proposed scenic routes and a network of riding, hiking, and bicycle trails across all jurisdictions, would all integrate with the Santa Monica Mountains National Recreation Area. These public recreation areas, which could be supported by compatible commercial recreation uses, such as resorts, lodgings, camps and equestrian facilities, would maximize the recreational opportunities available to the public.

Although existing parks and recreational facilities are the basis for experiencing the area's recreational opportunities, the system is insufficient to meet regional needs. Although bicycle trails plans have been adopted, a comprehensive public trail or bicycle system does not exist to provide critical linkages to the varied recreational facilities. Traditional equestrian and hiking routes, unofficially established by years of public use, cross primarily private property, while only isolated bikeway segments exist. A system of trails and bikeways in the Santa Monica Mountains, could serve as usable, safe, parallel paths connecting recreation areas and the metropolitan area.

As these recreational amenities are expanded, there will be an increasing need for coordinated resource management in order to protect sensitive habitats from overuse and/or degradation. These opportunities and issues can best be resolved if the emphasis is placed on an integrated recreational plan coordinating the resources of multiple governmental jurisdictions and community groups.

Several entities are involved in the provision of parks and recreational opportunities within the planning region, including the National Park Service, the State of California, Santa Monica Mountains Conservancy and area cities. In addition, local property owners' associations are also actively involved in the provisions of recreational facilities in the region.

EXISTING AND PROPOSED PARK & TRAIL FACILITIES

Parks

The County of Los Angeles does not currently operate any regional park facilities within the jurisdiction of the North Area Plan. While it is recognized that there are local park needs throughout the planning region, it is not advisable to plan for traditional active local parks in the unincorporated mountain area of the North Area Plan. As has previously been noted, this mountain area is largely steep with limited access and would not be suitable for an active recreation park.

Trails

The existing trail system in the study area is comprised primarily of regional trails within the Santa Monica Mountains, including those operated by the County and other public agencies, as well as those on private lands. There are many trails throughout the mountains, but most are not publicly protected unless they are within parklands. For those trail lands that are protected through public ownership or easements, trail maintenance--and often basic construction--is primarily due to the work of dedicated volunteers.

The National Park Service, California Department of Parks and Recreation, Santa Monica Mountains Conservancy, and the Santa Monica Mountains Trails Council, together with a variety of other public agencies and private concerns--through a consortium known as the Santa Monica Mountains Area Recreational Trails (SMMART) Coordination Project--have proposed additions to the County's trails plan as well as new trail amenities (i.e. trail camps) to be considered by the park agencies.

Following up on the information developed by the SMMART Project, the National Park Service, California State Parks and the Santa Monica Mountains Conservancy are planning an integrated trail system (i.e., a system that provides connections with other local and regional trail networks) throughout the Santa Monica Mountains National Recreation Area--which covers the multi-jurisdictional breadth of the Mountains. This system is intended to link area recreation facilities, and provide trail access between the mountains and the coast. The system will include trails of varying lengths and degrees of difficulty for people with a wide variety of skills and abilities, including the disabled, senior citizens, and families. A series of loop trails will be planned for hikers, equestrians and bicyclists. Overnight camps will be considered and established along longer trails to allow uninterrupted backpacking trips of several days' duration. The trail system may eventually connect with other major trails in the greater region, such as the Rim of the Valley Trail and the Pacific Crest Trail.

The Rim of the Valley Trail is within the state-designated Rim of the Valley Trail Corridor, stretching from Sierra Madre to Moorpark, and will link parklands and mountain open spaces encircling the San Fernando, La Crescenta, western San Gabriel, Simi, and Conejo Valleys. The Rim of the Valley Trail will link to the Pacific Crest Trail and the Santa Monica Mountains Backbone Trail.


The 2550-mile long Pacific Crest Trail (a National Scenic Trail) passes through northern Los Angeles County mostly in the San Gabriel Mountains, Sierra Pelona Range, and mountains northeast of Pyramid Lake (Angeles National Forest), as well as through intervening private lands,

before it cross the western Antelope Valley into Kern County. Trails within the planning area can provide links to this major trail resource.

Future Regional Trails

Planning for the Juan Bautista DeAnza National Historic Trail is underway. This trail is one of only seven national historic trails in the country. The trail commemorates the 1,200-mile expedition of Juan Bautista de Anza in 1775-1776, when he led a contingent of colonists from Mexico across deserts and mountains to found a colony for Spain at San Francisco. An approximately 5-mile segment of the Anza National Historic Trail will cross parklands in the Simi Hills north of the North Area Plan's study area. A spur trail to the south would connect the Anza National Historic Trail with Malibu Creek State Park, the approximate location of one of the expedition's camping sites. The National Park Service is coordinating this interstate planning effort. Alternative alignments are still in draft form at this time.

Public trails originating from the Ahmanson Ranch project, if it is developed as proposed in the adjacent Las Virgenes Canyon area of Ventura County, could provide both regional north-south and east-west trail connections. Ahmanson Ranch would be connected to the Santa Monica Mountains, as well as to Los Angeles, by the Valley Circle Scenic Corridor Trail, entering Los Angeles County from Ventura County through Crummer Canyon on the western side of Hidden Hills, connecting on south of the Ventura Freeway with the Calabasas-Cold Creek Trail. This trail would extend through Ahmanson Ranch and continue into the northern San Fernando Valley along Valley Circle Boulevard and tie into the trail system already established in the north valley. In this same general area, the connection of Cheseboro Park with Malibu Creek State Park is proposed through Liberty Canyon. If Ahmanson Ranch is not developed as proposed, other measures will be required to secure these trails.



The Las Virgenes Canyon trail is another proposed County trail that would connect Ahmanson Ranch to the Santa Monica Mountains. The County has obtained several easements for this trail, adequate to build the trail from the Ventura Freeway to Malibu Creek State Park. Easements north of the Ventura Freeway have not yet been obtained. The Ahmanson Ranch project has been conditioned to provide large staging areas on property at Las Virgenes Road in Ventura County.

The Zuma Ridge Trail is planned to eventually link Simi Valley to the sea, providing a continuous trail connection from the Arroyo Simi Equestrian park through the Simi Hills to Zuma Canyon. Portions of the regional trail are maintained by the County of Los Angeles and the Santa Monica Mountains Trail Council.

Trails Acquisition Programs

Trails easements and improvements over private lands are frequently obtained through conditions of development approval; funding mechanisms for sustained maintenance of such trails should also be sought at this opportunity. Open space lands, including new acquisitions, may contain existing trails or provide opportunities for new ones--although funding for construction and/or maintenance is not necessarily assured. As trail acquisition opportunities arise, regional coordination is needed to both ensure an integrated trails network as well as to dedicate particular trail segments to the agency best able to provide sustained funding for trail construction and maintenance.

Recreation and Trails Goals and Policies

Goal IV-6:

A variety of recreational opportunities affording a range of experiences from wilderness to parks, including public trail access to public lands--all in a manner that respects natural resources.


Policies:

- IV-46 Ensure the opportunity for a full range of recreational experiences to serve regional and national visitors, including the the transit-dependent and the disabled.
- IV-47 Locate recreational facilities of all types in a manner consistent with the environmental values of the land, taking special care to avoid impacts on riparian areas. Regulate the intensity, timing, types, and location of recreational facilities to protect resources and established neighborhoods and rural communities.
- IV-48 Encourage opportunities for dispersed recreation when consistent with environmental values and protection of natural resources.
- a. Provide passive recreational experiences within undeveloped natural areas consistent with the tolerance capabilities and character of such areas. Natural areas with limited road access and the presence of sensitive environmental resources are to be limited to activities that are keyed to solitude and appreciation of the values of the natural environment.
 - b. Within natural areas intended for the protection of vegetative, habitat and scenic resources, regulate use to preserve resource values.
 - c. Expand trails systems for hiking, mountain bike riding, and equestrian uses to accommodate projected demands, following an evaluation that has considered such impacts as environmental quality and the safety and enjoyment of all users. Multi-use trails should be constructed wherever feasible. The trails system should provide linkages between major regional trails and area recreational facilities (see Map 4 ~ 'Ventura Freeway Corridor Hiking Trails' at the end of this chapter which identifies major hiking trails throughout the region).
 - d. Ensure that the routing and improvement of trails facilities is compatible with the resource values of adjacent lands.
 - e. Relocate or redesign any trails that may exist within environmentally sensitive areas to enhance their use and protect natural resources.
 - f. Prohibit motorized off-road vehicle use on the area trails system; restrict mountain bike use to those trails specifically designed and identified for such use and where conflict with equestrian and hiking uses would not occur.
 - g. Preserve public rights by obtaining trail easements where the public has acquired these rights through use, or where the trail is depicted on Map 4 (Hiking Trails) of this Plan.
- IV-49 Ensure that an appropriate portion of preserved open space areas is devoted to recreational facilities, consistent with the mountains area environment.






- a. Where appropriate, establish the facilities necessary for information/orientation, recreation, interpretation, education, and recreation area maintenance and operations;
 - b. At the periphery of areas devoted to dispersed recreation provide the following:
 - provide sufficient staging areas along trails—including space to accommodate horse trailers, where needed and appropriate—to ensure adequate access to the trails system,
 - campgrounds, roadside rests and picnic areas in areas of suitable land capability,
 - visitor information, and
 - day use facilities;
 - c. Expand the area's system of bicycle trails to provide an alternative means for travel in conjunction with automobile travel; and
 - d. Locate and design parking for recreation areas in a manner compatible with the need for preservation of natural resources, including scenic values, wildlife habitats and corridors, and water and groundwater quality.
- IV-50 Make use of open space easements, such as flood inundation areas, and establish other procedures to acquire land or the use of land for recreational and open space purposes.
- IV-51 Work to achieve common trails policies between the various agencies maintaining trails within the region.
- IV-52 Allow the development of new, and the retention of existing, private recreational facilities, including equestrian rental and boarding facilities, low intensity campgrounds and conference facilities in rural and mountain areas where the character of such facilities dictates the need for such a setting and can be developed and operated in a manner consistent with the environmental protection policies of the North Area Plan, and where such uses would be compatible with surrounding land uses.



Map 4 Ventura Freeway Corridor Hiking Trails




LEGEND:

-  Trails
-  Major Arterials
-  Ventura Freeway
-  Los Angeles County Unincorporated Areas
-  Incorporated Cities

NAMES OF TRAILS:

- | | |
|--------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| 1. ZUMA RIDGE TRAIL | 7. STOKES RIDGE TRAIL |
| 2. BACKBONE TRAIL | 8. CALABASAS-COLD CREEK TRAIL |
| 3. REAGAN CONNECTOR TRAIL | 9. VALLEY CIRCLE SCENIC CORRIDOR |
| 4. MALIBU LAKE CONNECTOR TRAIL | 10. TOPANGA HENRY RIDGE TRAIL |
| 5. CALABASAS-COLD CREEK TRAIL | 11. SANTA MARIA CANYON TRAIL |
| 6. LAS VIRGENES TRAIL | 12. CAMP SLAUSON CONNECTOR TRAIL |





COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

FIRE DEPARTMENT

1320 NORTH EASTERN AVENUE
LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA 90063-3294

(323) 890-4330

P. MICHAEL FREEMAN
FIRE CHIEF
FORESTER & FIRE WARDEN

August 5, 2009

Allison Cook, Principal Planner
City of Agoura Hills
Planning Department
3001 Ladyface Court
Agoura Hills, CA 91301

Dear Ms. Cook:

NOTICE OF PREPARATION, NOTICE OF PREPARATION (NOP) CITY OF AGOURA HILLS GENERAL PLAN UPDATE ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT (EIR), AGOURA HILLS (FFER #200900095)

The Notice of Preparation has been reviewed by the Planning Division, Land Development Unit, Forestry Division, and Health Hazardous Materials Division of the County of Los Angeles Fire Department. The following are their comments:

PLANNING DIVISION:

1. We have no comments at this time.

LAND DEVELOPMENT UNIT:

1. We do not have comments at this time.

FORESTRY DIVISION – OTHER ENVIRONMENTAL CONCERNS:

1. The statutory responsibilities of the County of Los Angeles Fire Department, Forestry Division include erosion control, watershed management, rare and endangered species, vegetation, fuel modification for Very High Fire Hazard Severity Zones or Fire Zone 4, archeological and cultural resources, and the County Oak Tree Ordinance. Potential impacts in these areas should be addressed in the Final Environmental Document.

SERVING THE UNINCORPORATED AREAS OF LOS ANGELES COUNTY AND THE CITIES OF:

AGOURA HILLS	BRADBURY	CUDAHY	HAWTHORNE	LA MIRADA	MALIBU	POMONA	SIGNAL HILL
ARTESIA	CALABASAS	DIAMOND BAR	HIDDEN HILLS	LA PUENTE	MAYWOOD	RANCHO PALOS VERDES	SOUTH EL MONTE
AZUSA	CARSON	DUARTE	HUNTINGTON PARK	LAKESWOOD	NORWALK	ROLLING HILLS	SOUTH GATE
BALDWIN PARK	CERRITOS	EL MONTE	INDUSTRY	LANCASTER	PALMDALE	ROLLING HILLS ESTATES	TEMPLE CITY
BELL	CLAREMONT	GARDENA	INGLEWOOD	LAWNDALE	PALOS VERDES ESTATES	ROSEMEAD	WALNUT
BELL GARDENS	COMMERCE	GLEN DORA	IRWINDALE	LOMITA	PARAMOUNT	SAN DIMAS	WEST HOLLYWOOD
BELLFLOWER	COVINA	HAWAIIAN GARDENS	LA CANADA-FLINTRIDGE	LYNWOOD	PICO RIVERA	SANTA CLARITA	WESTLAKE VILLAGE
			LA HABRA				WHITTIER


Allison Cook, Principal Planner
August 5, 2009
Page 2

HEALTH HAZARDOUS MATERIALS DIVISION:

1. Health hazardous Materials has no objection with the proposed project.

If you have any additional questions, please contact this office at (323) 890-4330.

Very truly yours,



FRANK VIDALES, ACTING CHIEF, FORESTRY DIVISION
PREVENTION SERVICES BUREAU

FV:lj